# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 21518

Received 31st January 2017 Accepted 1st April 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01286a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

Globally, the capture of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  from power plants and other industrial sources has become a critical environmental concern because  $CO_2$  is the root cause of global warming.<sup>1-4</sup> However, the threat of climate change from the large

## Experimental and theoretical studies on efficient carbon dioxide capture using novel bis(3aminopropyl)amine (APA)-activated aqueous 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP) solutions†

Bisweswar Das, Binay Deogam and Bishnupada Mandal 💷 \*

The present study investigates the absorption of CO<sub>2</sub>, into novel bis(3-aminopropyl)amine (APA)-activated aqueous solutions of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP), using a wetted-wall column absorber. The physicochemical and transport properties of these solvents were measured over a temperature (7) range of 298–323 K and a partial pressure ( $p_{CO_2}$ ) range of 5–15 kPa. APA is used as an activator with molar concentration varying from 0 to 1.1 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> while maintaining the total (APA + AMP) concentration to 3.0 kmol m<sup>-3</sup>. Details on uncertainty analysis of property measurements are provided in order to analyse the kinetics data. The effect of thermodynamic properties on liquid–liquid interactions are discussed and assessed. Following the reaction mechanism of primary and secondary amines with CO<sub>2</sub>, the reaction mechanism of aqueous APA was described by the zwitterion mechanism. Based on this mechanism, the overall reaction scheme for (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O)–CO<sub>2</sub> system was established. According to the pseudo-first-order condition, the reaction rate parameters were estimated for the (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O)–CO<sub>2</sub> system from the kinetics measurement. A substantial enhancement of the reaction rate in comparison to the single AMP solution was observed upon the addition of a small amount of APA to the blend. Furthermore, it was prominent from the parity plot that the model fitted and experimental rate data were in close agreement with each other.

quantities of greenhouse gases (GHG), such as CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in the atmosphere, is significant. The energy-related global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will keep on growing from 31.3 gt in 2011 to 45 gt in 2035 as per the report of the International Energy Agency (2012). Therefore, the average global temperature is expected to rise from 1.8 °C to 5.6 °C, and there is only a 50% probability that this effect will significantly contribute to an increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.<sup>5</sup> Hence, persistent attempts of stabilizing GHG concentrations at a target of 450 ppm CO2-e (carbon dioxide equivalent)<sup>6</sup> are essential. There are various techniques to control CO2 in the atmosphere. Cryogenic separation, biological and membrane-based separations are used to capture CO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>7</sup> but these methods are not feasible to handle the bulk quantities of  $CO_2$  emitted. Apart from this, cryogenic separation is cost intensive, and other two methodologies are in their nascent stage. Therefore, techniques that are most promising for CO<sub>2</sub> control include absorption and adsorption.8 Different industries like natural and synthesis gas processing plants, coalbased power plants, and cement plants have proven the potential of the absorption-based technique.9

Monoethanolamine (MEA) is used most frequently as an absorbent for  $CO_2$  removal on an industrial scale due to its high reactivity with  $CO_2$ , its low cost and the  $CO_2$  capture ability from the low-pressure flue gas.<sup>10,11</sup> However, MEA reduces the power

Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati-781039, Assam, India. E-mail: bpmandal@iitg.ernet.in; Fax: +91-361-2582291; Tel: +91-361-2582256; +91361-2584256

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Table S1, reaction scheme for the reactions of CO2 into novel (AMP + APA + H2O) systems Table S2, density and viscosity for (APA + AMP + H2O) at different molar concentrations and temperatures at a pressure of 0.1 MPa. Table S3, excess molar volume, thermal expansion coefficient, viscosity deviation for (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O) at different mole fraction and temperature. Table S4, values of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  as a function of concentration from (298 to 323) K and  $\Delta G$  against APA mole fraction at 298 K. Table S5, measured Henry's constant of N2O and estimated Henry's constant of CO2 in (APA + AMP + H2O) at various temperatures as a function of molar concentration at a pressure of 0.1 MPa; Table S6, measured diffusivity of N2O and an estimated diffusivity of CO2 in (APA + AMP + H2O) at various temperatures as a function of molar concentration at a pressure of 0.1 MPa. Fig. S1, comparison between experimental and predicted results of Henry's constant of N2O, HNO, in aqueous blend of APA and AMP solutions by parity plot. Fig. S2, comparison between experimental and predicted results of N2O diffusivity in aqueous blend of AMP and APA solutions by parity plot. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01286a

#### Paper

station efficiency considerably due to its significant energy demand for solvent regeneration.<sup>12</sup> In an amine-based postcombustion carbon capture (PCC) system at 90% CO<sub>2</sub> recovery, more than half of the overall energy requirement accounts for solvent regeneration. In addition to this, the stoichiometric CO<sub>2</sub> loading capacity of MEA is 0.5 mole CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of amine. Increased volatility and the high rate of degradation, *i.e.* degradation product, can be an environmental issue, and dealing with these issues can increase the overall cost. The large size of the stainless steel absorber and stripping columns and solvent regeneration contributes 70% to the overall capital cost of the MEA-based PCC process.<sup>12,13</sup> Thus, the higher cost of CO<sub>2</sub> capture from power plant flue gas reduces the motivation of up-scaling in the MEA-based PCC process.

Out of various solvents used in regenerative chemical absorption processes, interest has recently grown towards activated alkanolamine absorbents where a small amount of rate activator is employed in the alkanolamine solution to significantly increase the reaction rate of CO<sub>2</sub>. For example, piperazine (PZ) activated N-methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) solutions could combine the high reaction rate of CO<sub>2</sub> with PZ and the high CO<sub>2</sub> loading capacity of MDEA. Moreover, the activated solvent requires a relatively small cost for regeneration.<sup>14,15</sup> The sterically hindered form of the primary amine<sup>16</sup> *i.e.* AMP absorbs CO<sub>2</sub> more slowly than MEA, whereas its theoretical cyclic CO<sub>2</sub> capacity is twice that of MEA. AMP is more resistant to thermal degradation than MEA, and oxidative degradation for AMP is two orders of magnitude slower than that of MEA.9,17 No degradation product of AMP was observed after heating for 14 days at 403 K (Barzagli et al.18). Pei et al.19 reported that some heat-stable salt (HSS) formation could result in the decrease of the CO2 absorption capacity and regeneration efficiency. Their results show easier regeneration of AMP with less loss of absorption capacity than MEA, diethanolamine (DEA) and MDEA. They have also reported that the order of CO<sub>2</sub> stripping performance is as follows: AMP > MDEA > DEA > MEA.

The state-of-the-art process uses 15–30 wt% AMP for  $CO_2$  capture, with slower  $CO_2$ -amine mass transfer rates than those of MEA.<sup>20–22</sup> The bis(3-aminopropyl)amine (APA), wherein a single molecule contains two primary amine groups and one secondary amine group, is proposed in this study as a novel activator for  $CO_2$  capture. Since the  $pK_a$  value of APA (10.85) is higher than that of PZ (9.73) along with the relationship of cyclic and noncyclic amines with amine protonation constant,<sup>13</sup> high reaction rates are expected for (APA + H<sub>2</sub>O)–CO<sub>2</sub> system than

those for (PZ +  $H_2O$ )– $CO_2$ . Hence, the addition of a small quantity of rate activator, *i.e.* APA to the alkanolamine solvent, could activate the AMP by increasing the chemical reaction and hence the mass transfer rate significantly. It will provide more flexibility and give better performance than that with MEA or AMP alone. Furthermore, it may fulfil the requirement of a lower capital cost.<sup>23</sup>

In the present study, the specific rate of  $CO_2$  absorption into a new aqueous blend solution containing APA and AMP as well as the physicochemical properties over a wide range of temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure, were measured. The molar concentration of blends was kept at 3.0 kmol  $m^{-3}$ , whereas APA concentration was varied from 0 to 0.5 kmol m<sup>-3</sup>. The importance of thermodynamic properties of the new amine based solvent on both technical (thermodynamic model) and economical considerations3 are assessed, and the role of these on the ternary liquid mixture are discussed. Several models, as developed in our earlier study, were introduced to implement the measured physicochemical properties. Uncertainty analysis was carried out with a 95% level of confidence to interpret all the measured physicochemical and transport properties. The kinetics rate parameters were calculated based on the pseudofirst order condition from the reaction rate experiment at every condition.

### 2. Theory

#### 2.1. Reaction scheme

The reactions of  $CO_2$  into an aqueous amine blend solution of sterically hindered amine, AMP and rate activator APA are reversible in nature. The reactions of  $CO_2$ -(AMP + APA + H<sub>2</sub>O) systems are described elsewhere (ESI, Table S1†).

#### 2.2. Reaction mechanism

In the case of a sterically hindered amine such as AMP, the only significant reaction of  $CO_2$  with AMP is suggested to be the hydration of  $CO_2$  catalyzed by AMP, which forms the bicarbonate ion.<sup>21,24</sup> However, because of the very small carbamate stability constant of AMP, the formation of carbamate is much lower in comparison to that of the bicarbonate ion.<sup>9,20</sup> Hence, it can also be neglected. Therefore, literature suggests that the reaction of  $CO_2$  with AMP is similar to the  $CO_2$  and MDEA reaction. The anticipated reaction mechanism between  $CO_2$  and APA consists of mainly two steps: one is the formation of the zwitterion and other is the base (AMP, APA, APACOO<sup>-</sup>)





catalyzed deprotonation of the zwitterion to form APAmonocarbamate, APA-dicarbamate and protonated base. As described by the zwitterion mechanism, which was initially proposed by Caplow *et al.*<sup>25</sup> and reintroduced by Danckwerts *et al.*,<sup>26</sup> the deprotonation of the zwitterion can occur by any base present in the solution. The presence of significantly more AMP in solution catalyzes the reaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and APA to produce a carbamate and the deprotonation of AMP dominates. The reaction mechanism of APA with CO<sub>2</sub> is illustrated below:

Two lone electrons present at nitrogen and at base atom in above mechanism are denoted as '\*2', where  $k_2$ ,  $k_{-1}$ , and  $k_B$  are second order rate constant, zwitterion mechanism rate constant and zwitterion mechanism deprotonation rate constant, respectively.

According to the zwitterion mechanism, the rate equation under steady state condition can be expressed as follows:

$$r_{\rm CO_2-APA} = \frac{[\rm APA][\rm CO_2]}{\frac{1}{k_{2,\rm APA}} + \frac{k_{-1}}{\widehat{k_{\rm B}}(\rm B)k_{2,\rm APA}}} = \frac{[\rm APA][\rm CO_2]}{\frac{1}{k_{2,\rm APA}} + \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}(\rm B)}}$$
(1)

herein, the kinetic constant  $\widehat{k_{\rm B}}({\rm B})$  represents a combination of bases, whereas  $k_{\rm B} = k_{2,{\rm APA}} \widehat{k_{\rm B}}/k_{-1}$ .

Two asymptotic situations exist for the above rate equation. **Case I.** When the deprotonation reaction is very high in comparison to the reverse reaction and the rate determining step is zwitterion formation, the kinetics rate expression becomes simple second order kinetics as given below:

$$r_{\rm CO_2-APA} = k_{2,\rm APA}[\rm CO_2][\rm APA] \quad when \ \left(k_{-1} \ll \widehat{k_{\rm B}}(\rm B)\right)$$
 (2)

**Case II.** The opposite condition *i.e.* when  $(k_{-1} \gg \widehat{k_B}(B))$  and zwitterion deprotonation is rate-determining, then the rate equation becomes:

$$r_{\rm CO_2-APA} = \frac{k_{2,\rm APA} \widehat{k_{\rm B}}({\rm B})}{k_{-1}} \, [{\rm CO_2}][{\rm APA}] \tag{3}$$

This expression is suggested for the shift in reaction order between one and two with respect to amine concentration since the contribution of the individual bases to deprotonate the zwitterions is considered to estimate the reaction order.

As discussed in the introductory section, the  $pK_a$  value of APA is higher than that of PZ, and high deprotonation rates are expected for (APA + H<sub>2</sub>O)–CO<sub>2</sub> system. Therefore, the kinetics rate expression for this system is assumed to follow the Case I situation. The rate-determining step of APA should be zwitterion formation, whereas only proton transfer occurs for the

deprotonation steps and these steps are considered to be very fast. Even though hydration of CO<sub>2</sub> occurs during the reaction, the contribution of the rate constant to the overall reaction rate is very little ( $k = 0.026 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K),<sup>15</sup> and it has been neglected in this study. Moreover, the bicarbonate reaction contribution to the overall reaction is insignificant.

#### 2.3. Reaction rate for $CO_2$ in (AMP + APA + H<sub>2</sub>O)

The reaction between  $CO_2$  and sterically hindered alkanolamine and AMP, is a second-order reaction as reported in several studies.<sup>21,27</sup> Therefore, the absorption rate of  $CO_2$  with AMP can be represented as follows:

$$r_{\rm CO_2-AMP} = k_{2,AMP}[\rm CO_2][AMP] = k_{ov-AMP}[\rm CO_2]$$
(4)

As described by the zwitterion mechanism, the ratedetermining step of APA should be zwitterion formation. Then, the governing equation for the reaction rate of  $CO_2$  into APA can be written as follows:

$$r_{\rm CO_2-APA} = k_{2,\rm APA}[\rm CO_2][\rm APA]$$
(5)

Thus, the overall reaction rate of  $CO_2$  in (AMP + APA + H<sub>2</sub>O) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_{\rm CO_2} &= r_{\rm CO_2-APA} + r_{\rm CO_2-AMP} \\ &= k_{2,\rm APA} [\rm CO_2] [\rm APA] + k_{2,\rm APA} [\rm CO_2] [\rm AMP] \\ &= k_{\rm ov} [\rm CO_2] \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where,  $k_{\rm ov}$  denotes an overall rate constant and is represented as follows:

$$k_{\rm ov} = k_{2,\rm APA}[\rm APA] + k_{2,\rm AMP}[\rm AMP]$$

### 3. Mass transfer

The absorption flux is enhanced by the chemical reaction in the bulk liquid when the absorption of gas occurs in a lean chemical solvent, and the governing equation is presented as follows:

$$N_{\rm CO_2} = E_{\rm A} k_{\rm L} ([\rm CO_2]_i) \tag{7}$$

where  $E_A$  is the enhancement factor and  $k_L$  is the liquid side mass transfer coefficient.  $E_A$  is related to the absorption rate in the presence of a chemical reaction and in the absence of a chemical reaction. This enhancement factor is considered to be an essential element because this single term incorporates all of the chemical reaction's possible effect on the absorption rate. For certain reaction regimes, the enhancement factor estimation from the various mass transfer models was available in literature.<sup>28</sup>

It is worth mentioning that when the gas phase mass transfer is negligible and  $CO_2$  content of the liquid phase is zero, only eqn (7) is valid.

Doraiswamy *et al.*<sup>29</sup> presented the specific rate of mass transfer of  $CO_2$  as follows:

$$N_{\rm CO_2} = -D_{\rm CO_2} \left( \frac{d[{\rm CO_2}]}{dw} \right)_{w=0} = [{\rm CO_2}]_i \frac{\sqrt{D_{\rm CO_2} k_{\rm ov}}}{\tanh\left(\sqrt{D_{\rm CO_2} k_{\rm ov}}/k_{\rm L}\right)} \quad (8)$$

herein,  $[CO_2]_i$  denotes  $CO_2$  interfacial concentration.  $D_{CO_2}$  and  $k_L$  denotes the diffusivity of  $CO_2$  and liquid phase mass transfer coefficient, respectively.

Absorption experiments were carried out in such a way that the reaction regime is considered to be the pseudo-first-order reaction regime. In this regard, the absorption condition was selected accordingly for the CO<sub>2</sub> in (AMP–APA–H<sub>2</sub>O) systems. In this regime a linear relationship can be observed between the flux vs. CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. In eqn (8), if  $\sqrt{k_{ov}D_{CO_2}}/k_L$  term is greater than three, then  $tanh(\sqrt{k_{ov}D_{CO_2}}/k_L)$  is equal to one, and the enhancement factor can be presumed to be a dimensionless Hatta number with

$$H_{\rm a} = \sqrt{k_{\rm ov} D_{\rm CO_2}} / k_{\rm L} \tag{9}$$

Moreover, in the pseudo-first-order reaction regime, the condition  $(3 < H_a \ll E_{\infty})$  must be fulfilled for the reliable assessment of the reaction rate constant. Herein, the infinite enhancement factor is presented below as follows:

$$E_{\infty} = \sqrt{\frac{D_{\rm CO_2}}{D_{\rm amine}}} + \frac{[\rm amine]}{Z[\rm CO_2]_i} \sqrt{\frac{D_{\rm amine}}{D_{\rm CO_2}}}$$
(10)

where and Z are the diffusivity of amine and stoichiometric coefficient, respectively.

The specific rate of mass transfer using eqn (7) and (9) becomes

$$N_{\rm CO_2} = [\rm CO_2]_i \sqrt{D_{\rm CO_2} k_{\rm ov}} \tag{11}$$

The interfacial concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> can be obtained from Henry's law as follows:

$$\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]_{i} = \frac{p_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}}{H_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}} \tag{12}$$

Using eqn (11), eqn (12) becomes

$$N_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{p_{\rm CO_2}}{H_{\rm CO_2}} \sqrt{D_{\rm CO_2} k_{\rm ov}}$$
(13)

Hence, eqn (13) was used to interpret the measured absorption kinetic data. From this kinetics study, the overall reaction kinetics rate constant,  $k_{ov}$ , can also be estimated with the values of  $H_{CO_2}$  and  $D_{CO_2}$  for CO<sub>2</sub> in the amine solution.

## 4. Experimental

#### 4.1. Materials

Reagent-grade APA (98% purity) and AMP (97% purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA) and E. Merck (Germany), respectively. These chemicals were used without any further purification. The CO<sub>2</sub> gas had a purity of 99.995%. On the other hand, Assam Air Products (India) provided zero grade N<sub>2</sub>O gas for measurement of diffusivity and solubility. Similarly, Vadilal Gases Limited (India) supplied calibrated  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$  gas mixtures for the absorption kinetic experiments. Water degassing was carried out before the use of amine solution through boiling, followed by cooling under vacuum conditions. A quality control step was carried out to estimate the actual concentration of the amine solutions this involved an acid-base titration against standard HCl using an auto-titrator (DL-50, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland). The uncertainty for the determination of amine concentrations was found to be 0.01%. Concentrated HCl with appropriate dilution was used for cell desorption, as well as for titration purposes.

#### 4.2. Physicochemical properties measurement

The density measurement was carried out for aqueous (APA + AMP) solutions in a Pyrex England pycnometer (Sigma-Aldrich) of volume  $34.73 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>3</sup>, and an Ostwald viscometer (Model: 11619/01, Stanhope-seta, UK) was used to measure the viscosity at six different concentrations and temperatures. The validity of the procedure and apparatus utilized for these experiments were same as those discussed elsewhere.<sup>30</sup> In this case, the combined expanded uncertainty for density and viscosity measurements was 3.97 kg m<sup>-3</sup> and 0.058 mPas, respectively.

The glass equilibrium absorption cell was used to estimate the physical solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous (APA + AMP) solutions at constant temperature and pressure. A precise manometric device was used throughout each experimental run to maintain ambient pressure in the cell. The physical solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> was estimated through N<sub>2</sub>O analogy.<sup>31</sup> The same procedures for physical solubility measurements were followed as explained by Das *et al.*<sup>30</sup> The reproducibility among the various experiments was observed and found to be less than  $\pm 1.0\%$ . In this case, the combined expanded uncertainty for the determination of Henry's constant was estimated to be 66.5 kPa m<sup>3</sup> kmol<sup>-1</sup>.

A wetted-wall column absorber was employed to study the diffusivity of N<sub>2</sub>O in aqueous blend (APA + AMP) solutions. The absorption surface length during the experiment was  $7 \times 10^{-2}$  m. In the diffusivity experiment, the saturated gas was stored using a jacketed glass soap film storage device, and thermostated water controlled the temperature of the gas phase and the liquid film. The apparatus and procedure used herein were the same as those discussed in our recent publication.<sup>30</sup> The values of reproducibility between the various experiments were calculated and found to be within ±1%. In this case, the combined expanded uncertainty was found to be 1.93 × 10<sup>-11</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 4.3. Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate

A wetted-wall column contactor<sup>15,32,33</sup> consisting of three concentric stainless steel tubes was used to determine the reaction rate of CO<sub>2</sub> into aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP). The outside diameter of the wetted-wall contactor was 2.81 imes 10<sup>-2</sup> m. The outer surface of the tube provides an interfacial area for capturing the solute gas. Throughout the research, the length of the column was adjusted manually at a length of  $7 \times 10^{-2}$  m. In this absorption study, a jacketed glass shroud formed the gas phase enclosure, which consisted of a gas inlet at the top and three equally shroud gas outlets at the bottom. A water circulating temperature controller was used to maintain the temperature of the gas phase enclosure at the desired level. An overhead vessel was employed to store the aqueous amine solution. A constant liquid flow, through an outside part of the tube and gas flow were monitored using calibrated rotameters. Thermostated water was circulated through the middle of a concentric tube, which formed a two-pass heat exchanger, to maintain the liquid film temperature. At the end of the absorption length, three samples of CO<sub>2</sub> loaded aqueous solutions were collected in the liquid receiver at a fixed interval to obtain steady state absorption flux. Each set of data was the average of the three liquid solutions. A thermostated gas cell with a manometric device was used to measure the total CO<sub>2</sub> content of a loaded liquid.<sup>34</sup> Hence, the liquid phase analysis was considered to estimate the specific rate of  $CO_2$  in the (APA +  $AMP + H_2O$ ) solvent.

### 5. Results and discussion

# 5.1. Physicochemical, transport and thermodynamic properties

**5.1.1. Density and viscosity.** The experimental data of density and viscosity measurements of aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP) at various temperatures and concentrations, are given in ESI (Table S2†). A Redlich–Kister equation is suggested to implement the experimental density in terms of excess volume ( $V^{\text{E}}$ ), which is a function of temperature (T) in the Kelvin range and mole fraction ( $x_i$ ) of component, i, as illustrated below:

$$V_{jk}^{\rm E} / {\rm m}^3 \,\,{\rm kmol}^{-1} = x_j x_k \sum_{i=0}^n A_i (x_j - x_k)^i$$
 (14)

where

$$A_i = a + b(T/K) + c(T/K)^2$$
(15)

$$V^{\rm E} = V_{\rm m} - \sum x_i V_i^0 \tag{16}$$

$$V_{\rm m} = \frac{\sum x_i M_i}{\rho_{\rm m}} \tag{17}$$

where  $\rho_{\rm m}$  is the density of the solution in kg m<sup>-3</sup>, *a*, *b*, and *c* are adjustable parameters and  $V_{\rm m}$  is molar volume in m<sup>3</sup> kmol<sup>-1</sup>. Total excess volume,  $V^{\rm E}$ , is the sum of binary excess volume varies with the change of mole fraction of APA ( $x_1$ ) as shown in Fig. 1. In the studied composition and the entire temperature

range, the values of  $V^{E}$  are negative. This  $V^{E}$  describes the physical, structural and chemical contribution of the components between the molecules upon mixing. The dispersion of forces or weak dipole-dipole interactions account for physical contributions, which leads to positive contribution of  $V^{E}$ . The structural contribution of the different size molecules present in the mixture provides geometrical effect to fit each other's structure, which leads to the negative contribution of  $V^{E}$ . Lastly, the chemical contribution while  $V^{E}$  values are negative involves the formation of hydrogen bonding, the specific interaction, formation of charge transfer complex, other complex forming interactions and strong dipole-dipole interactions are amongst the components.<sup>35,36</sup> This study indicates that packing effect and intermolecular interactions (hydrogen bond) exist in the mixture, and a contraction of volume occurs by strong intermolecular interaction in the system upon mixing as well as other properties while  $V^{E}$  are negative, as mentioned above. The AAD of this system is 0.12% for 42 data points. The values of  $V^{\rm E}$ are given in Table S3,† and the parameters are tabulated in Table 1. Herein, a correlation developed by Hsu et al.<sup>37</sup> was used to calculate the density of pure AMP.

The thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ), of the blend was estimated from the experimentally measured density values according to the eqn (18)<sup>38</sup>

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{\rho_{\rm m}} \left( \frac{\delta \rho_{\rm m}}{\delta T} \right)_p \tag{18}$$

where  $\rho_{\rm m}$ , *T* and *p* are the measured liquid density, temperature, and pressure, respectively. The values of thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) are incorporated in Table S3.† Fig. 2 demonstrates the values of  $\alpha$  against APA concentration at various temperatures. It was observed that the values of  $\alpha$  were all positive. The values of  $\alpha$  for (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O) increase with increasing APA concentration, indicating a further expansion in the volume with the addition of more APA in the blend. The



**Fig. 1** Excess molar volume ( $V^E$ ) values of APA (1) + AMP (2) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3) systems as a function of APA mole fraction **I**, T = 298 K; **•**, T = 303 K; **•**, T = 303 K; **•**, T = 313 K; **•**, T = 318 K; **•**, T = 323 K.

Table 1Ternary Redlich-Kister parameters  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  for the excessvolume of density for APA (1) + AMP (2) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3) (eqn (14) and (15))<sup>a</sup>

		Binary pair					
Parameter		APA (1) + AMP (2)	AMP (2) + $H_2O(3)$	APA $(1) + H_2O(3)$			
$A_0$	а	3.0289	1.3901	4.8559			
	b	-0.30397	0.55637	-1.2786			
	С	0.072131	0.38635	0.44933			
$A_1$	а	-2.1136	-0.029089	-1.6548			
	b	-0.82476	1.2527	-2.6081			
	С	0.25637	0.89446	0.9783			
$A_2$	а	-3.091	-1.8248	-8.0982			
	b	2.1344	0.70382	-1.2998			
	С	0.04018	0.5177	0.52785			
<sup>a</sup> AAD	for ternary	density, 0.12%.					

values of  $\alpha$  of the blend increase with increasing temperature demonstrating higher expansivities for the mixtures.

The Grunberg and Nissan model is applied to estimate the predicted experimental viscosity data as a function of mole fraction and temperature, as shown below:

$$\ln(\eta/\mathrm{mPa \ s}) = \sum x_i \ln \eta_i + \sum x_i x_j G_{ij}$$
(19)

$$G_{ij} = a + b(T/K) + c(T/K)^2$$
 (20)

where  $\eta$  is the viscosity in mPa s and *a*, *b*, and *c* are parameters, whose values are given in the Table 2. The viscosity data of pure AMP are taken from Mandal *et al.*<sup>39</sup> The AAD% for these predicted values is 2.23% over 42 data points.

The viscosity deviation  $(\Delta \eta)$  of the blend was calculated from the experimental value of viscosity and the viscosity values of pure components using the eqn  $(21)^{40}$ 

**Table 2** Parameters of Grunberg and Nissan model  $G_{12}$ ,  $G_{23}$ ,  $G_{13}$  for ternary viscosity of APA (1) + AMP (2) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3) (eqn (19) and (20))<sup>*a*</sup>

Parameter	$G_{12}$	$G_{23}$	$G_{13}$
а	43 971	951.42	-2146.1
b	-289.8	-5.8358	14.421
$10^3 c$	477.04	9.048	-23.888
<sup><i>a</i></sup> AAD for ternar	y viscosity, 2.23%.		

$$\Delta \eta = \eta_{\rm m} - (x_1 \eta_1 + x_2 \eta_2 + x_3 \eta_3) \tag{21}$$

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are the mole fraction of components 1, 2 and 3, respectively while  $\eta_m$ ,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$  and  $\eta_3$  are the viscosity values of the mixture and components 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The calculated values of viscosity deviation  $(\Delta \eta)$  are incorporated in Table S3.<sup>†</sup> Fig. 3 demonstrate the values of  $\Delta \eta$ against APA mole fraction at different temperatures. It was observed that the values of  $\Delta \eta$  increased with increasing temperature at constant concentration, indicating a positive value of  $\Delta \eta$ . Vogel and Weiss<sup>41</sup> expressed that different components present in the blend system with strong interactions, show positive viscosity deviations. The dipole interactions between AMP and H<sub>2</sub>O are less at low temperatures. The interaction between the molecules of the system increased when APA was introduced into the system and the temperature was increased. The values of  $\Delta \eta$  increase with an increment in both temperature and mole fraction of APA, suggesting that dipole interaction between the molecules in the system is more prominent at higher temperatures and increases with the mole fraction of APA in the mixture. The negative  $\Delta \eta$  values express that the interaction amongst AMP and H<sub>2</sub>O is weak and becomes stronger with the addition of APA into the mixture. The values of  $\Delta \eta$  tend to increase on account of the increase of viscosity in the blend with the introduction of APA. Thus, overall



Fig. 2 Thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) values of APA (1) + AMP (2) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3) system at various mole fraction of APA  $\blacksquare$ , T = 298 K;  $\bullet$ , T = 303 K;  $\blacktriangle$ , T = 308 K;  $\blacktriangledown$ , T = 313 K;  $\blacklozenge$ , T = 318 K;  $\triangleright$ , T = 323 K.



Fig. 3 Viscosity deviation ( $\Delta\eta$ ) values of APA (1) + AMP (2) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3) system against APA mole fraction  $\blacksquare$ , T = 298 K;  $\bullet$ , T = 303 K;  $\blacktriangle$ , T = 308 K;  $\blacktriangledown$ , T = 313 K;  $\blacklozenge$ , T = 318 K;  $\blacktriangleright$ , T = 323 K.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

it is described that at low APA concentration and low temperature, the dipole association is less prevailing, which increases with temperature and APA concentration.

The Eyring equation eqn  $(22)^{42}$  has been used to calculate the activation molar enthalpy ( $\Delta H$ ), molar entropy ( $\Delta S$ ) and the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) of the ternary blends using experimental viscosity data

$$\eta = \left(\frac{hN_{\rm A}}{V_{\rm m}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta S}{R}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta H}{RT}\right) \tag{22}$$

where, h,  $N_A$ ,  $V_m$ ,  $\Delta S$ ,  $\Delta H$ , R, and T are Planck constant, Avogadro's constant, the molar volume, molar entropy, molar enthalpy, the gas constant and the temperature, respectively. A rearrangement of eqn (22) can be done according to eqn (23) as a linear equation of  $R \ln(\eta V_m/hN_A)$  against 1/T, in which the slope and the intercept were estimated for  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  values, respectively.

$$R\ln\left(\frac{\eta V_{\rm m}}{hN_{\rm A}}\right) = \frac{\Delta H}{T} - \Delta S \tag{23}$$

From the obtained values of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$ , molar Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) can be calculated at a particular temperature according to eqn (24)

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \tag{24}$$

Based on the experimental viscosity as a function of concentration, the estimated values of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  from eqn (23) for an entire temperature range and  $\Delta G$  at T = 298 K are incorporated in Table S4.† It was observed from Table S4† that the values of  $\Delta H$  were positive in the blend, which indicate strong interactions in the molecule,<sup>35</sup> while the values of  $\Delta H$  were greater than those of  $T\Delta S$ . These observations suggest that the contribution of enthalpy to the change of molar Gibbs free energy was more predominant than that of entropy.

**5.1.2.** Solubility of  $N_2O$ . The measured  $N_2O$  solubility in aqueous solution of (APA + AMP) is presented in terms of Henry's constant ( $H_{N_2O}$ ) as ESI (Table S5†) at temperature T = 298-323 K and various amine concentrations. As observed, Henry's constant ( $H_{N_2O}$ ) increased with increasing temperature; this may be due to the exothermic nature of the gas absorption. However, Henry's constant decreased with increasing APA concentration in ternary solutions, which may be due to a larger affinity of APA towards  $N_2O$ . Several models such as the semi-empirical model, Arrhenius type equation and polynomial model are suggested to estimate the solubility as given below:

5.1.2.1. Semi-empirical model. A semi-empirical model was introduced to implement the experimental solubility of N<sub>2</sub>O

into aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP), in terms of excess Henry's constant (*R*) as shown in eqn (25). Excess Henry's constant (*R*) is a function of the volume fraction ( $\varphi_i$ ) of amines and water, and the temperature, as shown in eqn (26) and (27).

$$R = \ln(H_{N_2O\text{-solution}}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_i \ln(H_i)$$
(25)

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i\neq j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j + \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3 \alpha_{123}$$
(26)

$$\alpha_{ij} = k_1 + k_2 T + k_3 T^2 + k_4 \varphi_j \tag{27}$$

where  $\alpha_{123}$  is a constant for three-body interaction parameter, and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  are constants for two-body interaction parameter. The values of Henry's constant of pure AMP and an aqueous solution of AMP were obtained from Wang *et al.*<sup>43</sup> and Mandal *et al.*,<sup>44</sup> respectively, to calculate the excess property. The value of AAD for model predicted solubility of aqueous (APA + AMP) solutions was 0.95%, and parameters are given in Table 3.

5.1.2.2. Arrhenius type equation. The solubility of N<sub>2</sub>O was also estimated by the Arrhenius type equation as shown below in terms of different APA  $(m_1)$  and AMP  $(m_2)$  concentrations (kmol m<sup>-3</sup>) and temperature (T)

$$H_{N_2O}/kPa m^3 kmol^{-1} = (a + bm_1 + cm_1^2 + dm_2 + em_2^2 + fm_1m_2) exp(-h/(T/K))$$
(28)

5.1.2.3. Polynomial model. This model is suggested to predict the solubility of  $N_2O$  as a function of the mole fraction of APA ( $x_1$ ), AMP ( $x_2$ ), and the temperature (T), which is defined in eqn (29).

$$H_{N_2O}$$
/kPa m<sup>3</sup> kmol<sup>-1</sup> =  $A_1 + A_2x_1 + A_3x_2^2 + A_4(T/K)$   
+  $A_5x_1(T/K) + A_6x_2^2(T/K)$  (29)

The values of parameters are presented in Tables 4 and 5 for both the Arrhenius type equation and the polynomial model having AAD of 0.38% and 0.28%, respectively.

The results of all of the three models were compared with measured solubility of  $N_2O$  in an aqueous solution of (APA + AMP) and are shown in the parity plot (Fig. S1†). It is important to note that the polynomial model led to the best result amongst them.

**5.1.3.** Diffusivity. The experimental diffusivity of  $N_2O$  into aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP) at various concentrations and temperatures is given in Table S6.<sup>†</sup> As observed,  $N_2O$  diffusivity

**Table 3** Parameters  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_4$ ,  $\alpha_{123}$  for the excess Henry's constant for the ternary solvent systems and AAD for N<sub>2</sub>O solubility of APA (1) + AMP (2) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3) (eqn (25) and (26))

System	<i>k</i> <sub>1</sub>	$k_2$	$10^4 k_3$	$k_4$	α <sub>123</sub>	AAD%
APA (1) + AMP (2)	-447.95	2.505	-32.31	180.23		
APA $(1)$ + AMP $(2)$ + H <sub>2</sub> O $(3)$					-111.80	0.95

#### Paper

Table 4Parameters for solubility and diffusivity of N2O in the ternarysolution of APA (1) + AMP (2) + H2O (3) with AAD using Arrhenius typeequation (eqn (28) and (31))

Parameter	Solubility	Diffusivity	
a	$7.6867  imes 10^7$	$1.5219\times 10^{-6}$	
b	$1.5537 \times 10^5$	$1.5621\times 10^{-6}$	
с	$-8.3652\times10^{6}$	$1.57\times 10^{-6}$	
d	$3.4288 \times 10^8$	$3.0287\times 10^{-6}$	
е	$-1.22\times10^{8}$	$2.7701\times10^{-6}$	
f	$-1.31 imes10^8$	$2.994 \times 10^{-6}$	
h	1809.2	3248.3	
AAD%	0.38	2.57	

Table 5Parameters  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ ,  $A_5$ ,  $A_6$  for solubility and diffusivity of $N_2O$  in the ternary solutions of APA (1) + AMP (2) +  $H_2O$  (3) with AADusing polynomial models (eqn (29) and (32))

Parameter	Solubility	Diffusivity
	2 7204	20.028
$A_1$	3./394	-29.028
$A_2$	-22.37	-136.91
$A_3$	-159.45	-310.4
$10^{3}A_{4}$	15.456	26.212
$10^{3}A_{5}$	79.144	416.57
$A_6$	0.655	1.1248
AAD%	0.28	2.44

decreased with increasing APA concentration and decreasing temperature. Different models used to predict diffusivity of  $N_2O$  such as the modified Stokes Einstein model, Arrhenius type equation and the polynomial model are given below:

5.1.3.1. Modified Stokes Einstein model. Modified Stokes Einstein model based on viscosity of the solutions ( $\eta$ ) with different temperatures and measured diffusivity of N<sub>2</sub>O, is suggested to estimate the diffusivity of N<sub>2</sub>O ( $D_{N_2O}$ ) into aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP) as follows:

$$\frac{(D_{\rm N_2O}/m^2 \ {\rm s}^{-1})(\eta/m{\rm Pa} \ {\rm s})^p}{(T/{\rm K})} = C$$
(30)

where *p* and *C* are constant parameters. For the ternary system, the values of *p* and *C* were 0.907 and  $6.74 \times 10^{-12}$ , respectively. The AAD value for this model predicted the diffusivity of N<sub>2</sub>O to be 3.05%.

5.1.3.2. Arrhenius type equation. The Arrhenius type equation is considered to predict diffusivity of N<sub>2</sub>O ( $D_{N_2O}$ ) into aqueous (APA + AMP) solutions as the function of the molar concentration of APA ( $m_1$ ) and AMP ( $m_2$ ), and temperature as shown in eqn (31).

$$D_{N_2O}/m^2 s^{-1} = (a + bm_1 + cm_1^2 + dm_2 + em_2^2 + fm_1m_2) exp(-h/(T/K))$$
(31)

The values of parameters for eqn (31) are also given in Table 4. The values of AAD through this model were found to be 2.57%.

5.1.3.3. Polynomial model. The Polynomial model is applied to estimate the predicted diffusivity of N<sub>2</sub>O for ternary solutions as the function of the mole fraction of APA  $(x_1)$ , AMP  $(x_2)$ , and temperature (T) as given below.

$$\ln(D_{N_2O}/m^2 s^{-1}) = A_1 + A_2 x_1 + A_3 x_2^2 + A_4(T/K) + A_5 x_1(T/K) + A_6 x_2^2(T/K)$$
(32)

Parameters of eqn (32) are given in Table 5 along with the AAD of 2.44%.

All of the parameters obtained through regression from the different model are computed using Matlab software (2013b). Comparisons with the experimental diffusivity of  $N_2O$  to the model predicted data are represented in a parity plot, as shown in Fig. S2.† It was prominent from the graph that the

polynomials model showed the best-fit results for these ternary solutions.

# 5.2. Determination of overall reaction rate constant and second order rate constant for aqueous (AMP + APA) solutions

The absorption rate of  $CO_2$  in the aqueous blend of (APA + AMP) solutions is given in Table 6. Herein, APA acts as an activator in aqueous AMP solution. As observed from Table 6, the specific rate of absorption of  $CO_2$  in the aqueous blend of (APA + AMP) solutions increased with increasing temperature as well as increasing APA concentration. Due to the presence of bulky methyl groups, AMP is sterically hindered, which leads to lower reaction rate with CO<sub>2</sub>. However, in APA, due to the presence of six electron donating alkyl groups, the two amine groups at either end cannot affect each other and are equally reactive with a higher  $pK_a$  value (10.85). Since the basicity of APA is higher than that of AMP, APA can deprotonate the zwitterion faster, indicating its higher reaction rate.11 The specific absorption rate of CO<sub>2</sub> at different amine concentrations, is shown in Fig. 4, 5 and 6 for 5, 10 and 15 kPa partial pressures, respectively. The Hatta numbers given in Tables 6 and 7 are higher than 3 in all cases and  $E_{\infty}$  are much higher than  $H_a$ , and hence  $(3 < H_a \ll E_{\infty})$ condition is fulfilled. The enhancement factor equal to the Hatta number  $(H_a)$  is also included in Tables 6 and 7.

The overall rate of reaction,  $k_{ov}$ , are calculated based on eqn (13) and presented in Table 7. Fig. 7 shows a change of  $k_{ov}$  with the variation of APA concentration in  $(APA + AMP + H_2O)$ . This figure also shows the variation of PZ concentration in (AMP + PZ +  $H_2O$ ) systems, which are available in open literature.<sup>45</sup> The  $k_{ov}$ values for the absorption of CO2 into the aqueous blend of (APA + AMP) solutions at 303 K are comparable with reported values for the (AMP + PZ + H<sub>2</sub>O) system by Samanta et al.<sup>45</sup> However, at some higher temperatures (313 K), the values of  $k_{ov}$  for the (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O) system are a little greater than the reported values of  $(AMP + PZ + H_2O)$  systems. Thus, at comparatively higher temperature ranges, the  $(APA + AMP + H_2O)$  system is preferable to the absorption of  $CO_2$  than the (AMP + PZ + H<sub>2</sub>O) system. On the other hand, at the temperature range of 303-313 K, the values of  $k_{ov}$  for (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O) system are higher than the values described by Sun *et al.*<sup>46</sup> The kinetic parameters,  $k_{2,APA}$ and  $k_{2,AMP}$ , calculated from the values of  $k_{ov}$  by a regression method using Matlab software (2013b) are included in Table 7.

Table 6	Results of the	interpretation of	f the experimental	kinetic data for	CO <sub>2</sub> -APA-AMP-	-H <sub>2</sub> O system
---------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------

T (K)	$\left[\text{APA/AMP}\right]\left(\text{kmol }\text{m}^{-3}\right)$	$p_{\rm CO_2}$ (kPa)	$\theta$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{\rm L} \left( 10^5 {\rm ~m~s^{-1}} \right)$	$N_{\rm CO_2} \left(10^6 \text{ kmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\right)$	H <sub>a</sub>	Ε
303	0/3	4.85	0.555	4.5	2.00	37.6	37.6
	0.1/2.9		0.564	4.33	5.60	109.1	109.1
	0.3/2.7		0.571	4.20	7.80	154.7	154.7
	0.5/2.5		0.585	4.04	9.10	185.7	185.7
	0/3	9.71	0.555	4.55	3.98	37.3	37.3
	0.1/2.9		0.564	4.33	11.25	109.5	109.5
	0.3/2.7		0.571	4.20	15.40	153.4	153.4
	0.5/2.5		0.585	4.04	18.13	184.7	184.7
	0/3	14.6	0.555	4.55	6.10	38.2	38.2
	0.1/2.9		0.564	4.33	17.00	110.4	110.4
	0.3/2.7		0.571	4.20	23.20	153.4	153.4
	0.5/2.5		0.585	4.04	27.25	185.3	185.3
313	0/3	4.70	0.493	5.77	2.31	40.4	40.4
	0.1/2.9		0.500	5.57	7.33	132.1	132.1
	0.3/2.7		0.510	5.41	10.09	186.0	186.0
	0.5/2.5		0.519	5.22	12.35	232.6	232.6
	0/3	9.39	0.493	5.77	4.55	39.9	39.9
	0.1/2.9		0.500	5.47	14.80	127.0	127.0
	0.3/2.7		0.510	5.41	20.00	184.6	184.6
	0.5/2.5		0.519	5.22	24.60	232.6	232.6
	0/3	14.1	0.493	5.77	6.85	40.0	40.0
	0.1/2.9		0.500	5.57	22.10	132.8	132.8
	0.3/2.7		0.510	5.41	30.37	186.3	186.3
	0.5/2.5		0.519	5.22	36.70	230.4	230.4
323	0/3	4.45	0.442	6.98	2.58	46.8	46.8
	0.1/2.9		0.452	6.73	9.01	168.1	168.1
	0.3/2.7		0.462	6.58	12.18	230.6	230.6
	0.5/2.5		0.473	6.40	14.40	277.3	277.3
	0/3	8.9	0.442	6.98	5.10	46.2	46.2
	0.1/2.9		0.452	6.73	18.05	168.4	168.4
	0.3/2.7		0.462	6.58	23.97	226.9	226.9
	0.5/2.5		0.473	6.40	28.50	274.4	274.4
	0/3	13.65	0.442	6.98	7.86	46.4	46.4
	0.1/2.9		0.452	6.73	27.80	169.1	169.1
	0.3/2.7		0.462	6.58	36.60	225.9	225.9
	0.5/2.5		0.473	6.40	43.52	273.2	273.2



Fig. 4 Specific absorption rates of CO\_2 into aqueous solutions of APA at different temperatures for gas compositions 5% CO\_2 and 95% N\_2.



Fig. 5 Specific absorption rates of CO<sub>2</sub> into aqueous solutions of APA at different temperatures for gas compositions 10% CO<sub>2</sub> and 90% N<sub>2</sub>.

Paper



Fig. 6 Specific absorption rates of  $CO_2$  into aqueous solutions of APA at different temperatures for gas compositions 15%  $CO_2$  and 85%  $N_2$ .

The average absolute deviation from this regression analysis is estimated to be 2.71% for 36 data points. In order to study the effect of kinetics parameter on the calculated specific rate of absorption, parametric sensitivity analysis was performed and discussed in the next section. The second order rate constants,  $k_{2,\text{APA}}$  and  $k_{2,\text{AMP}}$ , are correlated as a function of temperature with the following results (over the experimental range).

$$k_{2,\text{APA}} = 2.18 \times 10^{12} \exp\left(-\frac{41\ 256}{RT}\right)$$
 (33)

$$k_{2,\text{AMP}} = 6.33 \times 10^7 \exp\left(\frac{-27\ 717}{RT}\right)$$
 (34)

The absolute average deviation for these calculated rate constants are found to be 0.87% and 4.38%, respectively. The activation energy for  $k_{2,APA}$  and  $k_{2,AMP}$  is estimated to be approximately 41.3 and 27.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The experimental and model calculated specific rate of absorption using eqn (33) and (34) are depicted in the parity plot (Fig. 8). There is

Table 7	Kinetics	constant for	the absorption	n of CO <sub>2</sub> into	aqueous blend	d solutions (	of AMP	and APA
---------	----------	--------------	----------------	---------------------------	---------------	---------------	--------	---------

<i>T</i> (K)	[APA/AMP] (kmol m <sup>-3</sup> )	$p_{\rm CO_2}$ (kPa)	$E_{\infty}$	$k_{\rm ov}  ({ m s}^{-1})$	$k_2 (\mathrm{m}^3 \mathrm{kmol}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$k_{2,APA} (m^3 \text{ kmol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$	$k_{2,AMP} (m^3 \text{ kmol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$
303	0/3	4.85	1404.4	3238.4	1079.5	166 062.5	1114.6
	0.1/2.9		1387.5	26 869.6	8956.5		
	0.3/2.7		1370.9	53 463.4	17 821.1		
	0.5/2.5		1356.7	75 065.6	25 021.9		
	0/3	9.71	702.4	3199.5	1066.5		
	0.1/2.9		694.0	27 054.2	9018		
	0.3/2.7		685.7	52 535.6	17 511.9		
	0.5/2.5		678.6	74 335.9	24 778.6		
	0/3	14.6	469.4	3347.3	1115.8		
	0.1/2.9		463.7	27 513.2	9171		
	0.3/2.7		458.2	52 553.4	17 517.8		
	0.5/2.5		453.4	74 790.9	24 930.3		
313	0/3	4.70	1662.8	4226.8	1408.9	287 057.5	1411.1
	0.1/2.9		1652.7	44 453.3	14 817.8		
	0.3/2.7		1637.0	86 165.9	28 722.0		
	0.5/2.5		1616.3	132 654.8	44 218.3		
	0/3	9.39	833.2	4108.4	1369.5		
	0.1/2.9		828.1	45 403	15 134.3		
	0.3/2.7		820.3	85 155.6	28 385.2		
	0.5/2.5		809.9	131 863.3	43 954.4		
	0/3	14.1	555.5	4129.7	1376.6		
	0.1/2.9		552.1	44 899	14 966.4		
	0.3/2.7		546.9	86 736.2	28 912.1		
	0.5/2.5		540.0	130 160.4	43 386.8		
323	0/3	4.45	2079.2	6295.5	2098.5	463 447.6	2116.3
	0.1/2.9		2066.3	79 594.4	26 531.5		
	0.3/2.7		2048.5	146 610.4	48 870.1		
	0.5/2.5		2026.1	207 032.9	69 011		
	0/3	8.9	1040.5	6150.0	2050.0		
	0.1/2.9		1034.1	79 859.7	26 619.9		
	0.3/2.7		1025.2	141 953.5	47 317.8		
	0.5/2.5		1013.9	202 742.2	67 580.7		
	0/3	13.65	679.1	6210.0	2070.0		
	0.1/2.9		674.9	80 533.7	26 844.6		
	0.3/2.7		669.1	140 698	46 899.2		
	0.5/2.5		661.7	200 977.3	66 992.4		



Fig. 7 Overall reaction rate constants for the reaction of  $CO_2$  with aqueous (APA + AMP) solutions as a function of amine concentration.

good agreement between the experimental and model fitted data.

**5.2.1.** Enhancement of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption using APA activated AMP. Table 6 represents the experimental specific rate of absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> and enhancement factors into the novel blended amine system such as (APA + AMP + H<sub>2</sub>O) at T = 303-323 K. As observed from this table and Fig. 4, the aqueous AMP solution was activated by a small amount of APA, which delivered significant enhancement in the rates of absorption. For example, the enhancement factor for the absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> into 3 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> AMP, 0.1 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> APA + 2.9 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> AMP, 0.3 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> APA + 2.7 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> AMP, and 0.5 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> APA + 2.5 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> AMP at CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure of about 4.0 kPa and T = 303 K are about 37.5, 117.8, 154.74, 185.6, respectively. Therefore, by replacing 0.1 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> AMP with an equal amount of APA, the enhancement factor increased to about 214%. Again,

the enhancement factor rose by an additional 31.3% when 0.2 kmol  $m^{-3}$  of AMP was replaced with an equal amount of APA. On further replacement of 0.2 kmol  $m^{-3}$  of AMP with APA, the enhancement factor was increased by an additional 19.9%. Therefore, on replacement of a small quantity of AMP with APA, a substantial increase of enhancement factor was observed. This is presumably due to the larger amount of AMP present in solutions catalyzed by the formation of APA-carbamate and APA-dicarbamate ions. However, the initial enhancement factor of (0.1APA + 2.9AMP) kmol  $m^{-3}$  is high. As the concentration of APA increased further in the blend solution, approximately (2.5AMP + 0.5APA) kmol m<sup>-3</sup>, the observed enhancement factor was not found to follow the same trend. One of the reason may be the decrease in diffusivity with increasing APA concentration and decreasing AMP concentration in the blend. The other possible reason could be the negligible increase in equilibrium loading capacity. Similar phenomena were observed by Samanta et al.47 for the absorption of CO2 into the (MDEA + PZ + H<sub>2</sub>O) system.

5.2.2. Effect of pertinent parameters on the rate of absorption of CO2. A parametric sensitivity analysis has been performed to examine the effect of important physicochemical and kinetic parameters on the specific rate of absorption of CO2 into  $(APA + AMP + H_2O)$  solutions. The pertinent parameters, *i.e.* Henry's law constant and diffusion coefficient of CO2 into the  $(APA + AMP + H_2O)$  system, and the kinetics constant of  $CO_2$ -(APA + AMP) reactions in aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP) are considered for this analysis. Deviations of +50% to -50% were incorporated over the pertinent parameter value and results were generated. The resulting deviation to Henry's law constants and the diffusivity of CO2 on the specific rate of absorption are depicted in Fig. 9. The corresponding deviation of Henry's law constants is in the range of -33% to 100% and diffusivity is in the range of +23% to -29% on the specific rate of absorption of CO2. Therefore, Henry's law constants are very sensitive to the specific rate of absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in comparison



**Fig. 8** Comparison of the calculated rates to the experimental rates of absorption for  $CO_2$  into aqueous solutions of (APA + AMP).



Fig. 9 Deviation in Henry's law constant and diffusivity of  $CO_2$  on the calculated rate of absorption of  $CO_2$  into aqueous blend of (APA + AMP) solutions.



Fig. 10 Deviation in second order rate coefficient on the calculated rate of absorption of  $CO_2$  into aqueous blend of (APA + AMP) solutions.

to the diffusion constants of CO<sub>2</sub>. It is evident from the Fig. 10 that significant deviation on the calculated rate of absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> occurred due to the error introduced in rate constant values. Herein, the numerical output to this deviation is in the range of +23% to -29% with the corresponding deviation incorporated on rate constant values.

## 6. Conclusions

The experimental and theoretical studies of physicochemical properties as well as CO<sub>2</sub> reaction kinetics on the novel aqueous solvent of (APA + AMP) were investigated. The thermodynamic properties indicate that specific and strong interactions like strong dipole-dipole interactions, hydrogen bonding, and charge transfer interactions are present in the investigated system. Significant enhancement of the specific rate of absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> was observed by the addition of a small amount of rate activator, (APA), to a larger volume of AMP solution. Out of the three compositions studied, 2.5 kmol  $m^{-3}$ AMP + 0.5 kmol m<sup>-3</sup> APA showed the highest peak with respect to APA concentration, specific rate and the enhancement factor. The regressed values for the physicochemical and transport properties and second order rate constants estimated from the different models are in an acceptable range of less than 5% (AAD). The  $k_{ov}$  value of (APA + AMP) blend solutions was found to be little higher compared that of (AMP + PZ) blend solutions at comparatively higher temperatures. This study demonstrated that APA activated aqueous AMP solutions could be a potential alternative for enhancing the absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> compared to single aqueous AMP solutions.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

The study reported was financially supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DST) (Grant No. DST/TSG/ NTS/2015/73), New Delhi, the Government of India, INDIA.

## References

- 1 A. A. Khan, G. N. Halder and A. K. Saha, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2016, **32**, 15–23.
- 2 S. Paul and K. Thomsen, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2012, **8**, 169–179.
- 3 M. K. Wong, A. M. Shariff and M. A. Bustam, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 10816–10823.
- 4 P. Nugent, Y. Belmabkhout, S. D. Burd, A. J. Cairns, R. Luebke, K. Forrest, T. Pham, S. Ma, B. Space, L. Wojtas, M. Eddaoudi and M. J. Zaworotko, *Nature*, 2013, 495, 80–84.
- 5 S. D. Kenarsari, D. Yang, G. Jiang, S. Zhang, J. Wang, A. G. Russell, Q. Weif and M. Fan, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3, 22739–22773.
- 6 Z. Liang, W. Rongwong, H. Liu, K. Fu, H. Gao, F. Cao, R. Zhang, T. Sema, A. Henni, K. Sumon, et al., Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2015, 40, 26.
- 7 H. Pashaei, A. Ghaemi and M. Nasiri, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 108075–108092.
- 8 S. Norouzbahari, S. Shahhosseini and A. Ghaemi, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 40017–40032.
- 9 S. K. Dash, A. Samanta, A. N. Samanta and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2011, **66**, 3223–3233.
- 10 B. P. Mandal, A. K. Biswas and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2003, **58**, 4137-4144.
- 11 B. K. Mondal, S. S. Bandyopadhyay and A. N. Samanta, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2017, **56**, 116–125.
- 12 Y. Artantoa, J. Jansena, P. Pearsona, G. Puxty, A. Cottrell,
  E. Meulemana and P. Feron, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2014, 20, 189–195.
- 13 W. Conway, X. Wang, D. Fernandes, R. Burns, G. Lawrance, G. Puxty and M. Maeder, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 47, 1163–1169.
- 14 M. Appl, U. Wagner, H. J. Henrici, K. Kuessner, K. Volkamer and E. Fuerst, *US Pat.*, 4, 336, 233, 1982.
- 15 S. Paul, A. K. Ghoshal and B. P. Mandal, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2009, **64**, 313–321.
- 16 J. Gabrielsen, H. F. Svendsen, M. L. Michelsen, E. H. Stenby and G. M. Kontogeorgis, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2007, 62, 2397– 2413.
- 17 S. K. Dash, A. Samanta, A. N. Samanta and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2014, 21, 130–139.
- 18 F. Barzagli, F. Mani and M. Peruzzini, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 772–779.
- 19 Z. Pei, S. Yao, W. Jianwen, Z. Wei and Y. Qing, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2008, **20**, 39–44.
- 20 G. Sartori and D. W. Savage, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.*, 1983, 22, 239–249.
- 21 S. M. Yih and K. P. Shen, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 1988, 27, 2237–2241.

- 22 P. Tontiwachwuthikul, A. Meisen and C. J. Lim, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 1992, **47**, 381–390.
- 23 H. Liu, Z. Liang, T. Sema, W. Rongwong, C. Li, Y. Na, R. Idem and P. Tontiwachwuthikul, *AIChE J.*, 2014, **60**(10), 3502– 3510.
- 24 D. J. Seo and W. H. Hong, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2000, 39, 2062-2067.
- 25 M. Caplow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 6795-6803.
- 26 P. V. Danckwerts, Chem. Eng. Sci., 1979, 34, 443-446.
- 27 A. K. Saha and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 1995, **50**, 3587–3598.
- 28 H. Kierzkowska-Pawlak, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2015, 37, 76–84.
- 29 L. K. Doraiswamy and M. M. Sharma, *Fluid-Fluid-Solid Reactions*, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- 30 B. Das, B. Deogam, Y. Agrawal and B. Mandal, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2016, **61**, 2226–2235.
- 31 A. T. Zoghi, F. Feyzi and M. R. Dehghani, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 9875–9885.
- 32 W. Conway, Y. Beyad, G. Richner, G. Puxty and P. Feron, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **264**, 954–961.
- 33 S. Y. Choi, C. S. Nam, Y. Yoon, K. T. Park and S. Park, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 53, 14451–14461.
- 34 B. P. Mandal and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, 38, 6076–6084.

- 35 D. B. K. Kumar, K. R. Reddy, G. S. Rao, G. V. R. Rao and C. Rambabu, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2012, **174**, 100–111.
- 36 M. A. Kassim, N. A. Sairi, R. Yusoff, A. Ramalingam, Y. Alias and M. K. Aroua, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2016, **639**, 130–147.
- 37 C. H. Hsu and M. H. Li, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 1997, 42, 502-507.
- 38 M. Geppert-Rybczynska, J. K. Lehmann and A. Heintz, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 2014, 71, 171–181.
- 39 B. P. Mandal, M. Kundu and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2003, **48**, 703–707.
- 40 W. Qian, Y. J. Xu, H. Y. Zhu and C. H. Yu, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 2012, **49**, 87–94.
- 41 H. Vogel and A. Weiss, Phys. Chem., 1982, 86, 193-198.
- 42 A. Hernandez, J. A. Trejo, B. E. G. Flores and R. Molnar, *Fluid Phase Equilib.*, 2008, **267**, 172–180.
- 43 Y. W. Wang, S. Xu, F. D. Otto and A. E. Mather, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 1992, **48**, 31–40.
- 44 B. P. Mandal, M. Kundu and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2005, **50**, 352–358.
- 45 A. Samanta and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2009, 64, 1185–1194.
- 46 W. C. Sun, C. B. Yong and M. H. Li, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2005, **60**, 503–516.
- 47 A. Samanta and S. S. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, 171, 734–741.