



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 21036

Received 26th January 2017
 Accepted 4th April 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01197k
rsc.li/rsc-advances

Metformin as a versatile ligand for recyclable palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions in neat water[†]

S. Fortun, P. Beauclair and A. R. Schmitzer  *

We present the high catalytic activity of an *in situ* generated palladium(II)/metformin complex in neat water for the Suzuki–Miyaura and Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions. These reactions were performed in pure water without any co-solvent or mass transfer agent. The palladium(II)/metformin complex gave excellent to good yields for the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction and catalyzed with a certain specificity to the aryl iodide coupling in the Sonogashira reaction. The methodology showcases a recyclability up to four catalytic runs for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction, performed with only 0.5 mol% palladium loading.

Introduction

Nowadays, societies are paying more attention to the environmental impact of our life style. One of the main actual challenges in chemistry is to develop eco-friendly processes to lower their impact to the environment. In 1998, the 12 principles of Green Chemistry were identified to guide chemists through this modern vision of chemistry and they assert the main objectives of this new branch of chemistry.¹ Many processes are considered green without applying all the 12 principles at the same time. One of these 12 principles of Green Chemistry encourages chemists to use and develop efficient and preferably recyclable catalytic systems, rather than stoichiometric reactions, in order to minimize the risks in terms of manipulation and toxicity. Metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions are a powerful tool for new bond formations (carbon–carbon, nitrogen–carbon, *etc.*) and are widely used in both academia² and industry. Unfortunately they come with a heavy price in terms of safety and sustainability, as they are usually performed in toxic, carcinogenic and flammable organic solvents, generally required to solubilize the organic reactants and accelerate the reaction rates.³ To date, water remains the greenest known solvent because of its availability, stability, non-toxicity and non-flammability. Those properties make coupling reactions performed in water greener, because water is not considered as a waste. Therefore, ligands are required to perform metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions in neat water to protect the metal center from oxidation and

deactivation. The Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction has been reviewed many times in the past,^{4–11} but recently Ward *et al.*¹² showed that the current challenges to be addressed for palladium-catalyzed coupling reactions performed in water are the reactivity of the aryl halide, the possibility to use low catalyst loadings, short reaction times and mild reaction conditions (low temperature).

Since recyclability is also a major concern in green chemistry, a water-soluble ligand may maintain the metal in the aqueous media and therefore may allow a simple recycling procedure, by extracting the organic product at the end of each catalytic cycle. Many water-soluble ligands have been explored to favour the cross-coupling reactions in aqueous media, such as phosphines. However, these ligands are toxic, moisture and/or air sensitive, and produce undesired side-products.¹³ N-Donor ligands are an interesting alternative for different reasons. First, numerous easy reactions lead to nitrogen-containing compounds with infinite possibilities of design, through the large choice of commercially available starting materials. Also, the nitrogen atom displays different hybridization states (sp , sp^2 , sp^3) and anionic or neutral ligand can be envisaged for different types of interactions with a metal.

Biguanides (Fig. 1), water-soluble compounds, recently emerged as potential ligands in terms of Green Chemistry. They are known in medicine for their antihyperglycaemic,¹⁴ antimalarial¹⁵ and anticancer properties¹⁶ and have been found to form numerous complexes with bivalent transition metals.¹⁷ They have been used as polydentate ligands, well-known to stabilize metal nanoparticles and prevent their aggregation and/or deactivation.^{18,19} Veisi *et al.* studied the catalytic properties of different large and complex systems containing biguanides. They developed versatile and recyclable functionalized mesoporous silica molecular sieves SBA-15,^{20–22} biguanides–carbon nanotubes^{23–25} and biguanide–chitosan²⁶ as catalyst for cross-

Université de Montréal, Département de Chimie, 2900 Bd Edouard Monpetit CP6128 Succursale Centre-Ville, Montréal, H3C3J7, Canada. E-mail: ar.schmitzer@umontreal.ca

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Suzuki–Miyaura and Sonogashira substrates scope references. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01197k






Fig. 1 Structure of biguanides, metformin hydrochloride and its possible complexation to a transition metal [M].

coupling reactions. However, the cross-coupling reactions were performed in toxic DMF (the use of carbon nanotubes not respecting the Green Chemistry principles either) or a binary water : ethanol mixture.

Green Chemistry is also about maximizing atom economy, *i.e.* using molecules containing fewer atoms possible. Commercial inexpensive metformin (Fig. 1), one of the smallest biguanides, is highly soluble in water and was proven to be non-toxic, since it was used as a treatment for type 2 diabetes by millions of people since 1957.²⁷ It has previously been shown that metformin can complex palladium(II) and efficiently catalyze Suzuki–Miyaura reactions performed in a water : ethanol 1 : 1 mixture with 1 mol% catalyst loading.²⁸ However this study does not cover the recyclability, nor the versatility aspects of the catalytic system and showed some limitations: the Pd loading has not been optimized, two equivalents of metformin were used and the reaction required the use of ethanol as co-solvent.

Herein, we report the catalytic efficiency and recyclability of a 1 : 1 metformin : Pd(OAc)₂ complex in the Suzuki–Miyaura and the Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions in neat water at a very low catalyst loading. The catalytic species are formed *in situ* to avoid the money-, time- and energy-consuming synthesis or purification steps. This method is a green procedure, which simply consists in mixing the metal source(s) with the ligand in

the presence of a base, for a short time prior to the addition of the substrates.^{29,30}

Results and discussion

Optimization of the conditions for the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction

Our study began with the optimization of the reaction conditions. With a loading of 2 mol% of metformin : Pd (1 : 1) complex, complete conversion was obtained after 15 min at 100 °C (Table 1, entry 3). The time of formation of the catalytic species was then lowered to only 15 min at 100 °C (Table 1, entry 5).

The catalyst loading (Table 2) can be lowered to 0.0025 mol% without losing the efficiency of the system. Compared to recently reported catalytic systems for the Suzuki–Miyaura in neat water, this is a very low catalyst loading, the turnover number (TON) and turnover frequency (TOF) are excellent.^{30–33}

Only one equivalent of base (as K₂CO₃ is dibasic) was necessary to activate the palladium complex and the boronic acid (Table 3 and Fig. 2).^{34–36} A slight excess (1.1 eq.) was used to maintain the reaction media under basic conditions and the metformin ligand under its deprotonated form. The temperature needed to be kept at 100 °C to avoid a drastic decrease of the yield (Table 3, entry 5). These optimized conditions were tested under microwave irradiation, but only 79% yield was obtained after 15 min, compared to the 95% obtained with

Table 1 Formation of the catalytic species and reaction time optimization for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction^a

Entry	Formation time of the catalytic species (min)	Reaction time (min)	Yield ^b (%)	Metformin.HCl 2 mol% Pd(OAc) ₂ 2 mol% K ₂ CO ₃ 2 eq. H ₂ O, 100°C		
				4'-bromoacetophenone	phenylboronic acid	4'-bromoacetophenone
1	120	120	100			
2	120	30	94			
3	120	15	93			
4	120	10	61			
5	15	15	99			

^a Reaction conditions: 0.02 mmol Pd(OAc)₂, 0.02 mmol metformin hydrochloride, 1 mmol 4'-bromoacetophenone, 1 mmol phenylboronic acid, 2 mmol K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled water, 100 °C in air. ^b Non-isolated yield determined by ¹H NMR.

Table 2 Optimization of the catalyst loading for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction^a

Entry	Pd(OAc) ₂ (mol%)	Metformin·HCl (mol%)	Yield ^b (%)	TON	TOF (s ⁻¹)
1	2	2	99	50	0.06
2	0.0025	0.0025	95	38 000	41.8
3	0.001	0.001	Traces	—	—

^a Reaction conditions: Pd(OAc)₂, metformin hydrochloride, 1 mmol 4'-bromoacetophenone, 1 mmol phenylboronic acid, 2 mmol K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled water, 100 °C, 15 min, in air. ^b Non-isolated yield determined by ¹H NMR.



Table 3 Optimization of the amount of base and temperature for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction^a

Entry	Base amount (eq.)	Temperature (°C)	Yield ^b (%)	Metformin·HCl 0.0025 mol% Pd(OAc) ₂ 0.0025 mol% K ₂ CO ₃		
				H ₂ O, 15 min	4'-bromoacetophenone	phenylboronic acid
1	2	100	100			
2	1.1	100	98			
3	0	100	Traces			
4	0.5	100	60			
5	1.1	90	14			

^a Reaction conditions: 0.000025 mmol Pd(OAc)₂, 0.000025 mmol metformin hydrochloride, 1 mmol 4'-bromoacetophenone, 1 mmol phenylboronic acid, K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled water, 15 min, in air.

^b Non-isolated yield determined by ¹H NMR.

0.0025 mol% palladium loading in the absence of metformin (Table 4, entry 1), only traces of the coupling product were detected by ¹H NMR.^{37,39,40}

Nature of the active species of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction: the catalyst poisoning test


The nature of the catalytic species was determined by the addition of mercury as the poisoning agent. In the case of a heterogeneous catalyst, the addition of mercury leads to its amalgamation on the surface of the active sites of the catalyst and totally inhibits its catalytic activity. If the catalyst is soluble in the reaction solvent, the addition of mercury has no effect on the catalyst's activity. The nature of our catalytic species was assessed by addition of 2 drops of mercury, prior to the addition of the substrates. The yield dramatically dropped to 3% which indicates that the catalytic species are not water-soluble.

Substrate scope for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the versatility of the catalytic system was studied through a substrate scope (Table 5). It is important to remind here that the optimized catalyst loading was determined for the reaction between 4'-bromoacetophenone and phenylboronic acid and may not be suitable for other substrates. The substrate scope was realized under the optimized reaction conditions for comparison. Aryl halides with electron-withdrawing groups and boronic acids with electron-donating groups, especially in *ortho* and *para* positions, are expected to favour the palladium insertion, and therefore increase the rate of the reaction.

Different aryl halides with different functional groups were coupled to phenylboronic acid (Table 5) and a large range of yields were obtained. Electron-deficient aryl halides gave overall excellent yields at both studied catalyst loadings (Table 5, entries 1–6 and 16–18), while electron-rich aryl halides gave only low to moderate yields at the optimized 0.0025 mol% Pd loading after 2 hours (Table 5, entries 10–13). By increasing the catalyst loading and/or the reaction time, good to excellent yields were obtained, showing that less reactive substrates need a longer reaction time, such as the bulky naphtyl halides (Table 5, entries 14 and 15). In the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling, aryl iodides are supposed to give higher yields than aryl the rate-limiting step is bromides.⁴¹ As it is not the case here, this result suggests that the oxidative addition in the catalytic cycle, as initially postulated by Suzuki and Miyaura.⁴² The water solubility of the substrates is also an important factor and aryl iodides are usually less soluble. Water solvation of the aryl halide may play a role on the oxidative addition step, making it faster in the case of aryl bromides. Moreover, at the end of the oxidative addition, KX (X = halide) is generally formed (Fig. 2). According to the HSAB theory,⁴³ the formation of KBr is favoured compared to KI due to the nature of both the acid and the bases.

Different functionalized aryl chlorides (nitro, aldehyde, ketone, trifluoro) were used but no conversion was observed,

**Fig. 2** Catalytic cycle of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction.**Table 4** Control reactions of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction^a

Entry	Pd(OAc) ₂ (mol%)	Metformin·HCl (mol%)	Yield ^b (%)	Metformin·HCl 0.0025 mol% Pd(OAc) ₂ 0.0025 mol% K ₂ CO ₃ (1.1 eq.)		
				H ₂ O, 100°C, 15 min	4'-bromoacetophenone	phenylboronic acid
1	0.0025	0	Traces			
2	0	0.0025	0			

^a Reaction conditions: 1 mmol 4'-bromoacetophenone, 1 mmol phenylboronic acid, 1.1 mmol K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled water, 100 °C, 15 min, in air. ^b Non-isolated yield determined by ¹H NMR.

conventional heating. Suzuki–Miyaura couplings usually need higher temperatures under microwave conditions and the optimized conditions with conventional heating are therefore greener.^{37,38} Finally, the important role of the metformin as a ligand was highlighted through a control reaction. Indeed, at



Table 5 Substrates scope of aryl halides for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction catalyzed by the Metformin/Pd complex in neat water^a

Entry	Ar ¹ -X	Ar ² -B(OH) ₂	Product	Isolated yield (%)
				Metformin.HCl 0.0025 mol% Pd(OAc) ₂ 0.0025 mol% K ₂ CO ₃ (1.1 eq.) H ₂ O, 100°C, 15 min
1				39 ^b , 77 ^c
2				95
3				81
4				67
5				90 ^b
6				46 ^b
7				80 ^{b,c}
8				97 ^{b,c}
9				24 ^{b,c}
10				42 ^b , 77 ^{c,d}
11				42 ^b , 84 ^{c,d}
12				45 ^b , 88 ^{c,d}
13				15 ^b , 72 ^{c,d}
14				61 ^{b,c}
15				70 ^{c,d}
16				99 ^b
17				81 ^b



Table 5 (Contd.)

Entry	Ar ¹ -X	Ar ² -B(OH) ₂	Product	Isolated yield (%)
				Pd(OAc) ₂ 0.0025 mol%
18				74 ^b
19				0 ^{b,c}
20				0 ^{b,c}

^a Reaction conditions: Pd(OAc)₂, metformin hydrochloride, 1 mmol aryl halide, 1 mmol boronic acid, 1.1 mmol K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled water, 100 °C, 15 min, in air. ^b Yield after 15 min. ^c Yield after 2 hours. ^d Reaction performed with 0.5 mol% Pd/Met.

even when the reaction was performed under nitrogen atmosphere.

When different arylboronic acids were coupled to 4'-bromoacetophenone excellent yields were obtained for those containing electron-rich substituents (Table 5, entries 15–17), as expected. 2,6-Dimethylphenylboronic and 4-acetylphenylboronic acid did not react at all even after 2 hours (Table 5, entries 19 and 20), probably due to the steric hindrance of the methyl groups and the presence of a *para* electron-withdrawing substituent on the respective boronic acids, which may reinforce the carbon–boron bond and disadvantage the trans-metallation step.

Recyclability of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction

The recyclability of the reaction was studied for different palladium loadings (Fig. 3). At 0.005 mol% Pd, we were able, to perform 2 catalytic runs without significant loss of activity, which is not surprising, the 0.0025 mol% being the optimized Pd loading. At 0.5 mol% of Pd, we were able to recycle up to 4 times with no significant loss of efficiency. These results are in accordance with previously reported complex biguanide-based catalytic systems.^{25,44} However, at higher Pd loadings, we were expecting more recycling cycles. This result suggests that the catalytic species gradually degraded, probably due to air and/or water exposure. This can be the result of a decomplexation of




Fig. 3 Recyclability of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction at different Pd loadings.



Table 6 PMI and *E* factor for recent catalytic systems for the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling in aqueous media

	Bhalla <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁹	Khan <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰	Our work
PMI	>2229.2	44.99	44.81
<i>E</i> factor	>2232.6	32.95	30.35

the metformin/Pd complex, which results into non-protected Pd species subject to oxidation in water.

Greenness of the process

Metrics have been created to measure the greenness of chemical processes. The more relevant here are: the *E* factor (eqn (1)), which focuses on organic waste only, and the Process Mass Intensity (PMI) (eqn (2)), preferred by the pharmaceutical industry because it includes the use of both organic solvents and water in the whole process (reaction and purification steps).⁴⁵

$$E \text{ factor} = \frac{\text{mass of waste}}{\text{mass of desired product}} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{PMI} = \frac{\text{mass of materials}}{\text{mass of desired product}} \quad (2)$$

The values of *E* factor and PMI are sometimes misleading and difficult to compare because scientists do not always consider the purification steps' materials in their calculations. We decided here to consider them to have a better idea of the greenness of the process in its whole and to be able to compare it with others, keeping in mind that the purification steps usually produce the most waste.

Calculations of PMI and *E* factor have been done for our catalytic system to produce 1 mmol of 4'-phenylacetophenone and estimated for two recent catalytic Suzuki–Miyaura reactions performed in water (Table 6) for the same final product. Bhalla

et al. have reported a very interesting photocatalytic system for the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling using a copper complex, but the work-up process involved a huge amount of dichloromethane. Therefore the PMI and *E* factor values are extremely high. Our catalytic system is very close to the one developed by Khan *et al.*, where a pyridinium modified- β -cyclodextrin ligand was loaded with 3 mol% of Pd and used as catalyst for the same Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.

Optimization of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction

To assess the versatility of metformin as a water-soluble ligand for cross-coupling reactions, we also investigated its potential as ligand in the Sonogashira cross-coupling in neat water (Table 7). The Sonogashira reaction was performed under nitrogen atmosphere with degassed water to avoid the undesired Glaser homocoupling product.^{46–48} Despite all our efforts, the homocoupling product was sometimes observed and therefore 1.5 equivalents of phenylacetylene were used to obtain complete conversion of the aryl halide.

The reaction time was optimized to 8 h at 100 °C while using a 5 mol% of palladium loading. The Pd loading cannot be lowered without a significant loss of efficiency of the reaction. The control reaction without metformin demonstrates that the ligand has a crucial role, as only 16% of the desired product were obtained. Metformin is therefore necessary to avoid the deactivation of the metals. We began the study with a Pd/Cu 1/2 ratio to overcome the potential oxidation of Cu(I) in water. When this ratio was reduced to 1/1, the resulting catalytic system was less efficient, even when 5 mol% of sodium formate was added as a reducing agent (Table 7, entry 5). As was the case for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction, the temperature needed to be maintained at 100 °C, since the yield decreased to 66% when the reaction was performed at 90 °C.

Substrate scope for the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction

With the optimized conditions in hand, the versatility of the catalytic system for the Sonogashira reaction was assessed

Table 7 Optimization of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction^a

Entry	Reaction time (min)	Metformin·HCl : Pd : Cu (mol%)	Temperature (°C)		Yield (%)
			100	90	
1	18	15 : 5 : 10	100		100
2	8	15 : 5 : 10	100		97
3	6	15 : 5 : 10	100		86
4	8	12 : 4 : 8	100		76
5	8	10 : 5 : 5	100		59 (60 ^b)
6	8	15 : 5 : 10	90		66
7	8	0 : 5 : 10	100		16
8	8	15 : 0 : 0	100		0

^a Reaction conditions: 1 mmol 4-iodoacetophenone, 1.5 mmol phenylacetylene, 2 mmol K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled degassed water, 100 °C, 8 h, under nitrogen. ^b Reaction performed with 5 mol% of sodium formate as reducing agent.



Table 8 Substrates scope for the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction catalyzed by the metformin–Pd/Cu in neat water^a

Entry	Ar-X	Aryl acetylene	Product	Isolated yield (%)	Metformin.HCl 15 mol%			
					Pd(OAc) ₂ 5 mol%	CuI 10 mol%	K ₂ CO ₃ 2 eq	H ₂ O, N ₂ , 100°C, 8 h
1				95				
2				0				
3				70				
4				0				
5				90				
6				0				
7				0				
8				0				
9				0				
10				37				
11				0				

^a Reaction conditions: 0.05 mmol Pd(OAc)₂, 0.1 mmol CuI, 0.15 mmol metformin hydrochloride, 1 mmol aryl halide, 1.5 mmol aryl acetylene, 2 mmol K₂CO₃, 5 mL distilled degassed water, 100 °C, 8 h, under nitrogen.




Fig. 4 Catalytic cycle of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction.

through a substrate scope (Table 8). Different aryl iodides were coupled with phenylacetylene and again, the substrates containing electron-withdrawing groups gave excellent yields (from 70% to 97%) (Table 8, entries 1, 3 and 5), unlike substrates containing electron-donating groups which did not react (Table 8, entries 8 and 9). Moreover, this catalytic system seems to be specific to aryl iodides since aryl bromides were inactive, even when possessing electron-withdrawing groups (Table 8, entries 2, 4, 6 and 7). Potassium iodide (1 eq.) and iodine (0.2 eq.),⁵¹ were used as additives in order to replace the bromide and promote the insertion of Pd, but only traces of the desired product were detected by ¹H NMR. In the Sonogashira reaction, the rate-limiting step is the transmetalation, when CuX is formed (Fig. 4). Indeed, during this step, both metals must have an electronic gain and yet, according to the HSAB theory, the formation of CuI is favoured, compared to CuBr.






Fig. 5 Recyclability study of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction at different Pd loading.

Recyclability of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction

Recyclability of the Sonogashira cross-coupling was assessed at 5 and 10 mol% palladium loadings (Fig. 5). The increase of the Pd loading did not increase the number of possible catalytic runs demonstrating the degradation of the catalytic species.

Experimental

All organic compounds were obtained commercially and used without further purification. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer. All Suzuki–Miyaura reactions were performed in vials in a Chemglass Pie-Block. All Sonogashira reactions were performed under nitrogen atmosphere using distilled water, previously degassed with nitrogen for 15 min.

General procedure for the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction

In a typical experiment, 25 μL of a solution of $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ in methanol (1 mM), 25 μL of an aqueous solution of metformin hydrochloride (1 mM) and K_2CO_3 (1.1 eq.) were added to 2.5 mL of distilled water and stirred at 100 °C for 15 min. Arylboronic acid (1 mmol) and aryl halide (1 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 15 min. Afterwards, the reaction medium was cooled to room temperature and the aqueous phase was extracted with 3 \times 2 mL of EtOAc. The organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO_4 , evaporated *in vacuo* and the obtained white powder was analyzed by ^1H NMR to determine the yield. All products are known compounds and their ^1H NMR data were in accordance with literature.

General procedure for the recycling process of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction

After performing a typical Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction as described above, the extractions were made *in situ* in the vial with a 1 mL syringe to collect the organic layers. K_2CO_3 (0.1382 g, 1 mmol), phenylboronic acid (0.1219 g, 1 mmol) and 4'-bromoacetophenone (0.199 g, 1 mmol) were added to the remaining aqueous phase and stirred at 100 °C for 15 min. The procedure described above was followed and the aqueous phase is once again available for subsequent recycling cycles.

Poisoning experiment of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction

General procedure mentioned above was followed. Two drops of mercury were added after the formation of the catalyst before adding the substrates.

General procedure for the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction

In a typical experiment, $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11.22 mg, 0.05 mmol), CuI (19 mg, 0.10 mmol), metformin hydrochloride (24.84 mg, 0.15 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (0.2764 g, 2 mmol) were added to 5 mL of degassed distilled water and stirred at 100 °C for 30 min. Aryl acetylene (1.5 mmol) and aryl halide (1 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 8 h. Afterwards, the reaction medium was cooled to room temperature and the aqueous phase was extracted with 3 \times 5 mL of EtOAc. The organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO_4 , evaporated *in vacuo* and the obtained product was analyzed by ^1H NMR to determine the yield. All products are known compounds and their ^1H NMR data were in accordance with literature.

General procedure for the recycling process of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction

After performing a typical Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction as described above, the extractions were made *in situ* in the flask with a 1 mL syringe to collect the organic layers. K_2CO_3 (0.2764 g, 2 mmol), phenylacetylene (165 μL , 1.5 mmol) and 4'-iodoacetophenone (0.199 g, 1 mmol) were added to the remaining aqueous phase and stirred at 100 °C for 8 h. The procedure described above was followed.

Conclusions

We have successfully demonstrated the use of metformin as a water-soluble ligand for the Suzuki–Miyaura and the Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions. For the Suzuki–Miyaura, metformin allowed the use of a very low 0.0025 mol% palladium loading. The substrate scope showed the great tolerance of the catalytic system to various functional groups. We were able to recycle up to four catalytic runs without significant loss of activity at only 0.5 mol% Pd. Unfortunately we observed that the catalytic species degraded in water even at high catalyst loadings. The versatility of the ligand was then confirmed by using metformin as ligand in the Sonogashira coupling reaction. The catalytic system was efficient over 8 hours and was specific to aryl iodides. However, no recycling can be performed. We are currently working on a new ligand which should offer a better protection of the metal center and therefore allow a better recycling of the catalytic species.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies



(FRQ-NT), the Centre in Green Chemistry and Catalysis (CCVC) and the Université de Montréal for the financial support. We also thank Le Centre Régional de RMN and Le Centre Regional de Spectrométrie de masse of the Université de Montréal.

Notes and references

- 1 P. T. Anastas and J. C. Warner, *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*, 1998.
- 2 C. C. Johansson Seechurn, M. O. Kitching, T. J. Colacot and V. Snieckus, *Angew. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 5062–5085.
- 3 D. Prat, A. Wells, J. Hayler, H. Sneddon, C. R. McElroy, S. Abou-Shehada and P. J. Dunn, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 288–296.
- 4 C. Najera, *ChemCatChem*, 2016, **8**, 1865–1881.
- 5 D. Wang, C. Deraedt, J. Ruiz and D. Astruc, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 1871–1880.
- 6 K. H. Shaughnessy, *Molecules*, 2015, **20**, 9419–9454.
- 7 A. K. Rathi, M. B. Gawande, R. Zboril and R. S. Varma, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **291**, 68–94.
- 8 G. Herve and C. Len, *Front. Chem.*, 2015, **3**, 10.
- 9 C. Deraedt and D. Astruc, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 494–503.
- 10 N. Liu, C. Liu and Z. Jin, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **32**, 860.
- 11 C. A. Fleckenstein and H. Plenio, *Chemistry*, 2008, **14**, 4267–4279.
- 12 A. Chatterjee and T. R. Ward, *Catal. Lett.*, 2016, **146**, 820–840.
- 13 S. Xu and Y. Tang, *Lett. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **11**, 524–533.
- 14 D. P. Thorne and T. D. Lockwood, *Biochem. J.*, 1990, **266**, 713–718.
- 15 N. A. Helsby, S. A. Ward, R. E. Howells and A. M. Breckenridge, *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 1990, **30**, 287–291.
- 16 Y. Zhao, W. Wang, S. Guo, Y. Wang, L. Miao, Y. Xiong and L. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11822–11830.
- 17 P. Ray, *Chem. Rev.*, 1961, **61**, 313–359.
- 18 F. Chen, A. Li, G. Xu, Q. Li and Z. Lyu, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **63**, 770–775.
- 19 C. W. Lu, Y. Wang and Y. Chi, *Chemistry*, 2016, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201601216.
- 20 A. Alizadeh, M. M. Khodaei, D. Kordestani and M. Beygzaeh, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, **372**, 167–174.
- 21 H. Veisi, D. Kordestani and A. R. Faraji, *J. Porous Mater.*, 2013, **21**, 141–148.
- 22 H. Veisi, D. Kordestani, S. Hemmati, A. R. Faraji and H. Veisi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 5311–5314.
- 23 M. Navidi, N. Rezaei and B. Movassagh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, **743**, 63–69.
- 24 H. Veisi, A. Khazaei, M. Safaei and D. Kordestani, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, **382**, 106–113.
- 25 H. Veisi and N. Morakabati, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 2901–2907.
- 26 H. Veisi, M. Ghadermazi and A. Naderi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2016, **30**, 341–345.
- 27 B. Viollet, B. Guigas, N. Sanz Garcia, J. Leclerc, M. Foretz and F. Andreelli, *Clin. Sci.*, 2012, **122**, 253–270.
- 28 A. Alizadeh, M. M. Khodaei, D. Kordestani and M. Beygzaeh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 291–294.
- 29 A. S. Kashin and V. P. Ananikov, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 11117–11125.
- 30 V. Kairouz and A. R. Schmitzer, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 3117–3124.
- 31 M. Charbonneau, G. Addoumeh, P. Oguadinma and A. R. Schmitzer, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 6544–6549.
- 32 Y.-s. Liu, N.-n. Gu, P. Liu, X.-w. Ma, Y. Liu, J.-w. Xie and B. Dai, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, **71**, 7985–7989.
- 33 A. Fihri, D. Luart, C. Len, A. Solhy, C. Chevrin and V. Polshettiwar, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 3116–3121.
- 34 N. Miyaura, K. Yamada and A. Suzuki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1979, **20**, 3437–3440.
- 35 L. Liu, S. Zhang, H. Chen, Y. Lv, J. Zhu and Y. Zhao, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2013, **8**, 2592–2595.
- 36 N. Miyaura, K. Yamada, H. Sugino and A. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 972–980.
- 37 N. E. Leadbeater and M. Marco, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 2973–2976.
- 38 M. Massaro, S. Riela, G. Cavallaro, C. G. Colletti, S. Milioto, R. Noto, F. Parisi and G. Lazzara, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2015, **408**, 12–19.
- 39 N. E. Leadbeater and M. Marco, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 888–892.
- 40 M. Sarmah, A. Dewan, M. Mondal, A. J. Thakur and U. Bora, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 28981–28985.
- 41 T. D. Sheppard, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 1043–1052.
- 42 N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1979, 866–867.
- 43 T.-L. Ho, *Chem. Rev.*, 1975, **75**, 1–20.
- 44 M. Beygzaeh, A. Alizadeh, M. M. Khodaei and D. Kordestani, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, **32**, 86–91.
- 45 R. A. Sheldon, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 18–43.
- 46 C. Glaser, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1869, **2**, 422–424.
- 47 A. S. Hay, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1962, **27**, 3320–3321.
- 48 P. Siemsen, R. C. Livingston and F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 2632–2657.
- 49 G. Singh, M. Kumar, K. Sharma and V. Bhalla, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 3278–3285.
- 50 R. I. Khan and K. Pitchumani, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 5518–5528.
- 51 J. Mao, J. Guo, F. Fang and S.-J. Ji, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 3905–3911.

