In situ synthesis of homogeneous Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composites and their electrochemical performance for lithium ion batteries
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Homogeneous Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite have been fabricated via an in situ sulfurization method and their structure, morphology and electrochemical properties are researched systematically for the first time. Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite present spherical secondary particles of 0.5–1 μm in diameter. The cycling performance and rate property of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite are better than those of Ce$_2$S$_3$ and MoS$_2$ as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. Among them, Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite (cationic ratio of Ce : Mo in 4 : 1) have an initial discharge capacity of 225.5 mA h g$^{-1}$, a coulombic efficiency of 99.1% and a reversible capacity as high as 661.7 mA h g$^{-1}$, a coulombic efficiency of 99.7% after 500 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g$^{-1}$ and the highest discharge capacity of 285.6 mA h g$^{-1}$ at a high current density of 1000 mA g$^{-1}$, showing the best cycling performance and rate capability among the as-prepared Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite. The reason is that the compositing between MoS$_2$ and Ce$_2$S$_3$ can maintain the stability of the structure during the charge/discharge process and the existence of Ce$_2$S$_3$ enhances the electrical conductivity of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite and further improves the reversible capacities and rate performance of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite.

1. Introduction

As a development of advanced electronic devices with high energy density, rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have been considered as effective electrochemical energy storage devices due to their advantages of high energy density, long lifespan, no memory effect, high safety and environment-friendliness. Right now, graphite, which is the main anode material for LIBs, cannot reach the increasing demands of the portable electronic device markets due to its low theoretical capacity (372 mA h g$^{-1}$). MoS$_2$ has received considerable attention as an anode material for LIBs owing to its high theoretical capacity (669 mA h g$^{-1}$). Hence, numerous efforts have been made to prepare MoS$_2$ and its electrochemical performance was studied. For example, Lou et al. prepared MoS$_2$ microspheres consisting of few-layered nanosheets by a polystyrene template-based hydrothermal method, which show enhanced capacity retention and rate capability. However, low electrical conductivity and volume change during the cycling result in poor cycling and rate performance, which limit their practical application.

In recent years, some MoS$_2$-based composite have been explored as high-performance anode materials for LIBs. Such as MoS$_2$/carbon black, MoS$_2$/carbon nanotube (CNT), MoS$_2$/graphene, MoS$_2$/carbon fiber cloth, MoS$_2$/amorphous carbon, MoS$_2$/SnO$_2$, MoS$_2$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ (ref. 23) and MoS$_2$/TiO$_2$ (ref. 24) and so on, indicating that improved the electrochemical performance due to it can maintain the stability of the structure during the charge/discharge process to buffer the volume change of MoS$_2$.

Cerium sulfide (Ce$_2$S$_3$) which exists in three crystal structure of α, β and γ phase has received considerable attention due to appealing special physical, thermal, mechanical, electronic, optical stability and excellent electrical conductivity. Therefore, huge efforts have been made to prepare various crystal structural Ce$_2$S$_3$ and their physical, chemical properties and application fields were studied. Ce$_2$S$_3$ is used as mainly nontoxic red pigment instead of heavy metal compounds at present. For example, Yu et al. prepared the γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$/SiO$_2$ by sulfurization method with corresponding CeO$_2$, which shows excellent thermal and acid stabilities.

To date, the preparation and application of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite were barely explored. We prepared homogeneous Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite via an in situ sulfurization method and investigated their phase structure, morphology and electrochemical performance for the first time. The homogeneous Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite tested as anode materials for LIBs shown excellent electrochemical performance, including higher...
2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

The Cerium carbonate (Ce₂(CO₃)₃), 99.95%, Shanghai Alibi Chemistry Preparation Co. Ltd. China), Carbon disulfide (CS₂ boiling point: 46–47 °C, Tianjin Tianfai Fine Chemical Reagents Co. Ltd. China), Ammonium molybdate ((NH₄)₆MoO₄·4H₂O, 99%, Shanghai Alibi Chemistry Preparation Co. Ltd. China) were used as the starting materials. Acetylene black, Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) were bought from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Electrolyte (1mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v) solution) was purchased from Tiansheng Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. China) was used as the electrolyte. The counter electrode, Celgard 2320 as the separator, and 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v) solution was the electrolyte. The charge–discharge performance was performed by battery testing system (BTS-5 V/10 mA, Neware Technology Co. Ltd. China) with a cutoffs potential of 0.01–3.0 V versus Li/Li⁺. Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) and cyclic voltammetry galvanostatic cycling (CV) measurements were evaluated on an electrochemical workstation (CHI-760D, Shanghai Chenhua Instrument Co. Ltd. China). For the CV measurements, the voltage was fixed between 0.01 V and 3.0 V and the scanning rate was fixed at 0.1 mV s⁻¹. For the EIS measurements, the amplitude of the alternating current signal to the cells was 10 mV and the frequency was between 100 kHz and 0.01 Hz.

2.2. Preparation of Ce₂S₃, MoS₂ and homogeneous Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite

An in situ sulfuration method was used for preparing homogeneous Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite. The Ce₂(CO₃)₃ and (NH₄)₆·MoO₄·4H₂O was mixed uniformly according to cationic ratio: Ce : Mo in 6 : 1, 5 : 1, 4 : 1 and 3 : 1. The mixture that was contained in graphite boat was introduced into high temperature furnace. After flushing with high-purity Ar gas at room temperature, the graphite boat was heated and held at 950 °C for 2 h. CS₂ was introduced into the quartz tube by passing Ar carrier gas through a bubbler containing liquid CS₂. To minimize the carbon or sulfide from the thermal dissociation of CS₂ deposition on the sample, CS₂ was introduced only when the furnace was heated over 500 °C. After the desired reaction time at 950 °C, CS₂ was withdrawn before the furnace was cooled to 500 °C. The as-synthesized Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite with the cationic ratio of Ce : Mo = 6 : 1, 5 : 1, 4 : 1 and 3 : 1 were named as C6M1, C5M1, C4M1 and C3M1 for short. For the sulfuration method used for preparing Ce₂S₃ and MoS₂, the conditions were similar to those for the fabrication of the Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite. The chemical reactions for the preparation of Ce₂S₃ and MoS₂ can be described by the chemical reaction (1) and (2):

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{Ce}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3 + 3\text{CS}_2 &\rightarrow 2\text{Ce}_2\text{S}_3 + 9\text{CO}_2 \quad (1) \\
2(\text{NH}_4)\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 21\text{CS}_2 &\rightarrow 14\text{MoS}_2 + 12\text{NH}_3 + 14\text{H}_2\text{O} + 21\text{CO}_2 + 7\text{S}_2 \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

2.3. Characterization methods

The crystal structure of as-prepared materials was studied by powder X-ray diffractometry (XRD, Dandong Tongda TD-3000) with the Cu Kα (40 kV, 30 mA) radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) over the range of 10–90° at a scanning speed of 0.01° s⁻¹. The particle morphology and size of the as-prepared products were characterized by a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JMS-7610F, JEOL, Japan). Chemical element composition and element spatial distribution analysis was performed with energy dispersive X-ray spectrum (EDX) spectrometer (OXFORDX-Max).

2.4. Electrochemical measurements

The anodes were manufactured using the above-obtained materials as the active materials, acetylene black as conductive additive, and PVDF as binder in the mass ratio of 80 : 10 : 10 dissolved in NMP. Then the mixed slurry was coated onto the Cu foil and dried in a vacuum at 120 °C for 24 h. Then the film was cut into discs with the loading mass of about 2 mg cm⁻². The electrochemical performances of the samples were tested by half cells assembled in an argon-filled glove box (H₂O, O₂ content < 1 ppm). Electrochemical measurements were carried out using 2032-type half cells with a pure lithium foil as the counter electrode, Celgard 2320 as the separator, and 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v) solution as the electrolyte. The charge–discharge performance was performed by battery testing system (BTS-5 V/10 mA, Neware Technology Co. Ltd. China) with a cutoffs potential of 0.01–3.0 V versus Li/Li⁺. Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) and cyclic voltammetry galvanostatic cycling (CV) measurements were evaluated on an electrochemical workstation (CHI-760D, Shanghai Chenhua Instrument Co. Ltd. China). For the CV measurements, the voltage was fixed between 0.01 V and 3.0 V and the scanning rate was fixed at 0.1 mV s⁻¹. For the EIS measurements, the amplitude of the alternating current signal to the cells was 10 mV and the frequency was between 100 kHz and 0.01 Hz.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structure and morphology characterization of Ce₂S₃, MoS₂ and Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite

The XRD patterns of Ce₂S₃, MoS₂ and Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite are shown in Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the MoS₂ are shown in Fig. 1a. The distinctive (002), (100), (103), (110) and (008) diffraction peaks indicate that MoS₂ has a hexagonal structure (JCPDS card no. 75-1539) with space group P6₃/mmc. The XRD patterns in Fig. 1b manifest the phase structure of Ce₂S₃ and Ce₂S₃/MoS₂ composite. All of the diffraction peaks in the XRD patterns clearly for Ce₂S₃ can be indexed to space group, Pnma (JCPDS card no. 70-3159), indicative of perfect crystalline structure of the Ce₂S₃.
orthorhombic Ce$_2$S$_3$. For the structure of the Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite, despite the differences in their MoS$_2$ concentrations, all the peaks could be indexed to the orthorhombic structure Ce$_2$S$_3$ and hexagonal structure MoS$_2$. The sharp peaks suggest all of products being highly crystallized. In addition, there is no shift of peaks that can be detected in all of XRD patterns of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite, indicating that the compositing process has no impact on structure of Ce$_2$S$_3$. The results mentioned above indicate that MoS$_2$ is successfully composited in the Ce$_2$S$_3$.

The SEM images in Fig. 2a–f show the morphology of Ce$_2$S$_3$, MoS$_2$ and Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite, which can be observed that obvious changes of morphology of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite have taken place compared to Ce$_2$S$_3$ and MoS$_2$, presenting spherical secondary particles of 0.5–1 µm in diameter. Among them, C4M1 have the largest particle size, which aggregate each other to form spherical secondary particles of 1–1.5 µm in diameter with flower-like structure on the surface of particle. To further confirm the element composition and element spatial distribution of Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite, the EDX spectrum and spatial elemental mappings of C4M1 are demonstrated in Fig. 3, which proves that the Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite cover the elements S Kα1, Mo Lα1, and Ce Lα1 and the homogeneous distribution of all these elements and the Pt peaks in the spectrum come from platinum conductive film plated on the surface of the sample for SEM observation. All the results mentioned above indicate that MoS$_2$ is successfully composited in the Ce$_2$S$_3$.

3.2. Electrochemical performance of Ce$_2$S$_3$, MoS$_2$ and Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite

The voltage profiles of Ce$_2$S$_3$, MoS$_2$ and Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite electrodes during the first cycle at a current density of 100 mA g$^{-1}$ with voltage cutoffs of 0.01 V and 3.0 V versus Li/Li$^+$ are shown in Fig. 4a. During initial lithiation process, two plateaus located at 1.2 V and 0.7 V are observed in voltage profiles of MoS$_2$, corresponding to the discharge capacities of 139.8, 173.9, 225.5 and 251.5 mA h g$^{-1}$ and 86.8%, 82.5%, 99.1% and 84.2% coulombic efficiency, which can be ascribed to the intercalation of Li-ions to Ce$_2$S$_3$/MoS$_2$ composite electrodes and phase conversion reaction possibly. Overall, initial discharge capacity of composite shows upward trend with increasing content of MoS$_2$ in composite, which can attribute to the reason that MoS$_2$ exhibits high Li storage capacity (669 mA h g$^{-1}$) that is much higher than that of Ce$_2$S$_3$. So, MoS$_2$ can provide a high charge/discharge capacity for composite electrode materials. For voltage profiles of Ce$_2$S$_3$ electrode, a sloping curve is observed and no clearly potential plateau can be detected in initial
lithiation process. The discharge capacity is 121.5 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a 76% coulombic efficiency.

In addition, Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite electrodes exhibit much better rate capability compared to Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) and MoS\(_2\) electrodes operated at various current density between 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) and 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4b). It can be found that the discharge capacity remains stable and decreases regularly with the increased current density. After each 10 cycles at high current density of 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\), the average reversible capacities are about 81, 213, 187, 286, 202 and 23 mA h g\(^{-1}\) for Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\), C6M1, C5M1, C4M1, C3M1 and MoS\(_2\) electrodes, implying that the rate cycling stability of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite electrodes is excellent. Among the composite, C4M1 shows perfect capacity retention and the highest discharge capacity at high current density of 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\). Remarkably, when the current density is got back to 100 mA g\(^{-1}\), the discharge capacity of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite can be recovered (even a little higher than the original capacity at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\)), which shows the compositing between MoS\(_2\) and Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) enhance the structure stability of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite at various current density.

The cycling performance is an important factor to determine the practical applications of an electrode material in practical battery. The typical cycle performance of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\), MoS\(_2\) and Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite electrodes cycling at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) in the voltage range of 0.01–3.0 V are shown in Fig. 4c. After being charged/discharged at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) for 500 cycles, the MoS\(_2\) electrode shows only capacity retention of 11.22% (vs. to the first discharge capacity). The reason why MoS\(_2\) shows high degradation rate is that MoS\(_2\) with Li at a low voltage range suffers from cracking and crumbling due to their vast volume expansion/contraction during repeated charge/discharge process, which leads to a significant capacity fading by loss of inter-particle contact in the electrode. In addition, Li\(_2\)S that is the product of conversion reaction can react with the electrolyte to form a thick gel-like polymeric layer, which restrain successive lithiation and delithiation reaction during cycling resulting in a poor cycle stability and a low rate capability. Interestingly, the Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) electrode did not go through capacity fading at current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\). Instead, capacity at the 500th cycle is 24.4% higher than the capacity at the 1st cycle, which shows that Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) exhibits excellent structure stability during charge/discharge process. Remarkably, for the Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite electrodes, capacity at the 500th cycle is 459.2, 306.3, 661.7 and 414.6 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are higher than the capacity at the 1st cycle. Further studies need to be conducted to analyze the reasons for this phenomenon. The results show that the cycle performance of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite, especially, C4M1 exhibits the most excellent cycling performance and the highest discharge capacity compared with Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) and MoS\(_2\) electrodes. One of reason is that the compositing between MoS\(_2\) and Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) can enhance the structure stability during the charge/discharge process. On the other hand, exists of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) can enhance the electrical conductivity of electrodes materials, which can be detected in electrochemical impedance spectra of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\), MoS\(_2\) and Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite in Fig. 5a.

To analyze the lithium diffusion constant, EIS measurements were performed. All Nyquist plots are shown in Fig. 5a. Electrochemical impedance spectra of all of the samples show a compressed semicircle in the high-to-medium frequency region and a straight line in the low frequency region. The semicircle corresponds to the complex charge transfer the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) formed on the electrodes surface. The inclined line is attributed to the Warburg impedance and could be responsible for the lithium ion diffusion in the Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\), MoS\(_2\) and Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite, standing for the resistance between the electrolyte and the active material. The diameter of the semicircle in high frequency range is smaller for Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\)/MoS\(_2\) composite and the Warburg component of the spectra of this system has a bigger slope compared with Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) and MoS\(_2\). Apparently, the charge transfer resistance of C4M1 (58.28 Ω) is much lower than that of Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) (101.54 Ω), C6M1 (74.37 Ω), C5M1 (105.46 Ω), C3M1 (78.61 Ω) and MoS\(_2\) (150.26 Ω), which could indicate the enhanced charge transfer and lithium ion conduction in C4M1 particles, which agrees with the charge-discharge curves, and could be one of the main reason of the good cycling performance and rate performance of C4M1.

The CV curves of the Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\), MoS\(_2\) and C4M1 at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\) are shown in Fig. 5b–d. For CV curve of MoS\(_2\) in the first cathodic sweep, two peaks at 1.2 and 0.7 V were observed. The first peak at 1.2 V was related to the lithium insertion reaction that led to the formation of Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\). The second peak at 0.7 V is attributable to a conversion reaction of MoS\(_2\)\(^{19,19,31,32}\) which can be described as MoS\(_2\) + 4Li \(\rightarrow\) Mo + 2Li\(_2\)S. There is an oxidation peak at 2.2 V in the first anodic sweep, corresponding to the oxidation of Mo to form Mo\(_2\)S\(_3\). The lithium storage mechanism of MoS\(_2\) electrode can be described by the electrochemical conversion reaction\(^{25}\) (3)–(5):

\[
\text{MoS}_2 + x\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{MoS}_2
\]  
(3)
Li₅MoS₂ + (4 – x)Li → Mo + 2Li₂S (4)

Mo + 2Li₂S ↔ Mo + 2S + 4Li (5)

For CV curve of the Ce₅S₃, in the first cathodic scan, two peaks at 2.1 and 1.72 V are observed, maybe corresponding to the formation of Li₅Ce₅S₃ phase and the reduction process of Ce⁴⁺ → Ce³⁺ which fits with standard electrode potential (1.72 V), respectively. During the first anodic sweep, the electrode exhibits two peaks at 1.8 and 2.336 V correspond to de-lithiation back to orthorhombic Ce₅S₃ and oxidation process of Ce → Ce⁴⁺ which corresponds to standard electrode potential (2.336 V), respectively. The formation of Ce during reduction process of electrode materials can improve the conductivity of the electrode. The lithium storage mechanism of Ce₅S₃ electrode may be described by the electrochemical conversion reaction (6)-(8):

\[
\text{Ce}_2S_3 + xLi → \text{Li}_x\text{Ce}_2S_3 \tag{6}
\]

\[
\text{Li}_x\text{Ce}_2S_3 + (3 – x)Li → 2\text{Ce} + 3/2\text{Li}_2S \tag{7}
\]

\[
\text{Ce} + 3/2\text{Li}_2S ↔ \text{Ce} + 3/2\text{S} + 3\text{Li} \tag{8}
\]

For CV curves of the C₄M₁, it is clear that the CV curve of the first cycle is the same as those of subsequent cycles, especially for the charge branch. In the first cathodic sweep, four peaks at 0.7, 1.2, 1.72 and 2.1 V were observed. The peaks at 1.2 and 0.7 V correspond to reduction peaks of MoS₂ in composite and peaks at 1.72 and 2.1 V correspond to reduction peaks of Ce₅S₃ in composite, respectively. In the first anodic sweep, three peaks at 1.8, 2.2 and 2.336 V were observed. The peak at 2.2 V corresponds to oxidation peak of MoS₂ in composite and peaks at 1.8 and 2.336 V correspond to oxidation peaks of Ce₅S₃ in composite, respectively. In the second and third cycle, both the intensity and position of reduction and oxidation peaks is decreasing and shifting, respectively. It can be ascribed to the polarization of the electrode in the first cycle. It is noteworthy that after the first cycle, the CV curves almost overlapped, suggesting a good reversibility of C₄M₁.

4. Conclusions

An in situ sulfuration method has been successfully applied to fabricate homogeneous Ce₅S₃/MoS₂ composite and their phase structure, morphology, element composition and distribution and electrochemical properties are researched systematically by XRD, SEM, EDX and electrochemical measurements for the first time. Results show that Ce₅S₃/MoS₂ composite present spherical secondary particles of 0.5–1 μm in diameter. The cycling performance and rate property of Ce₅S₃/MoS₂ composite are better than those of Ce₅S₃ and MoS₂ as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. The electrochemical performance of MoS₂ and Ce₅S₃ has been improved after compositing. It is found that C₄M₁ has the best electrochemical properties, which has an initial discharge capacity of 225.5 mA h g⁻¹, coulomb efficiency of 99.1% and a reversible capacity as high as 661.7 mA h g⁻¹, coulomb efficiency of 99.7% after 500 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ and the highest discharge capacity of 285.6 mA h g⁻¹ at a high current density of 1000 mA g⁻¹. The enhanced cycling stability and rate performance of C₄M₁ could be attributed to the structure stabilization and the enhanced electrical conductivity of C₄M₁. The strategy in this study not only represents a promising avenue for developing high-performance Ce₅S₃/MoS₂ composite, but also can be easily extended to the fabrication of other anode and cathode materials for next-generation LIBs.
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