1 Introduction

Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) are electrochemical energy conversion devices applicable from portable systems of a few watts up to megawatt-sized power plants with high efficiency and low emissions of pollution. Organic SOFCs can also be used for portable applications, as Auxiliary Power Units (APUs), in the transport sector or as small power units for personnel, submarines, airplanes, etc. Tubular SOFC designs have been demonstrated to be effective for portable devices, due to their high thermal shock resistance, less stringent sealing requirements, and a low thermal stress caused by rapid heating up to the operating temperature. By decreasing the tubular cell diameter to a few millimetres or sub-millimetres, such as mT-SOFCs, it is possible to improve the mechanical stability, thermo-cycling resistance, volumetric power density, and to reduce the times of start-up and shut-down. In addition, enhancing the performance at low operation temperatures also allows using cost-effective materials for interconnects and balance of plant. For this purpose, both decrease of the electrolyte thickness to reduce the ohmic resistance losses and using electrolytes based on gadolinium doped ceria (GDC), samarium doped ceria (SDC), or strontium and magnesium doped LaGaO$_3$ perovskite (LSGM), which present high ionic conductivity at intermediate temperatures, are good approaches.

For anode-supported tubular cells, volumetric power density depends on the inverse of cell diameter; so the narrowest diameter presents the best electrochemical performance. In addition, the performance is also strongly affected by the wall thickness and the porosity of anode support. The best mT-SOFC performance reported in the open literature was achieved by Suzuki et al., which achieved a power density higher than 1.1 W cm$^{-2}$ (at 600 °C) with a 1.9 mm diameter and a porosity of 54% (before reduction). This represents a good balance between porosity to aid gas flow and continuity of material to help the electrical conductivity. The effect of pore former amounts and the type of material used as a pore former have been also investigated by several researchers.

In general, tubular supports with high values in porosity are weaker mechanically. For instance, Roy et al. quantified this weakening for several volume percentages of pore former (40%, 50% and 60%), thus observing a drop of 26% in average burst strength for each 10% volumetric increment of pore former. The average burst strength was 11.7 ± 7.5 MPa for the tested tubes with 60% pore-former by volume. It may be sufficient in many cases. However, this weakening effect due to the added porosity must be considered when high mechanical resistances in cells are required. Therefore, the design of mT-SOFCs should be balanced between their electrical and mechanical properties. In addition, the manufacturing of these devices should not be only focussed on
electrochemical performance, but also on mechanical properties. The cells should require a minimum strength to allow their handling during single-cell manufacturing and their assembling into fuel-cell stack. They must also survive to thermal cycling under operation conditions. The failure of a cell in a stack will decrease the stack efficiency, as well as shorten considerably its lifetime. Therefore, the mechanical strength of the different components of the mT-SOFCs is critical for their future implementation. Despite these mechanical requirements, the specific study of the mechanical properties of mT-SOFCs has not received much attention. Up to date, only several studies about mechanical and thermal characterization of tubular anode-supports and electrolyte-supports fabricated by extrusion technique have been reported. The cells were characterized mechanically using macroscopic techniques, such as three- or four-point bending, burst testing and c-ring testing.

Owing to the important electrochemical and mechanical requirements of tubular cells, the manufacturing of the support is probably the most important part in microtubular SOFC research. It presents several technical difficulties, particularly in terms of a relatively high investment in equipment and a long time for the adjustment of processing parameters. Typical fabrication procedures for the tubular support include cold isostatic pressing, slip-casting, powder extrusion moulding, electrochemical deposition and co-extrusion amongst others. Alternatively, aqueous gel-casting is a wet-forming technique that allows to prepare dense and porous ceramics, with high quality and complex geometry, in short forming times, and low-cost equipment. Morales et al. reported a new methodology combining the agarose gel-casting and spray-coating techniques that are easily industrially scalable for processing of the anode-supported tubular cells based on NiO–Sm0.2Ce0.8O1.9/Sm0.2Ce0.8O1.9/La0.6Sr0.4Co0.2Fe0.8O3−δ (Ni–SDC/SDC/LSCF–SDC). Tubular supports were shaped by a gel-casting method based on a new and simple forming technique, which operates as a syringe. Electrochemical performance of mT-SOFC cells fabricated by agarose gel-casting was optimized. The cell with a support of 2.4 mm outer diameter, 380 μm wall thickness, and using 10 wt% sucrose as a pore former, achieved a power density of 0.4 W cm−2 at 650 °C. In the present work, special attention will be focused on the effect of the support on the mechanical properties for anode-supported microtubular SOFCs fabricated by gel-casting. For this purpose, several NiO–SDC tubular supports were manufactured varying different processing parameters: porosity, sintering temperature and wall thickness (outer diameter). The relationships between manufacturing parameters and electrochemical and mechanical properties will be discussed. In addition, the mechanical strength of the supporting tubes at different processing stages will be analysed to study the macro-mechanical failure behaviour of the cells.

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Cell manufacturing

The mT-SOFCs were fabricated using the process developed in our previous studies. Compositions and dimensions of the cells were initially selected based on preliminary electrochemical results. Samarium-doped ceria, nickel oxide–samarium doped ceria (60 : 40 and 50 : 50 wt%), and lanthanum strontium cobaltite powders, with a nominal composition of Sm0.2Ce0.8O1.9 (SDC), NiO–Sm0.2Ce0.8O1.9 (Ni–SDC) and La0.6Sr0.4Co0.2Fe0.8O3−δ (LSCF) respectively, were synthesized by polyacrylamide gel combustion as described elsewhere. All these powders were characterized by BET specific surface and XRD in order to confirm their quality. The composition of the microtubular SOFCs was selected as follows: NiO–SDC (60 : 40 wt%) as the anode tubular support, NiO–SDC (50 : 50 wt%) as the anode functional layer (AFL), SDC as the electrolyte and LSCF–SDC (70 : 30 wt%) as the cathode. The reference tubular support of cells, used as a baseline for variation processing parameters, was fabricated with a formulation consisted of 1.0 wt% dispersant/solid loading (DOLAPIX GmbH & Co), 34 wt% NiO–SDC solid loading/water, 10 wt% sucrose/solid loading as a pore former, and 0.90 wt% agarose (Lab. Conda) suspension (in vol.) as a gelling agent. In order to obtain a homogeneous slurry, the agarose suspension was firstly activated by heating around 80–90 °C, and then kept above 40 °C until casting. Tubes were extruded by gel-casting using a steel punch (Ø = 3 mm) with an in-house-designed aluminium die (Ø = 6 mm, and length = 250 mm). The resulting green tubes were finally dried in air for 48 h, and cut to a length of 100–120 mm. Afterwards, an anode functional layer (50 : 50 wt% NiO–SDC and 15 μm thickness) was deposited by colloidal spray-coating onto the tubular substrates, and then pre-sintered at 1100 °C. Subsequently, the SDC electrolyte layer (15 μm thickness) was deposited by colloidal spray-coating, which was also made by mixing commercial SDC with cobalt oxide (2.0 wt%) used as a sintering aid. Then, both anode and electrolyte were co-sintered at 1450 °C for 5 h. After co-sintering, the 70 : 30 wt% LSCF–SDC cathode was sprayed onto the half-cell and sintered at 1030 °C for 2 h in air. Final cell dimensions are: 2.4 mm outer diameter, 380 μm wall thickness, 80–100 mm in length, and a cathode active area of 60–100 mm², in order to keep the cells in the isothermal zone of the furnace. Microstructures of the cell components were analyzed by field emission scanning electron microscope (Merlin FE-SEM, Carl Zeiss). The porosity amount and the pore size distribution of the supports, after reduction of NiO to Ni, were determined by Hg-porosimetry (Quantachrome Poremaster porosimeter). The relative density of SDC electrolyte layer was determined by image analysis using DigitalMicrograph (TM) 365 (Gatan Inc., USA) software. For this purpose, three different images for each sample taken with identical magnification (×5000) were analyzed. The volume fraction of pore phase was calculated by image thresholding in a similar manner as described in a previous work. Image treatment consists in reducing the 256 grey levels from the original image to only 2 grey levels corresponding to the two phases (black for pores and grey for SDC). This is achieved by applying a threshold to the grey level histogram of the original image to obtain two binary images corresponding to the SDC and pore phases.

2.2 Processing variations

In order to optimize the microstructure for the electrochemical and mechanical behaviour, several design parameters were modified during processing of the tubular supports. The three
key design parameters of these supports are as: content of 10 wt% sucrose as a pore former, sintering temperature of 1450 °C (then reduced at 700 °C), and wall thickness of 380 μm (2.4 mm outer diameter). These parameters will be modified by: (1) varying the percent of pore former between 0 and 15 wt% sucrose, (2) decreasing the sintering temperature to 1400 and 1350 °C, and (3) increasing the wall thickness to 700 μm for 2.4 mm outer diameter, 800 μm and 1200 μm for 4.5 mm outer diameter.

2.3 Mechanical characterization

Three-point bending test was used to evaluate the mechanical strength of both the tubular anode supports at different processing stages (green, pre-/post-sintering, post-reduction, post-redox cycle conditions) and the half-cells (anode + electrolyte) manufactured under different conditions. Flexural strength, also well-known as modulus of rupture (MOR), was determined using an uniaxial dynamic test equipment (INSTRON 8032). The samples were fixed onto the sample holder set at a span length of 30 mm. The MOR was calculated using the following eqn (1):

\[
\text{MOR} = \frac{8FLO_o}{\pi(O_o^4 - O_i^4)}
\]

where \( F \) is the measured force applied, \( L \) is the span length, \( O_o \) is the outer diameter, and \( O_i \) is the inner diameter of the tested tubular supports. Mechanical strength was calculated from the collected breaking force values using the dimensions of the tubes. An average of at least 10 values was determined for each sample type.

In order to understand the reliability of the flexural strengths, their variability was analysed using the Weibull statistical method. The cumulative Weibull distribution function can be rewritten by taking natural logarithm for two consecutive times as:

\[
P = 1 - \left( \exp \left( -\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \right)^m \right)
\]

where \( \sigma_0 \) is a scaling parameter, referred as a characteristic strength defined as the stress at which the \( P \) is 63.2%, and \( m \) is the Weibull modulus.\textsuperscript{19-23,44} The cumulative distribution function can be rewritten by taking natural logarithm for two consecutive times as:

\[
\ln \left[ \ln \left( -\frac{1}{1 - P} \right) \right] = m \ln(\sigma) - \ln(\sigma_0)
\]

The values of \( m \) and \( \sigma_0 \) can be obtained by fitting the experimental data, using the conventional least square regression method.

2.4 Electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical performance of the cells was tested using H₂ as fuel in the anodic compartment and air in the cathodic chamber. Electrical connections were made using four Ag wires. Ag meshes were used as current collectors at the cathode and anode (inner part of the tube). The tube was sealed using Ceramabond 503 sealant (Aremco, US) into alumina tubes and finally all the system was introduced into a quartz tube, and sealed again to separate both chambers. Additional details of the experimental setup can be found in previous works.\textsuperscript{45,46} The cell was heated up to 700 °C in a small tubular furnace under nitrogen at the anode chamber and static air at the cathode side. At this temperature, hydrogen was introduced to reduce the anode. The full reduction takes about 2 hours and subsequently the cells were characterized. Electrochemical measurements were carried out at a temperature of 650 °C, \( j-V \) (current density–voltage) measurements were performed using a VSP Potentiostat/Galvanostat (Princeton Applied Research, Oak Ridge, US) in potentiodynamic mode from OCV down to 0.35 V at 0.25 mA s\textsuperscript{-1}.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Mechanical strength of supports and half-cells during manufacturing

MOR and the corresponding Weibull plots for the reference tubular support and half-cell at different process stages are shown in Fig. 1. A summary of the calculated MOR values and the Weibull statistical analyses performed on the data in the form of Weibull moduli and \( \sigma_0 \) values are presented in Table 1. The \( \sigma_0 \) values are a representation of the statistical probability of failure, and so, the tubular supports and half-cells will fail in large percentages at or below the \( \sigma_0 \) value. The Weibull modulus may be used to describe the scatter of the mechanical strength in brittle (or quasi-brittle) materials. The results show that although the green tubes with AFL present a relatively low mechanical strength, they are strong enough for handling. In contrast, the tubular supports pre-sintered at 1100 °C are remarkable brittle and weak. In addition, the tubular support without AFL possesses lower mechanical strength than that with AFL, which is attributed to the effect of AFL microstructure with higher density compared to the support. In both cases, the large scatter is attributed to a lack of uniformity in this step of the fabrication process. As expected, the co-sintering process of the half-cell (tubular support and electrolyte) at 1450 °C significantly increases their mechanical strength. However, the MOR value of tubular support is more strongly increased after sintering the support without electrolyte. This decrease in the MOR value may be due to the thermo-mechanical stress generated by electrolyte layer on the support. Finally, the reduction process of NiO to Ni improves the mechanical strength and Weibull modulus of the half-cell, due to the high toughness of Ni–SDC cermet skeleton in the tubular support of half-cell. The MOR value in the reduced support of half-cell is around 20–30% lower than those reported in previous studies for Ni–YSZ/YSZ tubular half-cells also tested by three- or four-point bending.\textsuperscript{28,29} This decrease can be attributed to both the microstructural differences of tubular support and the use of doped ceria in the support, AFL and electrolyte, which presents lower mechanical properties than YSZ.\textsuperscript{7,48} In any case, the mechanical strength in terms of MOR and Weibull modulus for the studied half-cell may be suitable for their implementation in robust stacks.\textsuperscript{3,19-21}
3.2 Mechanical properties of the microtubular cells

3.2.1 Porosity. The first studied processing variable is the amount of pore former used for the tubular supports. The different microstructures of supports for the studied cells are shown in the SEM micrographs of Fig. 3a–d. Pores (black contrast) can be observed in two different morphologies. Ones with sizes of several micrometers (macroporous) and spherical-like form mainly created by the pore former, whereas the others (sub-microporous) are conforming an interconnected pore network generated during NiO reduction. The walls of both pore morphologies are homogeneously packed with both Ni (light grey contrast) and GDC (white contrast) phases. As the porosity amount and pore size distribution is critical for a suitable anode performance and mechanical strength in tubular SOFCs, mercury porosimetry tests were performed. Fig. 3e shows the pore size distributions for the supports with different amounts of pore former (between 0 and 15 wt%).

On the other hand, one full redox cycle: (1) reduction under \( \text{H}_2 \) at 700 °C for 2 h, (2) re-oxidation under synthetic air at 700 °C for 5 h, and (3) post-reduction (\( \text{H}_2/700 \ ^\circ\text{C}/2 \) h), produces a remarkable decrease in the mechanical strength of half-cell. As observed in Fig. 2, re-oxidation and post-reduction of the support and AFL may origin irreversible damages to the tubular half-cell: fractures in the microstructural integrity of the support, AFL and electrolyte, as well as delamination between the different components. This is attributed to the increase of volume during nickel oxidation, which induces high tensile stress and cracks at interfaces. 89, 90

Table 1 Summary of MOR values, and the mean strength and modulus from Weibull analysis for the reference tubular support at different processing stages. Note: (*) indicates the stages do not present in the standard conditions of processing and/or operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing stages of reference half-cell</th>
<th>MOR (MPa)</th>
<th>( \sigma_0 )</th>
<th>m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green support</td>
<td>49.4 ± 5.4</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-sintered support (without AFL)*</td>
<td>11.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-sintered support (with AFL)</td>
<td>23.9 ± 6.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered support (with AFL)*</td>
<td>159.4 ± 11.8</td>
<td>165.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered support (with AFL-electrolyte)</td>
<td>135.2 ± 9.2</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced support (with AFL-electrolyte)</td>
<td>177.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>182.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After cycling redox support (with AFL-electrolyte) *</td>
<td>56.0 ± 8.4</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 (a) MOR values and (b) Weibull plots of MOR for the reference tubular support at different processing stages. Note: (*) indicates the stages do not present in the standard conditions of processing and/or operation.
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to 212.8 ± 12.2 MPa and 229.1 ± 10.5 MPa, respectively
(improvement of 20% and 23% vs. to the reference support). In
conclusion, the large variation in porosity and pore size distri-
bution between the half-cell supports with different amounts of
pore former would explain the apparent difference in mecha-
nical strength.

3.2.2 Sintering temperature. The effect of the sintering
temperature was also studied in the range of 1350 °C to 1450 °C.
MOR values were measured for samples sintered at 1350 °C,
1400 °C and 1450 °C, obtaining 95.9 ± 19.9 MPa, 147.7 ± 15.8 MPa and 177.6 ± 10.1 MPa, respectively (Fig. 5 and Table 2).
According to previous works,16,19,51 an increase in the sin-
tering temperature causes the shrinkage of pores, thus dimin-
ishing the porosity of the support. As shown in Fig. 5a, the
decrease of sintering temperature to 1400 °C and 1350 °C
generates an increase of the support porosity to 56% and 61%,
and also a decrease of the relative density of electrolyte layer to
94% and 91%, respectively. From the results obtained by vari-
ations in pore former discussed above section, the increased
porosity (~10%) caused by a lower sintering temperature (1350
°C) lead to a decrease in mechanical strength of 46%, which is
in agreement with the three-point bending tests. Therefore, the
mechanical strength in the range between 1350 °C and 1450 °C
is directly related to the porosity attributed to the shrinkage of
the pores. As the sintering temperature (1350–1450 °C) of half-
cells has a moderate impact on the mechanical strength, the
optimal temperatures for both pre-sintering of tubular support
and co-sintering of half-cell (support with electrolyte) must be
correlated to their difference in shrinkage. For this reason, it

Fig. 2 SEM images of: (a) half-cell after the reduction process, and (b) reduced half-cell after one redox cycle. The arrows indicate different
cracks at the region of support, AFL and electrolyte.

Fig. 3 SEM micrographs showing the microstructures of the reduced
supports with different amounts of sucrose added as a pore former: (a)
0 wt%, (b) 5 wt%, (c) 10 wt%, (d) 15 wt%; and (e) the corresponding pore
distribution for the different amounts of pore former. Note: numbers in (a–d) correspond to regions of GDC (1), Ni (2), macropores
(3) and sub-micropores (4).

Fig. 4 (a) MOR of half-cells (support–AFL–electrolyte), porosity of
reduced support, and current density of cell (at 0.5 V and 650 °C) as
a function of the amount of pore former (sucrose). (b) Weibull plots of
MOR for half-cells with the reduced supports and different amount of
pore formers.
Table 2  Summary of MOR values (ranked in decreasing order), and the mean strength and modulus from Weibull analysis for the half-cells with different variations of processing in the tubular supports: pore former, sintering temperature, wall thickness and outer diameter. Note: numbers indicate that the cells could be electrochemically tested: (1) without any problem, (2) with significant gas leakage, and (3) with significant gas leakage and/or insufficient mechanical strength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Half-cells with different processing variations</th>
<th>MOR (MPa)</th>
<th>( \sigma_0 )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 wt%; 1450 °C; 380 μm; 2.4 mm (1)</td>
<td>229.1 ± 10.5</td>
<td>233.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt%; 1450 °C; 380 μm; 2.4 mm (1)</td>
<td>212.8 ± 11.2</td>
<td>219.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%; 1450 °C; 1200 μm; 4.5 mm (1)</td>
<td>183.1 ± 9.4</td>
<td>190.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%; 1450 °C; 700 μm; 2.4 mm (1)</td>
<td>183.5 ± 10.9</td>
<td>189.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%; 1450 °C; 380 μm; 2.4 mm (Reference)</td>
<td>177.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>182.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%; 1450 °C; 800 μm; 4.5 mm (2)</td>
<td>173.5 ± 12.2</td>
<td>179.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%; 1400 °C; 380 μm; 2.4 mm (2)</td>
<td>147.7 ± 15.8</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 wt%; 1450 °C; 380 μm; 2.4 mm (3)</td>
<td>102.2 ± 21.4</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt%; 1350 °C; 380 μm; 2.4 mm (3)</td>
<td>95.9 ± 19.9</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5  (a) MOR of half-cells, relative density of electrolyte layer and porosity of support as a function of sintering temperature, using 10 wt% sucrose as a pore former. (b) Weibull plots of MOR for the half-cells with the reduced supports and sintered at different temperatures.

should be also taken into account that a reduction of the co-sintering temperature also requires a decrease in the pre-sintering one, incrementing the probability of mechanical failure during manufacturing. Therefore, both 1100 °C pre-sintering and 1450 °C co-sintering were selected as the best combination of temperatures in order to minimize any break during manufacturing and finally guarantee a dense electrolyte and a good adhesion of support–AFL–electrolyte.

3.2.3 Wall thickness and outer diameter. Finally, the effect of the wall thickness and outer diameter of the tubular supports were also studied for several half-cell configurations, as shown in Fig. 6. As observed, the wall thickness was increased from 380 μm (for the reference half-cell) to 700 μm for 2.4 mm outer diameter, 800 μm and 1200 μm for 4.5 mm outer diameter. In the same way, the outer diameter was also increased from 2.4 mm (for the half-cell with 700 μm wall thickness) to 4.5 mm outer diameter (for 800 μm wall thickness). In Fig. 7 and Table 2, it can be observed that MOR and Weibull values are not strongly affected by the variation of the wall thickness and outer diameter, indicating that the microstructural properties of supports are rather similar. Actually, porosity measurements after the reduction process for the different cells confirmed that all supports present similar values (53, 52, 53 and 54% for 380, 700, 800 and 1200 μm wall thickness). It is then concluded that MOR values are independent of the dimensions of the tube, as the expression for its calculation presents a tube geometric factor [80,\( \sigma_0(\theta_i^4 - \theta_o^4) \)] that normalizes the different dimensions of tubes taking into account the outer \((\theta_o)\) and inner \((\theta_i)\) diameters. Since the support porosity and the span length are equivalent in the mechanically tested half-cells, their differences in applied force to rupture are only attributed to the variation in wall thickness and outer diameter. As shown in Fig. 7a, an increase of wall thickness and/or outer diameter reduces the tube geometric factor, and consequently increases the force to rupture up to 8 times (for the half-cell of 1200 μm wall thickness and 4.5 mm outer diameter) with respect to the reference half-cell. In addition, the influence of the geometric factor is more remarkable when increasing the outer diameter (from 2.4 mm to 4.5 mm) than that of the wall thickness (from 380 to 700 μm for 2.4 mm outer diameter, and also from 800 to 1200 μm for 4.5 mm outer diameter). In conclusion, it is quite important to take into account that a decrease of wall thickness and/or outer diameter increments the tube geometric factor, thus reducing strongly the applied force to rupture at a constant span length, and vice versa, decreasing the span length at a determined force to rupture.

3.3 Electrochemical characterization

A detailed electrochemical characterization of this type of cells was already reported in a previous work.\(^49\) Current densities at 0.5 V and 650 °C for the cells with different amounts of pore former in the support are also presented in Fig. 3a. As clearly observed, current density values are significantly enhanced when increasing the amount of pore former in the support (0.33, 0.44 and 0.63 A cm\(^{-2}\)) with 0, 5 and 10 wt% pore former, respectively. Unfortunately, the cell with 15 wt% pore former in the support could not be electrochemically tested properly, due to its low mechanical strength and low dimensional stability. The current density, as an electrochemical parameter, clearly shows the gas transport limitations on cell performances, especially working at high current densities. The effect of gas transport limitation was confirmed by EIS experiments,\(^49\) where
the low frequency Warburg response (hydrogen transport through the Ni–SDC support) increases when reducing the porosity of the support. Therefore, the large variation in porosity and pore size distribution between the cells with different amounts of pore former in the support would explain the significant differences in cell performance. As a summary, although the addition of pore former produces a remarkable mechanical weakness in the cells, but it also leads to an improvement on cell performance. The sample with about 50% porosity in the support was found to be the optimal to avoid a strong limitation of gas transport and guarantee enough mechanical strength.

On the other hand, Fig. 8 also shows the cell performances for different cells as a function of the wall thickness (380, 700 and 1200 μm), collected at 0.5 V and 650 °C. Unfortunately, the cell with 800 μm wall thickness and 4.5 mm outer diameter could not be tested with a suitable electrochemical stability in operation. Although this configuration presented an enough mechanical strength and Weibull parameters close to the others, the presence of punctual microcracks and/or pores at the electrolyte layer created during the sintering process generated significant gas leakages between anode and cathode chambers. In order to obtain an enhanced electrolyte layer, it would be necessary to modify both pre-sintering and sintering temperatures. In the cells tested without remarkable problems, it is observed that both area and volumetric power densities are significantly improved with the reduction of the wall thickness. In terms of area power density, the performance of the reference cell is increased more than 150% and 200% (0.42 W cm⁻² with almost 400 μm wall thickness) compared to the cells with 700 μm (0.24 W cm⁻²) and 1200 μm (0.18 W cm⁻²) wall thickness, respectively. In contrast, the volumetric power density of reference cell (0.70 kW L⁻¹ with 2.4 mm outer diameter) is 4 times higher than that of 1200 μm wall thickness and 4.5 mm outer diameter (0.16 kW L⁻¹), as the volumetric performance depends on the wall thickness and additionally the inverse of the cell outer diameter. This is also consistent with EIS analysis, as concentration polarization increases when increasing wall thickness. In conclusion, the reduction of wall thickness and outer diameter in a mT-SOFC significantly increases the volumetric and area power density keeping almost constant both the MOR and the microstructural properties.

4 Conclusions

Microtubular SOFCs based on Ni–SDC support and doped ceria electrolyte fabricated by gel-casting, have been mechanically characterized in order to analyse the macro-mechanical failure behaviour during their manufacturing. Three-point bending tests evidence that the pre-sintering of tubular support at 1100 °C is the most critical step mechanically, as the MOR is
only 23.9 ± 6.6 MPa, making difficult their handling. Afterwards, the co-sintering and reduction processes significantly improve both the MOR of the half-cell to 177.6 ± 10.1 MPa and the Weibull modulus ($m = 17.5$), evidencing that they can be suitable for their implementation in robust stacks. The low amount of scattering in the final half-cells is attributed to the good uniformity of the overall fabrication process, except for pre-sintering step.

On the other hand, the influence of Ni–SDC tubular support on the electrochemical and mechanical properties of mT-SOFCs has been evaluated by varying several processing parameters: amount of sucrose as a pore former, sintering temperature, and wall thickness and outer diameter. For this purpose, a half-cell fabricated with 10 wt% pore former, sintered at 1450 °C, and 380 μm wall thickness and 2.4 mm outer diameter has been used as a reference. The best results were attained with the reference cell (53% porosity and sintered at 1450 °C) achieving optimized gas transport (high current densities) and good mechanical strength with low scattering. This is mainly attributed to a good microstructural support in terms of porosity amount and distribution (with 10 wt% pore former), but also guaranteeing a dense electrolyte and a good adhesion of support–AFL–electrolyte. Finally, it was found that the volumetric and area power densities are strongly enhanced by the reduction of wall thickness and outer diameter in a mT-SOFC. For instance, the volumetric power density of reference cell (0.70 kW L$^{-1}$) is 4 times higher than that of 1200 μm wall thickness and 4.5 mm outer diameter (0.16 kW L$^{-1}$). Although both design parameters of tubular support are not significantly affected on the MOR values and microstructural properties, they increment the tube geometric factor strongly decreasing the applied force to rupture at a determined span length, and vice versa. In conclusion, the tubular support design for a mT-SOFC stack requires a good balance between wall thickness, outer diameter and span length in order to maximize the volumetric and area performance and minimize the loss of mechanical strength in terms of applied force to rupture.
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