



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 19771

Influence of tungsten on the NH₃-SCR activity of MnWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts†

Lu Peng,^{id} Li Huan, Liu Huayan, Chen Yinfei and Zhang Zekai^{id}*

A series of bulk MnWO_x and supported MnWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts with MnWO₄ structure were prepared via self-propagating high-temperature synthesis (SHS), co-precipitation and impregnation methods. The catalysts were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), N₂ adsorption/desorption, hydrogen-temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The performance of MnWO_x and MnWO_x/TiO₂ and the relation between the tungsten and manganese oxide species in MnWO_x and MnWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts were investigated. The supported MnWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts exhibited the activity improvement of the SCR reaction via the promotion of tungsten. The XRD pattern and the TEM images revealed that the presence of tungsten induces the formation of a MnWO₄ oxide phase, thus weakening the interaction between MnO₂ and TiO₂, which is favorable for the specific surface area of MnWO_x/TiO₂. The MnWO₄ phase also has a positive effect for the activity and N₂ selectivity of MnWO_x/TiO₂ in the high temperature range. In a feed gas that contains 500 ppm NO, 500 ppm NH₃, 5 vol% O₂ and N₂ as the balance gas, Mn₂WO₄/TiO₂-SHS shows the best deNO_x performance and the NO_x conversion reaches 100% in the temperature range of 130–300 °C under the reaction condition of 30 000 h⁻¹.

Received 12th January 2017

Accepted 27th March 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra00427c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

NH₃-SCR is a well-established technology for the removal of nitrogen oxides from stationary and mobile emission sources.^{1–3} V₂O₅ based catalysts have also been proven to be very suitable catalysts for the NH₃-SCR process in the temperature window of 350–400 °C.^{4–7} Unfortunately, the temperature of exit flue gas is often lower than the work temperature range; this means there is a lot of excess energy cost when the V₂O₅ based catalysts are used. Finding another catalyst system that can work under the temperature of exit flue gas is thereby attractive for many researchers' interest. Many single and mixed transition oxides system have been developed, such as Mn-,^{8–10} Fe-^{11,12} and Ce-based catalysts.^{13,14} MnO₂ based catalysts have been substantially investigated, which are famous for the high activity and poor poison resistance at low temperature range.^{15–17}

In the V₂O₅ and CeO₂ based catalysts, tungsten is usually used as a promoter and stabilizer. WO₃ can widen the work temperature window and raise the SO₂ resistance by inhibiting the initial sintering of TiO₂, increasing the amount of Lewis acid over catalyst, improving the electron transfer of the catalyst, facilitating the formation of reduced V₂O₅, and directly providing the active sites^{18–21} The promotion effect also have

been adopted to improve the performance of MnO₂ based catalysts.^{22–24} Casapu *et al.*²⁵ screened the doped MnO_x-CeO₂ catalysts and found that an even stronger suppression of N₂O formation was obtained with MnWCe system, but this catalyst also showed a very low ammonia oxidation activity. Xu *et al.*²⁶ studied the tungsten modified MnO_x-CeO₂/ZrO₂ monolith catalysts and found that MnO_x-CeO₂/10% WO₃-ZrO₂ had the best textural properties and the most adsorbed sites of NH₃ or NO species. The NO_x conversion was more than 80% in the temperature range of 150 to 380 °C at the gas hourly space velocity of 10 000 h⁻¹. Meanwhile, Peng *et al.*²⁷ doped CeW catalyst with manganese and found MnCeW system exhibited more activity for NO_x conversion than the CeW catalyst below 200 °C. The addition of tungsten to Mn/Ce/Ti resulting in the Mn/Ce/W/Ti catalyst also showed excellent NO_x conversion in the 120–200 °C and SO₂ resistance.²⁸ These results illuminated the promoting effect of tungsten to the MnO₂ based catalysts. Further, Liu *et al.* first considered the MnWO_x as the main active phase and got high deNO_x efficiency from 60 to 250 °C.²⁹ Sun *et al.*³⁰ then prepared a series of W_αMn_{1-α}O_x Catalysts via coprecipitation method. W_{0.33}Mn_{0.66}O_x catalyst with amorphous or poorly crystalline Mn and W species showed the highest NH₃-SCR activity within a broad temperature range of 230–470 °C. Our group also prepared a Mn_xW_{0.05}Ti_{0.95-x}O_{2-δ} catalyst with self-propagating high-temperature synthesis method and obtained a high activity in the range of 200–400 °C. In different, an uncertain crystal phase was observed on the TEM image, which might be helpful for the activity of the MnWTi catalyst.³¹

Department of Energy Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Chaowang Road 18, Hangzhou 310014, China. E-mail: zzk@zjut.edu.cn; Tel: +86-571-88320767

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra00427c



In this paper, to discuss the promoting function of tungsten and MnWO₄ phase on the properties of MnO₂ based catalysts, a series of bulk and supported MnWO_x catalysts were prepared by self-propagating high-temperature synthesis (SHS), co-precipitation (CP) and impregnation (IMP) methods, and their catalytic activity in NH₃-SCR reaction were tested.

2. Experimental

2.1 Catalyst preparation

The MnWO_x catalysts were mainly prepared by self-propagating high-temperature synthesis, co-precipitation and impregnation method. The general preparation steps are as follows: for the bulk MnWO_x catalysts *via* self-propagating high-temperature synthesis, stoichiometric molar amount of manganous nitrate (Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O) and ammonium tungstate hydrate ((NH₄)₁₀·W₁₂O₄₁·xH₂O) was dissolved in the de-ioned water respectively. The total amount of precursor metal-salts is 0.01 mol. 0.1 mol of glycine (CH₂NH₂COOH) was then added into the precursor solution as a fuel. Then, the mixed solution was stirred, heated and concentrated to form proper homogeneity till it burnt. Then, the solid was transferred into a clean crucible and moved into a muffle kept at the constant temperature for 2 h for the aging of the target catalyst. Under the same conditions, the mixed Mn–W oxides catalysts with different molar ratio of Mn to W (labeled as Mn_aWO_x later) were dispersed on the surface of TiO₂ (anatase, htnano Co., Ltd). The loading amount of active components is kept at 20 wt%. The Mn₂WO_x and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂ catalysts with typical MnWO₄ phase were also prepared by co-precipitation and impregnation method. The detailed steps can be seen in elsewhere.³² As a reference, MnO₂, WO₃, WO₃/TiO₂ (20 wt% WO₃), MnO₂/TiO₂ (20 wt% MnO₂) samples were also prepared *via* self-propagating high-temperature synthesis.

2.2 Catalyst characterization

N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of the catalysts were measured at –196 °C using a physical adsorption apparatus (3Flex, Micromeritics) to calculate BET surface area of the catalysts. Prior to measurement, all samples were degassed at 280 °C for 10 h to remove the impurities in the porous structure.

The XRD patterns of the samples were checked using an ARL SCINTAG X'TRA X-ray diffractometer (Shimadzu) equipped with CuKα radiation. XRD patterns were recorded in the 2θ range of 10° to 80° with a scan step size of 0.02°.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the catalysts were taken on a Tecnai G2 F30 S-Twin electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. The dispersion of the elemental composition and the semi-quantitative determination of the Ti, Mn, W, and O ratio were detected by the energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX) at the same time.

The hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) profiles of the catalysts were recorded by a chemisorber (Chem2910, Finesorb). Each sample (*ca.* 100 mg) were pre-treated at 200 °C for 2 h in Ar flow before reduction process. Subsequently, the sample was heated from 100 °C to 950 °C in 5

vol% H₂/95 vol% Ar flow, at a rate of 30 ml min⁻¹ with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to analyze the surface atomic state and form of catalyst with Al target and Kα radiation, operating at 15 kV voltage and C 1s = 284.8 eV for calibration (Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD). The concentrations of Mn, W, Ti and O on catalyst surface were calculated from the peak areas ratios of the samples.

2.3 Catalytic tests

The activity evaluation of the catalysts was carried out in a fixed-bed quartz reactor with an inner diameter of 8 mm. The reaction conditions were as follows: 0.5 g of catalyst (20–60 mesh, 1 ml), 500 ppm NO, 500 ppm NH₃, 5 vol% O₂, N₂ as the balance gas, the total gas flow rate was 500 ml min⁻¹, and the corresponding gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) was 30 000 h⁻¹. The catalyst bed temperature was increased gradually and kept at each reaction temperature for half an hour to ensure the stabilization of the reaction. The composition of the feed gases were monitored continuously online using Teledyne T200H/M chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer and gas chromatograph (Thermo Trace 1300 equipped with Porapak Q column). NO_x conversion and N₂ selectivity are calculated as follows: NO_x conversion (%) = ([NO_x]_{in} – [NO_x]_{out})/[NO_x]_{in}, N₂ selectivity (%) = (([NO]_{in} + [NH₃]_{in}) – [NO₂]_{out} – 2[N₂O]_{out})/([NO]_{in} + [NH₃]_{in}).

3. Results and discussions

3.1 Synthesis and characterization of the bulk and supported MnWO_x catalysts

3.1.1 Textual properties of the bulk and supported MnWO_x catalysts. Table 1 lists the textual properties of the bulk MnWO_x catalysts. WO₃ almost has no surface area, which explains its poor catalytic activity. With the increment of Mn : W ratio, the surface area continually increases. MnO₂ has the largest surface area of 30 m² g⁻¹. It seems that the existence of tungsten has a negative effect on the surface areas of the MnWO_x catalysts by self-propagating high-temperature synthesis. The lower surface area of the MnWO_x catalysts than the MnO₂ partially explains their worse activities than MnO₂ in low temperature range.

Table 2 lists part of the textual properties of the TiO₂ with supported MnWO_x catalysts. Compared to the bulk MnWO_x, the variation of surface area of these samples shows a different way. The Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS keeps the largest surface area of 142 m²

Table 1 Textual properties of the bulk MnWO_x catalysts

Samples	BET surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Pore volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Average pore diameter (nm)
WO ₃ -SHS	0	—	—
MnWO _x -SHS	5	—	—
Mn ₂ WO _x -SHS	6	0.0421	28.7
Mn ₅ WO _x -SHS	15	0.0704	18.3
Mn ₁₀ WO _x -SHS	25	0.156	24.6
MnO ₂ -SHS	30	0.178	23.5




Table 2 Textual properties of the supported MnWO_x catalysts

Samples	BET surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Pore volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Average pore diameter (nm)
WO ₃ /TiO ₂ -SHS	109	0.212	7.8
MnO ₂ /TiO ₂ -SHS	85	0.194	9.0
Mn ₂ WO _x /TiO ₂ -SHS	142	0.217	6.1
TiO ₂	184	0.296	6.4


g⁻¹; while the MnO₂/TiO₂-SHS only has 85 m² g⁻¹ of BET surface area, which is even smaller than 109 m² g⁻¹ of WO₃/TiO₂-SHS. The results means that the existence of W can alleviate the decrement of TiO₂ surface area, and increase the BET surface area of MnWO_x/TiO₂-SHS. Further, the decrement of average pore diameter of Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS indicates that the Mn₂WO_x species are well dispersed in the pore structure of TiO₂, which will be favorable for the activity.

3.1.2 XRD patterns of the MnWO_x catalysts. Fig. 1 displays the XRD patterns of the bulk and supported MnWO_x catalysts. The bulk MnWO_x samples do not have characteristic peaks of MnO₂. Instead, they mainly present the typical characteristic peaks of monoclinic structure phase of MnWO₄.³² A little part of Mn₃O₄ also can be found on the pattern of MnWO_x sample. The

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of bulk and supported MnWO_x catalysts.

peaks intensity of Mn₃O₄ and MnWO₄ gradually enhance with the increment of Mn : W ratio. It means that the main species were the MnWO₄ and the Mn₃O₄ on the bulk MnWO_x. The general valence of manganese in MnWO_x catalysts has been suppressed to be about +2/+3. In Fig. 1B, the TiO₂ with supported WO₃ (WO₃/TiO₂) sample only presented the characteristic peaks of anatase TiO₂. The MnO₂/TiO₂ and Mn₁₀WO_x/TiO₂ presents the characteristic peaks of Mn₃O₄ and anatase TiO₂. The MnWO_x/TiO₂ presents the characteristic peaks of MnWO₄ and anatase TiO₂. However, the characteristic peaks of MnWO₄ and Mn₃O₄ disappeared together on the patterns of Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂ and Mn₅WO_x/TiO₂, which can be ensured that the active species were well dispersed on the TiO₂. The above results suggested that the coexistence of MnWO₄ and Mn₃O₄ weaken the intensity of characteristic peaks and caused the disappearances of MnWO₄ and Mn₃O₄ on the patterns of Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂ and Mn₅WO_x/TiO₂. For the MnWO_x catalysts, MnWO₄ phase seemed to play an important role in NH₃-SCR reaction.

3.1.3 H₂-TPR of TiO₂ with supported MnWO_x samples with self-propagating high-temperature synthesis. The NH₃-SCR activity of MnWO_x has a strong relation with the redox properties in the SCR reaction. H₂-TPR was performed to identify the active species on the MnWO_x catalysts. Fig. 2 displays the profiles of different supported MnWO_x/TiO₂ samples and quantitative results are summarized in Tables S1 and S2.† It is known that the reduction of manganese oxides is influenced by the support properties, dopants, the preparation method as well as the reduction conditions.³³ Here, the profiles seems to be some complicated. In general, for the supported MnWO_x/TiO₂ samples with SHS method, the profile can be divided into two reduction bands. In the lower temperature range of 200–500 °C, the reduction peaks are mainly originated from different MnO_x species; in the higher temperature range of 600–900 °C, the reduction peaks are from different WO_x species.^{34,35} For the four reduction peaks in 200–500 °C on the MnO₂/TiO₂ profile, the peaks at about 300, 400 and 460 °C can be attributed to the reduction of MnO₂ to Mn₂O₃, Mn₂O₃ to Mn₃O₄ and Mn₃O₄ to

Fig. 2 H₂-TPR profiles of different TiO₂ with supported MnWO_x samples with different Mn : W ratio and preparation methods.

MnO, respectively. Besides that, the peak at 220 °C is from the reduction of part Ti^{4+} to Ti^{3+} , which have interaction with manganese oxides.³³ The reduction profiles of Mn_xWO_x/TiO_2 -SHS are clearly different from MnO_2/TiO_2 . The reduction peaks of manganese oxides are almost diminished on the $MnWO_x/TiO_2$ -SHS, which indicates that all the manganese species are well interacted with the tungsten and form the $MnWO_x$ structure. The reduction peaks in 200–500 °C appear again on the Mn_2WO_x/TiO_2 -SHS and Mn_5WO_x/TiO_2 -SHS, indicates that excess manganese oxides are existed on the samples other than $MnWO_x$. The reduction peak sites move to the lower temperature attitude, and the peak intensity of Mn_2O_3 to Mn_3O_4 clearly decreases. Under this conditions, it seems that the MnO_2 species are easy to be reduced and the large part of MnO_2 can be directly reduced to MnO.

Another main reduction band distributed in 600–900 °C is probably from tungsten oxides, $MnWO_4$ species and titanium oxides.^{36,37} For WO_3/TiO_2 sample, the reduction peaks at 520 °C, 650 °C and 800 °C are most probably from part of Ti^{4+} to Ti^{3+} , W^{6+} to W^{4+} and W^{4+} to W^0 .^{38,39} When manganese is added, the two peaks at 520 °C and 650 °C diminished on the Mn_xWO_x/TiO_2 -SHS profiles. The diminishing of peak at 520 °C might indicate the excellent dispersion of $MnWO_4$ on the surface of TiO_2 support; the diminishing of peak at 650 °C represents that there is no single WO_3 species left. The only peak around 790 °C should be from $MnWO_4$ structure, and it can be inferred that the Mn^{4+} and W^{6+} in $MnWO_4$ structure needs a high temperature to be reduced. With the manganese content increases, the reduction temperature seems to be decreased.

3.1.4 TEM of the bulk $MnWO_x$ and supported $MnWO_x/TiO_2$ samples by self-propagating high-temperature synthesis. TEM images can distinguish the existence of the crystal structures in some areas. Fig. 3 displays the TEM images of the bulk and supported $MnWO_x$ catalysts with different preparation methods. Co-precipitation method gets the homogenous particles with typical regular nano-rod shapes of $MnWO_4$ structure in Fig. 3a. The ordered lattice fringes in Fig. 3b confirm that the particles have a typical $MnWO_4$ crystal structure. 0.487 nm and 0.379 nm lattice spacing belongs to crystal face (100) and face (011) of $MnWO_4$.⁴⁰ On the Mn_2WO_x -SHS, the uniform lattice fringes with 0.483 nm of face (100) and 0.245 nm of face (200) can be seen in Fig. 3d. The rectangular shaped electron diffraction pattern also can confirm the $MnWO_4$ structure. The agglomeration of particles clearly happens on the sample, which causes the poor specific area and poor NH_3 -SCR activity.

For the two supported samples, $MnWO_x$ species are uniformly distributed on the surfaces of the two samples in the shape of about 1 nm diameter nanoparticles, which are shown as the little black dots in Fig. 3f and h. Partly due to the little sizes of the nanoparticles, $MnWO_4$ phase is hard to be distinguished on the images. The main change is come from the TiO_2 support. It looks like that the TiO_2 support has grown into large plates *via* impregnation method (Fig. 3e). The specific surface area of Mn_2WO_x/TiO_2 -IMP is thereby severely influenced and decreases from 184 $m^2 g^{-1}$ to 56 $m^2 g^{-1}$, which may cause the activity decreases. Meanwhile, the self-propagating high-




Fig. 3 TEM images of the bulk and supported $MnWO_x$ catalysts with different preparation methods. (a), (b): Mn_2WO_x -CP; (c), (d): Mn_2WO_x -SHS; (e), (f): Mn_2WO_x/TiO_2 -IMP; (g), (h) Mn_2WO_x/TiO_2 -SHS.

temperature synthesis method keeps the structure of TiO_2 support much better and more $MnWO_x$ species nanoparticles can be formed and seen on the surface.

3.1.5 Characterization of the bulk and supported $MnWO_x$ samples by XPS method. Oxidation states and atomic concentrations of manganese can reflect the properties of manganese species over the series of catalysts. XPS have been conducted for the $MnWO_x$ -CP, $MnWO_x/TiO_2$ -IMP, Mn_2WO_x -SHS and Mn_2WO_x/TiO_2 -SHS. All binding energies presented have been adjusted *via* the C 1s peak standardized at 284.8 eV. The XPS spectra of Mn 2p are shown in Fig. 4. Two XPS peaks of Mn 2p appear at about 641.8 and 653.8 eV belonging to $Mn 2p_{3/2}$ and $Mn 2p_{1/2}$






Fig. 4 Mn 2p XPS spectra of MnWO_x-CP, MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP, Mn₂WO_x-SHS and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS.

respectively. The Mn 2p_{3/2} spectra of all catalysts can be fitted into three sub-bands by Gaussian deconvolution. The peaks at 640.6–641.4 eV can be attributed to Mn³⁺, the peaks at 642.0–642.6 eV to Mn⁴⁺, and the peaks at 643.4–644.5 eV to Mn²⁺.^{28,29,41,42} According to quantitative results in the Table 3, the Mn³⁺ and Mn²⁺ ions took the main part of manganese species. The average valence of manganese has been drawn to be +2.8, +3.0, +3.0 and +3.2 on the four catalysts. Among the four catalysts, the average valence of manganese is the lowest and the composition of Mn²⁺ is the highest, which suggests the valence restriction from the formation of MnWO₄ phase.

Oxygen species plays an important role in the NH₃-SCR activity. The O 1s XPS of Mn_aWO_x and Mn_aWO_x/TiO_x are thereby displayed in Fig. 5, and the characteristic peaks are deconvoluted by Gaussian fitting method. The sub-bands at 528.9–530.4 eV can be attributed to the lattice O²⁻ (denoted as O_β); the sub-bands with higher binding energy at 531.0–531.5 eV to surface absorbed oxygen (denoted as O_α) such as O₂²⁻ and O⁻ belonging to defect-oxide or hydroxyl-like group, and the binding energy at 532.3–533.2 eV can be assigned to chemisorbed water (denoted as O_{α'}).^{43–46} The surface absorbed O_α is usually regarded as more reactive in oxidation reactions due to its higher mobility than lattice O²⁻, which is also beneficial to the NO oxidation to NO₂ thus facilitating the “fast SCR” process. The ratios of O_α, O_β and O_{α'} on four catalysts are calculated and listed in Table 4. The bulk MnWO_x and Mn₂WO_x catalysts have




Fig. 5 O 1s XPS spectra of MnWO_x-CP, MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP, Mn₂WO_x-SHS and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS.

Table 4 Quantitative results of O 1s XPS spectra of MnWO_x-CP, MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP, Mn₂WO_x-SHS and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS

Samples	O _β		O _α		O _{α'}	
	BE (eV)	Per. %	BE (eV)	Per. %	BE (eV)	Per. %
MnWO _x -CP	530.2	55.20	531.4	31.78	533.1	13.02
Mn ₂ WO _x -SHS	530.4	41.06	531.0	34.72	532.8	24.22
MnWO _x /TiO ₂ -IMP	530.0	47.68	531.5	26.75	532.9	25.57
Mn ₂ WO _x /TiO ₂ -SHS	528.9	57.67	531.2	24.65	532.3	17.68

high O_α ratios of 31.78% and 34.72% respectively, which means that the Mn_aWO_x mixed oxides may have a high response to the NH₃-SCR reaction. The poor performance in Fig. 6 may be caused by the poor BET surface area. When the specific surface area is increased by TiO₂ supporting, Mn_aWO_x/TiO₂ then shows the high activity in NH₃-SCR reaction.

3.2 Catalytic activity of the bulk and supported MnWO_x catalysts

Fig. 6A displayed the activities of the bulk MnWO_x catalysts with different Mn : W ratio by self-propagating high-temperature synthesis. Due to the poor specific surface area, the bulk WO₃ did not show the NH₃-SCR activity obviously; while the bulk

Table 3 Quantitative results of Mn 2p XPS spectra of MnWO_x-CP, MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP, Mn₂WO_x-SHS and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS

Samples	Mn ⁴⁺		Mn ³⁺		Mn ²⁺		Average valence
	BE (eV)	Per. %	BE (eV)	Per. %	BE (eV)	Per. %	
MnWO _x -CP	642.0	22.32	640.6	38.85	643.4	38.83	2.8
Mn ₂ WO _x -SHS	642.4	31.08	641.1	42.38	644.5	26.54	3.0
MnWO _x /TiO ₂ -IMP	642.6	30.26	641.3	38.17	644.2	31.57	3.0
Mn ₂ WO _x /TiO ₂ -SHS	642.6	33.26	641.1	42.37	644.4	24.37	3.1






Fig. 6 (A) NO conversion and (B) N₂ selectivity over bulk MnO₂, WO₃ and MnWO_x catalysts. Reaction conditions: [NO] = [NH₃] = 500 ppm, [O₂] = 5 vol%, N₂ balance gas and GHSV = 30 000 h⁻¹.

MnO₂ with the largest specific surface area among all the bulk samples showed the best performance in the lower temperature range. 100% NO_x conversion had been achieved in the range of 100–180 °C. When the W and Mn coexist and form the series of Mn_aWO_x catalysts, the activity catalysts undergone a series of change. Mn₁WO_x only showed poor activity in the range of 50–120 °C. Mn₂WO_x displayed higher activity in the temperature range of 100–300 °C. With the continuous increment of Mn content, the activity of Mn_aWO_x did not surpass the bulk MnO₂ in the low temperature, some of Mn_aWO_x samples with high Mn : W ratio behaved better performance in the high temperature range. The Mn₁₀WO_x exhibited the higher activity than the bulk MnO₂ when the reaction temperature is above 200 °C.

The N₂ selectivities of bulk MnWO_x catalysts with different Mn : W ratio are presented in Fig. 6B. It can be seen that tungsten has a clearly effect of MnWO_x on the N₂ selectivity, especially in the high temperature range. The N₂ selectivity of bulk MnO₂ decreases to be about 20% when the temperature rise to 200 °C. While with the decrease of Mn : W ratio, *i.e.*, the increases of W content, the N₂ selectivity of Mn_aWO_x is more and more improved. On the MnWO_x with 1 : 1 ratio, the N₂ selectivity of has almost reached 100%, the insertion of tungsten into Mn_aWO_x favours the increase in the activity and N₂ selectivity. Supported MnWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts with high specific surface area were then prepared and the activities were tested under the same reaction conditions. As shown in Fig. 7A and B, the supporting of MnO₂ did not improve the activity of MnO₂/TiO₂. The 100% NO_x conversion window (working window) was still in the range of 100–180 °C. Meanwhile, the Mn_aWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts showed much better performance than the bulk Mn_aWO_x samples and single MnO₂ catalysts. Except Mn₁WO_x/TiO₂, all the other Mn_aWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts presented the 100% NO_x conversion temperature window. The temperature window of the Mn_{1.5}WO_x/TiO₂ was 180–240 °C; The Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂ was 130–300 °C, and the window of Mn₅WO_x/TiO₂ and Mn₁₀WO_x/TiO₂ was in the same range of 130–240 °C.

N₂ selectivity of the supported MnWO_x catalysts are also been improved from Fig. 7C and D. The appearance of N₂ selectivity decrease is retarded to high temperature attitude,

and the decrease degree is alleviated with the Mn : W ratio decreasing. On the Mn_aWO_x/TiO₂ with Mn : W ratio of 1 and 2, N₂O is only formed above 200 °C and the N₂ selectivity of Mn_{1–2}WO_x/TiO₂ catalysts keep above 80% during the course.

The results show that the addition of tungsten can improve the activity and N₂ selectivity of supported MnO₂ based catalysts, especially in the higher temperature range. Though the Mn₁WO_x/TiO₂ does not achieve the 100% NO_x conversion, it shows much better activity than the MnO₂/TiO₂ when the temperature is above 250 °C. When the Mn : W ratio increases to 2 : 1, the performance of Mn_aWO_x/TiO₂ will be better than MnO₂/TiO₂. It is the Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂ that fetches the best performance among all the Mn_aWO_x/TiO₂ samples. Considering the XRD pattern and BET surface areas, the existence of tungsten have shown two effects on the TiO₂ supported Mn_aWO_x catalysts. The first is that the tungsten can alleviate the specific surface area loss of TiO₂ support. The BET surface area of Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS is clearly bigger than WO₃/TiO₂ and MnO₂/TiO₂, which is favorable for the catalytic activity in NH₃-SCR reaction. The second effect may be that the tungsten can interact with manganese oxide and form the MnWO₄ phase with manganese oxides, which is even favorable for the catalytic activity.

3.3 Activity of Mn₂WO_x-CP, Mn₁WO_x/TiO₂-IMP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP catalysts

The above results show that tungsten addition can improve NH₃-SCR activities of MnWO_x catalysts. To discuss the mechanism of the addition of tungsten, especially the MnWO₄ phase on the catalytic activity. Co-precipitation and impregnation method, which have been reported to be able to get MnWO_x catalysts with typical MnWO₄ phase,³² were used to prepare a bulk Mn₂WO_x (labeled as Mn₂WO_x-CP) and the TiO₂ supported MnWO_x and Mn₂WO_x (labeled as MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP). The BET surface areas of Mn₂WO_x-CP, MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP are 26, 52 and 56 m² g⁻¹ respectively. The XRD patterns in Fig. 8 show that both Mn₂WO_x-CP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP both have typical






Fig. 7 (A), (B) NO conversion and (C), (D) N₂ activity over supported Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂, MnO₂ and WO₃ via self-propagating high-temperature synthesis. Reaction conditions: [NO] = [NH₃] = 500 ppm, [O₂] = 5 vol%, N₂ balance gas and GHSV = 30 000 h⁻¹.




Fig. 8 XRD patterns of Mn₂WO_x-CP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP.

characteristic peaks of MnWO₄ without other impurity phase observed. Otherwise, MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP also have MnWO₄ structure.

As shown in Fig. 9A, the above three samples exhibit distinctive catalytic performances, compared to the samples with self-propagating high-temperature synthesis method, the main function temperature range of Mn₂WO_x-CP, MnWO_x/

TiO₂-IMP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP seemed to move to the high temperature attitude clearly. The NO_x conversion over Mn₂WO_x-CP continually increased till 430 °C, where it reached the maximum of 52.9%. For the two supported samples, the active temperature window of MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP was in the range of 230–350 °C, where it can convert more than 94% NO. The deNO_x performance of MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP was better than MnWO_x/TiO₂-SHS. The activity window of Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP is similar to MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP, which the NO_x conversion can reach 100% in the range of 250–350 °C. But the window width was some narrower than that of Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS, and moved about 50 °C towards high attitude. Considering the working windows of the two samples, it seems that the working window of MnWO_x/TiO₂ catalysts can be broadened as wide as 130–350 °C (or even high³¹) by fine tuning or combing of different preparation methods. It also can be inferred that the MnWO₄ should have some positive effect on the activity of MnWO_x catalysts, especially for the activity of MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP in the high temperature range.

The plot of N₂ product selectivity over above three catalysts as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 9B. Mn₂WO_x-CP showed an excellent N₂ selectivity than MnWO_x/TiO₂-IMP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS under the range of 250 °C. In contrast, Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-IMP and Mn₂WO_x/TiO₂-SHS show a better N₂ selectivity than Mn₂WO_x-CP when the temperature is high than






Fig. 9 (A) NO conversion and (B) N_2 selectivity over bulk and supported Mn_2WO_x/TiO_2 with different methods. Reaction conditions: $[NO] = [NH_3] = 500$ ppm, $[O_2] = 5$ vol%, N_2 balance gas and $GHSV = 30\,000\ h^{-1}$.

280 °C. It confirms that the improvement of N_2 selectivity is associated with existence of $MnWO_4$ and dispersion on the surface of carrier.

4. Conclusions

A series of bulk $MnWO_x$ and supported $MnWO_x/TiO_2$ catalysts have been prepared by self-propagating high-temperature synthesis, impregnation and co-precipitation method to discuss the effect and mechanism of tungsten on the manganese oxide based catalysts. The tungsten can interact with manganese oxide, thus weaken the interaction between tungsten and titanium or manganese and titanium, alleviate the specific surface area loss of TiO_2 support, and improve the NH_3 -SCR activity of $MnWO_x/TiO_2$ catalysts. The tungsten and manganese also can form a $MnWO_4$ crystal structure, which might be favorable for the activity and N_2 selectivity in the high temperature range (above 260 °C). How the $MnWO_4$ works needs to be further investigated. Both self-propagating high-temperature synthesis and impregnation can get uniformly distributed $MnWO_x$ nanoparticles, while the TiO_2 support structure is more influenced by impregnation.

Notes and references

- M. Fu, C. Li, P. Lu, L. Qu, M. Zhang, Y. Zhou, M. Yu and Y. Fang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 14–25.
- F. Can, X. Courtois, S. Royer, G. Blanchard, S. Rousseau and D. Duprez, *Catal. Today*, 2012, **197**, 144–157.
- Z. Liu, J. Li and J. Hao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2010, **165**, 420–425.
- G. Coudurier and J. C. Védrine, *Catal. Today*, 2000, **56**, 415–430.
- L. J. Alemany, F. Berti, G. Busca, G. Ramis, D. Robba, G. P. Toledo and M. Trombetta, *Appl. Catal., B*, 1996, **10**, 299–311.
- L. Lietti, I. Nova, G. Ramis, L. Dall'Acqua, G. Busca, E. Giamello, P. Forzatti and F. Bregani, *J. Catal.*, 1999, **187**, 419–435.
- D. Ye, R. Qu, H. Song, X. Gao, Z. Luo, M. Ni and K. Cen, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **283**, 846–854.
- S. Andreoli, F. A. Deorsola, C. Galletti and R. Pirone, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **278**, 174–182.
- J. Xiang, L. Wang, F. Cao, K. Qian, S. Su, S. Hu, Y. Wang and L. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **302**, 570–676.
- C. Liu, J. Shi, C. Gao and C. Niu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2016, **25**, 54–69.
- X. Xiong, C. Wu, Q. Hu, Y. Wang, J. Jin, C. Lu and D. Guo, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **286**, 459–466.
- R. Foo, T. Vazhnova, D. B. Lukyanov, P. Millington, J. Collier, R. Rajaram and S. Golunski, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **162**, 174–179.
- D. W. Kwon, K. B. Nam and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **166–167**, 37–44.
- Y. Peng, K. Li and J. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **140–141**, 483–492.
- D. K. Pappas, T. Boningari, P. Boolchand and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **334**, 1–13.
- Y. J. Kim, H. J. Kwon, I.-S. Nam, J. W. Choung, J. K. Kil, H. J. Kim, M. S. Cha and G. K. Yeo, *Catal. Today*, 2010, **151**, 244–250.
- S. M. Lee, K. H. Park and S. C. Hong, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2012, **195–196**, 323–331.
- S. Zhang and Q. Zhong, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, **373**, 108–113.
- Y. He, M. E. Ford, M. Zhu, Q. Liu, Z. Wu and I. E. Wachs, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **188**, 123–133.
- Z. Li, J. Li, S. Liu, X. Ren, J. Ma, W. Su and Y. Peng, *Catal. Today*, 2015, **258**, 11–16.
- W. Shan, F. Liu, H. He, X. Shi and C. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **115–116**, 100–106.
- Z. Ma, X. Wu, Y. Feng, Z. Si and D. Weng, *Catal. Commun.*, 2015, **69**, 188–192.
- Z. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Li, H. Su and L. Ma, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **283**, 1044–1050.
- X. Wang, X. Li, Q. Zhao, W. Sun, M. Tade and S. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **288**, 216–222.
- M. Casapu, O. Kröcher and M. Elsener, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **88**, 413–419.
- H. Xu, Q. Zhang, C. Qiu, T. Lin, M. Gong and Y. Chen, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2012, **76**, 120–128.



- 27 Y. Peng, Z. Liu, X. Niu, L. Zhou, C. Fu, H. Zhang, J. Li and W. Han, *Catal. Commun.*, 2012, **19**, 127–131.
- 28 D. W. Kwon, K. B. Nam and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2015, **497**, 160–166.
- 29 F. Liu, W. Shan, Z. Lian, L. Xie, W. Yang and H. He, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **3**, 2699–2707.
- 30 W. Sun, X. Li, Q. Zhao, M. Tade and S. Liu, *Energy Fuels*, 2016, **30**, 1810–1814.
- 31 Z. Kong, C. Wang, Z. Ding, Y. Chen and Z. Zhang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2015, **64**, 27–31.
- 32 J. Tang, J. Shen, N. Li and M. Ye, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2016, **666**, 15–22.
- 33 P. R. Ettireddy, N. Ettireddy, S. Mamedov, P. Boolchand and P. G. Smirniotis, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2007, **76**, 123–134.
- 34 D. Fang, J. Xie, H. Hu, H. Yang, F. He and Z. Fu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **271**, 23–30.
- 35 P. G. W. A. Kompio, A. Brückner, F. Hipler, G. Auer, E. Löffler and W. Grünert, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **286**, 237–247.
- 36 D. W. Kwon and S. C. Hong, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **356**, 181–190.
- 37 L. Chen, J. Li and M. Ge, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 21177–21184.
- 38 C. Wang, S. Yang, H. Chang, Y. Peng and J. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2013, **225**, 520–527.
- 39 S. S. R. Putluru, L. Schill, A. Godiksen, R. Poreddy, S. Mossin, A. D. Jensen and R. Fehrmann, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **183**, 282–290.
- 40 M. Mączka, M. Ptak, M. Kurnatowska, L. Kępiński, P. Tomaszewski and J. Hanuza, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2011, **184**, 2446–2457.
- 41 Y. Wan, W. Zhao, Y. Tang, L. Li, H. Wang, Y. Cui, J. Gou, Y. Li and J. Shi, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **148–149**, 2114–2122.
- 42 Z. Lian, F. Liu, H. He, X. Shi, J. Mo and Z. Wu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, **250**, 390–398.
- 43 M. Kang, E. D. Park, J. M. Kim and J. E. Yie, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2007, **327**, 261–269.
- 44 J. Fang, X. Bi, D. Si, Z. Jiang and W. Huang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2007, **253**, 8952–8961.
- 45 W. Shan, F. Liu, H. He, X. Shi and C. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **115–116**, 100–106.
- 46 Z. Kong, C. Wang, Z. Ding, Y. Chen and Z. Zhang, *J. Fuel Chem. Technol.*, 2014, **42**, 1447–1454.

