 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 25175

Cinobufagin, a bufadienolide, activates ROS-mediated pathways to trigger human lung cancer cell apoptosis *in vivo*[†]

Panli Peng,^a Junhong Lv,^c Changqing Cai,^b Shaohuan Lin,^c Enqing Zhuo^b and Senming Wang^{*a}

Lung cancer, as the most common malignancy worldwide, is one of the most threatening diseases for human beings. Chan Su, an ethanolic extract from skin and parotid venom glands of the *Bufo bufo gargarizans* Cantor, is widely used as a traditional Chinese medicine in cancer therapy. Bufadienolides are cardiotoxic steroids isolated from the skin and parotid venom glands of toad *Bufo bufo gargarizans* Cantor with excellent anticancer activity. Unfortunately, little information about the *in vivo* effects and action mechanisms of bufadienolides on human lung cancer cells is available. Therefore, in this study, the anticancer activities of Cinobufagin (CnBu), bufalin (Bu) and arenobufagin (ArBu) were evaluated *in vivo* and *in vitro* and the underlying mechanisms were elucidated. The results showed that CnBu exhibited higher anticancer efficacy than Bu and AuBu against a panel of five lung cancer cells (A549, NCI-H460, H1299, Sk-mes-1 and Calu-3) with IC₅₀ values ranging from 2.3–6.7 μM. Moreover, CnBu showed much higher selectivity between cancer and normal cells, as suggested by its IC₅₀ value towards BEAS-2B human normal bronchial epithelial cells reaching 22.3 μM. CnBu also significantly inhibited the growth of A549 cells in a dose-dependent manner through anti-migration and anti-invasion. Moreover, CnBu effectively induced apoptosis in A549 cells by triggering caspase activation through intrinsic and extrinsic pathways. Treatment of CnBu also significantly caused mitochondrial fragmentation and ROS overproduction in cancer cells. Interestingly, the treatment of ROS scavengers significantly inhibited apoptosis caused by CnBu in A549 cells, further demonstrating the important role of ROS on CnBu-caused cell apoptosis. Moreover, CnBu also exhibited strong *in vivo* antitumor efficacy by inhibiting the tumor growth through induction of apoptosis *in vivo* and activation of p53 phosphorylation. In summary, our findings demonstrate the anticancer ability of bufadienolides *in vivo* against human lung cancer.

Received 24th January 2017

Accepted 26th April 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01085k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Lung cancer, as one of the most frequently occurring cancers throughout the world, has plagued human beings for a fairly long time. According to epidemiological studies, about 80% of lung cancers are non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which would lead to poor prognosis, and the rest (at about 20%) is small cell lung cancer.¹ Till now, the clinical treatment regimes for lung cancer include surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. While chemotherapy has significantly improved the therapeutic effect and the quality of patients' lives, its

anticancer efficacy and safety remain critical barriers for cancer treatment. For instance, multidrug resistance is the major cause for the failure of chemotherapy.² Therefore, there is still an urgent need to discover novel agents with therapeutic potentials for lung cancer treatment.³ The recent interest of scientists has been focused on seeking natural products as chemotherapeutic agents for lung cancer treatment.^{4–9} For instance, studies have reported hyperoside induced lung cancer cell apoptosis and suppressed inflammatory response *via* caspase-3- and NF-κB-mediated signaling pathway.⁶ Meng and co-workers found out that luteolin exhibited a pro-apoptotic effect and anti-migration effects on lung adenocarcinoma cells by regulation of the MEK/ERK-mediated pathway.⁷ These studies have confirmed the application potential of natural products in therapy of lung cancers.

Toad venom (*Venenum Bufonis*, also called Chan'su), extracted from the dried skin secretions of giant toads (*Bufo gargarizans* Cantor), has now been widely used as a traditional Chinese medicine for the therapy of various kinds of cancers.^{10–14} In traditional Chinese medicine, amphibian skin

^aDepartment of Oncology, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510282, China. E-mail: senmingwang137@yeah.net

^bOncology No. 2 Department, Guangdong Second Provincial General Hospital, Guangzhou 510317, China

^cThoracic Surgeons Department, Guangdong Second Provincial General Hospital, Guangzhou 510317, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01085k

extract has been used for the treatments of several diseases.^{15,16} Many studies have reported that toad skin extract and its components enabled to inhibit cancer cell growth, migration and invasion *via* different mechanisms.^{10–12} Qi and Cheng *et al.* have reviewed that Cinobufacini and its major active compounds such as Bufalin, Cinobufagin, Resibufogenin and Telocinobufagin have potent anticancer properties, including inhibition of cell proliferation, induction of cell differentiation, induction of apoptosis, disruption of the cell cycle, inhibition of cancer angiogenesis, reversal of multi-drug resistance, and regulation of the immune response.^{17,18} Gao *et al.* reviewed the abundance of natural bufadienolides such as crassulaceae (plant-sourced) and bufonidae (animal-sourced) and their products obtained by biotransformation.¹⁹ Recently, scientists and researchers focus on screening the anticancer ability of active components from the skin extracts of toad venom. Researches have demonstrated that the main active components in toad skins are hydrophilic indole alkaloids (such as bufotenidine and cinobufotenine) and lipophilic steroidal cardiac glycosides (such as bufadienolides).^{5,20} Bufadienolide-type cardiotonic steroids have been identified as major active components and marker compounds of *Venenum Bufonis*.²¹ Nowadays, bufadienolides including bufotalin, bufalin and resibufogenin *etc.* have been found to show potent cytotoxic and growth-inhibitory activity against various human cancer cells including lung cancer cells.^{5,22–30} For instance, Lee *et al.* have demonstrated the cyto-/genotoxic effects of ethanolic extract from Chan Su on human lung carcinoma cells.³¹ Schmeda-Hirschmann *et al.* have found out that the new species of argininyl bufadienolide esters from the “cururú” toad *Rhinella (Bufo) schneideri* have novel antiproliferative activity.³² Liu and co-workers have reported that the novel bufalin derivative exhibited higher apoptotic effect against lung cancer cells and lower acute toxicity in mice model.³³ Chen's group has reported that bufadienolides exhibited the strongest cytotoxic effect against lung carcinoma cell line, including SPC-A-1 cells and A549 cells.¹⁵ Taken together, these results have supported the therapeutic potential of toad venom and its active components in treatments of lung cancers.

Cinobufagin (CnBu) is a novel bioactive component from the traditional Chinese medicine Asiatic toad *Bufo bufo* gargarizans, and has been reported to exhibit potent pharmacological activity.^{34–39} In particular, recent studies have demonstrated the broad-spectrum cytotoxicities of CnBu against various human cancer cell lines.^{34,35,37,39} For instance, Zhang *et al.* have shown that CnBu effectively inhibited cancer growth by triggering AKT-mediated intrinsic apoptotic pathway in non-small lung cancer cells.³⁹ Ma *et al.* found out that CnBu could induce autophagy-mediated cell death in human osteosarcoma U2OS cells through the ROS/JNK/p38-mediated signaling pathway.³⁷ Chen *et al.* showed that CnBu could be used to treat paw cancer pain by modulating the expression of local β -endorphin.³⁵ These results have demonstrated the potential of CnBu in cancer therapy. However, the *in vivo* anticancer effects of CnBu on human lung cancer cells and the underlying action mechanisms were still unknown. Therefore, in this study, the *in vitro* and *in vivo* anticancer activities of CnBu were examined against

human lung cancer cells, and the underlying action mechanisms were also investigated. Our findings indicated that CnBu effectively inhibited the growth, migration and invasion of lung cancer cells *via* the induction of cell apoptosis. Treatment of cancer cells also significantly activated mitochondrial fragmentation and ROS overproduction. Interestingly, addition of ROS scavenger significantly inhibited the CnBu-induced cell death, indicating the important role of ROS on triggering cell apoptosis. Moreover, CnBu exhibited strong anti-proliferative efficacy *in vivo* through the induction of apoptosis *in vivo* and activation of p53 phosphorylation.

Results and discussion

Inhibition of lung cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion induced by CnBu, Bu and ArBu

The purity of CnBu was demonstrated by using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) before screening its cytotoxicity (Fig. S1 and S2†). The anticancer activities of CnBu, ArBu and Bu (Fig. 1A) on five human lung cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H460, H1299, Sk-mes-1 and Calu-3) and BEAS-2B human normal bronchial epithelial cells were first evaluated. After 72 h incubation, the cell viability treated with CnBu, ArBu and Bu was determined by MTT assay. As shown in Fig. 1B, CnBu exhibited stronger anticancer ability against five lung cancer cells (A549, NCI-H460, H1299, Sk-mes-1 and Calu-3) than that of ArBu and Bu, with the IC₅₀ values ranging from 2.3–6.7 μ M. Importantly, CnBu showed much higher selectivity between cancer and normal cells than that of ArBu and Bu treatment, as evidenced by the higher IC₅₀ value towards BEAS-2B human

Fig. 1 *In vitro* anticancer activities of Cinobufagin (CnBu), bufalin (Bu) and arenobufagin (ArBu). (A) Chemical structure of CnBu, Bu and ArBu. (B) Cytotoxicity of Bu and ArBu towards human cancer and normal cells. Human lung cancer cells and normal cells were treated with different concentrations of CnBu, Bu and ArBu for 72 h, and the cell viability was examined by MTT assay.

Fig. 2 Effects of CnBu on A549 cell migration. The A549 cells were treated with different concentrations of CnBu for 24 h and photographed using a phase-contrast microscope (200 $\times$, Nikon TS100).

Fig. 3 Effects of CnBu on A549 cell invasion. Cells were exposed to different CnBu concentrations for 24 h and photographed using a phase-contrast microscope (200 $\times$, Nikon TS100).

normal bronchial epithelial cells (reaching to 22.3 μ M). Among the different types of lung cancer cells, A549 cells were the most vulnerable cell line towards CnBu treatment, thus A549 cells were selected as the cell model for further mechanism studies. Because lung cancer cells were highly metastatic, we next examined the anti-metastatic effect of CnBu on A549 cells *in vitro*. In this study, wound closure assay and transwell migration assay were performed to evaluate the invasion and migration ability of A549 cells after the treatment of CnBu. Before the experiment, we have confirmed that CnBu at 2 and 4 μ M showed no growth inhibitory effect on A549 cells with the cell density at 1×10^6 per well. Under these experimental conditions, we found out that 2 and 4 μ M of CnBu effectively inhibited migration (Fig. 2) and invasion of A549 cells (Fig. 3). Above all, these results commonly suggest that CnBu exhibited strong inhibitory effect on cancer growth, invasion and migration.

A549 cell apoptosis induced by CnBu

Cell apoptosis has been detected in various kinds of human chronic diseases especially in cancers.⁴⁰ Therefore, apoptosis induced by drugs in cancer cells has been regarded as an efficient strategy to treat cancers.⁴¹ Many studies have demonstrated that most anticancer drugs exhibited strong anticancer activities by triggering cell apoptosis.⁴² Based on our previous results, CnBu exhibited stronger anticancer ability than ArBu and Bu. Hence, the mechanism of CnBu-caused cell death was clarified and demonstrated by using TUNEL & DAPI co-staining

Fig. 4 Representative apoptotic DNA fragmentation and nuclear condensation induced by CnBu (24 h) as determined via a TUNEL-DAPI co-staining assay (magnification, 200 $\times$).

assay. The fragmented DNA in the apoptotic cells can be detected and visualized by the fluorescence of FITC. The nucleus can be stained by DAPI. As shown in Fig. 4, DNA fragmentation and nuclear condensation were detected in A549 cells after treated with CnBu, as evidenced by strong green fluorescence in a dose-dependent manner, which suggested that A549 cells were undergoing apoptosis after the exposure to CnBu.

Caspases, playing an critical role on the induction of cell apoptosis, is a family of cysteine proteases that manipulate the enzymolysis process of series of substrates.⁴³ Activated caspases subsequently induced proteolytic cleavage of PARP and lead to cell apoptosis in the end.⁴³ Cell apoptosis is mainly triggered by two mechanisms, including extrinsic (death receptor-mediated) and intrinsic (mitochondrial-mediated) apoptotic pathways. Therefore, the caspase activities including caspase-3, caspase-8 and caspase-9 in cells treated with CnBu were evaluated to confirm the vital role of caspase in the process of cell apoptosis. As shown in Fig. 5, A549 cells treated with different concentrations of CnBu

Fig. 5 Activation of caspases in A549 lung cancer cells by CnBu (24 h) as examined by specific fluorogenic substrates. * $P < 0.05$ and ** $P < 0.01$ compared with the control.

remarkably induced the activation of all three caspases in a dose-dependent manner by quantifying fluorescence intensity. These results revealed that CnBu induced apoptosis in lung cancer cells through the activation of caspase.

CnBu triggers mitochondria dysfunction and ROS overproduction

Mitochondria dysfunction, as an important incidence in the process of apoptosis, have a vital role in triggering various apoptotic signals.³⁴ Mitochondrial dysfunction mainly include mitochondrial fragmentation, decrease of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\psi_m$) which may lead to the release of factors contributing to the activation of apoptotic cell death.⁴⁴ As shown in Fig. 5, the much higher level of caspase-9 suggested that mitochondria play a much more important part in the process of cell apoptosis than that of the death receptor-mediated pathway. Correspondingly, in this study, the mitochondria fragmentation was observed in A549 cells after treated with CnBu, as denoted by the white arrow, suggesting that CnBu induced apoptosis in lung cancer cell *via* initiation of mitochondria-mediated apoptotic pathways.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) was found to play an critical role in cell apoptosis *via* activation of mitochondrial dysfunction.⁴⁵ Overproduction of intracellular ROS may cause DNA damage and then initiate different apoptosis-related signaling pathways, such as p53 pathway.^{46–49} Considerable evidence revealed that ROS have a significant influence on the initiation of signaling pathways in general chemotherapies.^{1,21,31,35,50–54} Considering the observed mitochondria dysfunction in A549

Fig. 7 Activation of ROS overproduction in A549 cells by CnBu. (A) Time- and dose-dependent effects of CnBu on intracellular ROS generation in A549 cells. (B) Protective effects of antioxidant NAC on CnBu-induced cell growth inhibition. The cells were pretreated with NAC (1 mM) for 2 h followed by co-incubation with different concentrations of Ru2. * $P < 0.05$ and ** $P < 0.01$ indicate significant difference between –NAC and +NAC groups.

Fig. 6 Induction of mitochondrial fragmentation by CnBu (24 h). A549 cells mitochondria and nucleuses were visualized by red (MitoTracker Red CMXRos) and blue (DAPI) fluorescence, respectively.

cell after treatment of CnBu (Fig. 6), we next set out to evaluate the generation of ROS in A549 cells with DHE as the fluorescence probe. As shown in Fig. 7A, treatment of A549 cells with different concentrations of CnBu significantly induced the overproduction of ROS in a time- and dose-dependent manner. Moreover, to verify the importance of ROS in the process of cell apoptosis, *N*-acetylcysteine (NAC), a ROS scavenger, was introduced to evaluate its effects on the process of CnBu-caused cell death. As shown in Fig. 7B, pre-incubation of the cells with NAC (1 mM) for 2 h greatly suppressed CnBu-induced cell death, which confirmed that ROS have an unparalleled influence on the apoptosis of human lung cancer cells induced by CnBu.

In vivo anticancer efficacy of CnBu through activation of p53 phosphorylation

The antitumor efficacy of CnBu *in vivo* was evaluated in A549 xenograft nude mice. After treatment of i.p. (2.5 and 5.0 mg kg^{−1} body weight every other day) for four weeks (28 days), the tumor weight was remarkably reduced from 2.5 g to 1.6 and 1.1 g respectively. The tumor-inhibition rate reached to 36% and 56% respectively (Fig. 8A). The tumor volume was dramatically decreased to 68% and 46% of control group (Fig. 8B and C). Furthermore, the body weight of the mice with drug treatment maintained constant in the whole treatment process. Taken

Fig. 8 *In vivo* antitumor efficacy and action mechanisms of CnBu. Inhibitory effects of CnBu on A549 xenograft tumor weight (A) and volume (B) (2.5 or 5.0 mg kg⁻¹) for 28 days. *, **, $P < 0.01$ versus the control. (C) Changes of tumor volume in A549 xenograft nude mice between control group and treatment group (2.5 mg kg⁻¹ of CnBu) for 28 days.

together, these results verify the strong *in vivo* antitumor activity and safety of CnBu in A549 xenograft nude mice.

Histological and immunofluorescent analysis was introduced to study the mechanism involved in CnBu-induced growth inhibition *in vivo*. As shown in Fig. 9, from the results of TUNEL-DAPI co-staining assay, significant increase of DNA fragmentation was observed as evidenced by the increase of the green fluorescence, indicating the occurrence of cell apoptosis *in vivo*. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 10, significant inhibition of

Fig. 9 Immunofluorescent analysis of TUNEL & DAPI co-staining in tumor sections from CnBu-treated xenograft nude mice. The mice were treated with 2.5 mg kg⁻¹ or 5 mg kg⁻¹ CnBu for 28 days.

Fig. 10 HE staining and immunohistochemical analysis of the expression levels of Ki-67 and phosphorylated p53 (p-P53) in A549 xenograft tumor treated with CnBu for 28 days.

blood vessel formation and cancer cell density was also observed in tumor after administered with 2.5 and 5.0 mg kg⁻¹ CnBu. The expression of Ki-67, a biomarker of proliferation, was remarkably suppressed with treatment of different concentrations of CnBu in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the expression of phosphorylated p53 (p-p53) increased significantly after 28 days of treatment with CnBu.

Taken together, all these results demonstrated that CnBu significantly suppressed the proliferation of tumor by initiating cell apoptosis with the involvement of p53 phosphorylation.

Conclusions

In summary, the *in vitro* and *in vivo* anticancer activities of CnBu were examined against human lung cancer cells, and the underlying mechanisms were elucidated in this study. Our findings indicated that CnBu effectively inhibited lung cancer cell growth, migration, and invasion *via* the induction of cell apoptosis. Treatment of CnBu significantly led to the mitochondrial fragmentation and ROS overproduction in lung cancer cells. Interestingly, addition of ROS scavenger significantly inhibited the CnBu-induced cell death, indicating the important roles of ROS in cell apoptosis. Moreover, CnBu exhibited strong antitumor activity *in vivo* by inhibiting tumor growth through induction of cell apoptosis *in vivo* and activation of p53 phosphorylation. Hence, this study confirms the anticancer efficacy of bufadienolides in the treatment of human lung cancers.

Materials and methods

Reagents and materials

Cinobufagin (CnBu), arenobufagin (ArBu) and bufalin (Bu) standards were provided by Tauto Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai,

China). The purity of CnBu was verified by using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA).

Cell lines and cell culture

Human lung cancer cell lines used in this study (A549, NCI-H460, H1299, Sk-mes-1 and Calu-3) and BEAS-2B human normal bronchial epithelial cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) and Guangzhou Jennio Biotech Co., Ltd (Guangzhou, China). The cells were cultured and maintained in RPMI 1640 and DMEM medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum (10%), penicillin (100 units ml^{-1}) and streptomycin (50 units ml^{-1}) at 37 °C in a humid incubator with 5% CO_2 .

MTT assay

The effects of the tested drugs on the cell growth were determined by MTT cell viability assay on a microplate spectrophotometer (VERSA max, Molecular Devices).⁵⁵

Cell migration and invasion

To evaluate the inhibition effect of CnBu on cell migration and invasion, wound closure assay and transwell migration assay were performed as previously described.⁵⁶

TUNEL assay and DAPI staining

TUNEL-DAPI co-staining assay kit (Roche) was employed to detect the apoptotic cell death induced by ArBu as previously described.⁵⁷

Determination of the caspase activity

The activities of caspase-3, -8 and -9 in cells treated with the tested drugs were monitored by fluorometric method using specific caspase substrates (Ac-DEVD-AFC for caspase-3, Ac-IETD-AFC for caspase-8 and Ac-LEHD-AFC for caspase-9).⁵⁸

Measurement of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation

The effects of CnBu on intracellular ROS generation in A549 cells were monitored by DHE assay.⁵⁹ The ROS levels were expressed as percentage of treated cells to that of control.

Tumor xenograft in nude mice

A549 cells (5×10^6) suspended in 200 μl PBS were injected s.c. into the right lower hind flank of each six-week-old male nude mouse. The mice were randomly assigned into three groups with 5 mice in each group. After ten days, ArBu dissolved in the solution ($\text{DMF}_v : \text{Tween-80}_v : \text{saline}_v = 10 : 2 : 88$) was given i.p. (2, 4 mg kg^{-1} body weight every other day) for 20 days. Body weights and tumor dimensions were monitored as previously described.⁶⁰ Animals were maintained in accordance with the guidelines of the Southern Medical University, China, and approved by the institutional ethical committee (IEC) of Southern Medical University.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Glutaraldehyde-fixed tumor specimens were embedded with paraffin and cut into 5 μm thin sections. Each tissue section was deparaffinized and underwent hematoxylin and eosin for histology observation. Immunohistochemistry analysis was performed with anti-p-p53 (Ser¹⁵) and ki67 antibody (1 : 100) and biotinylated IgG antibody.⁶⁰ To identify the apoptosis in tumor section, TUNEL & DAPI staining assay was performed according to the manufacturers' protocols.

Statistical analysis

In this study, all experiments were performed at least in triplicate, and the data were presented as mean $\pm$ standard error. Difference between two groups was analyzed by two-tailed Student's test, and that among three or more groups was analyzed by one-way ANOVA multiple comparisons. Difference with $P < 0.05$ (*) or $P < 0.01$ (**) was considered statistically significant.

References and notes

- 1 Y. Y. He, X. C. Zhang, J. J. Yang, F. Y. Niu, Z. Zeng, H. H. Yan, C. R. Xu, J. L. Guan, W. Z. Zhong, L. L. Yang, L. H. Guo and Y. L. Wu, *Clin. Lung Cancer*, 2014, **15**, 441–447.
- 2 A. Rajeswaran, A. Trojan, B. Burnand and M. Giannelli, *Lung Cancer*, 2008, **59**, 1–11.
- 3 P. S. Ong, L. Wang, D. M. Chia, J. Y. Seah, L. R. Kong, W. L. Thuya, A. Chinnathambi, J. A. Lau, A. L. Wong, W. P. Yong, D. Yang, P. C. Ho, G. Sethi and B. C. Goh, *Cancer Lett.*, 2016, **381**, 49–57.
- 4 K. Jiang, W. Wang, X. Jin, Z. Wang, Z. Ji and G. Meng, *Oncol. Rep.*, 2015, **33**, 2711–2718.
- 5 L. Lin, L. Ren, L. Wen, Y. Wang and J. Qi, *Mol. Med. Rep.*, 2016, **14**(3), 2832.
- 6 P. Lu, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2016, **82**, 216–225.
- 7 G. Meng, K. Chai, X. Li, Y. Zhu and W. Huang, *Chem.-Biol. Interact.*, 2016, **257**, 26–34.
- 8 G. Meng, W. Wang, K. Chai, S. Yang, F. Li and K. Jiang, *Internet J. Oncol.*, 2015, **46**, 1007–1017.
- 9 J. Moore, M. Megaly, A. J. MacNeil, P. Klentrou and E. Tsiani, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2016, **83**, 725–732.
- 10 Y. H. Dai, B. Shen, M. Y. Xia, A. D. Wang, Y. L. Chen, D. C. Liu and D. Wang, *Molecules*, 2016, **21**, 1–5.
- 11 M. Nakata, S. Kawaguchi, A. Oikawa, A. Inamura, S. Nomoto, H. Miyai, T. Nonaka, S. Ichimi, Y. Fujita-Yamaguchi, C. Luo, B. Gao and W. Tang, *Drug Discoveries Ther.*, 2015, **9**, 417–421.
- 12 M. Nakata, S. Mori, Y. Kamoshida, S. Kawaguchi, Y. Fujita-Yamaguchi, B. Gao and W. Tang, *BioSci. Trends*, 2015, **9**, 266–269.
- 13 C. X. Lu, K. J. Nan and Y. Lei, *Anti-Cancer Drugs*, 2008, **19**, 931–939.
- 14 C. Oelkrug, M. Hartke and A. Schubert, *Anticancer Res.*, 2015, **35**, 635–643.
- 15 C. Liu, W. Cao, Y. Chen, D. Qu and J. Zhou, *Pharmacogn. Mag.*, 2014, **10**, 207–212.

- 16 H. Huang, Y. Yang, C. Lv, W. Chang, C. Peng, S. Wang, G. Ge, L. Han, W. Zhang and R. Liu, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2015, **161**, 175–185.
- 17 F. Qi, A. Li, Y. Inagaki, N. Kokudo, S. Tamura, M. Nakata and W. Tang, *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 2011, **11**, 342–349.
- 18 Q. Li, T. Sun and K. Hu, *China J. Tradit. Chin. Med. Pharm.*, 2010, **46**(12), 2075–2078.
- 19 H. Gao, R. Popescu, B. Kopp and Z. Wang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2011, **28**, 953–969.
- 20 C. Liu, W. Cao, Y. Chen, D. Qu and J. Zhou, *Pharmacogn. Mag.*, 2014, **10**, 207–212.
- 21 H. Huang, Y. Yang, C. Lv, W. Chang, C. Peng, S. Wang, G. Ge, L. Han, W. Zhang and R. Liu, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2015, **161**, 175–185.
- 22 Z. Wang, J. Wen, J. Zhang, M. Ye and D. Guo, *Biomed. Chromatogr.*, 2004, **18**, 318–322.
- 23 L. Wen, Y. Huang, X. Xie, W. Huang, J. Yin, W. Lin, Q. Jia and W. Zeng, *Mediators Inflammation*, 2014, **2014**, 1–9.
- 24 X. F. Zhai, F. F. Fang, Q. Liu, Y. B. Meng, Y. Y. Guo and Z. Chen, *BMC Complementary Altern. Med.*, 2013, **13**, 1–6.
- 25 L. He, S. Ji, H. Lai and T. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **3**, 8383–8393.
- 26 X. Z. Yang, X. J. Du, Y. Liu, Y. H. Zhu, Y. Z. Liu, Y. P. Li and J. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 931–936.
- 27 M. Li, X. Yu, H. Guo, L. Sun, A. Wang, Q. Liu, X. Wang and J. Li, *Tumor Biol.*, 2014, **35**, 2461–2471.
- 28 D. M. Zhang, J. S. Liu, L. J. Deng, M. F. Chen, A. Yiu, H. H. Cao, H. Y. Tian, K. P. Fung, H. Kurihara, J. X. Pan and W. C. Ye, *Carcinogenesis*, 2013, **34**, 1331–1342.
- 29 G. X. Xu and T. T. Wang, *Int. J. Ophthalmol.*, 2010, **3**, 128–131.
- 30 B. J. Li, H. Y. Tian, D. M. Zhang, Y. H. Lei, L. Wang, R. W. Jiang and W. C. Ye, *Fitoterapia*, 2015, **105**, 7–15.
- 31 S. Lee, Y. Lee, Y. J. Choi, K. S. Han and H. W. Chung, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2014, **152**, 372–376.
- 32 G. Schmeda-Hirschmann, C. Quispe, C. Theoduloz, P. T. de Sousa Jr and C. Parizotto, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2014, **155**, 1076–1085.
- 33 M. Liu, L. X. Feng, P. Sun, W. Liu, W. Y. Wu, B. H. Jiang, M. Yang, L. H. Hu, D. A. Guo and X. Liu, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, 1–18.
- 34 S. H. Baek, C. Kim, J. H. Lee, D. Nam, J. Lee, S. G. Lee, W. S. Chung, H. J. Jang, S. H. Kim and K. S. Ahn, *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.*, 2015, **37**, 265–273.
- 35 T. Chen, W. Hu, H. He, Z. Gong, J. Wang, X. Yu, T. Ai and L. Zhan, *J. Evidence-Based Complementary Altern. Med.*, 2013, **2013**, 1–9.
- 36 P. Li, Q. Song, T. Liu, Z. Wu, X. Chu, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Gao, J. Zhang and L. Chu, *Sci. World J.*, 2014, **2014**, 1–10.
- 37 K. Ma, C. Zhang, M. Y. Huang, W. Y. Li and G. Q. Hu, *Oncol. Rep.*, 2016, **36**, 90–98.
- 38 Y. Yu, H. Wang, X. Meng, L. Hao, Y. Fu, L. Fang, D. Shen, X. Yu and J. Li, *J. Evidence-Based Complementary Altern. Med.*, 2015, **2015**, 1–8.
- 39 G. Zhang, C. Wang, M. Sun, J. Li, B. Wang, C. Jin, P. Hua, G. Song, Y. Zhang, L. L. Nguyen, R. Cui, R. Liu, L. Wang and X. Zhang, *Oncotarget*, 2016, **7**, 28935–28946.
- 40 K. Maiese, Z. Z. Chong, Y. C. Shang and S. Wang, *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets*, 2012, **16**, 1203–1214.
- 41 T. Chen and Y. S. Wong, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2008, **65**, 2763–2775.
- 42 T. Chen and Y. S. Wong, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2009, **41**, 666–676.
- 43 S. J. Riedl and Y. Shi, *Nat. Rev.*, 2004, **5**, 897–907.
- 44 T. Chen, W. Zheng, Y. S. Wong and F. Yang, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2008, **62**, 77–84.
- 45 H. U. Simon, A. Haj-Yehia and F. Levi-Schaffer, *Apoptosis*, 2000, **5**, 415–418.
- 46 I. R. Indran, M. P. Hande and S. Pervaiz, *Cancer Res.*, 2011, **71**, 266–276.
- 47 S. Park, Y. J. Son, K. W. Leong and H. S. Yoo, *Nano Today*, 2012, **7**, 76–84.
- 48 L. Piconi, L. Quagliaro, R. Assaloni, R. Da Ros, A. Maier, G. Zuodar and A. Ceriello, *Diabetes/Metab. Res. Rev.*, 2006, **22**, 198–203.
- 49 C. H. Wong, K. B. Iskandar, S. K. Yadav, J. L. Hirpara, T. Loh and S. Pervaiz, *PLoS One*, 2010, **5**, 1–12.
- 50 M. Arai, Y. Shibata, K. Pugdee, Y. Abiko and Y. Ogata, *IUBMB Life*, 2007, **59**, 27–33.
- 51 J. K. Zhang, L. Yang, G. L. Meng, J. Fan, J. Z. Chen, Q. Z. He, S. Chen, J. Z. Fan, Z. J. Luo and J. Liu, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2012, **689**, 31–37.
- 52 Z. Luo, L. Yu, F. Yang, Z. Zhao, B. Yu, H. Lai, K. H. Wong, S. M. Ngai, W. Zheng and T. Chen, *Metallomics*, 2014, **6**, 1480–1490.
- 53 T. Chen, Y. Liu, W. J. Zheng, J. Liu and Y. S. Wong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 6366–6368.
- 54 W. Cao, W. Zheng and T. Chen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 1–11.
- 55 H. Luo, F. Wang, Y. Bai, T. Chen and W. Zheng, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2012, **94**, 304–308.
- 56 Y. You, H. Hu, L. He and T. Chen, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 2744–2754.
- 57 W. Liu, X. L. Li, Y. S. Wong, W. J. Zheng, Y. B. Zhang, W. Q. Cao and T. F. Chen, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 6578–6591.
- 58 X. L. Li, T. Chen, Y. S. Wong, G. Xu, R. R. Fan, H. L. Zhao and J. C. Chan, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2011, **43**, 525–534.
- 59 Y. Huang, L. He, W. Liu, C. Fan, W. Zheng, Y. S. Wong and T. Chen, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 7106–7116.
- 60 Y. Huang, W. Huang, L. Chan, B. Zhou and T. Chen, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **103**, 183–196.