Biosilicate scaffolds for bone regeneration: influence of introducing SrO
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Strontium (Sr), a bioactive element in natural bone, plays a crucial role in stimulating bone remodeling and inhibiting bone resorption. In this study, strontium oxide (SrO) was incorporated into biosilicate (Mg2SiO4/CaSiO3) scaffolds to improve the biological properties. The results revealed that SrO significantly enhanced cell adhesion, proliferation and the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity of the scaffolds. It could be explained that Sr2+ can stimulate osteoblast-related gene expression (osteocalcin, type I collagen, Runx2, etc.) and inhibit osteoclast differentiation. Moreover, the doped scaffolds could degrade continuously and form a dense apatite layer in SBF (simulated body fluid). Besides, the doped scaffolds possessed stable mechanical properties. However, excessive SrO led to a decrease in the strength of the scaffolds, which could be ascribed to the occurrence of unit cell volume expansion caused by the substitution of Sr2+ for Ca2+ in the CaSiO3 lattice. Our research indicated that the SrO doped biosilicate scaffolds have great potential for application in bone regeneration.

1. Introduction

Forsterite (Mg2SiO4), a Mg-containing silicate bioceramic, has shown great potential as a biomaterial for bone repair/regeneration owing to its suitable cytocompatibility and mechanical properties.1–3 Meanwhile, Si and Mg are involved in the bone calcification process.4,5 However, the poor degradability and apatite formability limited its further application.5–7 Wollastonite (CaSiO3), a Ca-containing silicate bioceramic, possesses fast degradability and excellent apatite formability, which can be used to improve the bioactivity of forsterite. Furthermore, it can also improve the mechanical properties of forsterite acting as a filling reinforcement phase.8–12 Nevertheless, the osteogenic capacity of the composite silicates was insufficient to meet the requirements of clinical applications. Incorporation of trace minerals like Na, Mg, Ti, Ag, Zn and Sr in biomaterial can significantly improve the biological properties.13–16

Strontium (Sr) as a bioactive trace element in human bone has drawn significant scientific interest due to its dual effects of stimulating new bone formation and inhibiting bone resorption in the bone remodeling process. It was because Sr not only can stimulate osteoblast-related gene expression and the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity but also can inhibit the differentiation of osteoclasts.17–21 Stevens et al. developed Sr-substituted bioactive glass (Sr-BG), created a biomaterial with the improved osteoblast proliferation and ALP activity for bone repair/regeneration.22,23 Bose et al. found that the SrO doping in β-TCP promoted the cell attachment, proliferation, ALP activity and expression levels of osteocalcin and type I collagen.24 Lu et al. prepared strontium-containing hydroxyapatite (Sr-HA) cement and found that the presence of Sr could significantly stimulate bone formation and osteoporotic bone regeneration.25

In addition to chemical composition, an interconnected porous structure in scaffolds can mimic architecture and function of the extracellular matrix, as well as provide a pathway for intercellular communication, the exchange of nutrient and waste and ingrowth of cell and vascular.26–27 Many methods including phase separation, electospinning, gel casting and porogen techniques had been utilized to prepare porous scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications.28–30 However, these methods were difficult to precisely control the pore size and porosity, which directly influence scaffolds’ interconnectivity, mechanical strength, and in vivo bone-formation ability. Selective laser sintering (SLS) constructed
the scaffolds layer-by-layer as designed and could offer precise control over the pore size, porosity and interconnectivity as well as the accurate external geometries. These features make SLS an ideal technology for scaffolds fabrication.

In this study, SrO was introduced into Mg2SiO4/CaSiO3 scaffolds by SLS to improve the biological properties. The influences of SrO on cellular response, degradability and bioactivity were studied by using MG-63 cells culture and SBF immersion. Meanwhile, microstructure and mechanical properties of the biosilicates scaffolds were also evaluated.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Mg2SiO4 with a mean particle size of about 5 μm was derived from Alfa Aesar China Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). β-CaSiO3 with a diameter ranging from 0.2–2 μm was provided by Kunshan Huaiqiao New Materials Co., Ltd. (Kunshan, China). SrO powder with particle size ranging from 5 to 20 μm was supplied by Changsha Weixi New Materials Technology Co., Ltd. (Changsha, China). All the above reagents were of analytical grade (>99.9% purity).

Mg2SiO4 and CaSiO3 powders were mixed at an 8:2 mass ratio, and then ultrasonicated in ethanol for 30 min. Subsequently, the mixtures were mixed with anhydrous ethanol and ZrO2 balls for 2 h of wet ball milling grinding at 30 rpm. Next, the mixed powders were dried at 70 °C for 12 h in drying cabinet. After that, a certain mass ratio of SrO (0 wt%, 0.5 wt%, 1.0 wt%, 2.0 wt% and 3.0 wt%) were added into the dried powders and followed by 30 min of hand grinding. Finally, a series of SrO doped Mg2SiO4/CaSiO3 mixed powders, which were named 0SrO, 0.5SrO, 1SrO, 2SrO and 3SrO, were produced for scaffold fabrication.

2.2 Scaffold fabrication

Scaffolds were fabricated by using SLS (selected laser sintering) technique. The SLS system was consisted of a 100 W CO2 laser, an x–y–z motion sintering platform and corresponding control system. During sintering, the laser beam selectively sintered the powders layer by layer based on the cross-sectional area of the designed scaffold. All the sintering parameters were maintained constant with the following values: laser spot diameter of 1.0 mm, scan line interval of 3.5 mm, layer thickness of 0.1–0.2 mm, laser power at 8.5 W and scan speed at 100 mm min⁻¹.

2.3 Characterization

The phase analysis of the SrO doped and undoped scaffolds was performed by X-ray diffraction (Rigaku Co., Tokyo, Japan) with copper target, Kx X-ray at 30 kV and 35 mA, and Ni filter. Each XRD data was obtained over the 2θ range of 10–80° at a step-length of 0.02° and a step-interval of 0.2 s. Surface morphologies of the scaffolds were visualized under SEM (Tescan Mira3) equipped with EDS (energy dispersive spectroscopy). Prior to SEM observation, all scaffold samples were polished, thermally etched for 30 min at 1250 °C and platinum-sputtered (JFC-1600, Jeol Co., Japan).

Compression strength of the scaffolds (18.5 × 18.5 × 6.5 mm³) with various SrO dopants was tested under an electron universal testing machine (Shanghai Zhuoji Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) with a crosshead speed at 0.5 mm min⁻¹. The stress–strain data were collected and recorded until compression fracture occurred, and the ultimate compressive strength was calculated as: maximum load/original cross-sectional area (five scaffolds were tested). Fracture toughness (KIC) was tested on a Vickers microindenter (Shanghai Taming Optical Instrument Co., China). A 4.98 N load, derived from a pyramid shaped diamond indenter, was loaded on polished surface of the scaffolds. And the load lasted for 10 seconds to induce indentations and cracks. Then the ultimate fracture toughness could be calculated according to the following eqn (1): \[
K_{IC} = 0.0824P \times C^{-3/2}
\]
where \(P\) is the applied indentation load (N) and \(C\) is half of diagonal crack length (m). The average values were measured from 10 indents on each scaffold.

2.4 Mineralization and degradation

To evaluate the bioactivity and degradation of the scaffolds, SBF with inorganic ion components approximately equal to those of human blood plasma was prepared according to Kokubo et al. method. All the scaffolds were immersed in SBF (pH = 7.4) with a solid/liquid ratio of 0.1 cm³ mL⁻¹ in a thermostat (37 °C) for 7 and 14 days. During the process of the immersion, the SBF solution was refreshed once every two days. At each predetermined time, the scaffolds were taken out, carefully rinsed with double distilled water, dried in drying oven at 70 °C and then weighted. The weight loss percentage was calculated as: \(100 \times \left[\frac{\text{weight loss/weight before immersion}}{\text{weight before immersion}}\right]\). In addition, the immersed scaffolds were also platinum sputtered and observed using SEM equipped with EDS to determine the formation of the apatite. Moreover, the surface chemical functional groups and phase composition of the scaffolds were examined by using FTIR (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy) (Thermo Electron Scientific Instruments, USA) and XRD to further determine the formation of apatite. Besides, the ion concentrations of Ca, Sr, Mg, P, and Si ions in SBF after the immersion of the 1.0 wt% SrO doped scaffolds were measured (n = 3) by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES; Perkin Elmer, Optima 5300DV, USA).

2.5 Cell culture

The cytocompatibility assessment of the scaffolds was performed by using MG-63 osteoblast-like cell (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) were purchased from Cellgro-Mediatech Inc. (Manassas, VA, USA), Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), calcine-AM and MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). And the other cell culture related reagents were obtained from Life Technologies (Eggenstein, Germany).

The cell line was cultured in DMEM containing 10 vol% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics at
37 °C. Before cell seeding, all the scaffolds were cleaned using 70% ethyl alcohol solution, and washed again with PBS after 30 min of ultraviolet light sterilization. After that, cells were seeded at a density of 35,000 cells per well onto the scaffolds and cultured in 12-well plates for 1, 3, and 5 days. The media were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere and refreshed once every two days. Three parallel samples were fostered for each group. At predetermined culture time point, the scaffolds were taken out, rinsed with PBS and fixed with modified Karnovsky's fixative in PBS for one hour. Following this, the scaffolds were successively dehydrated in graded ethanol (70%, 80%, 90%, 100%). Finally, the scaffolds were platinum-sputtered and visualized under SEM to examine the cell–scaffold interactions.

Additionally, fluorescence technique was applied to investigate the cell–scaffold interactions. After culture, cell culture media were removed, cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in PBS containing 4 mM calcein AM for 30 minutes at 37 °C. After staining, the cells were washed twice again with PBS. Finally, the cells were visualized under confocal microscope.

MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay was performed to evaluate cell viability and proliferation. At indicated time points, 200 mL DMEM with supplement 20 mL 5 mg mL⁻¹ MTT solution was added to each scaffold and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h to form MTT formazan. After that, the medium was removed, and 200 mL dimethyl sulfoxide was added into each cell to dissolve the formazan crystals. Finally, the optical density (OD) was recorded by using an enzyme immunosorbent assay reader at 570 nm. The average OD value was obtained from three scaffold data for each group.

Furthermore, to evaluate the differentiation capability of the MG-63 cell after cultured on the scaffolds. ALP staining was performed to evaluate the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity. At indicated time points, the adherent cells were removed, washed three times with PBS, and mixed with cell lysis buffer containing 0.1% Triton X, b for 2 h of incubation at 4 °C. After that, the supernatant was tested for ALP activity of the MG-63 cells by an enzyme immunosorbent assay reader that, the medium was removed, and 200 mL dimethyl sulfoxide was added into each cell to dissolve the formazan crystals. Finally, the optical density (OD) was recorded by using an enzyme immunosorbent assay reader at 570 nm. The average OD value was obtained from three scaffold data for each group.

Contrarily, 2D substrates only provide plane contact with ECM in which cell only surface anchored and the contact between neighboring cells was also limited to the flat edges. Therefore, the scaffolds with 3D architecture in this study were more favourable for bone regeneration.

The XRD spectra of the SrO doped and undoped biosilicates scaffolds were shown in Fig. 1c. A major phase of Mg₂SiO₄ and a second phase of β-CaSiO₃ were detected. No significant diffraction peaks of SrO were detected due to the low amount of the SrO dopant. However, there was a slight shift in peak positions of β-CaSiO₃ to lower 2θ value for doped scaffolds (Fig. 1d). This might because the substitution of the slightly larger ionic radius of Sr²⁺ (1.12 Å) for Ca²⁺ (1.00 Å) in the CaSiO₃ lattice led to increase in the unit cell parameters.

3.2 Microstructure and mechanical strength

SEM micrographs of the scaffolds composed of distinct Mg₂SiO₄ grain appearance with the filling phase of CaSiO₃ between Mg₂SiO₄ grains (Fig. 2a–d). EDS pointed analysis indicated that the strontium content in the filling phase was higher than that in the Mg₂SiO₄ phase (Fig. 2e and d). And EDS map showed that the distribution of Sr was consistent with the distribution of Ca, which was opposite with the distribution of Mg (Fig. 3). Therefore, SrO was distributed in the filling phase as sintering additive. For the 0.5SrO scaffolds and 1SrO scaffolds, the filling phase slightly increased and the grain size of Mg₂SiO₄ decreased (Fig. 2b and c). The substitution of Sr²⁺ for Ca²⁺ in the CaSiO₃ lattice led to the unit cell volume expansion and density decrease of CaSiO₃, which would cause the expansion of the filling phase. While, for the 2SrO scaffolds, the further expanded filling phase led to the formation of loose continuous filling phase, which separated the Mg₂SiO₄ grains from each other (Fig. 2d).

The mechanical properties of the scaffolds were shown in Fig. 4. The compressive strength and fracture toughness
remained stable as the SrO content increased from 0 wt% to 1.0 wt%. The 0.5SrO scaffolds and 1SrO scaffolds showed a similar dynamic stress–strain curve and approximate peak stress with the 0SrO scaffolds. The compressive strength and fracture toughness of the 1SrO scaffolds reached 39.55 ± 1.48 MPa and 2.38 ± 0.06 MPa m$^{1/2}$. While the mechanical strength obviously decreased when further increasing the SrO doping to 2.0 wt%. The results were consistent with the above microstructure results. On one hand, SrO acted as sintering additive could promote densification and refine grain during the sintering process (Fig. 2b). On the other hand, Sr$^{2+}$ substituted for Ca$^{2+}$ in the CaSiO$_3$ lattice, which caused evident volume expansion and density decrease of the filling phase of CaSiO$_3$ (Fig. 2c). This, in turn, will affect the filling effect and hinder the densification. Hence there were no significant influence on the strength and toughness of the scaffolds when the SrO content was within 1.0 wt%. However, when SrO exceeded 1.0 wt%, excess SrO distributed in the filling phase and more Sr$^{2+}$ substituted for Ca$^{2+}$ in the CaSiO$_3$ lattice. As a consequence, a loose continuous filling phase was formed, which separated the Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ grains from each other and led to the decrease in densification and mechanical properties of the scaffolds (Fig. 2d).

### 3.3 Degradability and bioactivity

For bone scaffolds, degradability is an indispensable capability to keep pace with the process of new bone formation. Both the SrO doped and undoped scaffolds constitutively degraded in SBF (Fig. 5). Their degradation rate slowed due to the apatite formation with the immersion time increased. The SrO doped scaffolds showed little more weight loss than that of undoped scaffolds after the same time of immersion. This might because the substitution of Sr$^{2+}$ for Ca$^{2+}$ in the CaSiO$_3$ lattice led to unit cell volume expansion and the formation of a loose structure which promote the degradation of CaSiO$_3$ in the scaffolds. Therefore, to some extent the 1 wt% SrO doping might slightly enhance the degradability.
The apatite formation on the 1SrO and 0SrO scaffolds after immersion in SBF was shown in Fig. 6. A lot of worm-like apatite particles formed on both the 1SrO and 0SrO scaffolds after 7 days of immersion (Fig. 6b–g). As the soaking time extended to 14 days, a dense sponge-like apatite layer fully covered on the scaffolds surface (Fig. 6c–i). Strong peaks of P (15.27 wt%), Ca (26.21 wt%) and O (43.99 wt%) were detected (Fig. 6h), confirming that the sponge-like layer was apatite layer. Besides, new absorption bands correlated with the O–H group (2918 and 2847 cm\(^{-1}\)) and P–O bending (1270 and 983 cm\(^{-1}\)) in hydroxyapatite were detected after 1SrO scaffolds were soaked in SBF (Fig. 7).

The bone-like apatite can biologically bond with living bone tissue, which can forecast the in vivo bone bioactivity of the scaffolds. This apatite formation mechanism in SBF was summarized in Fig. 9 and can be described as follows:43,44

1. **Stage 1**: dissolution of CaSiO\(_3\) in SBF gave rise to rapid exchange of released Ca\(^{2+}\) with H\(_3\)O\(^+\) or H\(^+\) from the solution.

\[
\text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Si}(	ext{OH})_4 + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{H}^+.
\]

2. **Stage 2**: with the degradation of CaSiO\(_3\), loss of soluble silica in the form of Si(OH)\(_4\) in the solution, resulting from breaking of Si–O–Si bonds and formation of Si–OH (silanol group) at the scaffold interface.

\[
\text{Si–O–Si} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Si}(	ext{OH})_3^- + \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{OH}^-.
\]

3. **Stage 3**: polycondensation of silanol groups formed hydrated silica gel. This also led to the depletion of alkalis cations.

4. **Stage 4**: the hydrated silica gel layer was negatively charged and could adsorb Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4^{3–}\) in the solution. Meanwhile, the Ca\(^{2+}\) and OH\(^–\) ions on dissolved surface continually increased to exceed the solubility of the apatite in SBF. Both the factors enhanced the driving force to induce the nucleation of amorphous calcium phosphate.

\[
10\text{Ca}^{2+} + 6\text{HPO}_4^{2–} + 8\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]

5. **Stage 5**: the apatite crystallized from amorphous phase to stable phases and then spontaneously grew into apatite layer.
the amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP) at the fourth and the final formation of the apatite layer at the fifth stage. This was also consistent with the above results in which apatite particles formed after 7 days of immersion (Fig. 6b–g) and dense apatite layer covered on the scaffolds surface after 14 days of immersion (Fig. 6c–i). Summarizing, the variation of ions concentration (Ca, Si, and P) in SBF strongly supported the formation process of apatite (Fig. 6 and 9), this further verified the bioactivity of the SrO doped scaffolds.

### 3.4 Cell-scaffold interactions

MG-63 cells cultured on the scaffolds were imaged to investigate cell attachment, growth and spreading (Fig. 11). The 1SrO scaffolds showed a better cell adhesion than that of 0SrO scaffolds after one day of culture. After three days of culture, cells on the 1SrO scaffolds exhibited a round shape with abundant lamellipodia and filopodia extensions. And these cellular extensions tended to extend to neighboring cells, indicating strong attachment to the substrate and good intercellular interaction. While, the cells on 0SrO scaffolds exhibited fewer filopodia, showing poor cell spreading and intercellular connections. After five days, confluent cells layers with rough surface covered on the entire surface of the 1SrO scaffolds, suggesting multilayer proliferation of MG-63 cells. In contrast, less area of 0SrO scaffolds surface was covered by confluent cells layers.

Fluorescent images of live cells through staining a...
cell density increased approximately 140% after five days of culture compared with one day of culture. And cell viability and proliferation on 1SrO scaffolds was significantly higher (approximately twice and 1.5 times on OD value, respectively) than that on 0SrO and 0.5SrO scaffolds after five days of culture. These results indicated the beneficial effects of SrO on promoting cell proliferation.

Owing to ALP expression was related to early cell differentiation, the differentiation of MG-63 cell on the 1SrO and 0SrO scaffolds was also investigated by ALP staining after culture. As shown in Fig. 14, the cells cultured on the 1SrO scaffolds presented highly intensive ALP staining as compared with the 0SrO after three and five days of culture. Moreover, it was clear that the cells cultured on the 1SrO scaffolds showed affluent well-developed filopodia which extended to the neighboring cell. Cell differentiation ability was also assessed by quantitatively measuring the ALP activity of MG-63 cells (Fig. 15). Both 1SrO and 0.5SrO scaffolds showed a significantly enhanced ALP expression as the prolonging of immersion time, in which ALP activity increased approximately 150% after five days of culture compared with one day of culture. And the ALP activity on 1SrO scaffolds was also significantly higher (approximately 177% and 135% at fifth day, respectively) than that on 0SrO and 0.5SrO scaffolds. These results revealed that introduction of SrO promoted the ALP expression of the MG-63 cells, indicating significantly improved differentiation ability with the increasing of SrO content in the scaffolds.

For bone scaffolds, favorable cellular responses like cell adhesion, proliferation and differentiation were crucial for realizing bone repair and regeneration. The above cell culture studies demonstrated that SrO doping in Mg2SiO4/CaSiO3 scaffolds did effectively enhance cell adhesion, proliferation and differentiation toward faster bone tissue regeneration. This could be attributed to the dual effect of Sr2+ on stimulating osteoblast-related gene expression and inhibiting osteoclasts differentiation. Some researches showed that strontium could activate multiple signaling pathways in bone cells. Notably, activation of the calcium sensing receptor (CaSR) and the indicated downstream pathways such as (NFATc)/Wnt signaling and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) which would promote osteoblastogenesis (ALP, type I collagen and osteocalcin, etc.) expression and bone formation.46,47 For example, Marie et al. studied the actions of strontium on bone metabolism and demonstrated that strontium modulates bone cell recruitment and activity through modulation of the CaSR, ERK1/2-MAPK and NFATc/Wnt signaling pathways.48 Also, the effects of Sr2+ on cell behaviours were dose-dependent. In this study, the Sr ion concentration released...
from the 1SrO scaffolds in SBF increased linearly at a rate of about 0.5 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ at the first week, and up to 2.526 mg L⁻¹ at the eighth day. After that, the Sr ion concentration reached a relatively stable level (Fig. 10). Summarily, the release of Sr ions in SBF showed sustained release kinetics without burst release and afterwards reached a near steady state (2.0–3.0 mg L⁻¹), thereby heralding long-term sustainable release. E. Canalis et al. found that osteoblast precursor proliferation and extracellular matrix proteins expression were stimulated in the presence of about 10⁻³ mM Sr²⁺. S. C. Verberckmoes et al. found that osteoblast-mediated bone formation was improved by about 2.0–5.0 µg mL⁻¹ (2.3–5.7 × 10⁻³ mM) Sr²⁺. The top-limit of Sr ions concentration released from the scaffolds in SBF (2.85 mg L⁻¹) was within the above reported safety level of Sr ions. The results indicated that the SrO doped scaffolds in this study could steadily release Sr ions at a safety level and effectively stimulate cell proliferation and differentiation.

In addition to the Sr-mediated stimulation of the cell response, Si and Ca ions released with the degradation of the scaffolds could also influence the cell behavior. It was reported that Si and Ca ions were beneficial to the proliferation and differentiation of bone-forming cells. Meanwhile, they also played a crucial role in the formation of bioactive bone-like apatite. Such bone-like apatite could provide a favorable environment for promoting cell adhesion to the scaffolds due to its similar chemical compositions to that of natural bone. As expected, cells exhibited favourable adhesion and proliferation behaviours on the scaffolds compared with the blank group. Notably, the SrO doped scaffolds showed effectively enhanced cell adhesion, proliferation and ALP activity. Therefore, the good biological effects could be the synergistic stimulation of these bioactive ions.

4. Conclusions

SrO effectively improved biological properties of the biosilicates scaffolds via promoting cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation. Moreover, SrO doped scaffolds continuously degraded and formed dense bone-like apatite layer in SBF. Besides, the doped scaffolds obtained a stable mechanical strength. Their compressive strength and fracture toughness reached 39.55 ± 1.48 MPa and 2.38 ± 0.06 MPa m¹/², respectively. Therefore, this study indicated that the SrO doped biosilicates scaffolds would be a promising candidate for bone repair/regeneration.
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