


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 20677

Characterization of humic acids extracted from a lignite and interpretation for the mass spectra[†]

Chu-Fan Wang,^a Xing Fan,^{ID, *a} Fan Zhang,^a Shou-Ze Wang,^a Yun-Peng Zhao,^a Xiao-Yan Zhao,^a Wei Zhao,^a Teng-Gao Zhu,^b Jin-Li Lu^c and Xian-Yong Wei^{*a}

Humic acids obtained from a Chinese lignite *via* alkali treatment were analyzed using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and Orbitrap mass spectrometry coupled with an electrospray ion source (ESI-Orbitrap-MS). Raw coal and the corresponding residue were characterized *via* scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive spectrometry. Over 4700 heteroatom-containing compounds with wide distributions of molecular mass and unsaturation degree were detected *via* the ESI-Orbitrap-MS, and around 60 percent of the detected species were found to be oxygen-containing compounds. In addition, van Krevelen diagram and double-bond equivalent (DBE) plot were introduced to provide more structural details of the compounds. For the species only containing C, H, and O (HA_{CHO}), condensed aromatic compounds with a DBE value over 20 only contained 1 or 2 oxygen atoms. Carboxyl- and hydroxyl-containing aliphatic compounds (CHCACs) were predominant in HA_{CHO} with 5 or 6 oxygen atoms. Both the CHCACs and aromatic carboxylic acids or phenols were grouped into clusters in the van Krevelen diagram to be recognized. The introduction of a nitrogen atom to the HA_{CHO} species was based on the structures of the HA_{CHO} species, which is also indicated by the van Krevelen diagram.

Received 6th February 2017
 Accepted 19th March 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01497j
rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Lignite, which accounts for around 40% of the global coal reserves, has an extremely complex structure and composition.¹ It is regarded as an inferior fuel due to its low calorific value, high ash yield, and high contents of both moisture and organic oxygen.^{2,3} Moreover, carbon emission and acid rain mostly induced by coal burning led us to reconsider the utilization of coal in clean and highly efficient ways.^{4,5} Lignite is unsuitable for direct burning, but suitable for developing as a feedstock for value-added chemicals due to the high content of organic oxygen.⁶⁻⁸ It is reasonable to utilize lignite for non-fuel purposes and important to understand the molecular composition of the organic species present in lignite.

Among all the non-fuel utilization methods for lignite, the method acquiring humic acids plays an important role because of the high demand for humic acids. Humic acids, consisting of different humic substances derived from the degradation of

organic matter in flora and fauna, exist not only in soils, natural waters, sea sediment plants, and peat, but also in lignite and oxidized bituminous coal.^{9,10} It is a complex mixture of various acids containing substituted aromatic rings connected by various bridges, and the main oxygen-containing groups include carboxyl, alcoholic hydroxyl, and phenolic hydroxyl groups.^{11,12} Generally, humic acids were produced from lignite through sequential treatments with strong alkali and acid, which account for 10–80% of the organic matter in lignite.¹³ Peuravuori *et al.* obtained humic acids from Lakhra lignite *via* alkali separation with 2000 mL of alkaline mixture (0.5 M NaOH + 0.1 M Na₄P₂O₇, 1 : 1 in volume), followed by treatment with concentrated HCl solution.¹⁴

Understanding the characteristics of humic acids acquired from lignite at the molecular level is important for effective, clean, and value-added utilization of coal.^{15,16} Modern instrumental analyses such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR),¹⁷ scanning electron microscopy (SEM),¹⁸ and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS)¹⁹ have been applied in the characterization of the compositions of complex biomass/coal. Olivella and co-workers used analytical pyrolysis coupled with GC/MS to obtain the detailed molecular structure information of humic acids from Spanish leonardite coal.²⁰ Sulfonate and sulfate were proven to be the most occurring states of sulfur in the coal. Shi *et al.* studied Geting bituminous coal using SEM and FTIR to learn the surface morphology of raw coal/extraction residue and structural details such as chemical functional groups, respectively.¹⁸ The extracts obtained from

^aKey Laboratory of Coal Processing and Efficient Utilization (Ministry of Education), School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, China University of Mining & Technology, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, China. E-mail: fanxing@cumt.edu.cn; wei_xianyong@163.com; Tel: +86-13337938221; +86-516-83885951

^bJiangxi Key Laboratory for Mass Spectrometry and Instrumentation, East China Institute of Technology, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330013, China

^cNews Center of CUMT, China University of Mining & Technology, Jiangsu 221116, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/c7ra01497j](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra01497j)



Getting bituminous coal through sequential solvent extraction contained more aliphatic components and less aromatic compounds compared with raw coal and its extraction residue. The combination of different analytical methods can promote in-depth investigation on the composition of humic acids that originate from lignite at the molecular level.

In this study, the process of acquiring humic acids from Shengli lignite was optimized by orthogonal experiments to achieve the highest yield. Both the raw coal and reaction residue were characterized using SEM and energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS). Humic acids were analyzed by FTIR and high-resolution MS. Statistical analysis of the mass spectra revealed the composition of humic acids at the molecular level.

2 Experimental

2.1 Samples and reagents

Shengli lignite was obtained from Xilinhaote located in Inner Mongolia, China, and pulverized to pass through a 150-mesh sieve followed by drying at 85 °C for 8 h in vacuum. Table 1 shows the proximate and ultimate analyses of the dried coal sample.

Aqueous sodium hydroxide (0.21 mol L⁻¹) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (37% in weight) were used in the reaction process. The analytical grade acetone was sequentially purified by distillation using a rotary evaporator (R-134, Büchi Labor-technik AG, Flawil, Switzerland) prior to use.

2.2 Orthogonal experiment

Single-factor experiments were conducted to reveal the relationship between the yield of humic acids and a single factor while keeping the other three factors constant. The influence of each factor was obtained and is shown in Fig. S1.† Then, orthogonal experiments were designed to optimize the experimental conditions and obtain the highest yield of humic acids. This indicated that the factors affect the yield of humic acids in the following order (from high to low): dosage of sodium hydroxide, dosage of water, reaction time, and reaction temperature (shown in the ESI†).

2.3 Procedure for humic acid separation

According to the optimized experimental conditions shown in Fig. 1, 5.0 g of dried coal sample and sodium hydroxide solution (300 mL, 0.21 mol L⁻¹) were mixed in a round-bottom flask (500 mL). The flask was heated in a thermostat water bath at 60 °C

Table 1 Proximate and ultimate analyses of Shengli lignite (wt%)^a

Proximate analysis			Ultimate analysis (daf)				
<i>M_{ad}</i>	<i>A_d</i>	<i>V_{daf}</i>	C	H	N	S	O _{diff}
12.52	16.69	43.44	74.28	4.27	1.08	1.13	19.24

^a *M_{ad}* = moisture (air-dried base); *A_d* = ash (dry base, *i.e.*, moisture-free base); *V_{daf}* = volatile matter (dry and ash-free base); daf = dry and ash-free base; diff: by difference.




Fig. 1 Procedure for the separation and analyses of humic acids from Shengli lignite.

for 120 min. Solid residue (residue 1) and soluble reaction mixture (filtrate 1) were separated through filtration using a membrane filter with an average pore size of 0.45 µm. Residue 1 was sequentially rinsed by hydrochloric acid and deionized water to obtain the filtrates 2 and 3. The mixture of filtrates 1 to 3 was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid solution (0.21 mol L⁻¹) to adjust the pH value between 1 and 2, which was maintained for 12 h. Then, the mixture was centrifuged to separate the humic acid (precipitate) fraction. The yield of humic acid was 23.1%, according to eqn (1) shown in the ESI.† Table S3† shows the ultimate analysis of humic acids.

2.4 Instrumentation

SEM and EDS (Quanta 250, FEI, Hillsboro, USA) were used to characterize the morphology of the raw coal and residue treated by alkali, acid, and water, as well as the corresponding element distribution on the surface. SEM was operated in high vacuum mode with 20 kV acceleration voltage and 3.0 nm resolution. A 20 nm gold layer was coated on the surface of the coal sample for EDS analysis with 20 kV operating voltage and 10 nm operating distance.

Humic acids dissolved in acetone were analyzed using a high-resolution MS, ESI-Orbitrap-MS (LTQ Orbitrap XL, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). The MS was operated in a negative ion mode with 35 psi nebulizer pressure and 4 kV source voltage. Thermo Xcalibur Roadmap software Data Analysis 2.2 was used for peak selection and molecular formula assignment. The signal-to-noise ratio for peak detection was set to 3, and molecular formulas were assigned with a mass tolerance less than 3 ppm. The molecular formulas were limited to a maximum of 50 C, 100 H, 5 N, 10 O, and 2 S atoms.

Humic acids were also analyzed using FTIR spectroscopy (IR-560, Nicolet Co. Madison, USA). The spectra were obtained from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 FTIR analysis of humic acids

The FTIR spectrum of coal and its derivatives can be divided into four regions: 900–700 cm⁻¹ (aromatic substitution), 1800–1000 cm⁻¹ (O-containing groups), 3000–2800 cm⁻¹ (aliphatic




Fig. 2 FTIR spectrum of humic acids extracted from Shengli lignite.

structure), and 3700–3000 cm^{-1} (hydrogen bond regions).^{21,22} As shown in Fig. 2, the absorbance peak at 3198 cm^{-1} is attributed to the stretch of hydroxyl groups in the humic acids obtained from Shengli lignite. CH_2 - and CH_3 -containing structures with an absorbance band around 2927 cm^{-1} correspond to aliphatic species. The strong absorption peak at 1606 cm^{-1} is attributed to the skeletal vibration of the aromatic rings. The results indicate that humic acids have an aromatic structure and contain aliphatic side chains. The strong peak at 1750 cm^{-1}

indicates the existence of keto C=O or carboxyl C=O groups, and the broad absorbance band around 1223 cm^{-1} is attributed to the C–O stretching vibration in phenol, ether or alcohol functional groups.

Compared with humins obtained from biomass sources such as chitin, there are more aromatic rings but few N-containing compounds in the humic acids obtained from lignite according to the corresponding FTIR spectra. Chitin is rich in C–N and N–H bonds,²³ whereas there are many aromatic rings in lignite.²⁴ The distribution of chemical groups in humins was determined by the origin of the feedstock. However, humins obtained from both chitin and lignite have absorption peaks attributed to the vibrations of –OH, – CH_2 , – CH_3 , C–O, and C=O groups, suggesting widespread existence of –OH and –COOH, as well as aliphatic structures in humins.

3.2 SEM and EDS analyses of the raw coal/residues

Fig. 3 shows the morphology of the raw coal and residues after treatments with alkali, acid, and water, which were characterized by SEM. The corresponding elemental distributions on the sample surface were obtained *via* EDS and are shown in Fig. 4. From Fig. 4(a), it was observed that the major elemental compositions on the surface of raw coal were C and O, with minor Al and Ca attributed to clay minerals such as kaolinite, illite, and smectite, or carbonates such as calcite and siderite.^{25,26} After the treatment of the alkali, parts of humic




Fig. 3 SEM images of (a) raw coal, (b) alkali extraction residue, (c) acid washed residue, and (d) water washed residue.




Fig. 4 EDS spectra of (a) raw coal, (b) alkali extraction residue, (c) acid washed residue, and (d) water washed residue; ND = not detected.

acids near the surface were removed, leaving a coarse surface (Fig. 3(b)). As shown in Fig. 4(b), Na was concentrated on the surface, which might exist in the form of $-\text{ONa}$ and $-\text{COONa}$ crystalline structures originating from the reactions between $-\text{OH}/-\text{COOH}$ of coal molecules and introduced Na^+ . Moreover, some protuberant needle-like crystalline material on the surface, as shown in Fig. 3(b), might be the $-\text{ONa}$ and $-\text{COONa}$ substances. Sulfur was detected on the residue surface by EDS after treatment with alkali. There was no introduction of sulfur during the treatment. The possible reason was that alkali treatment induced removal of humic acids close to the surface and exposed the interior parts containing sulfur. Alkali treatment is conducive to a coal desulfurization reaction.^{27–29} The surface of the residue became smooth (Fig. 3(c)), and the content of Na was obviously reduced after treatment with acid. After rinsing with an aqueous acid, ions such as Al^{3+} and Ca^{2+}

were dislodged and Cl was introduced (Fig. 4(c)). Fig. 4(d) shows that the major elements left on the surface of the residue were C and O after the sequential treatments with alkali, acid, and water, indicating that inorganic components in the raw coal were removed and organic components were left on the surface. The results acquired from SEM and EDS are consistent with the theory of supramolecular structures of humic substances proposed by Piccolo and co-workers.³⁰ Alkali destroyed the hydrogen bond, van der Waals force, and $\pi-\pi$ interaction not only within humic acids but also between humic acids and the rest of the raw coal, which provided the separation of humic acids from the raw coal.

3.3 MS analysis for humic acids

ESI-Orbitrap-MS resolved more than 4800 organic species in the humic acid extract, and heteroatom-containing compounds

account for over 99% of the identified components. Polar organic species can be efficiently ionized under an ESI mode.^{24,31} Thus, non- and low-polar compounds, such as hydrocarbons, were not readily accessible. Another reason is that hydrocarbons cannot react well with sodium hydroxide solution to generate water-soluble organic salts,³² which hampers their presence in the following humic acid extract.

Around 60% of the detected species in the humic acids were oxygen-containing compounds (OCCs) with hydroxyl, carboxyl, and other functional groups. The OCCs are divided into six groups according to the number of oxygen atoms in a molecule: OCCs₁, OCCs₂, OCCs₃, OCCs₄, OCCs₅, and OCCs₆. The number of OCCs in each group is 668, 511, 535, 413, 323, and 331, respectively. The distribution of the relative content of OCCs is shown in Fig. 5. The content of OCCs₁ is the highest, which accounts for 68.2% of the total content of OCCs. Hydroxyl and carboxyl groups are the main oxygen-containing groups in humic acids, which induce hydrogen bonding inside the raw coal.^{33,34} With the increase of oxygen atoms in OCCs, the number of oxygen-containing groups increases that strengthen the non-covalent interactions, inducing the enhancement of difficulty in separating OCCs from the raw coal.

Heteroatom-containing compounds can be classified at the molecular level according to the chemical formula. There are various classes of heteroatom-containing compounds such as O_o, O_oN_n, and O_oS_s in humic acids. The van Krevelen diagram was proposed to plot molar H/C versus O/C.³⁵ The plot can provide the atomic ratio of the compounds and display the compounds with different composition by distinct points. In recent years, the van Krevelen plot has been introduced to analyze the complex data obtained from mass spectrometry with ultrahigh resolving power.^{36,37} Double bond equivalent (DBE) is widely used to estimate the unsaturation degree of organic compounds according to the chemical formula, which can be further used to provide the proposed structural information.³⁸

The van Krevelen diagram and DBE plot for O₁ to O₆ classes are shown in Fig. 6 and 7, respectively. In the van Krevelen diagram, homologous lines such as lines A, B, and C, as shown in Fig. 6(c), consist of compounds (points in the diagram) from the same alkylation series. Compound C_cH_hO_o (c, h, and o represent the number of atoms C, H, and O, respectively) and its




Fig. 5 Relative content distribution of OCCs₁, OCCs₂, OCCs₃, OCCs₄, OCCs₅, and OCCs₆ detected in humic acids via ESI-Orbitrap-MS.






Fig. 6 van Krevelen diagram for O₁ to O₆ species. Blue and red regions are defined as CHCACs and ACAPs regions, respectively.

homologous species C_{c+n}H_{h+2n}O_o ($n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\dots$) are on the homologous line of $Y = ((h - 2c)/o)X + 2$, which intersects with the Y axis at (0, 2). When the oxygen number is constant, a higher slope of the homologous line means a higher saturation degree for the compounds on the line. Slopes of line A and line B are greater than 0; thus, the points on the line A or B represent a series of saturated chain organic species containing hydroxyl groups and/or ether bonds. Classes O₁ and O₂ have lower O/C ratios compared to O₃ to O₆ classes due to the lower

Fig. 7 Plots of DBE vs. carbon numbers for O₁ to O₆ species.

number of oxygen. Acid groups such as $-\text{COOH}$ and $-\text{OH}$ are detected in the negative ion mode; thus, classes O₁ and O₂ are more likely attributed to the compounds with $-\text{OH}$ and $-\text{COOH}$ /double $-\text{OH}$, respectively. In Fig. 7, there are 15% of compounds with a DBE value over 20 in O₁ and O₂ classes, whereas almost no such compounds were identified in other O_o classes. These high DBE compounds are mainly attributed to polycyclic

aromatics with acid groups or O-containing heterocycles such as furan. The van Krevelen diagram can also graphically distinguish the compounds into different clusters. The distance between the two points in the van Krevelen diagram represents the difference in the chemical structure between the two corresponding compounds,³⁹ such that the compounds in one cluster should have a similar structure. DiDonato *et al.* found that compounds of humic substances derived from soil can be classified into different types of molecules with similar aromaticity or unsaturation degree by a van Krevelen diagram according to the MS data.⁴⁰ In classes O₅ and O₆ (Fig. 6(c)), 40% of the unsaturated species are concentrated in the region that spans between H/C from 1.5 to 2.0 and O/C from 0.2 to 0.5. The point corresponding to the compound with the highest unsaturation degree locates in the lower left corner of this region. In Fig. 6(c), point D (C₁₉H₃₂O₅) has the highest DBE value of 4 among all the compounds in the blue region. Considering the existence of $-\text{COOH}$, there are few aromatic ring structures for compounds because the minimum DBE number is five for the compounds containing an aromatic ring plus a $-\text{COOH}$ group. Thus, O₅ and O₆ species in the blue region are mainly attributed to carboxyl- and hydroxyl-containing aliphatic compounds (CHCACs). CHCACs account for 60% of O₅ and O₆ species, and

Fig. 8 van Krevelen diagrams for O₁N to O₄N species.

the similar result was also found, as shown in Fig. 7(c). For O_3 and O_4 classes, as shown in Fig. 6(b), there is a new region that spans between H/C from 1.0 to 1.5 and O/C from 0.1 to 0.4. Compounds in this region have DBE values from 4 to 10 that are attributed to aromatic carboxylic acids or phenols (ACAPs) with 1–2 rings.

Organic compounds containing S and N in humic acids can develop high affinity for metal cations in soil and water, which has great significance to environmental chemistry in heavy metals.⁴¹ Thus, O_1N to O_4N were also studied through van Krevelen diagrams (Fig. 8) and DBE plots (Fig. 9). In Fig. 8, the points of O_1N to O_4N species are concentrated in clusters with a position similar to that of O_1 to O_4 species, as shown in Fig. 6, indicating that the introduction of a nitrogen atom into O_1N to O_4N species is based on the structures of the O_1 to O_4 species. Compared with O_3 and O_4 species, as shown in Fig. 7(b), the DBE distributions for O_3N and O_4N species are more scattered. Moreover, an increment of 1.4 in the arithmetic average DBE for O_3N and O_4N species compared with that for O_1 to O_4 species is probably attributed to the introduction of a N-containing ring. Compared with pyridine groups, pyrrole groups are easier to be

ionized *via* deprotonation in the negative ion mode.⁴² The introduction of pyrrole groups also leads to a decrement of 0.03 in the average O/C ratio for O_3N and O_4N species compared to the species shown in Fig. 6(b).

S-containing molecules are typically less polar than N-containing compounds and not easily ionized by ESI.^{43,44} There is no S_s species detected under the negative ion mode because S_s species such as thioethers and thiophenes are not efficiently ionized. However, for O_oS species, the existence of acid groups enhances the molecular polarity and further increases the ionization efficiency. As shown in Fig. S3,[†] the O_oS species have a wide distribution of DBE from 0 to 32. The arithmetic average DBE value of O_oS species is lower than that of the corresponding O_o species, which is probably due to few O_oS species with DBE over 20. Therefore, there are few condensed aromatic compounds with over 6 rings in the O_oS species.

4 Conclusions

From the SEM images and EDS spectra of the raw coal and residues after alkali treatment, it can be inferred that alkali treatment is conducive to a coal desulfurization reaction. Humic acids from Shengli lignite are rich in hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, as determined from FTIR analysis. Over 4700 heteroatom-containing compounds (oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur) with wide distributions of molecular mass and unsaturation degrees were detected because of the selectivity of ESI and the high resolving power of MS. OCCs accounts for 60% of the detected species. Based on the van Krevelen diagrams, more structural information was proposed. Condensed aromatic compounds with over 6 rings were only detected in the O_1 and O_2 classes. CHCACs are predominant species in the O_5 and O_6 classes, whereas there are both CHCACs and ACAPs with 1–2 rings in the O_3 and O_4 classes. For the O_oN species, one pyrrole group was introduced based on the structures of the corresponding O_o species.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 21676293), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China University of Mining & Technology, Grant 2015QNA23), the Fund from Jiangxi Key Laboratory for Mass Spectrometry and Instrumentation (East China Institute of Technology; Grant JXMS201504), and a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

References

- 1 V. Taseska-Gjorgievskaa, A. Dedinec, N. Markovska, J. Pop-Jordanov, G. Kanevce, G. Goldstein and S. Pye, *Therm. Sci.*, 2014, **18**, 721–730.
- 2 P. Nolan, A. Shipman and H. Rui, *Eur. Manag. J.*, 2004, **22**, 150–164.
- 3 K. Ouchi, K. Shiraishi, H. Itoh and M. Makabe, *Fuel*, 1981, **60**, 471–473.




Fig. 9 Plots of DBE vs. carbon numbers for O_1N to O_4N species.





- 4 X. S. Cong, Z. M. Zong, Z. H. Wei, Y. Li, X. Fan, Y. Zhou, M. Li, Y. P. Zhao and X. Y. Wei, *Energy Fuels*, 2014, **28**, 6745–6748.
- 5 X. Fan, Y. R. Yu, J. L. Xia, Y. P. Zhao, J. P. Cao, Y. Lu, X. M. Yue, T. G. Zhu, X. Y. Wei and J. L. Lu, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2017, **31**, 503–508.
- 6 K. Mae, H. Shindo and K. Miura, *Energy Fuels*, 2001, **15**, 611–617.
- 7 D. L. Shi, X. Y. Wei, B. Chen, Y. Lu, L. Li, Y. G. Wang, P. Li, L. Zhao, Z. M. Zong, W. Zhao, X. Fan and Y. P. Zhao, *Energy Sources, Part A*, 2013, **35**, 2166–2172.
- 8 X. Fan, M. Wang, L. Chen, S. Z. Wang, T. G. Zhu, X. Y. Wei, J. P. Cao, Y. P. Zhao, W. Zhao and R. Y. Wang, *Anal. Lett.*, 2016, **49**, 2907–2916.
- 9 M. Kurková, Z. Klika, C. Kliková and J. Havel, *Chemosphere*, 2004, **54**, 1237–1245.
- 10 H. A. Al-Abadleh, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 45785–45811.
- 11 K. Wang and B. Xing, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2005, **34**, 342–349.
- 12 R. L. Malcolm and P. MacCarthy, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1986, **20**, 904–911.
- 13 S. Nasir, T. B. Sarfaraz, T. V. Verheyen and A. Chaffee, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2011, **92**, 983–991.
- 14 J. Peuravuori, P. Žbánková and K. Pihlaja, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2006, **87**, 829–839.
- 15 A. L. Zheng, X. Fan, F. J. Liu, X. Y. Wei, S. Z. Wang, Y. P. Zhao, Z. M. Zong and W. Zhao, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2014, **117**, 60–65.
- 16 B. Chen, X. Y. Wei, Z. M. Zong, Z. S. Yang, Y. Qing and C. Liu, *Appl. Energy*, 2011, **88**, 4570–4576.
- 17 Y. P. Zhao, W. W. Zhu, X. Y. Wei, X. Fan, J. P. Cao, Y. Q. Dou, Z. M. Zong and W. Zhao, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, **142**, 504–509.
- 18 D. L. Shi, X. Y. Wei, X. Fan, Z. M. Zong, B. Chen, Y. P. Zhao, Y. G. Wang and J. P. Cao, *Energy Fuels*, 2013, **27**, 3709–3717.
- 19 Y. Lu, X. Y. Wei, Z. Wen, H. B. Chen, Y. C. Lu, Z. M. Zong, J. P. Cao, S. C. Qi, S. Z. Wang and L. C. Yu, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2014, **117**, 8–16.
- 20 M. A. Olivella, J. Del Río, J. Palacios, M. A. Vairavamurthy and F. de las Heras, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2002, **63**, 59–68.
- 21 N. E. Cooke, O. M. Fuller and R. P. Gaikwad, *Fuel*, 1986, **65**, 1254–1260.
- 22 Z. H. Qin, H. Chen, Y. J. Yan, C. S. Li, L. M. Rong and X. Q. Yang, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2015, **133**, 14–19.
- 23 X. Chen, S. L. Chew, F. M. Kerton and N. Yan, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 2204–2212.
- 24 X. Fan, C. F. Wang, C. Y. You, X. Y. Wei, L. Chen, J. P. Cao, Y. P. Zhao, W. Zhao, Y. G. Wang and J. L. Lu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 105780–105785.
- 25 S. V. Vassilev and J. M. D. Tascon, *Energy Fuels*, 2003, **17**, 271–281.
- 26 C. R. Ward, *Int. J. Coal Geol.*, 2002, **50**, 135–168.
- 27 S. Cheah, D. L. Carpenter and K. A. Magrini-Bair, *Energy Fuels*, 2009, **23**, 5291–5307.
- 28 B. Baruah and P. Khare, *Energy Fuels*, 2007, **21**, 2156–2164.
- 29 Y. F. Shen, X. Y. Liu, T. H. Sun and J. P. Jia, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 8867–8882.
- 30 A. Piccolo, *Soil Sci.*, 2001, **166**, 810–832.
- 31 J. L. Xia, X. Fan, C. Y. You, X. Y. Wei, Y. P. Zhao and J. P. Cao, *J. Sep. Sci.*, 2016, **39**, 2491–2498.
- 32 F. A. Carey and R. A. Sundberg, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, Springer, New York, 2007.
- 33 R. Sutton and G. Sposito, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **39**, 9009–9015.
- 34 A. Piccolo, *Adv. Agron.*, 2002, **75**, 57–134.
- 35 D. Van Krevelen, *Fuel*, 1950, **29**, 269–284.
- 36 T. Ohno, Z. Q. He, R. L. Sleighter, C. W. Honeycutt and P. G. Hatcher, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **44**, 8594–8600.
- 37 N. Hertkorn, R. Benner, M. Frommberger, P. Schmitt-Kopplin, M. Witt, K. Kaiser, A. Kettrup and J. I. Hedges, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2006, **70**, 2990–3010.
- 38 X. Fan, J. Jiang, L. Chen, C. C. Zhou, J. L. Zhu, T. G. Zhu and X. Y. Wei, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, **142**, 54–58.
- 39 S. Kim, R. W. Kramer and P. G. Hatcher, *Anal. Chem.*, 2003, **75**, 5336–5344.
- 40 N. DiDonato, H. Chen, D. Waggoner and P. G. Hatcher, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2016, **178**, 210–222.
- 41 D. Hesterberg, J. W. Chou, K. J. Hutchison and D. E. Sayers, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2001, **35**, 2741–2745.
- 42 M. Wang, X. Fan, X. Y. Wei, J. P. Cao, Y. P. Zhao, S. Z. Wang, C. F. Wang and R. Y. Wang, *Fuel*, 2016, **183**, 115–122.
- 43 Z. G. Wu, R. P. Rodgers and A. G. Marshall, *Fuel*, 2005, **84**, 1790–1797.
- 44 X. Fan, J. L. Zhu, A. L. Zheng, X. Y. Wei, Y. P. Zhao, J. P. Cao, W. Zhao, Y. Lu, L. Chen and C. Y. You, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2015, **115**, 16–23.