New roles for metal–organic frameworks: fuels for environmentally friendly composites†
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Composite energetic materials are widely used in mining, air bag modules and propellants, and welding because they can release a large amount of stored energy on combustion. Unfortunately, common composite formulations exhibit incomplete combustion of these agents and their toxic components, reducing the yield and causing emission of harmful gaseous products. We report a new type of formulation using an energetic metal–organic framework, [Cu(atzr)2(NO3)2]n (atzr = 4,4’-azo-1,2,4-triazole), as an active component. Its physicochemical properties such as the decomposition temperature, heat of reaction, sensitivity, and gas generation rate were measured. Compared with traditional composites, these composites exhibit superior characteristics such as low toxicity, high peak pressure, insensitivity, and high activity, and they produce very little solid residue. In light of their excellent properties, they exhibit potential as green gas generators for future applications and open up a new field for the application of MOFs.

Introduction

Energetic materials are widely used in micropropulsion, mining, air bag modules, ammunition primers, and propellants because they produce large amounts of hyperthermal gas products. With increasing awareness of environmental and ecological concerns, much effort has been given to seeking next-generation green composites with suitable compositions that not only have excellent properties to meet the requirements of their wide range of applications, but also avoid deleterious components and elements to meet environmental protection requirements.

Composite energetic materials are mixtures of a fuel (e.g., aluminum particles) and oxidizing materials (e.g., Fe2O3, CuO, MoO3),11,12 and the energy of the gas products generated depends on the enthalpy of reaction, which arises from the oxidation-reduction reaction between the fuel and oxidizer. Although these materials usually have a much higher energy density than organic explosives (e.g., TNT, nitrocellulose, RDX), their generated energies are lower than the theoretical values because a large portion of the fuel powders is usually unreacted owing to the low reaction rates between the fuel and oxidizer and the slow mass transfer rate between the reactants. More importantly, these unreacted powders are serious pollutants that are an important source of PM 2.5 owing to their size distribution and the difficulty of decomposing them. More recently, many other more powerful molecules have been introduced into formulations for their high energy content and strong activity. Many of them are typically composed of salts (NaN3, NH4ClO4, and KClO4 (ref. 12)). These molecules display very promising gas-generating behavior; however, they would induce serious environmental pollution during manufacture, transport, and application. In practice, sodium azide has been confirmed as a deadly poison,28 and perchlorate has a very large negative impact on human health as well as the ozone layer, because as much as 98% of the available chlorine ions may be converted to HCl gas when perchlorate is used in common composite propellant formulations. A recent report introduced periodate (NaIO4, KIO4) salts as oxidizers because of their low toxicity; however, the resulting composites have relatively low peak pressures or full width at half-maximum (FWHM) burn times,23 limiting their ability to provide gaseous products. These inadequacies may be due to the shortage of gaseous elements (e.g., CHON elements) in the formulation and the presence of iodine elements with a very large atomic mass (126.9), which decreases the amount of gaseous products and degrades the performance of the composites. The fabrication of high-performance environmentally friendly energetic materials and their application as green gas generators remain challenging.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a new class of porous materials and have attracted great attention because of their fascinating molecular structures and extensive applications in catalysis,21,22 gas storage,23 and chemical sensors.24 Energetic MOFs,10,25–27 an important type of such porous materials, use mainly azole heterocycles and their derivatives as ligands.
Significantly, the combustion products of these nitrogen-rich ligands are innocuous, produce less smoke, and are environmentally friendly. Energetic MOFs are a unique class owing to their interesting structures, their high-energy-density ligands, and the resulting fascinating structural motifs that appear at the high heat of detonation, which demonstrate their potential as fuels. Moreover, because of their porous structure, MOFs may produce two-phase flow and enhance the reaction between the gas flow and unburned porous solids, which supports convective transport and thus affects the performance of these composites. Moreover, the components of energetic MOFs contain large amounts of gaseous elements (e.g., CHON elements), making them favorable for the generation of gaseous products during combustion. Accordingly, energetic MOFs are ideal fuels because of their environmentally friendly combustion products, high heats of detonation, and special molecular structures. Although many energetic MOFs have been synthesized in the past few years, the exploration of MOFs in composite energetic materials has not been reported.

Here, we report a novel type of composite energetic materials based on a powerful three-dimensional energetic MOF, \([\text{Cu(atrz)}_2(\text{NO}_2)_3]\) \(\text{MOF}(\text{Cu})\), atrz = 4,4′-azo-1,2,4-triazole. We chose to use MOF(Cu) as the fuel for the following reasons: (1) it possesses a superhigh heat of detonation and a high nitrogen content (53.35%), which could improve the gas-generating performance; (2) it has a porous structure, so it supports convective transport and may promote complete combustion; (3) because of its high nitrogen content, its combustion products are innocuous, contain less smoke, and are more environmentally friendly, so MOF(Cu) satisfies the demand for green material development. In addition, periodate salts were selected as the oxidizer because of their low toxicity and high oxygen content. Their physicochemical properties such as the decomposition temperature, heat of reaction, and gas-generating performance were measured, and the results demonstrated their potential as green composite energetic materials in future applications.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals and materials**

NaIO₄ and KIO₄ were purchased from Aladdin Corporation and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification. Copper oxide (CuO, 50 nm) and used without further purification.

**Preparation of MOF(Cu)**

According to a literature procedure, green energetic MOF(Cu) was prepared by a hydrothermal method. Yield: 81%. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C, 21.20; H, 1.78; N, 53.56. Found: C, 21.02; H, 1.81; N, 53.41. Infra-red spectrum (IR, KBr pellets, λ, cm⁻¹): 3118, 1504, 1395, 1356, 1187, 1041, 866, 627. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, Fig. S2†), IR (Fig. 2), and elemental analyses confirmed that the structure of the as-prepared samples was consistent with that reported for MOF(Cu).

**Preparation of the energetic composites**

NaIO₄ or KIO₄ was stoichiometrically mixed with MOF(Cu). Approximately 10 mL of hexane was then added to each mixture, and the mixtures were ultrasonicated for 30 min to ensure thorough mixing. The hexane was allowed to evaporate in air, and then the samples were placed in vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h to remove any remaining hexane and moisture. The powder was very easily broken with a spatula until the consistency of each sample was that of a loose powder. The MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ composite contained 33.4% MOF(Cu) and 66.6% NaIO₄. The MOF(Cu)/KIO₄ composite contained 31.8% MOF(Cu) and 68.2% KIO₄. The composite Al/CuO (Al, ~0.050 μm; CuO, ~0.050 μm) was used as a reference, and it contained 22% Al and 78% CuO.

**Measurement of heat of reaction and ignition temperature using differential scanning calorimetry measurement/thermogravimetric**

In this paper, a TG-DSC Q2000 differential scanning calorimeter was employed to determine the ignition temperatures, heats of reaction and compatibility of thermites. About 1.5 mg of sample was used and the temperature was programmed to 1000 °C (1273 K) at the rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in 60 mL min⁻¹ N₂ flow. A minimum of three measurements were taken for each composites under identical experimental conditions to quantify the error. The ignition temperature was usually regarded as the onset temperature of an exothermic reaction and also as the lowest temperature that can induce the thermal explosion of a sample. The heat of reaction was obtained by the integration of the exothermic peaks.

**Measurement of sensitivity**

The impact sensitivity was tested on a type 12 tooling according to “up and down” method (Bricenton method). A 2.5 kg weight was dropped from a set height onto a 20 mg sample placed on 150 grit garnet sandpaper. Each subsequent test was made at the next lower height if no explosion happened. 50 drops were made from different heights, and an explosion or non-explosion was recorded to determine the results. RDX was considered as a reference compound, and the impact sensitivity of RDX is 7.4 J.

The friction sensitivity was tested on a FSKM-10 BAM friction apparatus. RDX was also used as a reference compound, and its friction sensitivity is 110 N.

The electrostatic sensitivity was tested on a FSKM 50/20K apparatus produced by OZM Research. Typically a 25 mg sample was placed between the porcelain plate and peg. The weight of leading at least one ignition in six times was recorded. The friction sensitivity of RDX is 0.2 J. Test conditions: 25 °C (temperature); 34% (relative humidity).

**High-speed imaging**

The high speed digital imaging of sample combustion was taken at a resolution of 500 × 500 and frame rate of 5000 fps (200 μs per frame).
were also tested in combustion cell for comparison purpose.

Combustion cell characterization
A constant volume combustion cell (~13 cm\(^3\)) was used to simultaneously measure the pressure rise\(^{26,31}\) of the composite [Fig. S4\(^\dagger\)]. In this study, 25.0 mg of sample was placed inside the combustion cell and ignited by joule heating of a nichrome coil on top of the loose powder. Other composite samples (control experiments) were also tested in combustion cell for comparison purpose.

Results and discussion
Fabrication and characterization of composite energetic materials
According to a literature procedure,\(^{24}\) green energetic MOF(Cu) was prepared from a hydrothermal reaction of 4,4'-azo-1,2,4-triazole (atrz) with Cu(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) at 100 °C; this material can be synthesized with high yield and purity. The PXRD patterns (Fig. S2\(^\dagger\)) showed that the framework of MOF(Cu) was well maintained even after it was heated at 200 °C for 24 h in air, confirming that it is highly stable.

The composites were prepared by ultrasonically mixing MOF(Cu) with a periodate oxidizer (NaIO\(_4\) or KIO\(_4\)) into Al\(_2\)CuO composite\(^{35}\) (Al, ~0.050 mm; CuO, ~0.050 mm) as a reference material [eqn (3)] to demonstrate the reliability of the present method.

The MOF(Cu)-based composites ranged in size from 10 to 30 μm according to scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (Fig. 2) and S5\(^\dagger\). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental maps (Fig. 2) of the MOF(Cu)-based composites showed that the MOF(Cu) particles and oxidizer particles were relatively evenly distributed. In addition, the composites were further examined by PXRD and IR analyses (Fig. 1). The PXRD patterns showed that many main peaks of MOF(Cu) still appeared after the formation of the MOF(Cu)/NaIO\(_4\) and MOF(Cu)/KIO\(_4\) composites, confirming that the structure of MOF(Cu) was well maintained. These main peaks of MOF(Cu) are marked with red circles or purple squares. In addition, according to the PDF card and IR analysis of the periodate salts, the MOF(Cu)-based composites also contained periodate salts.

Initial safety testing
For the initial safety testing, the impact and friction sensitivity of MOF(Cu)/NaIO\(_4\) and MOF(Cu)/KIO\(_4\) were measured using

\[
\text{Cu}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{N}_6\text{S}_8 + 6.25\text{NaIO}_4 = \text{CuO} + 12\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6.25\text{NaI} + 13\text{N}_2
\]  

\[
\text{Cu}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{N}_6\text{S}_8 + 6.25\text{KIO}_4 = \text{CuO} + 12\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6.25\text{KI} + 13\text{N}_2
\]  

\[
2\text{Al} + 3\text{CuO} = \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{Cu}
\]

\(\text{Scheme 1 Reaction equations of MOFs-based composites and reference material.}\)
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Table 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>(T_a)</th>
<th>Heat</th>
<th>IS</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>ESD</th>
<th>(P_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>(T_e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOF(Cu)/NaIO(_4)</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF(Cu)/KIO(_4)</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>2.053</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al–CuO(nano)</td>
<td>767 (^a)</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>&lt;0.008(^b)</td>
<td>0.6 (^b)</td>
<td>0.170 (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al–NaIO(_4)(nano)(^b)</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0084</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al–KIO(_4)(nano)(^b)</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;0.0084</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Decomposition temperature (DSC, °C). \(b\) The actual measurement (kJ g\(^{-1}\)). \(c\) Impact sensitivity (J). \(d\) Friction sensitivity (N). \(e\) Electrostatic sensitivity (J). \(f\) The peak pressures (MPa). \(g\) Full width at half maximum (ms). \(^b\) Ref. 13.
indicating a comparable margin of safety when handling them (the human body can generate up to 0.025 J of static electricity\textsuperscript{35}). The relatively low sensitivity may arise from the high stability and insensitivity of energetic MOF(Cu), and low sensitivity is important for safe manufacture, transport, and application. This point has also been previously confirmed by Yang.\textsuperscript{36}

**Thermodynamic study**

In addition to the sensitivity, the ignition temperature is also an important property of a composite and is strongly related to its safe delivery, storage, and application. Many previous studies have used DSC to determine the ignition temperature of conventional composites. In a DSC curve, the ignition temperature is usually regarded as the lowest temperature that can induce spontaneous exothermic reaction of a sample. From the DSC curves (Fig. 3), the ignition temperatures of MOF(Cu)/NaIO4 and MOF(Cu)/KIO4 are only 232 °C and 227 °C, respectively, which are lower than those of common composites (for example, >1227 °C for Al/Fe2O3,\textsuperscript{12} 822 °C for Al/(Fe2O3 + KClO4),\textsuperscript{13} 767 °C for Al/CuO,\textsuperscript{13} 607 °C for Al/NaIO4,\textsuperscript{13} and 677 °C for Al/KIO4 (ref. 13)). Their remarkably low ignition temperatures are attributed to the high activity and porous structure of MOF(Cu). The high activity reduces the thermal energy required to initiate reactivity, and the porous structure may enhance the reaction between the gas flow and unburned porous solids, reducing the need for a thermal stimulus.

The enthalpies of combustion of gram-scale MOF-based composites and the reference material were measured using

---

**Fig. 2** SEM images and EDS elemental maps of the MOF(Cu)/NaIO4 composite: (a) SEM images; (b) C (white); (c) N (blue); (d) O (red); (e) Cu (yellow); (f) I (purple).

**Fig. 3** DSC/TG curves of MOF-based composites. The heats of reaction are obtained by the integration of the exotherm peaks.
an oxygen bomb calorimeter. The measured heats of reaction for MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ and MOF(Cu)/KIO₄ are 2.63 and 2.05 kJ g⁻¹, respectively, whereas that of the reference material, Al/CuO, is 3.79 kJ g⁻¹, which is similar to its theoretical value (3.9 kJ g⁻¹), demonstrating the reliability of the proposed method. We also confirmed that these samples, like other samples of aluminum-based composite materials containing perchlorate nanoparticles, were hard to ignite or exhibited incomplete combustion under low tension and low mass. The experimental results for MOF(Cu)/KIO₄ agree well with this theory. The heat of reaction (2.63 kJ g⁻¹) for MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄, unlike those of most composite materials, is similar to the value calculated using the single exothermic peak area (2.44 kJ g⁻¹), possibly because of the approximate initial decomposition temperatures (Fig. S3†) of MOF(Cu) (269 °C) and NaIO₄ (290 °C), which presumably are the result of the good compatibility between MOF(Cu) and NaIO₄. In addition, the heat of reaction of MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ (2.63 kJ g⁻¹; (DSC) calculation value) is higher than or approximately equal to that of the majority of aluminum-based composites, including Al/CuO (1.2 kJ g⁻¹), Al/WO₃ (1.17 kJ g⁻¹), Al/Co₃O₄ (2.50 kJ g⁻¹), and Al/Fe₂O₃ (2.83 kJ g⁻¹). The relatively high heat of reaction of MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ could result from the good compatibility between MOF(Cu) and NaIO₄, which facilitates complete combustion and produces environmentally friendly combustion products.

Combustion performance

To explore the reactivity of the MOF(Cu)-based composites, a fixed mass (25 mg) of each sample in a loose powder was ignited in each shot, and the pressure signals of the combustion cell (~13 cm²) due to burning were measured (Fig. 4). All the systems showed a fast pressure rise, on the order of microseconds. The peak pressures are 1.96 and 1.79 MPa for MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ and MOF(Cu)/KIO₄, respectively, which are significantly better than that of the reference material, Al/CuO, which is only 0.55 MPa (its literature value is 0.6 MPa, and its measured
value is very similar, demonstrating the reliability of our test method. The peak pressures of MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ and MOF(Cu)/KIO₄ are slightly higher than that of Al/CuO, possibly because MOF(Cu) contains large amounts of gaseous elements (e.g., CHON elements) and releases a large amount of gaseous products upon reaction.

More importantly, MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ (28.7 ms) and MOF(Cu)/KIO₄ (19.4 ms) exhibit remarkable FWHM burn times that far exceed those of Al/CuO (0.280 ms (0.170 ms [ref. 13]), Al/NaIO₄ (0.124 ms), and Al/KIO₄ (0.124 ms)). The relatively high peak pressures and long FWHM burn times could result from the composites' high content of gaseous elements (e.g., CHON elements), which would release a massive amount of gases (e.g., N₂, CO₂), and the special three-dimensionally ordered macroporous structure, which supports convective transport²⁸-³⁰ of high-temperature gas products to unburned solids and thus promotes complete reactions.

In addition, the ratio of the solid residue mass to the sample mass of MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ is only 6.8%, very likely because MOF(Cu) has a high nitrogen content (53.35%), and the ratio of the solid residue mass to the sample mass of NaIO₄ is less than 20%. Moreover, most composite energetic materials with the lack of gas elements (e.g., CHON elements) usually produce a large quantity (e.g., Al/Fe₂O₃; almost 100%) of solid residue during combustion, which would inevitably cause environmental pollution. In contrast, MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ leaves very little solid residue after combustion, and the results further suggest that MOF(Cu)-based composites are suitable for use as gas generators.

To test the utility of the MOF(Cu)-based composites, we also performed high-speed imaging of 25 mg samples in a crucible, which showed a violent flame front (Fig. 5) similar to that observed for the Al/CuO composite (Fig. S6†). However, the MOF(Cu)-based composites showed a longer burning time MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄, 144 ms; Al/CuO, <10.6 ms, which facilitates the production of a sustained impulsive force. In short, the relatively high peak pressures, long FWHM burn time, very little solid residue after combustion, and environmental friendliness of the combustion products illustrated their potential for green gas-generation in future applications.

Conclusions

We demonstrated a novel type of environmentally friendly composite based on energetic MOFs as a fuel. Compared with common composites, the new formulations exhibit desirable characteristics such as low toxicity, low sensitivity, and high activity, and they produce very little solid residue. Among them, the measured heat of reaction, ignition temperature, FWHM burn time, and peak pressure for MOF(Cu)/NaIO₄ were 2.63 kJ g⁻¹, 232 °C, 28.7 ms, and 1.96 MPa, respectively. Considering all of its excellent properties, it exhibits potential as a green secondary gas generator in future applications and opens a new field for the application of MOFs.
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