Polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites: a review

Sandeep N. Tripathi,a G. S. Srinivasa Rao,a Ajit B. Mathurb and Rakshvir Jasrab

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

1. Introduction

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

**Polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites: a review**

Sandeep N. Tripathi,a G. S. Srinivasa Rao,a Ajit B. Mathurb and Rakshvir Jasrab

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

1. Introduction

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

**Polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites: a review**

Sandeep N. Tripathi,a G. S. Srinivasa Rao,a Ajit B. Mathurb and Rakshvir Jasrab

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

1. Introduction

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

**Polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites: a review**

Sandeep N. Tripathi,a G. S. Srinivasa Rao,a Ajit B. Mathurb and Rakshvir Jasrab

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

1. Introduction

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical

1. Introduction

Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) are multiphase materials, which consist of a polymer or copolymer having nanoparticles or nanofillers (having dimension of 1–50 nm) dispersed in the polymer matrix, significantly affecting different physical properties. The majority of the research has focused on polymer nanocomposites based on nanofillers such as metal oxides, CNTs, carbon black (CB) and layered silicates to achieve improved mechanical, thermal, electrical and gas barrier properties. Recently, graphene has emerged as the most promising nanofiller, due to its extraordinary physical properties, which has opened a new class of polymeric nanomaterials.

Graphene, a new allotrope of carbon is a two dimensional, one atom thick planer sheet composed of sp² hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a crystal honeycomb lattice and is the thinnest known material in the universe. It is one of the special allotropes of carbon, which can be seen as the building block of all graphitic forms (Fig. 1); it can be stacked up into graphite (3D), rolled up into carbon nanotubes (1D) and wrapped up into fullerene (0D). Defect free graphene has outstanding physicochemical properties with large surface area and an optical transmittance of ~98%, high thermal and electrical
conductivity and high mechanical properties. These extraordinary properties of graphene make it a promising nanofiller in the field of polymer nanocomposites. It has also shown great potential for application in electronics, photo-catalysis, sensors, medicine and solar cells.

Polyolefin nanocomposites based on nanofillers offer opportunities for the improvement of polyolefins (POs) with relatively small amounts of nanofiller concentration. POs such as polyethylene and propylene are the most abundant synthetic polymers, having the largest tonnage in the world, with respect to their production. It was estimated that more than 60% of produced polyolefins (PE, PP) have been introduced into the market, whereas only 23% of other thermoplastics have been used for compounding purposes. POs are produced by the polymerization of ethylene, propylene alone or with other olefin monomers like butene-1 and hexene-1 using Ziegler–Natta and other catalysts. Depending on their density and chain branching, polyethylenes (PEs) are classified into different categories; e.g. low density polyethylene (LDPE), linear LDPE, high density polyethylene (HDPE), ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) and polypropylenes (PPs) are classified as isotactic, syndiotactic and atactic, based on their stereo-regularity. Polyolefins became popular due to their low cost, recyclability, good processability, non-toxicity and biocompatibility. POs have a wide range of applications in orthopaedic implants, durable equipment automobile parts, consumer goods and industrial machinery. However, for advanced/engineering applications, there is the need to improve the physico-chemical and mechanical properties of polyolefins by introducing new functionalities, adding nanofillers to the matrix, modifying the polymer matrix and synthesizing a new type of polyolefin such as disentangled ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (DUHMWPE).

Recently, graphene has been investigated as a promising nanofiller for POs. Several articles are available where graphene has been used for the reinforcement of polyolefins due to its extraordinary physical properties. Several review articles are available on graphene based polymer nanocomposites, which address the production and improved properties of polymer graphene composites. The present review is mainly focused on graphene based polyolefin nanocomposites and will not discuss other polymer graphene composites. The following is a quick review of methods of preparation of graphene, its derivatives and their physical properties. In addition, current progress on polyolefin (e.g., LDPE, LLDPE, HDPE, UHMWPE and PP) nanocomposites based on graphene and their improved properties are also reviewed.
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Finally, the potential applications and future scope of this exciting new class of polyolefin/nanocomposites are discussed.

2. Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO)/
reduced graphene oxide (RGO)

Graphene was first isolated by Novoselov et al. in 2004 via micromechanical exfoliation using the “scotch tape” method; since then, a number of methods have been reported for the synthesis of graphene, such as chemical vapour deposition (CVD),20,21 arc discharge,22,23 epitaxial growth on SiC,24,25 unzipping carbon nanotubes26–28 and chemical reduction of graphite oxide.29–31 All the methods have their own advantages and disadvantages, such as micromechanical exfoliation; e.g. the scotch tape method offers quality graphene for electronic application, but gives low yield. CVD and epitaxial growth of graphene on silicon substrate produces bulk quantities of graphene, but it is very costly and requires high temperature. Unzipping of carbon nanotubes provides high quality graphene nanoribbons, but takes more time. These methods are very attractive for the synthesis of graphene for fundamental studies and electronic applications, but are not suitable for polymer nanocomposites, which require graphene in bulk quantities as a nanofiller.

2.1 Chemical vapour deposition (CVD) method

The CVD method involves the thermal decomposition of hydrocarbon gases, e.g. methane at high temperature (~1000 °C) and low pressure, and its deposition on a substrate in a furnace provides a straight forward method for the synthesis of graphene. A number of different hydrocarbons, catalysts and inert gas combinations have been used by several researchers in the past for the growth of graphene on different substrates such as SiO2,3,4 Si3N4 (ref. 32) and MgO29 by the CVD technique. However, almost all the reported CVD techniques are based on metal-catalysed growth, which is complicated and needs skilled post growth techniques to be employed for the removal of these metal catalysts, which hamper the use of the graphene in electronic devices.

2.2 Reduction of graphite oxide

Currently, the most viable method for the bulk production of graphene for nanofiller applications in polymer nanocomposites is the exfoliation and reduction of graphite oxide, which is prepared by the oxidation of graphite. The synthesis of graphite oxide is more than 150 years old; its first synthesis was reported by Brodie32 in 1859. Later on, graphite oxide was prepared by using the Staudenmaier26 and Hummers’33 methods, where graphite was oxidized to graphite oxide using strong oxidative reagents such as KClO3, KMnO4 and NaNO3 in the presence of concentrated H2SO4, HNO3, or a mixture of both. Among all the other methods reported for the preparation of graphite oxide, the Hummers’ method is the most popular one in which KMnO4 and NaNO3 are used as oxidizing agents for the oxidation of graphite in the presence of concentrated H2SO4, depending on the requirement of the extent of oxygen functionalities (Fig. 2). Choudhary et al.30 also prepared graphite oxide from graphite by using the modified Hummers’ method.

Graphite is composed of stacked graphene sheets with an interlayer spacing of 3.4 Å and in the same way, graphite oxide is composed of graphene oxide (GO) sheets stacked with an interlayer spacing of 8.4 Å.33 Based on theoretical and experimental studies, the structure of graphene oxide contains a number of oxygen functionalities such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, epoxide and carbonyl groups. The Lerf–Klinowski model38–40 proposed the most likely description of the graphite oxide structure, where graphite oxide contains pristine aromatic “islands” separated from each other by aliphatic regions, which contain oxygen functionalities such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, epoxide and double bonds, as shown in Fig. 2. Conversion of graphite to graphite oxide, followed by exfoliation leads to the large scale production of graphene oxide, also known as functionalized graphene, which can be readily dispersed in water and organic solvents. Graphene oxide (GO) is electrically insulating and thermally unstable; therefore, to restore its electrical and thermal properties, its reduction is necessary. A number of methods are available for the exfoliation and reduction of graphite oxide to reduced graphene oxide (Fig. 3). The term “reduced graphene” is used because the complete reduction of graphene oxide to graphene does not occur.

There are basically two methods available for the exfoliation and reduction of graphite oxide to reduced graphene oxide.

2.2.1 Chemical reduction of graphite oxide. Chemically reduced graphene oxide (RGO) was prepared by a stable colloidal dispersion of graphite oxide followed by the reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide using reducing agents. Stable dispersion of GO can be obtained by exfoliation of graphite oxide via ultrasonication in water or alcohol. A number of reducing agents are used for the reduction of GO to RGO such as hydrazine hydrate39,41 (Fig. 4), dimethyl hydrazine,42 sodium borohydride followed by hydrazine, hydroquinone43 and lithium aluminium

![Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the oxidation of graphite to graphite oxide.](image-url)
hydride.\textsuperscript{45} Stankovich \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{41} have proposed the following mechanism for the formation of RGO from graphite oxide, which shows that the reduction of GO restores the sp\textsuperscript{2} character of carbon (i.e. $\overset{\text{C}}{\text{C}}$), resulting in increased electrical conductivity of RGO.

2.2.2 Thermal reduction of graphite oxide. Thermal exfoliation and reduction of graphite oxide to thermally reduced graphene oxide (TRG) can be achieved by the rapid heating of dry graphite oxide for a very short period (30 s) at high temperature ($\sim$1000°C) under inert atmosphere.\textsuperscript{46–48} Exfoliation of graphite oxide to GO takes place due to the pressure generated by the evolved CO$_2$, which occurs due to the decomposition of epoxy, carboxyl and hydroxyl groups of GO that exceeds van der Waals forces holding GO sheets to each other.

Thermal reduction methods have one advantage over the chemical reduction of graphite oxide to produce thermally reduced graphene oxide (TRG) sheets, i.e. there is no need for solvent for the dispersion of graphite oxide. TRG has the C : O ratio of $\sim$10 : 1, as compared to 2 : 1 for graphite oxide,\textsuperscript{48} which can be increased to 660 : 1 via heating at higher temperature (1500 °C), or for longer time.\textsuperscript{48}

3. Properties of graphene

Graphene has exceptionally high material properties, very close to their theoretical limits, such as electrical and thermal conductivity, strength, stiffness and low density. Graphene is the only allotrope of carbon in which each single atom is
exposed for chemical reaction from both sides, which is attributed to its 2D structure. In addition, various types of defects occur in graphene due to its modification increasing its chemical reactivity.

Graphene is a zero-band gap semiconductor with remarkably high electron mobility at room temperature, having reported values of $\sim 15 000 \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$. The electron mobility in graphene is independent of temperature between 10 K and 100 K, which is ascribed to its defect scattering mechanism. Scattering by the acoustic phonons of graphene intrinsically limits the room temperature mobility to $200 000 \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$, which is attributed to the two-dimensional nature of graphene. In addition, the charge carriers can travel through graphene without scattering, which results in ballistic transport. Single layer graphene has a very high electrical conductivity value of $\sim 6000 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$, where chirality is not a limiting factor in its conductivity as in the case of CNTs. The impressive mechanical properties of graphene originate from its geometrical architecture, i.e. sp$^2$ hybridized carbon atoms with C-C bond length 0.142 nm. Graphene is one of the strongest materials ever discovered, with a breaking strength 100 times greater than a film of steel of the same thickness.$^{32}$ It has a Young's modulus of 1 TPa and ultimate tensile strength of 130 GPa,$^{53}$ which is measured by the nanoindentation using atomic force microscopy.

The specific heat and thermal conductivity (TC) of graphene is determined by the phonons, which are the elastic waves propagated in graphene lattice. The thermal conductivity of graphene is isotropic. Graphene is known to be a perfect thermal conductor with thermal conductivity value at room temperature of 5000 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, which is much higher than the thermal conductivity of other carbon structures such as carbon nanotubes, graphite, and diamond. Graphite, which can be prepared by restacking graphene sheets and the 3D version of graphene, shows a thermal conductivity of $\sim 1000 \text{ W m}^{-1}\text{ K}^{-1}$, i.e. five times smaller than graphene. The study of thermal conductivity in graphene can have important applications in graphene-based electronic devices.$^{31}$

In addition to these properties, graphene has an extremely high surface area of 2630 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (theoretical limit), and gas impermeability,$^{55}$ which indicates that graphene has great potential for improving gas barriers, electrical, mechanical and thermal properties of matrix polymers in polymer nanocomposites. The present article is focused on polyolefin nanocomposites based on graphene and its derivatives.

4. Polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites

The most essential step in polymer nanocomposites is the compatibility and dispersion of nanoparticles in the polymer matrix. A well dispersed state ensures maximum reinforcement, which will affect the neighbouring polymer chains and consequently, the properties of the whole matrix. Therefore, large efforts have been concentrated on achieving a homogeneous and well-dispersed system by developing either covalent or non-covalent functionalization of the filler surface. The earlier reports on polymer composites with exfoliated graphite fillers emerged from studies on the intercalation chemistry of graphite (GIC). In 1958, it was discovered that alkali metal-GICs could initiate the polymerization of ethylene.$^{54}$ In 1993 Bunnell et al.$^{57}$ proposed the production of polymer nanocomposites by incorporating graphite nanoplatelets (derived from GICs exfoliated either by shear grinding or thermal treatment) as fillers, where they suggested that with 20 wt% incorporation of graphite flakes in polyethylene or polypropylene, the stiffness of the finished product approached that of aluminium. However, it was not until 2000 that a detailed study of the morphology and properties of an exfoliated graphite nanocomposite was published. The discovery of graphene in 2004 (ref. 19) has opened up new method of incorporation in polymer matrices.

5. Method of preparation of polyolefin/graphene composites

In order to facilitate the industrial fabrication and application of graphene based polymer composites, the direct use of RGO or TRG as fillers to prepare polymer composites is preferred. The effective utilization of graphene composites strongly depends on the homogeneous dispersion of graphene and the interfacial interaction between the polymer matrix and graphene. Hence, to achieve high degree of graphene dispersion in polyolefins during processing without affecting the properties, three different techniques may be used: in situ polymerization, solvent blending and melt processing. In addition to polyolefins, various other types of matrices such as poly(methyl methacrylate), polyethylene glycol, polyamide, epoxy resins, poly(ethylene terephthalate), polystyrene etc. have also been used to prepare polymer/graphene nanocomposites for improved electrical, thermal and mechanical properties. Herein, various methods of preparation and properties of polyolefin/graphene composites as in situ polymerization, solvent blending and melt blending methods are discussed.

5.1 In situ polymerization

In situ polymerization is a convenient processing technique, and has been extensively used for the preparation of polymer nanocomposites based on graphene derivatives such as GO, RGO or TRG, which involves the incorporation of graphene derivatives during the polymerization of monomer to improve the dispersion between two phases. The main advantage of this method is that it enables monomers present in and out of the graphene interlayers to polymerize to form polymer nanocomposites in which the graphene platelets are delaminated at the nano level. In situ polymerization is very important for the preparation of insoluble and thermally unstable polymers, which cannot be processed by solution or melt compounding. Depending on the required molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of polymers, chain transfer, free radical, anionic and ring-opening metathesis polymerizations can be used for the in situ polymerization technique. Although in situ polymerization is a very attractive technique for well dispersed
graphene composites, there are few reports available on LDPE/graphene composites.

Mecking et al. prepared polyethylene/TRG nanocomposites using in situ polymerization of ethylene in an aqueous solution in the presence of surfactant (Fig. 4) and by the post polymerization mixing (PPM) approach, and compared it with commercial graphene. It was found that PE/TRG composites show a lower percolation threshold (2 wt%) than commercial samples (20 wt%).

Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) is a unique class of polyethylene produced by the polymerization of ethylene monomer in the presence of Ziegler–Natta catalyst. Sarma et al. have reported the synthesis of UHMWPE using single site catalyst and disentangled ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (DUHMWPE) new polymer by a novel non-cryogenic process under controlled conditions with low entanglements. Rastogi et al. have also reported the synthesis of low entangled UHMWPE, also called disentangled UHMWPE, which can be processed in the solid-state below melt temperature in the form of the uniaxial drawn tapes and films with higher tensile strength and tensile modulus. UHMWPE has a wide spectrum of applications as synthetic ice, biomedical applications. However, the increasing demand for applications with increased mechanical as well as electrical properties of UHMWPE has led to the application of other techniques for preparation of reinforced composites with enhanced mechanical and electrical properties.

The in situ polymerization process is very useful in the preparation of UHMWPE graphene nanocomposites. Ramazani et al. reported the synthesis of UHMWPE composites using GO supported Ziegler–Natta catalyst. They used mono and bi-supported ZN catalyst using magnesium ethoxide and GO as catalyst support. Mulhaupt et al. synthesized UHMWPE graphene composites in the presence of single site chromium catalyst supported on functionalized graphene (FG) using the polymerization filling technique (PFT), where the fillers are used as catalyst supports to enable polymer chain growth directly from the surface of nanofillers (Fig. 5).

PP has a variety of applications in automotive industries, packaging and labelling, textile industries (e.g. ropes, thermal wear and carpets made up of nonwoven fibers), stationery, and laboratory equipment. In spite of so many applications, PP has poor impact behaviour, shrinkage and relatively low stiffness at low temperature, which leads to replacement with engineering plastics. Therefore, several works have been carried out to reinforce PP using CNTs and graphene to improve the physical, mechanical and physicochemical properties such as fracture toughness, impact strength, electrical and thermal conductivity, and flammability of polypropylene.

Huang et al. reported PP/GO nanocomposite preparation using in situ ZN polymerization. First, the GO supported catalyst system based on titanium and magnesium metal (TiCl4/BuMgCl) was prepared, and subsequent in situ polymerization of propylene led to the uniform dispersion of GO sheet in the PP matrix, which had better dispersion and exfoliation of the graphene sheet (Fig. 6). The prepared PP/GO composite exhibited electrical conductivity of 0.3 S m⁻¹ at 4.9 wt% GO concentration.

Many reports are available on in situ polymerized PP/graphene nanocomposites with better compatibility and dispersion of graphene sheets, along with improved physical properties. Dang et al. synthesized a PP/reduced graphene oxide composite by using latex technology, which involved the in situ reduction of GO in the PP latex and subsequent filtration (Table 1). Morphological studies by SEM and XRD revealed the homogeneous dispersion of RGO in the PP matrix, whereas near the percolation threshold concentration, its dielectric properties were also improved with increasing the concentration of RGO.

### 5.2 Solution blending

Solution blending is the most common method for preparing graphene/polymer composites, especially with higher molecular weight polymers, which involves mixing of graphene and matrix polymer in a suitable solvent. A typical solution blending involves three steps: dispersion of graphene in a suitable solvent, mixing with the polymer solution (at room temperature or elevated temperature) and recovery of the nanocomposite by precipitating or casting a film. Rigorous mixing of graphene with polymer in a solvent significantly enhances de-aggregation and dispersion of graphene in the polymer matrix. Solution based methods offer advantages of lower viscosities, which facilitate uniform mixing and better dispersion of graphene. One of the major disadvantages of this method is the use of large quantities of solvents and their evaporation.

Kuila et al. have prepared LLDPE/graphene nanocomposites based on dodecyl amine-functionalized graphene (DA-G), using xylene as solvent, by the solution blending method. They observed the increased average crystallite size of the nanocomposites by X-ray analysis. However, the percentage of crystallinity was decreased due to the random interface. It was also found that the thermal stability and the crystallization temperature of the LLDPE/DA-G nanocomposites were increased as observed through TGA and DSC, respectively. The composites have also shown very good gas barrier properties for O₂ and N₂. HDPE has very good physical properties, but the incorporation of carbon nanomaterials will further enhance its overall properties like strength, thermal and electrical properties. Many reports are available related to the incorporation of graphene into the HDPE matrix using solution blending techniques. Asmatulu et al. prepared recycled HDPE/graphene nano-flake composites by the solution blending method using...
Toluene as the solvent. The maximum of 8 wt% of graphene was incorporated to get improvement in the mechanical, thermal, and dielectric properties of the polymer nanocomposites as a function of graphene concentration. The surface hydrophobic properties were slightly increased at lower concentrations and then reduced at higher concentrations of graphene, due to increased surface smoothness.

Suner et al.\textsuperscript{78} reported the synthesis of UHMWPE/GO nanocomposites using the ball milling technique by first dispersing graphene oxide in ethanol and blending with UHMWPE in the same dispersion of GO-ethanol mixture, followed by the ball milling of the slurry at 400 rpm. They observed the improved physical properties of the composites such as mechanical, thermal and wettability behaviour of the UHMWPE/GO nanocomposite. Rastogi et al.\textsuperscript{79} reported the synthesis of D/UHMWPE/reduced graphene oxide composites by the solvent blending method and they found improved rheological and electrical conductivities of UHMWPE and DHUHMWPE composites. The composites were prepared via the solvent blending method using acetone, where GO was first dispersed in water followed by ultrasonication and simultaneously, polymer was dispersed in acetone and mixed with stirring. The resultant UHMWPE/GO composites were reduced at different temperatures of 160, 180, 200 and 230 °C with hot pressing to restore the electrical conductivity of graphene, and finally the rheological responses of the two different composites were compared. Li et al.\textsuperscript{80} reported the synthesis of the UHMWPE/graphene nanosheet (GNS) composite using water/ethanol solvent assisted dispersion of GNS, followed by compression moulding at 200 °C. They found a very low percolation threshold concentration of 0.07 vol% (i.e. ~0.15 wt%) of GNS due to the formation of a 2D network with electrical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PO/G composite</th>
<th>Graphene type/loading (wt%)</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE/FG</td>
<td>FG (single site catalyst supported)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Chromium based catalyst supported on GNS for ethylene polymerization in heptane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-PP/GNS</td>
<td>GNS</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Metallocene complex rac- ( \text{Me}_3\text{Si(Ind)} \text{ZrCl}_2 ) and methyl aluminoxane as cocatalyst for propylene polymerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP/GO</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>TiCl(_4)/BuMgCl catalyst supported on GO for polymerization of propylene in hexane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-PP/graphene</td>
<td>Graphene</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Complexation of ZN catalysts onto graphene sheets for propylene polymerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-PP/graphene</td>
<td>GNS</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>IPN was observed at a relatively low graphene concentration by annealing the sample for 1–6 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conducivity value od 10^{-10} S cm^{-1} and max conductivity of 10^{-3} S cm^{-1} at 1.5 wt% GNS. Zhao et al. have also reported a similar type of study, which involves a two-step process for the UHMWPE graphene composite. First, graphene oxide was coated on UHMWPE then reduction of GO was carried out in situ. The resultant composite was moulded into a sheet by hot pressing at 200 °C to obtain composites with segregated structure, which exhibited a very low percolation threshold of 0.028 vol% (~0.6 wt%) with max conductivity value of 10^{-1} S cm^{-1} at 1.0 wt% RGO. Bhattacharyya et al. synthesized UHMWPE/RGO nanocomposites using the solution blending method. The solvents used were dimethyl formamide (DMF) and ortho-dichlorobenzene (ODCB). Two methods were used to prepare the composite film: in one method, GO was dispersed and simultaneously reduced to RGO before the addition of polymer, whereas in the second method GO was reduced after the addition of polymer. Only 1 wt% of GO was used to compare the tensile strength and creep resistance of the UHMWPE composite in both processes.

There are more reports available on the preparation of UHMWPE/graphene and LLDPE/graphene composites to improve some of the properties, as given by Agarwal et al. for the nanotribological behaviour of graphene/UHMWPE composites, Wang et al. for electrically conductive material using the electrostatic absorption method, Puértolas et al. for mechanical properties, chemical stability, wear resistance and biocompatibility (Table 2).

To overcome the challenge of the homogeneous dispersion of graphene in the polymer matrix, Fang et al. reported an eco-friendly approach to synthesize PP/graphene composites. They coated graphene with PP latex and subsequently, melt blending was carried out for graphene coated PP latex. Improved mechanical and thermal properties were observed at 1 wt% of graphene concentration. Guo et al. reported a solution (xylene) blending method for the preparation of PP/graphene nanoplatelets composites with improved thermal and electrical properties (conductivity and permittivity). They were able to incorporate 20 wt% of graphene nanoplatelets in the PP matrix with electrical percolation at 12 wt%.

### 5.3 Melt Blending

Melt processing is the preferred choice for the industrial applications, because of its low cost and simplicity, which facilitate large scale production for commercial applications. Melt processing basically involves melting of polymer pellets to form a viscous liquid and then the use of high shear force to disperse the nanofillers. Hence, it does not require any solvent for the dispersion of polymer and fillers. Melt processing is known to be environmental friendly because it uses commercial polymer and conventional blending techniques such as twin screw extrusion. Almost all the polyolefins are processed using the melt blending technique, except UHMWPE due to their high molecular weight and high viscosity (Table 3).

Kim et al. have prepared the LLDPE/TRG nanocomposite by melt blending of LLDPE and its functionalized analogue (amine, isocyanate and nitrile) produced by the ring opening polymerization technique with varying amounts of TRG. They

### Table 2 Polyolefin/graphene composites by solution blending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer matrix</th>
<th>Graphene loading (wt%)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE</td>
<td>DA-f-G</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>LLDPE/DA-f-G nanocomposites for O_2 and N_2 permeability of composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>Graphene nanoflakes</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Improved mechanical, thermal, electrical, and surface hydrophobic properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>RGO</td>
<td>DMF : ODCB (1 : 4 v/v)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Two methods were used: pre-reduction and in situ reduction method in DME/ODCB for improved mechanical properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>GNS</td>
<td>Water : ethanol</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>For improved electrical conductivity and percolation threshold conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>RGO</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>DUHMPE and commercial UHMWPE composites with graphene were compared for electrical conductivity and rheology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>GNP</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Tribological and nano-scratch behaviour of the composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>RGO</td>
<td>Water : ethanol (50 : 1)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Improved electrical conductivity and very low electrical percolation threshold concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP latex</td>
<td>RGO</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>PP/RGO composites by latex technology with an ultralow electrical percolation threshold conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>GNP</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Improved rheological, thermal, and electrical properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
found TRG well dispersed in functionalized LLDPE, compared to unmodified LLDPE showing phase separation morphology. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{86} prepared a cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) nanocomposite based on GO functionalization with hyperbranched flame retardant materials (N-aminooethyl piperazine and di(acryloyloxyethyl)methylphosphonate) to enhance the flame retardancy as well as thermal stability of the resultant composites. They were able to incorporate up to 3 wt% of the f-GO into the XLPE matrix. Kontopoulou et al.\textsuperscript{87} have reported a non-covalent compatibilization approach for the synthesis of LLDPE/TRG composites. Firstly, LLDPE-g-aminomethyl pyridine reacted with LLDPE-g-MA (0.5–1.0 wt% graft) in a melt mixer followed by compounding with 3 wt% TRG in micro-compounder to improve the filler dispersion and mechanical/thermal properties.

Song et al.\textsuperscript{75} reported the preparation of HDPE/graphene composites by melt blending. In their approach, first they prepared polyethylene grafted on the surface of graphene (PE-g-G) by in situ polymerisation followed by melt blending with HDPE in a twin screw microcompounder for improved compatibility between polymer and graphene, which resulted in improved mechanical properties. Bai and Wei\textsuperscript{77} synthesized a highly exfoliated HDPE/graphene composite using a solid state shear milling technique and melt mixing of composite powder in a micro-compounder to get highly dispersed HDPE/graphene composite with high mechanical performance.

Wang et al.\textsuperscript{88} studied the effect of functionalized graphene on the iPP matrix using the melt blending method. They used functionalized GO with 4,4′-diphenylethane diisocyanate and stearic acid to form functionalized graphene and then melt blending was carried out in a twin screw microcompounder to get the iPP/FG nanocomposite. FGs achieved good dispersion and strong interfacial adhesion with the iPP matrix, which enhanced the thermal and mechanical properties at low FGs loadings.

Achaby et al.\textsuperscript{91} reported the fabrication of PP/graphene nanosheet (GNS) nanocomposites by the melt blending method in a twin screw microcompounder. The GNS used here was prepared by chemical reduction of GO using hydrazine hydrate. They found that increasing the GNS content in the PP matrix enhanced the mechanical as well as thermal properties of the overall composite materials. Kalaitzidou et al.\textsuperscript{92} reported the preparation of PP nanocomposites based on exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (xGnP) as a reinforcement nanofiller using melt blending in the twin screw extruder, followed by injection moulding to generate samples of ASTM standards for testing. The PP/xGnP composite samples were investigated for their thermal, rheological and barrier properties as a function of xGnP loadings and the obtained properties were compared with the properties of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) composites based on carbon fibers, carbon black and nanoclay. Several other reports are also available on similar kind of studies on polypropylene/graphene nanocomposites\textsuperscript{93–97} using a twin screw extruder or micro-compounder for the improved physical properties (such as thermal, mechanical, rheological and electrical properties) of the PP matrix.

### 6. Properties of polyolefin/graphene composites

Incorporation of graphene into polymer matrices will significantly enhance the mechanical, electrical and thermal
properties, which depend on various factors like processing techniques, type of graphene, aspect ratio and loading of graphene. Some processing conditions or methods that are good for one property may not be good for another, e.g. surface functionalized graphene generally enhances the mechanical properties but deteriorates the electrical properties of the nanocomposites such as GO/polymer composites. Thus, it is very important to optimize the various conditions to obtain the nanocomposites with desired properties. Since the discovery of graphene, many studies have been done to evaluate the mechanical, electrical and thermal properties of polymer/graphene nanocomposites at different filler loadings and conditions.

6.1 Mechanical properties of polyolefin/graphene composites

With Young’s modulus of 1 TPa and intrinsic strength of 130 GPa, defect free graphene is the strongest and stiffest material ever discovered, which suggests that the incorporation of graphene into the polymer matrices can lead to significant enhancement in their mechanical properties. Some studies have reported significant improvement in the mechanical properties of polymers by the incorporation of a very small amount of graphene. Incorporation of carbon nanomaterials in polyolefins is expected to improve the mechanical properties as well as other physical properties of these matrices, which can be considered for many applications including interior and exterior automobile parts.

Kuila et al. studied the effect of dodecyl amine functionalized graphene (DA-G) on the mechanical properties of the LLDPE matrix by the solvent blending method. They found that an increase of 118% in storage modulus of nanocomposites at 8 wt% of DA-G (from 134 MPa to 293 MPa at 50 °C) is because graphene acts as a reinforcing filler, which significantly decreases the chain mobility of the LLDPE matrix. Asmatulu et al. reported the improved mechanical properties of the HDPE/graphene composite. The ultimate tensile strength increased by 56% (at 4 wt% graphene) and >100% in tensile modulus (from 200 to 450 MPa) at 1 wt% graphene loading, which could be attributed to the thickness of the graphene sheet (0.35–1 nm) leading to high surface area and increased load transfer sites between the polymer matrix and filler. Achaby and Qais compared the mechanical properties of HDPE/GNs and HDPE/MWCNTs nanocomposites prepared by melt blending. The tensile strength of the HDPE/graphene nanocomposite was 77% higher than the pure polymer at 3 wt% of graphene, whereas an increase of 58% was found in the case of the corresponding nanotube nanocomposites at the same filler concentration. The tensile modulus of HDPE/graphene and HDPE/MWCNT nanocomposites was enhanced by 87 and 57%, respectively, as compared to virgin HDPE matrix. Yan et al. reported the UHMWPE/GO composite with enhanced mechanical properties with good biocompatibility for application as artificial joints in the human body. They found that the composite with 0.5 wt% GO had the best tensile properties and good biocompatibility.

Milani et al. also investigated the mechanical performance of isotactic polypropylene/graphene composites prepared by in situ metalocene polymerization. It was found that graphene nanosheets were uniformly dispersed in the polymer matrix and the modulus was enhanced from 1280 MPa in the case of the neat polymer, to 1920 MPa for iPP/graphene nanocomposites at a higher loading of graphene, i.e. 17.4 wt%. Table 4 is the summary of other polyolefin/graphene composites. Achaby et al. reported an ~37% increase in Young’s modulus (from 1156 MPa to 1577 MPa) and ~15% increase in tensile strength at 0.5 wt% of graphene in PP/GNS composites prepared via melt compounding. Song et al. reported an ~75% increase in yield strength (from 22 to 37 MPa) and an ~74% increase in Young’s modulus (1002 MPa to 1760 MPa) for PP/graphene composites prepared by first coating graphene using PP latex and then melt-blending the coated graphene with PP matrix at 1.0 wt% of graphene, due to the effective external load transfer.

6.2 Thermal properties of polyolefin/graphene composite

Incorporation of graphene can significantly enhance the thermal transport properties of polymer/graphene nanocomposites, which extend their applications in printed circuit boards, connectors, thermal interface materials, heat sinks, power electronics, electric motors, generator, heat exchangers etc. Thermal properties of a composite are a very important factor in selecting processing conditions and the application area of the composite material. As discussed above, the thermal conductivity (TC) of monolayer graphene is in the range of 5000 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, Veca et al. have independently reported up to 30-fold increase (0.2 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ to 6.44 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) in thermal conductivity for the epoxy matrix by the incorporation of 60 wt% and 50 wt% of ~2 nm thick graphite nanoplatelets via in situ polymerization, respectively. However, Asmatulu et al. found a 65% increase in thermal conductivity (0.42 to 0.64 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) for recycled high density polyethylene (HDPE) composites prepared by melt processing at a similar loading of graphene nanoplatelets (8.0 wt%), which shows that the orientation of graphene, the degree of exfoliation and interfacial interaction of the host polymer with the graphene surface have an influence on the thermal conductivity of the composites. Koutopoulou et al. prepared functionalized LLDPE/TRG composites and found >150% increase in thermal conductivity (0.20 to 0.52 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) at 3.0 wt% TRG, which could be attributed to the better exfoliation and formation of the TRG network inside the polymer matrix (Table 5).

Along with TC, the improvement in thermal stability and melting temperature of the host polymer matrix is another benefit expected from graphene reinforcement. Achaby et al. reported a 6 °C increase in melting temperature (from 164 to 170 °C) and 9 °C increase in crystalline temperature (116 to 125 °C) of the PP matrix at 3 wt% of graphene loading in PP/graphene nanocomposites. Guo et al. have reported the increased thermal stability of the PP/graphene composite with the increase in degradation temperature from 259 to 295 °C at 15 wt% graphene loading. Qiu et al. compared the thermal stability of iPP nanocomposites based on stearic acid
functionalized graphene (FG) and without modified graphene prepared by the melt blending method. The initial degradation temperature and maximum degradation temperature increased by 12 °C (388 to 400 °C) and 66 °C (from 407 to 473 °C) respectively for iPP/FG nanocomposites, even at very low loading (0.1 wt%) of FG as compared to iPP/graphene composites. They also observed that the crystallization temperature (Tc), in the case of both iPP/graphene and the iPP/FG nanocomposites, increased with increasing filler content. The crystallization temperature (Tc) of iPP/FG nanocomposites increased from 109.5 °C (for virgin iPP) to 119.5 °C (for iPP/FG composites at 0.2 wt% FG).

### 6.3 Electrical conductivity of polyolefin/graphene composites

In addition to the exceptional thermal and mechanical properties, graphene also possesses very high intrinsic electrical conductivity. Thus, the incorporation of small amounts of graphene can lead to a significant increase in the electrical conductivity in the host of polymer matrices. It was observed that the electrical conductivity of the insulating polymer can be increased by several orders of magnitude by the addition of a very small amount of graphene in the polymer matrices, which will help in preserving other performance properties of polymers such as thermal and mechanical properties, low melt flow viscosities, etc. Particularly, the use of graphene as a conductive additive to polyolefin is emerging as a major applications in sensors, antistatic materials in electrostatic discharge (ESD), electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding materials and conductive coatings. The increase in electrical conductivity of the polymer matrix upon incorporation of graphene depends on many factors including the type of dispersion of graphene, aspect ratio, surface functionalization and the amount of loading. It is known that the electrical conductivity of the nanocomposites increased with increasing filler loading till a critical filler concentration value, where a dramatic increase in conductivity is observed after that the conductivity value is saturated. This critical filler concentration is called the electrical percolation threshold concentration, where the filler forms a three-dimensional conductive network within the matrix. Several studies have been carried out on the electrical conductivity of graphene based polyolefin composites (Table 3). In particular, Du et al. prepared an electrically conducting UHMWPE/graphene composite by the solvent assisted method; they found the electrical percolation threshold concentration (PTC) at ~0.17 wt% graphene and maximum conductivity of ~10^-3 Scm^-1 at 1.5 wt% of graphene loading. Following the same procedure, Zhao et al. reported a very low percolation threshold concentration of 0.028 vol% (~0.05 wt%) graphene in the case of the graphene/UHMWPE composite with segregated structure prepared by solution processing with maximum conductivity value of ~10^-2 Scm^-1 at 1 wt% of graphene loading. However, in the case of polypropylene/graphene composites, Guo et al. reported a very high percolation threshold concentration of 12 wt% graphene loading. In another report, Dong et al. found the maximum electrical conductivity of 3 × 10^-3 Scm^-1 at 4.9 wt% of GO in the PP/GO composite prepared via in situ Ziegler–Natta polymerization. Some other results on polyolefin composites are summarized in Table 6.

### 6.4 Gas barrier properties of polyolefin/graphene composites

The barrier properties of a polymer are very important for many packaging and protective applications such as in the food industry, pharmaceuticals and electronic devices (e.g. flexible...


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>Electrical conductivity of polyolefin/graphene composites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polymer matrix</td>
<td>Graphene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (wt%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE-g-Py</td>
<td>TRG (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>GNS (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>RGO (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>GNS (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>GNS (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>GNS (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>GNS (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>RGO (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>GO (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>ODA-f-G (1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>GnP (15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPP</td>
<td>TRG (10.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Graphene wt% at which maximum electrical conductivity is achieved.

It is worth mentioning that for packaging applications, the polymer should have extremely low gas and vapour permeabilities in various environments. A number of methods are available for improving the barrier properties of polymeric materials along with some drawbacks due to high cost, opacity, humidity sensitivity and low mechanical strength. Polymeric materials such as PE, PP, and other matrices have been extensively used to coat thin film layers for low gas permeability. However, their applications are limited because of the high gas permeability, as compared to high demands for the modern packaging application. For the improvement of gas barrier properties of polymers, various nanomaterials have been used to fabricate polymer nano-composites, where these nanomaterials will block the gas or vapour diffusion to increase the tortuosity, resulting in an extended travelling pathway of diffused gas through polymer nanocomposites. Layered nano-silicates have been extensively investigated during the last decade because of their excellent barrier properties. However, due to their hydrophilic nature, silicate clays tend to aggregate easily because of van der Waals and electrostatic interactions during mixing in solution, as a result the barrier properties decrease.

POs, especially PE and PP, have had great commercial application in the packaging industry, being the most extensively used of all thermoplastic materials. For the improvement of barrier properties, graphene has recently been incorporated into polyolefin matrices as a nanofiller due its remarkable material properties. It has been reported that defect free, single crystalline monolayer graphene not only gives excellent mechanical and electrical properties, but also has good gas impermeability. However, the synthesis of defect free monolayer graphene is still a challenge. One strategy for the use of the gas barrier properties of graphene in mass production is to use GO or RGO, which are prepared by oxidation, followed by the exfoliation and reduction of graphite (Table 7). Kuila et al. reported the gas barrier (N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>) properties of LLDPE/DA-f-G composites. They found that the permeability of N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> gas was lower, compared to neat polymer. The oxygen permeability was reduced from 36.8 to 19.5 fm Pa<sup>−1</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>, whereas for nitrogen it was reduced from 11.9 to 5.7 fm Pa<sup>−1</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> at 1 wt% DA-G and it was almost constant for the higher graphene loadings up to 5 wt%.

Table 7 | Gas permeability of polyolefin/graphene composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer matrix</th>
<th>Graphene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (wt%)</th>
<th>Method of preparation</th>
<th>Gas permeability</th>
<th>Gas permeability (%) in fm Pa&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; s&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE</td>
<td>DA-f-G (1.0)</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>11.9 to 5.7 (52)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>RGO (1.0)</td>
<td>Melt</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>36.8 to 19.5 (47)</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>GNP (6.5)</td>
<td>Melt</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>179.8 to 58.2 (~68)</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Graphene wt% at which maximum reduction in gas permeability is achieved.

6.5 Rheological properties of polyolefin/graphene composites

Polymers have viscoelastic properties by nature and hence, they have distinct flow behaviour. Rheological properties are very important factors for analysing the melt flow properties of polymers and nanocomposites. The knowledge and design of the flow behaviour is essential for its processing and commercial applications in all forms of production and processing of polymers to
manufacture either small or larger parts. This is directly related to the microstructure of the materials, interactions between polymer chains and nanofillers, aspect ratio of the nanofiller and most importantly, the dispersion state of the nanofillers. Several efforts have been made to explore the rheological properties of the polymer, which is very much responsive to the change in structure of the filled polymer nanocomposites in the low frequency range. Various polymer nanocomposites have been used to study their rheological behaviour based on carbon nanofillers (MWCNT and graphene) such as poly(methyl methacrylate), polyesters and polyolefins. Among the polyolefins/graphene composites, the rheological properties of polyethylenes/graphene composites are less explored, compared to polypropylene composites.

The present study is mainly focused on the rheological properties of polyolefin (PE and PP)/graphene composites. Kontopoulou et al. studied the rheological behaviour of LLDPE nanocomposites based on TRG as the nanofiller prepared by the melt blending technique. LLDPE was grafted with pyridine for compatibility with TRG and to establish a non-covalent π–π interaction between them. They found a pronounced increase in the viscoelastic properties of the composites with increased concentration of TRG loading. Recently, Khanam et al. reported the melt blending of LLDPE with graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) in a twin screw extruder by varying the screw speed and GNP loading. It was observed that with increasing GNP loading at low speed, the viscosity of the polymer increased, which indicates that more power is required for processing, whereas at higher speed there was a reduction in viscosity. However, they found that the high screw speed resulted in good dispersion of GNPs in the matrix and thus an increase in thermal stability and thermal conductivity, whereas this effect was not significant for the mechanical and electrical properties. Rastogi et al. studied the unique rheological properties of UHMWPE nanocomposites based on reduced graphene oxide nanosheets (rGON). They used commercial UHMWPE (C_PE) and lab made disentangled UHMWPE (Dis_PE) with GO to make composites, followed by compression moulding at varying temperatures (160 °C for C_PE and 125 °C for Dis_PE). The rheological analysis of the two sets C_PE/rGON and Dis_PE/rGON nanocomposites reveals the difference in the interaction between the polymer chains of Dis_PE and rGON, as compared to the entangled C_PE. In both cases, minima in the storage modulus curve at a specific rGON concentration was seen (Fig. 7). Thus, it was concluded that strong interactions of polymer chains with rGON inhibit Dis_PE from achieving thermodynamic equilibrium in the melt state, whereas in the C_PE sample, the broader molecular weight distribution and higher adhesion of the long polymer chains to the rGON surface lowers the elastic modulus in the polymer melt.

The rheological properties of polypropylene nanocomposites with nanofillers have been studied more as compared to other polyolefins. Guo et al. fabricated polypropylene graphene nanoplatelet (GNP) composites by solvent blending techniques and studied their rheological properties. The results reveal that at lower GNP loading (<12 wt%), the modulus and viscosity of the PP/GNP composites were reduced, whereas at higher loading, they increased. Achaby et al. prepared graphene based PP composites by the melt blending technique. Rheological studies of the PP/graphene nanocomposites showed a Maxwellian-like behaviour

![Fig. 7](image-url)
at lower graphene loadings (<0.5 wt%) and a viscoelastic solid-like behaviour at higher graphene loading exceeding the percolation threshold limit, which lies between 0.5 to 1.0 wt%.

7. Future perspectives and applications

The versatility of graphene/polyolefin nanocomposites indicates their potential for application in the automotive, aerospace, electronics and packaging industries. However, there are several challenges:

- Micromechanically produced monolayer and bilayer graphene, which are supported on SiO₂ substrate, are sold at 0.5–3 British pound per μm². Graphene can be produced more economically via the reduction of GO, but it will not see practical applications until the commercial scale production of graphene is available at a lower cost.

- Another barrier to practical application is the dispersion of graphene in the polyolefin matrix and also the handling of graphene during processing. To date, the most commonly used route to produce graphene is via GO by the oxidation of graphite, i.e. the modified Hummers’ method.

- Another important factor is the adhesion between graphene and polyolefin, which is considered in designing the composite materials. We can get superior mechanical properties when graphene is strongly bonded to polymer matrix polymers. This can be done by covalently attaching alkyl groups to the graphene surface, resulting in the compatibility with the polyolefin matrices.

The outstanding properties of graphene have opened up a new age of advanced multifunctional materials. Incorporation of graphene into polyolefin matrices provides materials that could be used for many high performance engineering applications like conductive paints, EMI shielding and electrostatic discharge material, and as gas barriers in packaging application. Thin layers of graphene on plastics might also be used as transparent conducting composites. The high mechanical strength of polymer/graphene nanocomposites could be utilized to make some high-end sporting goods such as tennis rackets, baseball bats, etc. The superior thermal stability of graphene based polymer composites could be utilized for the production of flame retardant materials. In a very recent report You et al. fabricated a cost effective PP/graphene nanocomposite that has in-plane oriented graphene sheets by melt blending in a microcompounder, followed by biaxial stretching to make PP/graphene film. It was found that as a consequence of this in-plane orientation of graphene sheets, the storage modulus and conductivity of the polypropylene composite was improved as compared to virgin PP. Therefore, they suggested that this strategy can be integrated with the commercialized biaxial process to produce high quality polyolefin/graphene composite films.

8. Conclusions

We have reviewed the current methods of preparation, properties and potential applications of graphene and polyolefin/graphene composites. Although the polyolefin/graphene composite is still in its early stage of development, the huge potential of this material has become apparent in different fields of research such as automotive, electronic application and recently, in barrier application. The main challenge to fully exploiting graphene/polyolefin composites is that of achieving the level of homogeneous dispersion of graphene so that maximum surface area will be available for the load transfer between filler and matrix polymer. The functionalization of the graphene surface with organic modifiers is one of the best routes to improving the dispersion as well as the stress transfer between graphene and the polyolefin matrix, which has to be carried out as per the requirements in order to provide proper bonding. However, the functionalization of the graphene surface affects the intrinsic properties of graphene; therefore, it is important to focus on different methods for non-covalent functionalization of graphene and discover a route that can improve the dispersion and compatibility without affecting the intrinsic properties.

Three major techniques, solution, melt and in situ polymerization, are used in the fabrication of graphene/polymer composites. Although solution blending produces high quality composites, melt compounding is simple, greener and provides options for the large scale production of polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites. Recently, in situ polymerization has also shown great potential in the manufacture of polyolefin/graphene nanocomposites, especially graphene based PP, LLDPE and UHMWPE composites. It is expected that the addition of graphene nanosheets to polymer matrices could act as an excellent reinforcement, but this has yet to be fully realized.

Abbreviations

- GO Graphene oxide
- RGO Reduced graphene oxide
- CVD Chemical vapour deposition
- PO Polyolefin
- GNS Graphene nanosheet
- GNP Graphene nanoplatelets
- GNR Graphene nanoribbons
- TRG Thermally reduced graphene oxide
- MWCNT Multiwall carbon nanotubes
- CB Carbon black
- PNC Polymer nanocomposites
- PP Polypropylene
- PE Polyethylene
- DMF N,N-Dimethyl formamide
- ODCB Ortho dichlorobenzene
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