



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 20384

A halotolerant aldose reductase from *Debaryomyces nepalensis*: gene isolation, overexpression and biochemical characterization†

Bhaskar Paidimuddala, Gopala Krishna Aradhyam and Sathyanarayana N. Gummadi *

Aldose reductase (AR) catalyzes the conversion of aldoses to their corresponding polyols in yeasts and filamentous fungi. ARs have the potential to be exploited for the enzymatic production of xylitol, thus the identification and characterization of ARs from novel strains have gained interest. In this study, we chose the novel yeast *Debaryomyces nepalensis* as a source for an AR gene. For the first time, here we isolated the AR gene from *D. nepalensis* (*DnAR*) that encodes a protein of 320 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 36.7 kDa using the RACE technique. It was heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli* as a His-tagged fusion protein and purified. The enzyme showed strict NADPH dependence and broad substrate specificity with high catalytic efficiency for arabinose, xylose and 3-nitro benzaldehyde. Remarkably, it was active and stable in the presence of high concentrations of salts (KCl/NaCl), thus exhibiting halotolerance. It showed 75% and 45% activity at 0.5 and 1 M concentration of salts respectively. Enzyme half-lifetime at 1 M KCl and 1 M NaCl was found to be 30 h and 16.5 h respectively. Furthermore, to explore the structural basis of its halotolerance, we built a homology model of *DnAR*. Surprisingly, we found that the existence of a uniform negative electrostatic potential over the protein surface, which is one of the known mechanisms governing protein halotolerance. Therefore, *DnAR* could be exploited as a biocatalyst to develop an enzyme based bioprocess for xylitol production from lignocelluloses. Moreover, this is the first report providing the genetic sequence and biochemical characteristics of a halotolerant aldose reductase.

Received 10th February 2017
 Accepted 7th March 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01697b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Aldose reductase (AR) (EC 1.1.1.21) is a member of the aldo-keto reductase (AKR) super family of enzymes, which catalyses the reduction of aldehydes to their corresponding alcohols.¹ ARs are highly conserved and found in animals, plants and microbes.² Though broad substrate specificity is the signature property of ARs, the exact biological function differs among the organisms.^{2,3} In animals and plants, AR preferably catalyses the conversion of glucose to sorbitol with strict dependence on NADPH.^{3,4} AR in humans has been implicated in the onset of diabetic complications by forming excessive polyols in the hyperglycemic state in tissues.⁴ Due to this reason, numerous reports on the development of therapeutic AR inhibitors have been published.^{5,6} In contrast to human AR, the yeast AR is involved in the reduction of aldopentoses such as xylose,

arabinose and erythrose with concomitant oxidation of either NADPH or NADH.⁷ Therefore, yeast ARs have the potential for the exploitation of lignocellulosic xylose to produce xylitol, a pentose alcohol.^{8,9}

Xylitol is a multi-beneficial natural sugar substitute with potential applications in sugar-free foods and drinks, oral hygiene, pharma and cosmetic products.¹⁰ Currently, xylitol production at industrial scale involves chemical hydrogenation of xylose extracted from lignocellulose under extreme conditions of pressure and temperature with toxic catalysts.¹¹ Although microbial production of xylitol is advantageous as compared to chemical processes in terms of cost and environmental impact, catabolite repression and downstream processing from by-products (glycerol, arabitol and ethanol) makes it expensive.¹² Since only one enzyme AR, requires for the production of xylitol, the development of enzymatic bioprocess could surpass the limitations of current processes.^{8,9} Therefore, ARs have gained interest, leading to the characterization of putative ARs and identification of new ARs having remarkable properties such as halotolerance and thermotolerance which help sustain industrial conditions as well as salts contaminated lignocellulose hydrolysates.

Applied and Industrial Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, Bhupat and Jyoti Mehta School of Biosciences, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600 036, India. E-mail: gummadi@iitm.ac.in; Fax: +91-44-2257-4102; Tel: +91-44-2257-4114

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01697b



For this study, we chose *Debaryomyces nepalensis* NCYC 3413, a non-pathogenic saccharomycetes yeast which was previously isolated from rotten apple.¹³ It has been shown to survive in a medium containing pectin as the sole source of carbon and can overcome osmotic stress by producing polyols.¹⁴ *D. nepalensis* could utilize both hexoses and pentoses, and xylitol was found to be a major product when grown in the medium containing xylose, suggesting the presence of aldose metabolizing enzymes.¹⁵ Nevertheless, earlier we purified a protein with xylose reductase activity from *D. nepalensis*, hence it has been proven as a good candidate strain to take for AR gene fishing.¹⁶ However, lack of information on its genome sequence was eliminating the possibilities of specific genes isolation and their cloning.

In the present study, we attempted AR gene isolation from *D. nepalensis* by designing the primers based on genome sequence of its close relatives such as *D. hansenii* and *Candida* species. Later, the obtained partial AR gene sequence was taken to design the primers for the full-length gene isolation by rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), so that we successfully isolated novel AR gene from *D. nepalensis* (*DnAR*). Next, it was overexpressed as a His-tagged fusion protein in *E. coli* Rosetta, purified and its biochemical properties were determined. The enzyme showed strict dependence on cosubstrate NADPH, broad substrate specificity and halotolerance. In addition, we developed a homology model for *DnAR* in order to understand the molecular basis of its halotolerance and found that its protein surface contains uniform negative electrostatic potential, a signature property of halotolerant proteins.

2. Results

2.1. Molecular cloning of *D. nepalensis* AR gene

Using the primers F1 and R1, an amplicon of 0.85 kb size was obtained from *D. nepalensis* gDNA (Fig. 1A). Upon sequence alignment, it showed high homology with other aldose (xylose) reductase genes from various yeasts, which confirmed the successful isolation of partial *DnAR* gene. The incomplete ORF




Fig. 1 Isolation of *D. nepalensis* AR gene. In all three, lane 1 indicates 1 kb DNA ladder. (A) Lane 2: an amplicon of the partial *DnAR* gene from the genomic DNA; (B) lane 2: PCR amplification of 5' RACE product and lane 3: 3' RACE product within a TA cloning vector; (C) lane 2: full-length gene isolated from genomic DNA using the primers designed from RACE products and lane 3: PCR amplified full length gene within an expression vector pET28a-*DnAR*. The exact size of the PCR products indicated was determined by sequencing.

of *DnAR* (61–918 bp region of full-length gene) was used for designing gene-specific primers to amplify the missing terminals by RACE. The complete ORF of *DnAR* gene (963 bp) was determined by overlapping of the resultant sequences of PCR products from 5' RACE (0.63 kb) and 3' RACE (0.84 kb) (Fig. 1B). Using the primers F2 and R2 designed based on the RACE results, the full-length *DnAR* gene was amplified from cDNA as well as from gDNA (Fig. 1C).

The *DnAR* gene amplified from both gDNA and cDNA was sequenced and its deduced amino acid sequence is shown in Fig. 2. The sequence results revealed that the gene was uninterrupted by introns. *DnAR* showed 70% sequence similarity with aldose (xylose) reductase from *C. tenuis* (*CtXR*) hence the coordinates of *CtXR* crystal structure (PDB ID: 1K8C) was used as template to create the homology model of *DnAR* using SWISS MODEL automated mode. It was found that the TIM barrel [$(\beta/\alpha)_8$ barrel] fold, residues involving catalysis substrate binding and important residues mediating cosubstrate specificity are conserved in the model (Fig. 3).

2.2. Heterologous expression and purification

The plasmid pET28a-*DnAR* encoding N-terminal His₆-tagged *DnAR* was expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta under IPTG induction. The overexpressed protein constitutes 60% of the total cellular




Fig. 2 Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the *D. nepalensis* AR gene. The deduced amino acid sequence is given below the nucleotide sequence. An asterisk indicates the termination codon. The primers used for partial gene isolation (F1, R1), 5' RACE (SP1-3), 3' RACE (SP4) and full length gene isolation (F2, R2) are highlighted with arrows which showing annealing position and direction of extension. JustBio hosted tool Translator was used for representation.






Fig. 3 Homology model of *DnAR* built with SWISS MODEL. The *DnAR* model (cyan) and the aldose (xylose) reductase crystal structure of *C. tenuis* (PDB ID: 1K8C) (gray) upon superimposition showed 70% structural similarity (RMSD = ~ 2.5 Å). The bound cofactor NADPH (green) and catalytic triad (red) and important residues conferring cofactor specificity (magenta) in the model are visualized using PyMOL.

soluble protein. The cell-free lysate soluble fraction showed AR activity when xylose and NADPH were used as substrate and cosubstrate respectively, confirming the successful heterologous expression of *DnAR*. Next, the resultant recombinant protein was purified in a single step with an immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) purification using Ni^{2+} chelating column. The molecular weight of purified *DnAR* (with tag) was ~ 38.7 kDa, which is consistent with its predicted molecular weight (Fig. 4).




Fig. 4 Purification of heterologously expressed *DnAR*-His₆ tagged fusion protein. Lane 1: molecular weight (in kDa) marker, lane 2: soluble fraction of the induced cells, lane 3: flow-through during the purification and lane 4: final purified AR. The samples run on a 12% acrylamide gel stained with coomassie brilliant blue R-250. It was performed in three biological replicates.

Table 1 His-tag purification of *DnAR*. The enzyme activity was measured in the reaction mixture containing 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 160 mM xylose, 0.15 mM NADPH at 25 °C. The represented values are means of three biological replicates

Step	Total protein (mg)	Total activity (U)	Specific activity (U mg ⁻¹)	Purification fold	Yield (%)
Crude	51.3	26	0.5	1	100
Purified <i>DnAR</i>	4.0	13.3	3.3	6.6	51.2

The activity yield obtained by this purification strategy was found to be 51% (3.3 U mg⁻¹) (Table 1). To confirm if the overexpressed protein is AR or not, the purified protein was trypsin digested and subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis. The digested peptide fragments of *DnAR* matched with parts of protein sequences of ARs from different organisms (Fig. S1†). Moreover, the differences in residues between the previously characterized native xylose reductase and present recombinant *DnAR* confirmed that this *DnAR* is one of the isomeric forms of AR from *D. nepalensis*.

2.3. Effect of pH, temperature and metal ions on *DnAR* activity

The effect of pH on *DnAR* activity was studied by measuring the activity at various pHs ranging between 4.0 and 10.0 by keeping temperature as constant. Maximum specific activity of 80 ± 2 U mg⁻¹ was obtained at pH 7.0 and the enzyme demonstrated




Fig. 5 Effect of pH (A), temperature (B) and divalent metal ions on *DnAR* activity (C). AR assay was performed in the reaction mixture containing 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 300 mM xylose, 0.3 mM NADPH and 0.3 μg protein at optimal conditions. Results are representative of three analytical replicates and the error bars indicate \pm SD.



Table 2 Substrate specificity of *DnAR*. The kinetic parameters were determined by non-linear regression. The represented values are means of three analytical replicates with \pm SD. K_M : Michaelis–Menten constant, k_{cat} : turnover number. k_{cat}/K_M : catalytic efficiency

Substrate	K_M (mM)	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_M ($mM^{-1} s^{-1}$)
Xylose	106 \pm 12	108 \pm 4	1.0 \pm 0.3
Arabinose	110 \pm 3.0	163 \pm 1	1.5 \pm 0.3
Ribose	377 \pm 21	92 \pm 3	0.24 \pm 0.1
Galactose	572 \pm 82	106 \pm 9	0.2 \pm 0.1
Glucose	889 \pm 75	61 \pm 2	0.07 \pm 0.0
Fructose	1269 \pm 231	11 \pm 1	0.01 \pm 0.0
Glyoxal	70 \pm 2.0	41 \pm 1	0.58 \pm 0.5
Isophthalaldehyde	2.0 \pm 0.2	28 \pm 0.5	12.0 \pm 2.5
Benzaldehyde	3.3 \pm 0.4	11 \pm 0.5	3.3 \pm 1.2
Pyridine 3-carboxyaldehyde	4.5 \pm 0.5	7 \pm 0.5	1.6 \pm 1.0
3-Nitro benzaldehyde	1.7 \pm 0.4	40 \pm 0.5	24 \pm 1.2

activity in a broad pH range by retaining 60% activity at pH 6.0 and 55% activity at 8.0. At pH 5.0 and 9.0 only 30% of the maximum activity was observed (Fig. 5A). The enzyme activity increased from 10 to 45 °C; maximum activity was shown at 45 °C and beyond 50 °C a drastic drop in enzyme activity was observed (Fig. 5B).

The effect of metal ions on *DnAR* activity was studied at three different concentrations (0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 mM) of various metal ions. Ca^{2+} and Mn^{2+} up to 2 mM concentration did not significantly affect the activity (75% of residual activity), whereas 0.5 mM Cu^{2+} completely inhibited the enzyme activity (Fig. 5C). Fe^{2+} and Ni^{2+} showed 50% reduction in activity at 2 mM whereas 80% reduction in activity was observed when 2 mM Mg^{2+} was used in the reaction mixture. In the presence of Zn^{2+} , *DnAR* activity was reduced to 60% of its original activity at 0.5 mM and did not show further reduction at higher concentrations of Zn^{2+} (Fig. 5C).

2.4. Cosubstrate and substrate specificity

Cosubstrate specificity was tested by measuring the enzymatic activity in the presence of different concentrations ranging from 0 to 1 mM NADH and NADPH, and the results clearly showed that *DnAR* is specific only for NADPH. Further, the kinetic properties of the enzyme for cosubstrate were determined by performing kinetics as described in materials and methods. K_M for NADPH was found to be 0.13 ± 0.01 mM and k_{cat}/K_M was $728 \pm 12 s^{-1} mM^{-1}$. The *DnAR* showed broad substrate specificity, which is a key property of the enzymes belonging to the AKR family (Table 2). Xylose, arabinose, ribose, galactose, glucose, fructose and mannose were tested as substrates for *DnAR* with NADPH as a cofactor. Among the tested, xylose ($K_M = 106 \pm 12$ mM) and arabinose ($K_M = 110 \pm 3$ mM) showed higher affinity to the enzyme. However, *DnAR* showed higher k_{cat} for arabinose ($163 \pm 1 s^{-1}$) when compared to xylose ($108 \pm 3 s^{-1}$). It was also found that the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) for arabinose is 46% higher than that for xylose. Moreover, *DnAR* was also reduced another pentose ribose and hexoses such as glucose, galactose and fructose (Table 2). But *DnAR* did not show any activity




Fig. 6 Halotolerance of recombinant *DnAR*. (A) The enzyme activity in the presence of salts at 45 °C determined at standard assay conditions; (B) stability of the enzyme in the presence of the salts up to 2 M at 30 °C. Results are representative of three analytical replicates and the error bars indicate \pm SD.

towards mannose (data not shown). Among the non-sugar carbonyl substrates tested for activity, the enzyme showed higher catalytic efficiency with 3-nitro benzaldehyde and a lower with glyoxal (Table 2).

Table 3 Xylose kinetics of *DnAR* in the presence of salts. The kinetic parameters were determined by non-linear regression. The represented values are means of three analytical replicates with \pm SD. K_M : Michaelis–Menten constant, k_{cat} : turnover number. k_{cat}/K_M : catalytic efficiency

Salt	K_M (mM)	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_M ($mM^{-1} s^{-1}$)	% catalytic efficiency
Control	106 \pm 12	108 \pm 4	1.03 \pm 0.3	100
0.5 M KCl	171 \pm 10	133 \pm 3	0.78 \pm 0.3	76
0.5 M NaCl	149 \pm 16	112 \pm 5	0.75 \pm 0.3	73
1.0 M KCl	227 \pm 23	86 \pm 5	0.38 \pm 0.2	37
1.0 M NaCl	200 \pm 30	60 \pm 3	0.30 \pm 0.1	29






Fig. 7 Surface electrostatic potentials of halotolerant *DnAR* and aldose (xylose) reductase from non-halotolerant *C. tenuis* (PDB ID: 1K8C). The first, second, third and fourth row were seen from the right, left, front and back of the structures, respectively. The red surface was corresponded to negatively charged residues and the blue surface corresponded to positively charged residues. The potentials were contoured to -1.0 kT per electron (red) and $+1.0$ kT per electron (blue).




Fig. 8 Far-UV CD spectra of *DnAR* in the presence of salts. (A) CD spectra of *DnAR* in the presence of 0–2 M KCl; (B) CD spectra of *DnAR* in the presence of 0–2 M NaCl. The CD spectra were measured using a protein concentration of $3 \mu\text{M}$ in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at ambient temperature. The CD signals at low wavelengths (<205 nm) were obstructed by high concentration of salt (not shown in the figure). The experiment was performed in three analytical replicates and mean values were taken for representation.

2.5. Halotolerance of *DnAR*

The recombinant *DnAR* activity was determined in the presence of various concentrations of NaCl and KCl ranging between 0 and 2 M at optimal pH 7.0 and optimal temperature 45°C (Fig. 6A). The enzyme exhibited specific activity $110 \pm 3 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$ (75% of original activity) and $65 \pm 2 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$ (45% of original activity) at 0.5 and 1 M KCl respectively. However, in the presence of 0.5 M and 1 M NaCl, the enzyme showed specific activity $95 \pm 4 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$ (65% of original activity) and $45 \pm 2 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$ (30% of original activity) respectively.

Even at 2 M, the KCl enzyme showed specific activity $20 \pm 1 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6A). Moreover, *DnAR* kinetics using xylose as substrate were also performed in the presence of salts and it was observed that $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ was nearly $0.75 \pm 0.3 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (75%) and $0.33 \pm 0.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (33%) in the presence of 0.5 M and 1 M concentration of both salts respectively (Table 3). The stability of the enzyme was studied in the presence of 1 M and 2 M NaCl and KCl at 30°C . The residual activity at various time points was






Fig. 9 Thermal unfolding of *DnAR* in the presence of high concentration of salts. (A) Control; (B) effect of KCl; (C) effect of NaCl on the thermal unfolding of the enzyme. Fraction unfolded was calculated using Gibbs–Helmholtz equation upon fitting the CD data. Boltzmann sigmoidal curve fitting function of Origin Lab software (v8.0773) was used for data representation.

measured and the data were fitted to the first-order deactivation kinetics and the half-life of enzyme was determined. *DnAR* exhibited half-life time of 30 ± 2 h in the absence of salts (control). In the presence of 1 M KCl, no change in the half-life time was observed when compared to the control, whereas the half-life was reduced to 16.5 ± 3 h in the presence of 1 M NaCl (Fig. 6B). It was found that NaCl affected both the activity and the stability of the enzyme more than KCl at all concentrations. Moreover, the surface electrostatic properties of *DnAR* when compared to non-halotolerant aldose (xylose) reductase from *C. tenuis* revealed the presence of more negative surface electrostatic potential over the surface of *DnAR* than the *CtXR* (Fig. 7).

2.6. Circular dichroism studies

The secondary structural elements of *DnAR* were analyzed in the presence of 0–2 M concentrations of salts (NaCl/KCl) by far-UV CD spectroscopy. At low wavelengths (<205) high salt concentration in the samples obstructed the CD signals.¹⁷ However, the larger ellipticity of the two negative peaks was observed at 208 nm and 222 nm, which corresponded to α -helix. In the presence of 2 M NaCl and KCl, no major changes in the secondary structure of the protein were observed with respect to control (Fig. 8A and B). The effect of salts on the thermal unfolding of *DnAR* was investigated in the presence of salts up to 2 M. As shown in Fig. 9, the thermal melting curves in the presence of salts showed a decrease in T_m of protein by 8 °C and 10 °C in the presence of KCl and NaCl respectively as compared to the control (Fig. 9A–C). In spite of that, we observed 3 °C difference in T_m between 1 M and 2 M of KCl (Fig. 9B) whereas no significant changes in T_m were observed between 1 M and 2 M NaCl (Fig. 9C).

3. Discussion

Microbial or enzymatic conversion of cellulosic and lignocellulosic biomass to ethanol, xylitol and other industrially important products is prominent in the research field of bioenergy and biorefinery. One of the major problems in this field is the utilization of both hexoses and pentoses, and various efforts have been made to engineer the existing

strains^{18,19} and in screening novel organisms capable of utilizing both hexoses and pentoses.^{20,21} We previously isolated halotolerant yeast *D. nepalensis* from rotten apple, which is capable of utilizing both hexoses and pentoses and tolerate both NaCl and KCl at 2 M.^{14,15} The strain was capable of converting xylose to xylitol with a yield of 0.6 g g^{-1} ,¹⁵ which intrigued to isolate the enzyme responsible for xylitol production from this strain.

The aldose reductase gene isolated from *D. nepalensis* NCYC 3413 has a length of 963 bp and encodes a protein containing 320 amino acids. *DnAR* exists as a dimer with each monomeric subunit of 36.7 kDa. The size of the monomeric subunit of *DnAR* is matching with ARs from other organisms where it ranges from 35 to 40 kDa.^{2,4,7} The *DnAR* has a conserved TIM barrel fold, a structural property of the enzymes belonging to the AKR super family (Fig. 3). It shares other common features of this family of enzymes such as dependence on nicotinamide cofactors, hydrophobic substrate binding pocket and broad substrate specificity.^{1–3} The multiple sequence alignment of *DnAR* protein sequence with reported aldose (xylose) reductases showed conservation of residues those involving in catalysis, substrate and cosubstrate binding (Fig. S1†).

The *DnAR* gene was overexpressed in *E. coli* Rosetta and final yield of the purified *DnAR* obtained by the single-step affinity chromatography was 48 mg g^{-1} dry weight of *E. coli* (Table 1). *DnAR* showed strict NADPH specificity as a signature property of ARs which distinct it from typical xylose reductases which are specific to either NADPH or NADH.^{2,4,22} *DnAR* exhibited broad substrate specificity like other AKR proteins.^{2,4,7} Similar to AR from *C. boidinii*²² the catalytic efficiency of *DnAR* with arabinose was higher than xylose. It is another feature that separate it from typical xylose reductases (usually XR are highly specific to xylose).^{22–24} Contrastingly, AR from *C. tenuis* showed maximum catalytic efficiency with D-erythrose.⁷ *DnAR* was also reduced hexoses namely glucose and fructose to a certain extent (Table 2). Nevertheless, toxic non-sugar substrates were also reduced to a significant extent by the enzyme similar to other ARs (Table 2).

The activity of enzymes can be influenced by the presence of metal ions, so in this study we tested several divalent metal ions for the activity of *DnAR*. We found that the *DnAR* exhibited



moderate tolerance towards most of the metal ions (Fig. 5C). Nevertheless, the observed tolerance was higher than the *C. tropicalis* aldose (xylose) reductase which was known to be a highly active enzyme ($k_{\text{cat}}/K_M = 7.62 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ mM}^{-1}$).²³ Conversely, *DnAR* was susceptible to Cu^{2+} toxicity as like enzymes from *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis*.^{23,32} Cu^{2+} is known to exert its effect by induction of site specific oxidation in human aldose reductase²⁵ and probably the same mechanism might be applicable for *DnAR*.

The halophilic enzymes require salt to show optimal activity whereas halotolerant enzymes do not require salts for optimal activity.²⁶ Similar to other halotolerant enzymes, *DnAR* showed optimal activity in the absence of salt and its activity decreased gradually upon increasing salt concentrations up to 2 M. In the presence of 0.5 M KCl and 0.5 M NaCl, *DnAR* exhibited retention of 76% and 73% of catalytic efficiency respectively at 45 °C. However, it showed only 37% and 29% retention of catalytic efficiency in the presence of 1 M KCl and NaCl respectively (Table 3). The reduction in activity can be probably attributed to the prevention of coenzyme release from the cofactor binding pocket of the enzyme in the presence of salts as indicated by a decrease in k_{cat}/K_M values for xylose (Table 3). Nevertheless, *DnAR* exhibited remarkable salt stability as shown in Fig. 6. The half-life of the enzyme in 1 M KCl was found to be 30 ± 2 h (same as control) and 16 ± 3 h in the presence of 1 M NaCl at 30 °C (Fig. 6B). The effect of NaCl was larger than that with KCl, which is similar to the other halotolerant proteins.^{27,28} *C. tropicalis* xylose reductase (having high catalytic efficiency) was highly unstable in the presence of salts. Even at low salt concentrations (0.1 mM NaCl), the activity of *C. tropicalis* enzyme was reduced to 25% upon incubation for 1 h.²⁹ The effect of NaCl on the activity and stability of *DnAR* was greater than with KCl at all the tested concentrations. The CD spectra in the presence of salts suggested the existence of regular secondary structure of *DnAR* even at 2 M (Fig. 8). However, in the presence of 2 M salt, T_m of *DnAR* was reduced to 8–10 °C, which can be attributed to the mild enhancement in thermal unfolding at high salt concentrations (Fig. 9). The salt stability of *DnAR* was high when compared to the halotolerant enzyme Hsp90 from *Dunaliella salina*, which has been shown to exhibit conformational stability up to 1 M NaCl.³⁰ The nitrate reductase (a member of the DMSO reductase family) from the halotolerant cyanobacterium *Aphanothece halophytica* has been shown to completely lose activity at ≥ 0.3 M NaCl³¹ whereas *DnAR* lost activity at very high concentrations of NaCl (>2 M) (Fig. 6A). Nevertheless, the negative surface electrostatic potential (red color) of *DnAR* was found to be higher than the non-halotolerant *CtXR* (Fig. 7). Similarly, the halotolerant esterase from a marine bacterium *Pelagibacterium halotolerans* has been shown to have more negative surface electrostatic potential than the non-halotolerant esterase from *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius*.³² A halotolerant carbonic anhydrase has been shown to have uniform negative surface electrostatic potential as seen in *DnAR*.⁴¹ The presence of high number of acidic amino acids on the surface of the protein imparts negative surface electrostatic potential, thereby confers halotolerance to the protein.^{26,32,33}

4. Conclusions

We isolated novel aldose reductase gene from the halotolerant yeast *D. nepalensis*, cloned, overexpressed and its biochemical properties were determined. To the best of our knowledge, *DnAR* showed peculiar property of halotolerance, high catalytic efficiency and broad substrate specificity. Based on our results, we suggest that this enzyme can be used as a potential biocatalyst for upcoming *in vitro* xylitol production from lignocellulose hydrolysates. Further mutational and crystallographic studies will give more insights into the structure and function relationship of *DnAR*.

5. Materials and methods

5.1. Materials

The strain *Debaryomyces nepalensis* NCYC 3413 was used as a source of AR gene. *E. coli* DH5 α and *E. coli* Rosetta (both Novagen, USA) were used as cloning and host strains, respectively. The plasmid pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, Germany) was used as a cloning/sequencing vector. The vector pET28a(+) (Novagen, USA) carrying a kanamycin resistance marker and a cleavable His-tag was used for protein expression. *Phusion* DNA polymerase, dNTPs, various restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs, UK) were used for cloning experiments. TRIzol reagent (Life technologies US) was used for RNA isolation. 5'/3' RACE second-generation kit and expand high fidelity PCR system (both Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) were used for isolation of full-length *DnAR* gene. NADH and NADPH (both $\geq 97\%$ pure) (Sigma, USA) were used for enzyme activity measurements. Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen, Germany) was used as affinity matrix. Thrombin (Sigma, USA) was used for cleaving His-tag from *DnAR*-His₆ fusion protein.

5.2. Microorganisms and growth conditions

D. nepalensis NCYC 3413, previously isolated in our lab, was maintained on solid YPP (yeast extract 10 g l⁻¹, peptone 20 g l⁻¹, pectin 5 g l⁻¹ and agar 20 g l⁻¹) plates at 30 °C.¹⁵ The liquid media YPX (yeast extract 10 g l⁻¹, peptone 20 g l⁻¹ and xylose 20 g l⁻¹) with a loopful of inoculum was incubated at 30 °C, 180 rpm for 12 h, then harvested and gDNA and total RNA were isolated from the harvested cells. *E. coli* DH5 α was used as the plasmid host. *E. coli* Rosetta was used for a heterologous expression of *DnAR*. *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani medium under antibiotic control as per supplier's instructions.

5.3. Molecular cloning of *D. nepalensis* AR

D. nepalensis gDNA was isolated by phenol chloroform method.³⁴ The partial *DnAR* gene was amplified by PCR using *Taq* DNA polymerase and two primers, namely, F1 (5'-GTGGA-CAATGCCACTTGTGC-3') and R1 (5'-GACCAAGACTTGAG ATT-CAACAAT-3'), designed based on highly conserved regions of amino acid sequence found upon alignment of aldose (xylose) reductases from *D. hansenii*, *C. tenuis*, *C. tropicalis*, *S. passalidarum*, *M. guilliermondii* and *C. parapsilosis*. The *DnAR*



complete open reading frame (ORF) was determined by 5' and 3' RACE using 5'/3' RACE second-generation kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). RNA was isolated by TRIzol method and was used as a template. The obtained partial *DnAR* gene from gDNA was used for designing primers for RACE. For 5' RACE, the designed gene-specific primers were SP1 (5'-GAGATGGGCTTCTCAAAGAGATATTGCTATCATTCC-3'), SP2 (1st nested) (5'-TTGGAATTGAAGCATTCTAAGGCATTGGATACTCC-3') and SP3 (2nd nested) (5'-GAGTATGTTCAATCCCAAGGCATTGC TATTACCGC-3'). For 3' RACE, SP4 (5'-CGATGCCGCAATGGACT ACGGTAAGT-3') was used as the gene-specific primer. The obtained 5' and 3' RACE PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T easy vector. The full-length *DnAR* gene was then amplified using *Taq* DNA polymerase by overlap PCR with 5' and 3' RACE PCR products or directly from gDNA using two primers, namely, F2 (5'-GGAATCCATATGATGTCAATCAAATTAAGTCTGG-3') with *NdeI* site (underlined) and R2 (5'-GAATGAATCCCTATCTTTGC CTAAGAATCCGG-3') with *EcoRI* site (underlined). After that, the complete *DnAR* ORF was cloned into the expression vector pET28a(+), designated as pET28a-*DnAR* and sequenced. Standard protocols for nucleic acid manipulation and molecular cloning were used.³⁴ DNA sequencing was performed at Eurofins Analytical Services India Pvt Ltd using Sanger sequencing method. Three clones were sequenced using both the vector-specific primers (T7 FP/RP) to ensure the correctness of AR sequence.

5.4. Heterologous expression of *DnAR* in *E. coli* Rosetta

Plasmid pET28a-*DnAR* was transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta (expression host) competent cells by heat shock. One of the colonies was inoculated into LB medium for preparing glycerol stocks and pre culture. Two percent of inoculum from pre culture was transferred to the main culture and incubated till OD₆₀₀ reached 0.7–0.8. After that, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.3 mM for induction. LB medium containing 34 µg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol and 50 µg ml⁻¹ kanamycin was used for pre and main cultures. The pre culture was incubated at 37 °C, 180 rpm for 12 h. The main culture was incubated at 37 °C, 180 rpm until induction, after the induction temperature was reduced to 30 °C and kept for 8 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8000×g for 5 min at 4 °C, resuspended in the lysis buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] and were then lysed by sonication (Sonic Vibra-cell (USA), 1 cm diameter probe, 37% vibration amplitude, pulse 2 s on/5 s off for 5 min, 20 ml cell suspension at 4 °C). The supernatant and pellet fractions were separated by centrifugation at 10 000×g for 20 min at 4 °C. Supernatant from sonication was directly taken whereas pellet was resuspended in 4% SDS (same volume as supernatant), heated at 95 °C for 5 min and then taken for checking expression by SDS-PAGE.³⁵

5.5. Purification of recombinant *DnAR*

The soluble fraction (10 ml) was loaded onto a Ni²⁺ affinity column (2 ml Ni-NTA agarose), previously equilibrated with Tris-buffer saline (TBS) (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 200 mM

NaCl) containing 10 mM imidazole and allowed to pass through at a flow rate of ~0.25 ml min⁻¹. The column was washed with 50 bed volumes of TBS containing 20 mM imidazole, at a flow rate of ~1 ml min⁻¹ and bound protein was then eluted by a gradient of 100, 200 and 300 mM imidazole in TBS buffer (each 5 ml) at a flow rate of ~0.25 ml min⁻¹. All of the fractions (flow through, 20, 100, 200, 300 mM imidazole elutions) flowing through the column were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The fractions containing pure *DnAR* (100, 200 mM imidazole elutions) were collected and subjected to dialysis against 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) and stored in -80 °C until use. Protein concentrations were estimated by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay with bovine serum albumin as a standard.³⁶

5.6. Aldose reductase assay and kinetic studies

Unless stated otherwise, the recombinant *DnAR* activity was determined spectrophotometrically (Perkin Elmer Lambda 25 UV/VIS spectrophotometer, USA) by monitoring the change in A₃₄₀ in 500 µl reaction mixture containing 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.3 mM NADPH as a cofactor and 300 mM xylose (a predominant pentose in lignocellulose) as a substrate at 45 °C. The change in absorbance at 340 nm was measured continuously at least for 1 min at every 1 s time point. It was ensured by the addition of a specific amount of enzyme that gives a linear curve. NADPH molar extinction coefficient 6.22 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was used for calculation of enzyme activity. One enzyme unit (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme that caused the oxidation of 1 µmol of NADPH per minute. Heat inactivated enzyme and 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) were taken for measuring auto hydrolysis of NADPH in the standard reaction mixture and considered as a reference. The kinetic parameters of cosubstrate NADPH were determined by measuring the initial velocities at constant xylose (160 mM) and different NADPH concentrations (0.01–0.6 mM). The substrate kinetic parameters were determined at constant NADPH (0.3 mM determined from previous experiments) and different substrate concentrations (5–800 mM). Sugar assayed were D-xylose, L-arabinose, D-ribose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose and D-mannose, whereas non-sugar carbonyl compounds assayed were gloxal, isophthalaldehyde, benzaldehyde, pyridine 3-carboxyaldehyde and 3-nitro benzaldehyde. The obtained data were used to calculate the kinetic constants by fitting for the Michaelis-Menten equation using GraphPad Prism 5.

5.7. Effect of pH, temperature and metal ions

The effect of pH on enzyme activity was determined at 30 °C by assaying at a pH range from 4 to 10 using standard buffers namely, 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.0–5.5); 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.0–8.0); 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5); and 50 mM glycine-NaOH (pH 9.0–10). To study the effect of temperature, the experiment was performed at optimal pH (determined from pH effect studies) and the enzyme assays were performed, at various temperatures ranging between 10 and 60 °C. The effect of divalent metal ions (Ca²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ni²⁺ and Zn²⁺) was studied at different concentrations of metal ions (0.5,



1 and 2 mM) at optimal conditions and residual activities of recombinant *DnAR* were determined.

5.8. Salt tolerance and stability

The effect of salts on *DnAR* activity was determined by measuring the enzyme activity in the presence of different concentrations of NaCl and KCl up to 2 M at standard assay conditions. The enzyme kinetics using D-xylose as substrate was also performed in the presence of 0.5 M and 1.0 M NaCl/KCl respectively. The obtained data were used to calculate the kinetic constants by fitting for the Michaelis–Menten equation using GraphPad Prism 5 as described above. Salt stability of *DnAR* was assessed at 30 °C by incubating enzyme in the presence of 1 and 2 M of NaCl/KCl. Aliquots of enzyme at different time points were taken and specific activities were measured under standard assay conditions. The half-life of the enzyme was calculated by considering first order deactivation kinetics as described previously.³⁷ To avoid the cumulative effect of temperature and salts, the salt stability studies were performed at 30 °C which was close to room temperature.

5.9. Far-UV CD spectra measurement in the presence of salts

The CD spectra were recorded using JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter (Easton, MD) at 25 °C with a thermostat cell holder connected to a Peltier temperature controller. The instrument was calibrated with 10-camphor sulphonic acid before scanning the samples. A cuvette of 1 mm path length was used for scanning samples at a wavelength range of 250 to 200 nm to obtain CD spectra. The scanning was done at the scan speed of 10 nm min⁻¹ with a bandwidth of 2 nm. Average of three scans was taken for analysis. CD spectra were recorded using 3 μM protein in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at 0–2 M salt (NaCl/KCl), whereas the thermal unfolding of *DnAR* was analyzed from 20 to 80 °C using 6 μM protein in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at 0–2 M salt (NaCl/KCl). For determination of the thermal unfolding, the change in molar ellipticity at 222 nm was recorded continuously while the temperature was increased at the rate of 1.0 °C min⁻¹. Protein fraction unfolded was calculated using Gibbs–Helmholtz equation [$\Delta G = \Delta H (1 - T/T_m) - \Delta C_p((T_m - T) + T \ln(T/T_m))$] where G is the Gibbs free energy, H the enthalpy, T the absolute temperature, T_m the T at which fraction folded is 0.5 and C_p the heat capacity] upon fitting the change of CD at a single wavelength as a function of temperature.³⁸ The obtained data were analyzed using Boltzmann curve fitting function of Origin Lab software (v8.0773).

5.10. Homology modelling

A homology model of *DnAR* was built with SWISS-MODEL (v8.05) automated mode³⁹ using the crystal structure of *C. tenuis* aldose (xylose) reductase (*CtXR*) bound to NADPH (PDB ID: 1K8C) as a template.⁴⁰ The surface electrostatic potentials of *DnAR* model and *CtXR* crystal structure were calculated using Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solver (APBS) tool⁴¹ in Chimera molecular modeling system with the PQR file generated using PDB2PQR online server.⁴²

5.11. Nucleotide sequence accession number

The nucleotide sequence of AR gene from *D. nepalensis* has been submitted to GenBank under the accession number KT239024.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the research grant from Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India (BT/PR6157/PID/6/701/2012). BP acknowledges Indian Council of Medical Research for his fellowship. Authors acknowledge DST-FIST facility at IIT Madras for CD spectroscopy.

References

- 1 H. Andleeb, Y. Tehseen, S. J. Ali Shah, I. Khan, J. Iqbal and S. Hameed, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 77688–77700.
- 2 R. D. Mindnich and T. M. Penning, *Hum. Genomics*, 2009, **3**, 362–370.
- 3 P. F. Kador, M. Wyman and P. J. Oates, *Prog. Retinal Eye Res.*, 2016, **54**, 1–29.
- 4 S. G. Mundree, A. Whittaker, J. A. Thomson and J. M. Farrant, *Planta*, 2000, **211**, 693–700.
- 5 Z. Han, X. Hao, Z. Gao, B. Ma and C. Zhu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 12761–12769.
- 6 S. Parpart, A. Petrosyan, S. J. Ali Shah, R. A. Adewale, P. Ehlers, T. Grigoryan, A. F. Mkrtchyan, Z. Z. Mardiyan, A. J. Karapetyan, A. H. Tsaturyan, A. S. Saghyan, J. Iqbal and P. Langer, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 107400–107412.
- 7 W. Neuhauser, D. Haltrich, K. D. Kulbe and B. Nidetzky, *Biochem. J.*, 1997, **15**, 683–692.
- 8 S. S. da Silva and A. K. Chandal, *D-Xylitol*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1st edn, 2012.
- 9 I. S. M. Rafiqul, A. M. M. Sakinah and A. W. Zularisam, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2015, **176**, 1071–1083.
- 10 S. Ur-Rehman, Z. Mushtaq, T. Zahoor, A. Jamil and M. A. Murtaza, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2015, **55**, 1514–1528.
- 11 W. Dieters, Xylitol production from D-xylose, Swiss Patent, 560, 175, 1975.
- 12 H. Jain and S. Mulay, *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2014, **65**, 135–143.
- 13 S. N. Gummadi and D. S. Kumar, *Res. J. Microbiol.*, 2006, **1**, 152–159.
- 14 S. Kumar and S. N. Gummadi, *Extremophiles*, 2009, **13**, 793–805.
- 15 S. Kumar and S. N. Gummadi, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **89**, 1405–1415.
- 16 S. Kumar and S. N. Gummadi, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 9710–9717.
- 17 S. M. Kelly, T. J. Jess and N. C. Price, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2005, **1751**, 119–139.
- 18 S. Krahulec, B. Petschacher, M. Wallner, K. Longus, M. Klimacek and B. Nidetzky, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2010, **9**, 16.
- 19 G. Guirimand, K. Sasaki, K. Inokuma, T. Bamba, T. Hasunuma and A. Kondo, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **100**, 3477–3487.



- 20 M. G. Wiebe, Y. Nygård, M. Oja, M. Andberg, L. Ruohonen, A. Koivula, M. Penttilä and M. Toivari, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2015, **99**, 9439–9447.
- 21 L. Venkateswar Rao, J. K. Goli, J. Gentela and S. Koti, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, **213**, 299–310.
- 22 M. H. Kang, H. Ni and T. W. Jeffries, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2003, **3**, 105–108.
- 23 F. Zhang, D. Qiao, H. Xu, C. Liao, S. Li and Y. Cao, *J. Microbiol.*, 2009, **47**, 351–357.
- 24 J.-K. Lee, B.-S. Koo and S.-Y. Kim, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2003, **69**, 6179–6188.
- 25 I. Cecconi, M. Moroni, P. G. Vilardo, M. Dal Monte, P. Borella, G. Rastelli, L. Costantino, D. Garland, D. Carper, J. M. Petrash, A. Del Corso and U. Mura, *Biochemistry*, 1998, **37**, 14167–14174.
- 26 G. Graziano and A. Merlino, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2014, **1844**, 850–858.
- 27 P. L. Wejse, K. Ingvorsen and K. K. Mortensen, *Extremophiles*, 2003, **7**, 423–431.
- 28 C. Liang, Y. Xue, M. Fioroni, F. Rodríguez-Roperro, C. Zhou, U. Schwaneberg and Y. Ma, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **89**, 315–326.
- 29 Y. Su, W. Li, W. Zhu, R. Yu, B. Fei, T. Wen, Y. Cao and D. Qiao, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **9**, 4954–4965.
- 30 X.-J. Chen, M.-J. Wu, Y. Jiang, Y. Yang and Y.-B. Yan, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, **75**, 418–425.
- 31 S. Thaivanich and A. Incharoensakdi, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2007, **23**, 85–92.
- 32 X. Jiang, Y. Huo, H. Cheng, X. Zhang, X. Zhu and M. Wu, *Extremophiles*, 2012, **16**, 427–435.
- 33 L. Premkumar, H. M. Greenblatt, U. K. Bageshwar, T. Savchenko, I. Gokhman, J. L. Sussman and A. Zamir, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**, 7493–7498.
- 34 J. Sambrook and D. W. Russell, *Molecular cloning*, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y., 3rd edn, 2001.
- 35 D. W. Cleveland, S. G. Fischer, M. W. Kfracfmer and U. K. Laemmli, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1977, **252**, 1102–1106.
- 36 P. K. Smith, R. I. Krohn, G. T. Hermanson, A. K. Mallia, F. H. Gartner, M. D. Provenzano, E. K. Fujimoto, N. M. Goeke, B. J. Olson and D. C. Klenk, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1985, **150**, 76–85.
- 37 G. S. N. Naidu and T. Panda, *Biochem. Eng. J.*, 2003, **16**, 57–67.
- 38 N. J. Greenfield, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007, **1**, 2527–2535.
- 39 K. Arnold, L. Bordoli, J. Kopp and T. Schwede, *Bioinformatics*, 2006, **22**, 195–201.
- 40 K. L. Kavanagh, M. Klimacek, B. Nidetzky and D. K. Wilson, *Biochemistry*, 2002, **41**, 8785–8795.
- 41 N. A. Baker, D. Sept, S. Joseph, M. J. Holst and J. A. McCammon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2001, **98**, 10037–10041.
- 42 T. J. Dolinsky, P. Czodrowski, H. Li, J. E. Nielsen, J. H. Jensen, G. Klebe and N. A. Baker, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2007, **35**, W522–W525.

