



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 14917

Received 10th January 2017  
 Accepted 3rd March 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra00388a  
[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## A graphene oxide-based tool-kit capable of characterizing and classifying exonuclease activities†

Jayeon Song,<sup>a</sup> Vo Minh Hoa,<sup>b</sup> Jungmin Yoo,<sup>b</sup> Sanghoon Oh,<sup>a</sup> Hyeryeon Im,<sup>b</sup> Daeho Park<sup>b</sup> and Gwangrog Lee<sup>\*ab</sup>

Enzyme kinetics and classification are key topics in modern biochemistry. Here, we have developed a simple, rapid, and quantitative assay *via* a terminally capped DNA probe, reaction-specific-labeling and the fluorescence-quenching capability of graphene-oxide. Our technique provides not only characterization of kinetic information but also the immediate classification of an exonuclease without needing to design a set of DNA substrates.

Nucleases play vital roles in DNA metabolism, such as DNA replication, recombination, and repair.<sup>1</sup> Exonucleases are a class of nucleases that recognize DNA with a very high specificity and digest DNA in a directional manner from either the 3' or 5' end. Therefore, they are classified as either 5' → 3' or 3' → 5' exonucleases. In modern molecular biology and genetic engineering, exonucleases have been extensively used as key tools for genotyping, PCR, and molecular DNA cloning. More importantly, nuclease enzymes are biomarkers of cancer<sup>2</sup> and diagnostic targets for a variety of infectious diseases since they participate in the nucleic acid metabolism between the host and pathogen. Thus, bio-sensing platforms for characterization and classification of exonucleases have become needed.<sup>3</sup>

Various assays have been developed for the detection of nuclease activity, exploiting different tactics, *e.g.*, biochemical,<sup>4</sup> colorimetric,<sup>5</sup> electrochemical,<sup>6</sup> and fluorometric<sup>7</sup> methods. The electrophoretic gel mobility shift assay (EMSA)<sup>8</sup> and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)<sup>9</sup> are the current standards for studying DNA or RNA nucleases. However, these sophisticated methods involve multiple steps and are rather time-consuming. It is, thus, important to develop rapid, reliable, and sensitive assays with analytical capabilities that can make a large impact on a wide range of applications, from the rapid detection of enzymes to unravelling of enzymatic classifications such as degradation directionality which determine the type of exonucleases.

Graphene oxide (GO) is known to stably interact with single stranded (ss)DNA on its surface *via* a π-stacking interaction between the ribose rings of the nucleobases and the hexagons of

the carbon atoms in GO.<sup>10</sup> In contrast, GO does not strongly adhere to double stranded (ds)DNA due to nucleobases that are hidden in the DNA helical structure. GO also functions as an efficient fluorescent quencher when it is in physical contact with organic fluorophore molecules.<sup>11</sup> These GO properties have been extensively utilized for the detection of enzymes that convert dsDNA to ssDNA during their functional activities, such as helicases,<sup>12</sup> polymerases,<sup>13</sup> and nucleases.<sup>5,14</sup>

DNA is composed of two strands running in an antiparallel fashion. Each strand of DNA is made by connecting the 5' phosphate (P) and 3'-hydroxyl (OH) group of neighboring nucleotides *via* phosphodiester polymeric bonds, resulting in both termini of nucleic acids. Most exonucleases recognize either the 5'-P or the 3'-OH group and degrade ssDNA along the nucleic acid phosphodiester backbone in a 3' → 5' or 5' → 3' direction by directional movement, utilizing chemical energy released from hydrolysis of the backbone. Since the directionality of exonucleases is unique to their enzymatic function, unknown exonucleases can be characterized by this feature. The exonuclease directionality is also important because it accelerates the conversion of dsDNA to ssDNA and enhances the processivity of exonucleases compared to unspecific endonuclease activities.<sup>15</sup> Thus, the direct detection of directionality is valuable and frequently required.


Here, we report a simple, rapid, and sensitive technique that not only detects the activity of exonucleases but also immediately reports the enzymatic directionality, which is essential information for exonuclease classification. This reporting is achieved by DNA end-capping *via* biotin-neutravidin and a bulky fluorophore bound to three of the four ends of the DNA. This terminal protection physically prevents further degradation by exonucleases as shown in Scheme 1. The open uncapped end is, thus, the only site that can initiate exonucleolytic degradation, revealing the enzymatic directionality.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biomedical Science and Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, 500-712, Korea. E-mail: glee@gist.ac.kr; Fax: +82-62-715-2484

<sup>b</sup>School of Life Sciences, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, 500-712, Korea

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra00388a





**Scheme 1** Schematic representation of exonuclease detection, allowing not only for the determination of enzymatic activity but also its classification using graphene oxide (GO)-based fluorometric quenching combined with protection of three DNA termini. Either the 3' end of a Cy5-labelled DNA probe (denoted 3'-dsDNA) or the 5' end of a Cy3-labelled DNA probe (denoted 5'-dsDNA) is recognized and degraded by terminal-specific exonucleases. Upon degradation, the fluorescently labelled complementary strand is released and adsorbed onto the surface of GO, resulting in fluorescence quenching.

Furthermore, this simple method can be used as a quantitative kinetic tool for enzymatic activities and can be expanded to analyse other exo-ribonucleases and screen their inhibitors.

To discriminate the directionality of exonucleases in real time, we developed two types of fluorescent DNA probes (3'-dsDNA and 5'-dsDNA as shown in Scheme 1). Both DNA probes (Table 1 in ESI†) were composed of hydrolysed (gradient black) and non-hydrolysed strands (gold and green in left and right panels of Scheme 1, respectively). One end of the non-hydrolysed strand was blocked by a neutravidin antibody, and the other end was protected by a chemical conjugation with a bulky fluorophore (Cy5 for 3'-dsDNA and Cy3 for 5'-dsDNA as shown in the left and right panels, respectively). One open end of the hydrolysed strand was accessible by exonucleases to initiate enzymatic degradation but the other end was blocked with a neutravidin antibody. Upon the addition of an exonuclease to a buffer containing GO and a DNA probe, the open end of the hydrolysed strand is thus recognized and degraded by the exonuclease, while the fluorescent dye on the non-hydrolysed strand (gold or green strand) is quenched due to the interaction between GO and the non-hydrolysed strand released from the dsDNA after the degradation. The design of both DNA probes was validated by gel assays (Fig. S1-3†), and neutravidin conjugation did not affect the quenching capability (Fig. S4†).

For proof of concept, we tested two different types of frequently used exonucleases. Exonuclease III (ExoIII) and phi29 DNA polymerase (phi29 DNAP) bearing the exo domain (Phi29-Exo) are 3' → 5' exonucleases, while lambda exonuclease (λ-Exo) and T7 exonuclease (T7-Exo) are 5' → 3' exonucleases. The DNA construct and GO were incubated with one of the exonucleases in the presence of Mg<sup>2+</sup> for ~10 min. During the degradation, the hydrolysed strand is digested from the open


end, and the fluorescently labelled un-hydrolysed strand is concomitantly released from the dsDNA construct, resulting in fluorescent quenching due to the adsorption of the strand onto the surface of GO *via* a hydrophobic interaction. Thus, the graphene-induced quenching of the fluorescence spectra can report the progression of enzymatic activity in real time.

First, we measured the fluorescent spectrum of the Cy5-labelled 3'-DNA probe, and its fluorescence emission peak appeared at 670 nm (Fig. 1a, black curve). When GO was mixed with the DNA probes in the absence of an enzyme, we found that the fluorescence intensity somewhat decreased (Fig. 1a, red curve). This effect was previously reported and is due to a certain amount of interaction between GO and dsDNA, presumably due to thermodynamic spontaneous melting at the end of the DNA duplex.<sup>16</sup>

To find an optimal GO concentration, the concentration of the DNA probes was maintained at 70 nM, and the GO concentration was increased from 0 to 150 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>. The fluorescence intensity no longer changed at concentrations greater than 90 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S5 and 6†). Thus, 90 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> GO and 70 nM DNA probes were used for all experiments, unless otherwise noted. When GO was mixed with the 3'-dsDNA probe without the addition of an enzyme, we noticed that ~20% quenching occurred, depending on the type of DNA probe (red in Fig. 1a), which was also previously reported.<sup>17</sup>

The feasibility of our method is signified when the Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA probe was added to a reaction buffer containing GO, a 3' → 5' exonuclease and a cofactor, Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Fig. 1a). The light and dark green intensities obtained from the 3' → 5' exonucleases (Phi29-Exo and ExoIII, respectively) were completely quenched, whereas the light and dark blue intensities obtained from the 5' → 3' exonucleases (λ-Exo and T7-Exo, respectively) did not decrease significantly (Fig. 1a). This result indicated that the 3'-dsDNA was degraded only by the 3' → 5' exonucleases but not by the 5' → 3' exonucleases, clearly demonstrating the orthogonality of the DNA probes.

The emission peak of the Cy3-labelled 5'-dsDNA emerged at 570 nm, consistent with the Cy3 fluorescence emission (Fig. 1b). When GO was mixed with the 5'-dsDNA probe, the amount of quenching was ~48% (red in Fig. 1b). The fluorescence



**Fig. 1** Fluorescence emission spectra measured from Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA at  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  670 nm (a) and Cy3-labelled 5'-dsDNA at  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  570 nm (b) after the treatment with various exonucleases in the presence of GO for 30 min. The 3'-dsDNA was degraded only by 3' → 5' exonucleases (ExoIII and the exo-domain of Phi29 DNA polymerase), whereas the 5'-dsDNA was degraded only by 5' → 3' exonucleases (λ-exo and T7-exo), due to terminal-specific DNA modifications.



intensities did not change significantly after the treatment of ExoIII or Phi29-Exo with the 5'-dsDNA, but they decreased to the basal level following treatment with  $\lambda$ -Exo or T7-Exo. This result suggested that each DNA probe exhibited selectivity toward its corresponding type of exonucleases.

Since 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' and 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases work independently on digesting their respective dsDNA probes, we expected that both DNA probes can be simultaneously used for the multiplex detection of two types of exonucleases (Fig. 2a). To validate our colorimetric approach, we utilized the different colour-labelling scheme as follows: Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA and Cy3-labelled 5'-dsDNA as shown in Fig. 1. This two-colour orthogonal detection allows us to determine whether the sample to be tested contains one or more types of exonucleases due to the high abundance of nucleases in cells and/or potential contamination. This combinatorial approach will, thus, shorten the time required for measurement and provide more information with a higher accuracy of exonuclease detection.

When ExoIII, as an example of 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' exonucleases, was added into a buffer at a pH of 7.5 containing both 3'-dsDNA and 5'-dsDNA, the green fluorescence intensity steadily remained steady (with intrinsic quenching), whereas the red fluorescence intensity significantly decreased to a basal level (Fig. 2a). Likewise, when T7 exonuclease, as an example of 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases, was added, the green intensity quenched while the red intensity remained steady (Fig. 2b). In contrast, when both ExoIII and the T7 exonuclease were added into the buffer containing 3'-dsDNA and 5'-dsDNA, both the green and the red fluorescent intensities decreased substantially, demonstrating that the simultaneous detection of two different types of 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' and 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases is indeed possible (Fig. 2c).




Fig. 2 Colorimetric multiplexing detection for two different 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' and 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases, acting orthogonally on two dsDNA probes with terminal-specific protection. The green or red fluorescent signal indicates the presence of a 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' (a) or 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonuclease (b), respectively, whereas quenching of both signals indicates the presence of both nucleases (c).

This decrease was not observed without an essential cofactor, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and was attributed to the degradation of dsDNA. It is noteworthy that ~15% of the red fluorescence remained compared to the green fluorescence, suggesting that this multiplex method can be used to directly compare relative turnover rates of two different exonucleases with a single measurement. Based on these data, we propose the following colorimetric code table: a green signal indicates a 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' exonuclease; a red signal indicates a 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonuclease; and no fluorescence signal or a basal level denotes the presence of both 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' and 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases. Thus, the method offers a convenient classification of exonucleases (Fig. 2a). In summary, this multicolour detection improves not only the accuracy of the sensing capability but also adds a multiplexing capability of enzymatic classification.

Because the fluorimeter-based detection used in Fig. 1 and 2 reads one sample at a time, we used a multimode microplate reader (Flexstation3 in Molecular Devices™) to test the simultaneous detection of a series of different samples in a high-throughput 96-well platform. The fluorescence intensities were measured ~30 min after a 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' exonuclease was added to a buffer containing GO, Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA, and Mg<sup>2+</sup>. Indeed, a remarkable difference in quenching was observed from the comparison of different exonuclease treatments (Fig. 3a). The decrease in fluorescence intensity obtained from a mixture containing Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA, GO, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and either ExoIII or Phi29-Exo was significant, which was similar to the intensity of a control experiment measured from a mixture of GO and Cy5-labelled non-hydrolysed ssDNA (*i.e.*, a degradation-mimic product), implying that the complete degradation was effected by the 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' exonucleases. However, the decreases in fluorescence intensities observed after the treatment with the 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases  $\lambda$ -Exo and T7-Exo were insignificant (Fig. 3a), illustrating the robustness of the GO-based assay. The average colour codes shown in the white-gray-black scale, reported from the multiplex device clearly showed that the GO-based nuclease assay could be applied to a multiplexing high-throughput screening platform (top in Fig. 3a).

To evaluate the performance of the Cy3-labelled 5'-dsDNA, we repeated the same experiments as shown in Fig. 3a. Following treatment with 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonucleases, such as  $\lambda$ -Exo and T7-Exo, the fluorescence intensities dramatically decreased to the basal level, which was similar to the intensity obtained from a mixture of GO and Cy5-labelled ssDNA. In contrast, no significant decrease in fluorescence intensity was observed when the non-corresponding 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' exonucleases ExoIII and phi29-Exo were added to the probe solution containing 5'-dsDNA (Fig. 3b). The large differences in quenching shown in Fig. 3 provide high reliability *via* the multiplex device in classifying the type of nucleases based on their degradation directionality.

Many GO-based enzyme assays have been demonstrated, but thus far, none have been able to provide quantitative enzymatic kinetic parameters, such as  $K_m$  (Michaelis–Menten constant) and  $V_{max}$  (reaction velocity), which are important characteristics in enzymology. The determination of these values requires accurate measurements of time-dependent enzymatic rates. However, GO-based fluorescence-quenching signals typically contain the




Fig. 3 Quantification of GO-based fluorescence quenching after treatment by various exonucleases. (a) Fluorescence intensities of Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA were visualized in a gray-scale image (top panel) and were quantified (bottom panel) by a multi-well plate reader (Flexstation™). (b) The same as in (a) except that Cy3-labelled 3'-dsDNA was used instead of the Cy5-labelled 3'-dsDNA. The data demonstrate that the 3'-dsDNA is 3'  $\rightarrow$  5' exonuclease-specific, whereas 5'-dsDNA is 5'  $\rightarrow$  3' exonuclease-specific.

following three major time periods as shown in Fig. 4: (i) the diffusion time, during which dsDNA is mixed with GO and stays in its immediate vicinity; (ii) the enzyme turnover time, during which the enzymes convert dsDNA to ssDNA; and (iii) the adsorption time, during which ssDNA after degradation binds to the surface of GO.

The periods represented by (i) and (iii) are the main causes of inaccuracy in determining enzymatic rates by GO-based assays. The following approaches would reduce the non-enzymatic diffusion-delays to improve kinetic measurements: (1) the intrinsic non-enzymatic fluorescence intensity decrease, which results from the interaction between GO and dsDNA, should be reduced; (2) the samples should be rapidly mixed to minimize the diffusion delay for the enzyme to bind to its DNA substrate; and (3) upon conformational conversion of the DNA probes, the diffusion delay for ssDNA to adsorb onto GO should be minimized. To overcome these drawbacks of the GO-based fluorescence platform, we developed a fast-responding GO-quenching assay utilizing a pre-incubation followed by a rapid mixing process of all components, such as the DNA probe, GO,  $Mg^{2+}$  and enzyme. The pre-incubation of dsDNA with GO prior to the addition of an enzyme allowed us to eliminate the intrinsic fluorescence quenching caused by the interaction between dsDNA and GO by separating it, from the actual quenching produced by the enzyme activity *via* the conversion from dsDNA to ssDNA.




Fig. 4 A schematic of the exonuclease-induced fluorescence-quenching assay. For accurate kinetic studies, intrinsic fluorescence quenching (i) and binding time onto the GO surface (iii) must be subtracted, and only degradation time (ii) should be considered for enzyme kinetic determination.

To determine the Michaelis–Menten kinetic curve by the fluorimeter, we first mixed the 3'-dsDNA with GO and incubated the mixture for 1200 s to temporally separate and extract the intrinsic quenching from the actual enzymatic fluorescence quenching (Fig. 5a). ExoIII was then introduced to the reaction cuvette and rapidly mixed to minimize the diffusion time required for the enzyme to bind to the DNA probe for initiation. This experiment was repeated as a function of various concentrations of ExoIII, and the fluorescence emission at  $\sim 650$  nm was recorded over time.

Fig. 5a shows the real-time quenching profiles of various protein concentrations. The 3'-dsDNA probe was premixed with GO in the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$  at room temperature to remove the intrinsic fluorescence quenching of dsDNA by GO, and then, ExoIII was added and quickly initiated by mixing all the components. ExoIII converted dsDNA to ssDNA, and the displaced fluorophore-strand adsorbed onto the GO surface, resulting in a decrease in fluorescence due to GO-induced quenching.

Single exponential fits of the real-time fluorescence quenching curves revealed characteristic decay times,  $\tau$ , at various protein concentrations (Fig. S7 and 8†). The decay rates were calculated from the inverse of the decay time,  $\tau$ . The quenching rate vs. protein concentration curve, with the DNA probe concentration fixed at  $\sim 70$  nM, displayed a hyperbolic shape, suggesting that the quenching rate was elevated as a function of the protein concentration due to more ssDNA adsorbing onto the GO surface. The equilibrium dissociation constant was determined by plotting the DNA-degradation-induced quenching as a function of the protein concentration (Fig. 5b) and fitting to the data to Michaelis–Menten kinetics with a maximum quenching rate of  $\sim 0.025$  s $^{-1}$ , and a  $K_m$






Fig. 5 Fluorescence intensity vs. time curves for the real-time kinetic analysis of ExoIII (a) and its Michaelis–Menten fit (b). (c, d) The same as in a and b but for  $\lambda$ -Exo. Only one quenching curve was plotted between 0 and 1200 s for clarity. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM) from three independent experiments.

value of  $\sim 71.3$  nM, which was in a good agreement with previous reports that lay in a range between 32 and 140 nM.<sup>18</sup>

Next, we investigated the degradation activity of  $\lambda$ -Exo as a test case for  $5' \rightarrow 3'$  exonucleases. We observed enzyme fluorescence quenching as a function of the  $\lambda$ -Exo concentration (Fig. 5c). The inverse of the quenching decay time,  $\tau$ , vs. enzyme concentration also revealed a hyperbolic relationship, and its excellent fit to the Michaelis–Menten curve returned a maximum quenching rate of  $\sim 0.034$  s<sup>-1</sup> and a  $K_m$  value of  $\sim 11.6$  nM, comparable to the previously measured value of  $\sim 9.13$  nM.<sup>19</sup>

In conclusion, we have developed an exonuclease-specific GO-based fluorometric assay allowing not only the characterization of exonucleases but also immediate classification of the exonuclease type, without further designing a set of DNA substrates as in other systems such as electrophoretic gel mobility shift assay (EMSA).<sup>8</sup> Fig. 5 recapitulates the detection limit of our method. Namely, our method is capable of detecting exonucleases at concentrations as low as  $10^{-6}$  M (e.g., 5 nM in Fig. 5c and d) which is comparable to other popular methods, such as SPR (Surface Plasmon Resonance) and EMSA. Our method is specially designed for detecting various exonucleases regardless of their directionality, but not for detecting endonucleases. Although this work is not the first demonstration for detecting nucleases via GO, it provides additional information such as enzyme directionality and binding affinity of  $K_m$ . Furthermore, our method can be applied in diverse biological applications, e.g., drug screening, enzymatic assay, and biosensors if combined with the multi-well plate reader as demonstrated in Fig. 3.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (NRF-

2016R1A2B4014556); by the grant from the Korean Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (1320110); and by the GIST Research Institute (GRI) in 2017.

## Notes and references

- (a) T. M. Marti and O. Fleck, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2004, **61**, 336; (b) A. Sancar, in *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 1996, vol. 65, p. 43; (c) I. V. Shevelev and U. Hubscher, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2002, **3**, 364.
- (a) N. Dai, X. J. Cao, M. X. Li, Y. Qing, L. Liao, X. F. Lu, S. H. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. X. Yang and D. Wang, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e58001; (b) L. I. Hernandez, V. C. Ozalp and F. J. Hernandez, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 12346; (c) J. H. Shin, S. Choi, Y. R. Lee, M. S. Park, Y. G. Na, K. Irani, S. D. Lee, J. B. Park, J. M. Kim, J. S. Lim and B. H. Jeon, *Cancer Res. Treat.*, 2015, **47**, 823; (d) S. Thakur, B. Sarkar, R. P. Cholia, N. Gautam, M. Dhiman and A. K. Mantha, *Exp. Mol. Med.*, 2014, **46**, e106.
- (a) Z. Guan, J. Liu, W. Bai, Z. Lv, X. Jiang, S. Yang, A. Chen and G. Lv, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e108401; (b) D. Gerceker, D. Karasartova, E. Elyürek, S. Barkar, M. Kiyan, T. M. Özsan, M. K. Calgin and F. Sahin, *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2009, **55**, 291; (c) A. Economidou-Karaoglu, M. Lans, H. Taper, J. L. Michaux and M. Roberfroid, *Blood*, 1989, **74**, 2730.
- W. F. Burke Jr and B. S. Slinker, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1980, **108**, 320.
- C. H. Lu, J. Li, X. J. Qi, X. R. Song, H. H. Yang, X. Chen and G. N. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 10915.
- S. Sato and S. Takenaka, *Sensors*, 2014, **14**, 12437.
- (a) Z. Zhou, C. Zhu, J. Ren and S. Dong, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **740**, 88; (b) Y. He, L. H. Xiong, X. J. Xing, H. W. Tang and D. W. Pang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **42**, 467.
- M. Wakasugi and A. Sancar, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1998, **95**, 6669.
- L. M. Hellman and M. G. Fried, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007, **2**, 1849.
- (a) N. Varghese, U. Mogera, A. Govindaraj, A. Das, P. K. Maiti, A. K. Sood and C. N. R. Rao, *ChemPhysChem*, 2009, **10**, 206; (b) S. He, B. Song, D. Li, C. Zhu, W. Qi, Y. Wen, L. Wang, S. Song, H. Fang and C. Fan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **20**, 453.
- (a) R. S. Swathi and K. L. Sebastian, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **130**, 086101; (b) R. S. Swathi and K. L. Sebastian, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **129**, 054703.
- (a) H. Jang, S. R. Ryoo, Y. K. Kim, S. Yoon, H. Kim, S. W. Han, B. S. Choi, D. E. Kim and D. H. Min, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 2340; (b) H. Jang, Y. K. Kim, H. M. Kwon, W. S. Yeo, D. E. Kim and D. H. Min, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5703.
- (a) K. Roh, D. M. Kim, E. H. Lee, H. Kim, H. S. Park, J. H. Jang, S. H. Hwang and D. E. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6960; (b) J. S. Park, A. Baek, I. S. Park, B. H. Jun and D. E. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9203.
- J. Lee and D. H. Min, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 2024.
- M. L. Uhr, *Biochem. Educ.*, 1979, **7**, 15.



16 D. Jose, K. Datta, N. P. Johnson and P. H. Von Hippel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 4231.

17 C. Hong, D. M. Kim, A. Baek, H. Chung, W. Jung and D. E. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 5641.

18 (a) J. Eriksson and Ü. Langel, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, e0154099;  
(b) M. Takeuchi, R. Lillis, B. Demple and M. Takeshita, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1994, **269**, 21907; (c) Q. Xu, A. Cao, L. F. Zhang and C. Y. Zhang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 10845.

19 K. Hsieh, Y. Xiao and H. Tom-Soh, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 10392.

