Design structure for CePr mixed oxide catalysts in soot combustion†
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Four CePr mixed oxides with different structures were designed and synthesized using a solid-phase grinding method: a uniform solid solution of Ce and Pr (CePr-NN), Pr$_6$O$_{11}$-coated CeO$_2$ (CePr-NO), CeO$_2$-coated Pr$_6$O$_{11}$ (CePr-ON), and particle packing of CeO$_2$ and Pr$_6$O$_{11}$ (CePr-OO) were obtained, and characterized by XRD, H$_2$-TPR, TEM and TG. The results show that CePr-NN exhibits the best low temperature catalytic activity among the CePr catalysts. CeO$_2$ is the major active phase on the surface of the CePr catalysts, and the use of Pr$_6$O$_{11}$ as the framework maintains the thermal stability of the CePr composite oxide. Moreover, both the oxygen storage capacity and mobility of the active oxygen of the catalyst were improved with the incorporation of Pr into CeO$_2$, resulting in a higher combustion rate. The "molten state" which appeared during the preparation when nitrate was used as the precursor, plays a significant role in the migration of Ce and Pr, and is the premise of forming different structures of solid solution or coating structure.

Introduction

Particulate matter (PM) is generated from the extensive use of diesel engines, with the main component being carbonaceous particles (often called soot), which is associated with serious risks in terms of human health and environmental issues.\textsuperscript{1–6} Hence, the removal of PM has become one of the utmost important ongoing research topics in the field of environmental catalysis.\textsuperscript{5,7} Diesel particulate filters (DPFs) combined with catalytic combustion of renewable technology is considered to be an efficient after-treatment of soot oxidation.\textsuperscript{5,5–8,10} An effective catalyst with a lower light-off temperature is extremely important for DPF regeneration.\textsuperscript{5,7,9–13}

Recently, CeO$_2$ has not only been reported to be used successfully in three-way catalysts,\textsuperscript{14–17} but also as an excellent catalyst for soot combustion,\textsuperscript{5,7,12–20} because of its remarkable oxygen storage capacity (OSC) and redox property. Thus, CeO$_2$-based catalysts have been investigated by several researchers, with respect to CeO$_2$ doped with noble (e.g., Ag, Pt and Pd),\textsuperscript{17,21} transition (Cu, Mn, Co and Fe),\textsuperscript{22–24} and lanthanide (La and Pr) metals.\textsuperscript{25,26} In many cases, doping CeO$_2$ with alterable valence-state metal ions to generate lattice defects has proven to be an effective method to enhance the redox capacity and oxide-ion mobility.\textsuperscript{5,7,9,27–29} Moreover, the activity, as well as the thermal stability, of CeO$_2$ could be improved.\textsuperscript{5,14}

In particular, Pr-doped CeO$_2$ is a suitable catalyst for the formation of a solid solution,\textsuperscript{30,31} because the structures of CeO$_2$ and Pr$_6$O$_{11}$ are fluorite-like. In addition, the Ce$^{4+}$ ionic radius (0.097 nm) is considerably similar to that of Pr$^{4+}$ (0.096 nm), and all of the atoms can exist with the mixed oxidation states of 3+ and 4+ in CePr solid solution. CePr mixed oxides show better performance than other CeO$_2$-based catalysts in soot combustion with more active oxygen species and higher oxide-ion mobility, low-temperature activity, and thermal stability.\textsuperscript{5,7,10} However, to the best of our knowledge, few reports exist on how Pr penetrates into the CeO$_2$ lattice and on the position distribution in the structure of the two metal elements, which are significantly important for the identification of the relationship between the structures and catalytic properties of CePr mixed oxides.

The preparation methods of CeO$_2$-based catalysts mainly include coprecipitation,\textsuperscript{3,5,7,8,12,13,22,27,30,31,39} hydrothermal,\textsuperscript{40–42} citric acid complex combustion,\textsuperscript{21} sol–gel,\textsuperscript{2,3,13,14,46–48} solution combustion,\textsuperscript{40,41} solid-state,\textsuperscript{36} impregnation,\textsuperscript{45} (inverse) micro-emulsion,\textsuperscript{25,32,35} and solid-phase grinding methods.\textsuperscript{34,35} Among them, the solid-phase grinding method is the easiest technique to control the positions of the metal atoms in the structure. Moreover, this method is effective for building catalysts with different structures. In this work, CePr mixed oxides with different structures were prepared using a solid-phase grinding method, using different precursors to control the positions of Ce and Pr in the bulk structure. The physical and chemical properties were investigated using thermogravimetry (TG), hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H$_2$-TPR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and associated with soot oxidation. The purpose of this work is to get an insight into the influence of the distribution of Ce and Pr in CePr catalysts on soot combustion performance.
Experimental

Catalyst preparation

Sample pretreatment: CeO$_2$ was prepared using an ammonia coprecipitation method, using the corresponding nitrate as the precursor and ammonia water as the precipitating agent. The required amount of Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O was dissolved in deionized water, and a suspension was achieved by dropwise addition of 1 M NH$_3$·H$_2$O until the pH reached 9 to 10. The precipitate obtained was isolated by filtration, washed three times with deionized water, and dried at 110 °C for 12 h. Finally, the sample was calcined at 500 °C for 3 h. Pure Pr$_{0.11}$ was also prepared using the same coprecipitation method.

CePr mixed oxide catalysts were prepared by mixing the appropriate amounts of Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O/CeO$_2$ and Pr(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O/Pr$_{0.11}$ in an agate mortar, followed by calcination at 500 °C for 3 h. The resulting mixtures were referred to as CePr-NN (Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O and Pr(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O), CePr-NO (Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O and Pr$_{0.11}$), CePr-ON (CeO$_2$ and Pr(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O), and CePr-OO (CeO$_2$ and Pr$_{0.11}$).

Catalyst characterization

The structural features of all of the catalysts were characterized by powder XRD. The XRD patterns were registered between 10° and 80° (2θ) with a 0.02° step. The specific surface areas of the samples were determined by N$_2$ adsorption measured at −196 °C, using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method and a micropore porosity analyzer (3Flex, Micromeritics, USA). The samples were pretreated under vacuum at 300 °C for 2 h prior to measuring.

The reducibility of the CePr catalysts was studied by performing H$_2$-TPR experiments on a chemisorption analyzer (FINESORB-3010E, Fantai, China). The catalysts (100 mg) were pretreated in a U-shaped quartz reactor at 200 °C for 1 h and subsequently cooled to 100 °C under air flow (30 ml min$^{-1}$). Then, the catalyst bed was subjected to a flow (30 ml min$^{-1}$, 5% H$_2$ in Ar) at 100 °C for 20 min, followed by heating at a constant rate (10 °C min$^{-1}$) up to 750 °C. Finally, the catalyst was cooled under an Ar flow (30 ml min$^{-1}$). The hydrogen consumption was monitored using a thermal conductivity detector operating at 70 °C and 60 mA.

The micro-morphology and actual composition of the CePr mixed oxides were determined using a HR-TEM (Tecnai G2 F30 S-Twin 300 kV, Philips-FEI, Netherlands) microscope-coupled EDS analyzer.

Raman spectra were acquired using a Raman spectrometer (HR 800 Lab RAM, Horiba Jobin Yvon, France) equipped with a 532.95 nm laser (frequency-doubled Nd:YAG, 20 mW) and a charge-coupled device (CCD) detector (multichannel, air cooled). Approximately 8 mW laser light was focused on the central level of the horizontal FSCR to acquire Raman signals in the liquid phase.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was performed in order to identify the valence states and surface composition of the catalysts on a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD spectrometer. The analysis system used a monochromatic Al K$_\alpha$ (1486.6 eV) X-ray source, and the pressure was kept below 5 × 10$^{-7}$ Torr. The C 1s peak (284.6 eV) was used to calibrate the binding energy (BE).

Catalytic activity measurement

The catalytic activity for soot (Printex-U provided by Degussa) combustion of the CePr catalysts was studied in a TG analyzer (Netzsch STA 409PC, Germany). Soot–catalyst mixtures (catalyst : soot = 1 : 10) were ground in an agate mortar for 10 min to achieve a “tight contact” condition for obtaining the intrinsic activity of the catalysts. Oxidation experiments involved heating each mixture (approximately 10 mg) at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ from 25 °C to 650 °C under a flow of 10% O$_2$ in N$_2$ (50 ml min$^{-1}$).

The catalytic activity was evaluated from three aspects: (a) the ignition temperature of the soot ($T_i$, the extrapolated starting point of the TG curve); (b) the temperature at the highest rate of soot oxidation ($T_{\text{max}}$, the maximum of the DTG curve); (c) the burnout temperature of the soot ($T_b$, the extrapolated ending point of the TG curve).

Results and discussion

Structural design of the catalysts

CePr catalysts with different structures were prepared from different precursors as designed. The structure of the CePr-NN catalyst is a uniform composition of Ce and Pr; CePr-NO is Pr$_{0.11}$-coated CeO$_2$, CePr-ON is CeO$_2$-coated Pr$_{0.11}$, and CePr-OO is particle packing of CeO$_2$ and Pr$_{0.11}$ (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the corresponding TEM images and mapping results shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 1 shows the difficulty in distinguishing the phases of Ce and Pr in CePr-NN, which may be attributed to the even distribution of Ce and Pr, and results in the formation of a good solid solution structure. Pr$_{0.11}$ exists on the surface of CeO$_2$ in CePr-ON, whereas CePr-NO shows the opposite. The accumulation of CeO$_2$ and Pr$_{0.11}$ particles can be observed in CePr-OO. The X-EDS analysis of the catalysts (Table 1) and the elemental maps exhibiting the proportion and the distribution of Ce and Pr reveal that the structures of the catalysts that we prepared are similar to our design. These results provide clear evidence that nitrates are needed for preparing a CePr solid solution.

Presently, several researchers have been devoted to obtaining different structures of catalysts for soot combustion, because the structure plays an important role in catalytic activity.41,42 This work shows that different combinations of precursors can build different structures of CePr catalysts using the simple solid-phase grinding method.

Textural and structural characterization

The XRD patterns of the catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. The lattice constants of CeO$_2$ were calculated from the XRD patterns, based on Bragg’s law, and the average crystallite sizes were calculated from the FWHM of the XRD peak using the Scherrer equation (Table 2). All of the diffraction peaks of CeO$_2$ in the 2θ range of
10° to 80° are remarkably near each other (Fig. 2(A)), because Ce and Pr have similar characteristics. However, a slight change in the diffraction peaks can be clearly observed in the enlarged version (Fig. 2(B)). For all of the CePr catalysts, the X-ray reflections shifted toward 2θ angles between CeO₂ and Pr₆O₁₁, especially for CePr-NN. This finding suggests that a good solid solution structure is formed in CePr-NN, completely inserting Pr into the CeO₂ crystal lattice, causing the increase of the lattice constant value. The broad diffraction peak in this region of CePr-OO does not suggest an external force during the oxide reaction. The 2θ value is the arithmetic mean of CeO₂ and Pr₆O₁₁; thus, the CePr-OO structure may be particle packing of CeO₂ and Pr₆O₁₁.

The characteristic diffraction peak of CeO₂ in CePr-NO and CePr-ON did not deviate from that of pure CeO₂, indicating that Pr did not dissolve into the CeO₂ lattice. The 2θ value and lattice constant of CePr-NO are relatively near those of CeO₂, indicating that CePr-NO formed upon the interaction between Ce³⁺ and Pr₆O₁₁ during the NO₃⁻ decomposition process; thus, CeO₂ was exposed on the Pr₆O₁₁ surface. However, CePr-ON is opposite to CePr-NO.

The lattice strain values of the catalysts are shown in Table 2. It can be found that certain distortion occurred in the lattice structure of the catalyst, indicating that Pr inserts into the CeO₂ crystal lattice. The greater the lattice strain value, the better the solid solution effect. It is thus reasonable to conclude that a good solid solution structure is formed in CePr-NN with the largest distortion.

In addition, doping with Pr is advantageous to obtain a reduction in crystallite sizes. However, the catalytic performance of the catalyst is not proportional to the specific surface area, as shown in Table 2. This demonstrated that the specific surface area is not the major factor in controlling the catalytic performance for soot combustion. There may be several other factors that do affect the catalytic performance, for example the number of contact points between the soot and catalyst.

<p>| Table 1: Atomic composition of the CePr catalysts determined by X-EDS |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight/%</th>
<th>Atomic/%</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight/%</th>
<th>Atomic/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CePr-NN</td>
<td>Ce 69.22</td>
<td>69.34</td>
<td>CePr-ON</td>
<td>Ce 41.67</td>
<td>41.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pr 30.77</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pr 58.32</td>
<td>58.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CePr-NO</td>
<td>Ce 63.46</td>
<td>63.59</td>
<td>CePr-OO</td>
<td>Ce 53.56</td>
<td>53.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pr 36.53</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pr 46.43</td>
<td>46.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Structure design and TEM images (mapping results) of the CePr mixed oxides. (a) CePr-NN; (b) CePr-NO; (c) CePr-ON; (d) CePr-OO.
particles, because soot oxidation occurs on the triple-contact point of gas (O2)–solid (catalyst)–solid (soot). Agreeing with previous observations of Shangguan et al., we conclude that the specific surface area is not an important feature that influences the catalyst activity for soot combustion. The XRD results clearly confirm that the solid-phase grinding method used in this work is efficient in building different structures for CePr catalysts with different activities prepared from different precursors.

Redox properties

H2-TPR was performed to investigate the reducibility of the four kinds of CePr catalysts, the results of which are shown in Fig. 3. Pure CeO2 shows a wide reduction peak, which can be attributed to the reduction of Ce4+ to Ce3+. Pure Pr6O11 shows a large reduction peak below 200 °C but lost the reactive oxygen species before reaching the ignition temperature of soot combustion; thus, the light-off temperature increased to 406 °C. According to the literature, the oxygen release capacity of the catalysts in the range of 200 °C to 400 °C is a significant factor in determining the soot combustion performance. Thus, the H2 consumption of the four kinds of CePr catalysts in this temperature range was calculated by integrating the corresponding peak areas with that of a standard sample (CuO). Almost all of the reduction peaks of the catalysts fall within the range of the soot oxidation temperature, belonging to Ce4+ → Ce3+ and Pr4+ → Pr3+. The OSC of CeO2 is greatly enhanced by introducing Pr, which could provide more lattice oxygen, thereby leading to a higher combustion rate because of the further increase in the amount of available active oxygen. Particularly, CePr-NN shows a major reduction peak at 315 °C, which is due to the formation of a solid solution.
structure caused by the complete insertion of Pr into the CeO₂ crystal lattice, and results in a significant improvement in OSC. Generally, the increased oxygen vacancies caused by the presence of Pr lead to easy exchange of oxygen. Therefore, the amount of reactive oxygen species can be increased and they are easily reduced by H₂ at low temperature.

Two reduction peaks exist for CePr-NO, which simultaneously shift to lower temperatures compared with those of CePr-ON. CeO₂ is believed to be the major active component in CePr catalysts, which is located on the surface, where reduction is easier. In combination with the XRD patterns, a solid solution structure is confirmed to be absent in the four kinds of CePr catalysts besides CePr-NN. Therefore, the good redox capacity at low temperature of these mixed oxides is mostly related to the synergy of CeO₂ and Pr₆O₁₁.

In addition, as calculated from Table 3, 0.7 times the H₂ consumption of CeO₂ plus 0.3 times the H₂ consumption of Pr₆O₁₁ is 0.028 g. The value is less than that of CePr catalysts, implying that the delivery of oxygen capability increased

Table 5  Surface oxygen species percentage and surface chemical compositions of the CePr catalysts obtained from XPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>O₁₋Ⅲ/%</th>
<th>O₁₋Ⅱ/%</th>
<th>O₁₋Ⅰ/%</th>
<th>Ce 3d/%</th>
<th>Pr 3d/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CePr-NN</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>70.16</td>
<td>59.04</td>
<td>40.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CePr-NO</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>29.05</td>
<td>67.48</td>
<td>51.19</td>
<td>48.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CePr-ON</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>64.92</td>
<td>38.09</td>
<td>61.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CePr-OO</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>50.79</td>
<td>48.56</td>
<td>35.85</td>
<td>64.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 4**  Raman spectra of the CePr catalysts with different structures. (a) CePr-NN; (b) CePr-NO; (c) CePr-ON; (d) CePr-OO.

**Fig. 5**  O 1s XPS profiles of the four CePr catalysts. (a) CePr-NN; (b) CePr-NO; (c) CePr-ON; (d) CePr-OO.

**Fig. 6**  Ce 3d and Pr 3d XP spectra of the four CePr catalysts. (a) CePr-NN; (b) CePr-NO; (c) CePr-ON; (d) CePr-OO.
through the synergistic effect between CeO$_2$ and Pr$_6$O$_{11}$, resulting in a good redox property.

The TPR results indicate that the solid solution structure is conducive to the production of more oxygen vacancies, improving the OSC of the CePr catalysts. Therefore, a more reactive oxygen species is proposed to be generated via the method of doping Pr with nitrate.

**Fig. 7** TG and DTG curves of the soot/catalyst mixture with the CePr catalysts.

**Fig. 8** TG and DTG curves of the soot/catalyst mixture for CePr-NN catalysts prepared with different grinding times.
Oxygen vacancies

The Raman spectra of the CePr catalysts with different structures are displayed in Fig. 4, the purpose of which is to demonstrate the formation of the oxygen vacancies. The Raman peak near 465 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the F2g Raman mode of CeO₂, characteristic of a fluorite structured material.³⁴,³⁵,³⁷ The band at 465 cm⁻¹ shifts to a lower frequency, indicating the formation of the solid solution.³²,³⁴ Thus, the band shifted down to 447 cm⁻¹ of CePr-NN suggests the formation of a CePr solid solution. Meanwhile, the peak at a Raman shift of 570 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the presence of oxygen vacancies.³⁴,³⁵ Comparison of the Raman line shape in the four CePr mixed oxides shows that the incorporation of Pr ions into CeO₂ must be accompanied by the generation of oxygen vacancies. The population of oxygen vacancies is proportional to the peak area ratio of about 570 to 450 cm⁻¹.³²,³⁴ The data collected in Table 4 imply that there are more oxygen vacancies for CePr-NN than for the other three CePr catalysts, which is in agreement with the results of H₂-TPR.

Surface properties

The oxidation states and surface composition of the catalysts were obtained by XPS analysis. The O 1s spectra of the samples are shown in Fig. 5. The spectra show three types of oxygen species. The peak with a lower binding energy at 528.49–528.74 eV was generally assigned to a normal O 1s (OII) signal that corresponds to the lattice oxygen type. The peak with a binding energy at 530.88–531.18 eV was attributed to oxygen species in the defects (denoted as OIII) (e.g., O₂⁻, O₂₂⁻ or O⁻), and the peak with a binding energy at 532.00–532.62 eV could instead be attributed to hydroxyl-like groups and adsorbed molecular water (OII).³³ The relative percentages of the three oxygen species were quantified based on the area ratios of their peaks (Table 5).

As observed from Table 5, the relative percentages of OII detected from CePr-NO, CePr-ON and CePr-OO were obviously higher than that of CePr-NN. However, the majority of the defect oxygen species of these three CePr catalysts might result from PrO₁₁ on the surface of the catalysts. This should be due to the large reduction peak below 200 °C of PrₓO₁₁. Obviously, these oxygen species do not work for the soot combustion reaction. This is because the oxygen release capacity of the catalysts in the range of 200 °C to 400 °C is responsible for the soot reaction.³³ Combined with the results of the H₂-TPR analysis, it is deduced that the defect oxygen species caused by PrₓO₁₁ is the ineffective oxygen. Therefore, CeO₂ is considered as the active phase in CePr catalysts. Only the CePr-NN with the highest H₂ consumption between 200 °C and 400 °C possesses the most effective active oxygen. Generally, OII is responsible for oxidation reactions.³³ It can be established that the essentiality of OI and OII required for supplemental effective active oxygen (OIII) in the channel of oxygen migration (O₅ ↔ OII ↔ OIII) could be significant for the improvement of the OSC of the catalysts. Based on the above results, it can be concluded that CePr-NN showed the best catalytic activity and the fastest oxidation rate compared with the other three CePr catalysts, which might be attributed to its abundant oxygen species of OI and OII.

The Ce 3d XP spectra and Pr 3d XP spectra of the catalysts are presented in Fig. 6. Meanwhile, the atomic concentrations of Ce and Pr at the surface of the catalysts are also shown in Table 5. The corresponding binding energies of Ce 3d and Pr 3d are not very different (870 and 970 eV, respectively).³⁶ The main features of Ce 3d are composed of ten peaks. The peaks labelled as u are due to corresponding 3d₅/₂ spin–orbit states, and those labelled as v are due to 3d₃/₂ states. It can be seen from the spectrum that the four peaks labelled as u₀, u', v₀ and v' are attributed to Ce³⁺.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grinding time/min</th>
<th>Tᵢ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢᵣ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢᵢ/°C</th>
<th>Grinding time/min</th>
<th>Tᵢ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢᵣ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢᵢ/°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>442</td>
<td></td>
<td>370</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Soot combustion on CePr-NN catalysts prepared with different grinding times

Fig. 9 TG and DSC curves of Ce(NO₃)₃, Pr(NO₃)₃, and Ce(NO₃)₃ + Pr(NO₃)₃.
ions, and the other peaks labelled as u, u', v, v' and v'' are related to Ce⁴⁺ ions. Analysis of the spectra indicates that in the CePr mixed oxides cerium is present in both the 3+ and 4+ oxidation states, with the major oxidation state being 4+. Moreover, the relative intensity of the two peaks annotated u' and v' of CePr-NN is more prominent than for the other three catalysts, indicating that the incorporation of Pr⁴⁺ results in more surface Ce³⁺ in the solid solution structure. The existence of Ce³⁺ in the CeO₂ lattice implies the formation of oxygen vacancies. Furthermore, the higher the Ce³⁺ concentration of the total Ce that exists, the more oxygen vacancies there are.

Catalyst activity in soot combustion

The activity measurements of the CePr catalysts for soot oxidation were investigated under an O₂/N₂ atmosphere under "tight contact" conditions and are presented in Fig. 7. Generally, this analysis is used to discriminate the catalysts in terms of their intrinsic activity. The catalytic activities of the four kinds of CePr catalysts are compared using the reaction temperatures referred to as Tᵢ, Tᵢₘ, and Tᵢₖ (Table 3). Obviously, CePr-NN gave the best low-temperature catalytic activity among the CePr catalysts, followed by CePr-NO, CePr-OO and CePr-ON. CePr-NN exhibits a similar catalytic activity to CeO₂; CeO₂ presents a higher activity than the three other CePr catalysts. This finding indicates that CeO₂ is the major active component of the CePr mixed oxides. Therefore, the greater amount of CeO₂ exposed on the catalyst surface results in a higher activity of soot oxidation.

Combined with the XRD results, the higher combustion rate of CePr-NN compared with that of pure CeO₂ is caused by the presence of a solid solution structure with a smaller crystal size and more defects, which could be beneficial to improve the OSC. In combination with the H₂-TPR profiles, the reducibility of the CePr catalysts basically presents regularity on the soot

Table 7  Thermal stability comparison of CeO₂ and CePr-NN catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Tᵢ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢₘ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢₖ/°C</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Tᵢ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢₘ/°C</th>
<th>Tᵢₖ/°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CeO₂-F</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>CeO₂-A</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr₆O₁₁-F</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>Pr₆O₁₁-A</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CePr-NN-F</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>CePr-NN-A</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12  The catalytic activity of CePr-NN after wet atmosphere treatment.

Fig. 11  TG and DTG curves of the soot/catalyst mixture.

Fig. 10  A schematic drawing of the formation and disappearance of the molten state.
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temperatures (measured, and are shown in Fig. 8. The corresponding reaction and are shown in Fig. 8. The corresponding reaction is caused by the large number of active oxygen species decreasing the ignition temperature. However, the large amount of high-temperature lattice oxygen is not sufficient for soot oxidation, leading to the burnout temperature being above 500 °C.

If one focuses on the ignition temperature of CePr-NN, reduction is not obtained as it is with pure CeO2, but the rate of catalytic combustion is accelerated because of \( \Delta T = T_i - T_f \) (the difference between the ignition temperature and burnout temperature). This means that the solid solution structure is beneficial for the enhancement of the soot oxidation rate. This reaction is caused by the large number of active oxygen species with high density and high mobility in the low-temperature range. In conclusion, CePr catalysts with different structures prepared from different precursors directly affect the soot combustion performance.

Grinding times for CePr-NN

The influence of uniformity (grinding times) in the solid-phase grinding method is studied. The activities of the CePr-NN catalysts prepared with different grinding times were measured, and are shown in Fig. 8. The corresponding reaction temperatures \( \{T_i, T_m, T_f\} \) are presented in Table 6 to compare the catalytic activities. The \( T_i \) values vary in a significantly narrow range (364 °C to 368 °C) as the grinding time increases, indicating a similar low-temperature catalytic activity for all of the CePr-NN catalysts. The catalytic activity did not increase with the increase of uniformity compared with that of CePr prepared by Pechini process.

The experiments point out that the grinding time does not affect the activity of CePr-NN for soot combustion. Thus, high-activity CePr catalysts can be prepared without prolonged grinding. However, the activity of the CePr-NN catalyst being independent from the grinding time remains unclear. Thus, nitrates were studied directly by means of TG to observe the change of states in the heating process.

The DSC curves corresponding to any changes in the heat of CePr-NN are shown in Fig. 9. A considerable amount of heat was absorbed during the sequential loss in both physical and hydrated water, as well as thermal decomposition process of the nitrates. Relating to Fig. 10 shows that solid turns into liquid (“molten state”) when nitrates reach their melting points (96 °C for cerium nitrate and 56 °C for praseodymium nitrate) with increasing temperature. The molten state is present until the nitrates completely decomposed into our catalyst. Therefore, we suggest that Ce4+ and Pr4+ migrate quickly to accomplish mixing, which could occur instead of grinding in this process. In addition, heat absorbed during NO3− decomposition also plays an important role in Ce4+ and Pr4+ migration.

In this regard, the existence of the molten state is an important factor for preparing CePr catalysts with different structures. Particularly, the high catalytic activity of CePr-NN is mainly attributed to the formation of a solid solution structure. Thus, for soot combustion, the grinding time is not considered to be important, which means that high activity catalysts can be prepared without grinding when nitrates are used as precursors.

**Thermal stability test**

The thermal stability was therefore examined because CePr-NN exhibits the same good catalytic activity as pure CeO2 toward soot combustion. To prove that the catalytic performance of CePr-NN is better than that of CeO2 in soot oxidation, the thermal stability of the catalysts was characterized by calcining at 800 °C for 10 h (marked as A) and compared with the catalytic activity at 500 °C for 10 h (marked as F).

Fig. 11 shows the TG and DTG curves for soot combustion for the fresh and aging samples of CeO2, CePr-NN, and Pr6O11. The measured values of \( T_i, T_m, \) and \( T_f \) from the DTG are listed in Table 7. As shown in Fig. 11, both CeO2 and CePr-NN demonstrate good activity at 500 °C. The thermal stability test performed at 800 °C shows that the soot combustion is faster in the case of CePr-NN compared with that of CeO2, for which the activity decreased sharply with serious sintering. \( T_i \) did not increase remarkably with respect to the fresh sample, indicating that CePr-NN has good thermal stability. Note that Pr6O11 shows high stability, and the supporting phase, Pr, is thus concluded to enhance both the combustion rate and thermal stability of the catalyst toward soot oxidation.

The gas composition is complex in engine exhausts, and includes \( \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{CO}_x, \text{NO}_x \) and HC. The soot oxidation reaction may be affected by these gases. Previous research and literature have shown that NOx is a powerful oxidant, which is conducive to a significant decrease in the soot oxidation temperature; \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) may also affect the activity structure of the catalyst. Therefore, an experiment of treatment of CePr-NN-F at 850 °C in a wet atmosphere (100% relative humidity) for a couple of hours has been shown (Fig. 12). After treatment with steam, the activity of the catalyst is close to that of CePr-NN-A, which exhibits \( T_i, T_m \)
and $T_i$ values of 395, 444 and 467 °C, respectively. This indicated that CePr-NN-F exhibits good stability in the presence of steam.

In order to further verify the reuse of the CePr-NN catalyst, a recycling experiment has been conducted. The residual CePr-NN catalyst which has burned soot in the crucible was mixed with soot particles (catalyst : soot = 1 : 10), and then the catalytic activity of the catalyst was studied in the TG analyzer again, the results of which are shown in Fig. 13. It can be seen that CePr-NN has an excellent recyclability, illustrating that CePr-NN could maintain a stable active structure in the reaction.

Conclusions

Incorporation of Pr atoms into CeO$_2$ with nitrates as precursors mainly improves its OSC and the mobility of active oxygen at 200 °C to 400 °C, which leads to a higher combustion rate without affecting the soot ignition temperature. In addition, doping CeO$_2$ with Pr can greatly enhance its thermal stability, because highly stable Pr$_2$O$_3$ is used as the supporting phase of the catalyst for soot oxidation. The molten state appeared during the preparation when nitrate is used as the precursor, because highly stable Pr$_6$O$_{11}$ is used as the supporting phase of the catalyst for soot oxidation. In addition, CePr catalysts with different structures are formed, whose activities are independent of the grinding time. Particularly, CePr-NN with a solid solution structure exhibits an accelerated combustion rate and high thermal stability for soot combustion.
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