



CrossMark
 click for updates

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 8011

The remarkable journey of catalysts from stoichiometric to catalytic quantity for allyltrimethylsilane inspired allylation of acetals, ketals, aldehydes and ketones

Jatin J. Lade,^a Sachin D. Pardeshi,^a Kamlesh S. Vadagaonkar,^{ab} Kaliyappan Murugan^c and Atul C. Chaskar^{*a}

The Hosomi–Sakurai reaction has received enormous attention since its inception and will continue to do so, owing to its atom-economical carbon–carbon bond forming ability. The remarkable feature of this reaction is the use of simple achiral starting materials which leads to the product having a chiral carbon centre with two active functionalities which serve as the guiding stone towards easy synthesis of natural products/bioactive heterocyclic scaffolds/drug candidates. The journey which started with the use of stoichiometric amounts of catalyst has now reached catalytic quantity and that too with economical and ecological aspects. In this review we have emphasized on this journey with regard to Lewis acids, metal triflates, Brønsted acids, heterogeneous catalysts and easily available inexpensive catalysts such as iodine. Our objective is to brief the readers with in-depth knowledge on the development and recent trends in the use of novel catalysts so as to provoke research in this fascinating and enormously useful area which will lead to the development of environmentally benign and economically viable protocols to a greater extent.

Received 6th December 2016
 Accepted 11th January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra27813b

www.rsc.org/advances

^aNational Centre for Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, University of Mumbai, Mumbai 400098, India. E-mail: achaskar25@gmail.com; Tel: +91 7507375261

^bDepartment of Dyestuff Technology, Institute of Chemical Technology, Matunga, Mumbai-400019, India

^cNational Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

1. Introduction

Organic synthesis is one of the most important branches of chemistry which primarily involves the construction of carbon–carbon bond(s) and carbon–heteroatom bond(s) and also cleavage of these bonds. Among these bond-forming and bond-cleavage reactions, carbon–carbon bond formation is one of the most fundamental reactions in organic chemistry due to its significant



Jatin Jitendra Lade received his bachelor and Master degrees from University of Mumbai in 2013 and 2015 respectively. Subsequently, he joined for the Ph.D. under the supervision of Dr Atul Chaskar at National Centre for Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, University of Mumbai. His main research interest is the design and development of novel methodologies for the synthesis of organic materials for Organic light emitting diodes.



Sachin Pardeshi received his bachelor and Master degrees from University of Mumbai in 2007 and 2009 respectively. Subsequently he joined as Lecturer at Vidya vikas college, Mumbai from 2009 to 2011. Then he moved to S.I.C.E.S. College, Mumbai as lecturer from 2013 to 2014. Following this he joined for the Ph.D. under the supervision of Dr Atul Chaskar at National Centre for Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, University of Mumbai. His main research interest is “Studies in design and synthesis of C–C and C–X bond formation via C–H activation/functionalization”.



role in building several classes of carbon frameworks. The invention and development of novel processes for the synthesis of complex molecules has remained an enduring challenge in organic chemistry. Thus, various useful carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions have been developed, and their applications also have been extensively studied during the last century.

Present-day synthetic methods involving C-C bond formation require and even demand certain regulations and guidelines such as use of simple and readily available starting materials, operational simplicity, possibility of automation, favorable economic factors and low environmental impact. For these reasons, the creation of molecular diversity and complexity from simple and readily available substrates is one of the major current challenges of organic synthesis. Therefore,



Kamlesh Vadagaonkar received his bachelor and master degrees from University of Pune in 2004 and 2006, respectively. Subsequently, he worked as a senior research chemist in TCG Lifesciences Pvt. Ltd. (formerly Chembiotek Research International Pvt. Ltd.), Pune from 2006 to 2010. Then he joined for the Ph.D. under the supervision of Prof. Prakash M. Bhate and Dr Atul C. Chaskar at Institute of

Chemical Technology (formerly UDCT), Mumbai. His research focuses on the design and development of new synthetic methodologies via C-H activation/functionalization and synthesis of organic materials for optoelectronic applications.



Kaliyappan Murugan obtained his bachelor and master from University of Madaras and Bharathidasan University, respectively. He completed his Ph.D. in July 2011 at National Dong Hwa University, Hualien, Taiwan. Since August 2011 he has worked as a postdoctoral research Fellow at National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. His research interests include new processes related to

drug development and pharmaceuticals, asymmetric synthesis and organometallics.

development of synthetic strategies which facilitate the construction of C-C bonds in economical and ecological manner are serving as a continuous research task for researchers in organic chemistry. The allylation of carbonyl compounds is one of the most widely explored C-C bond forming reactions.¹ Among the numerous methods reported for allylation of diverse substrates,¹⁻⁴ Hosomi-Sakurai reaction has received huge attention over the past decade for its ability to form carbon-carbon bond with two active functionalities and generate chiral carbon centre from easily available achiral materials under mild reaction conditions.⁵ Readily available, non-toxic and inexpensive allylsilanes were proved to be alternatives to allylstannanes and have been extensively explored for the synthesis of homoallylic compounds (Scheme 1).⁶ Beside this, allyltrimethylsilane exhibits superior reactivity and enantioselectivity than its counterparts, electron-deficient allylsilanes allyltrichlorosilane and allyltrimethoxysilane.

The enantioselective allylations of acetals, ketals, aldehydes and ketones offer optically active homoallyl ethers/alcohols whose further synthetic elaboration result in easy access to natural products/bioactive heterocyclic scaffolds/drug candidates.


In the initial endeavours traditional Lewis acids such as titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄), boron trifluoride etherate (BF₃·OEt₂), tin tetrachloride (SnCl₄), niobium pentachloride (NbCl₅), etc. had been used in stoichiometric or near-stoichiometric amounts. Higher concentration of these acids accounted for formation of tight coordination of alcohol oxygen atom with them and poor efficiency of silicon transfer to oxygen. Then, scientists also used various rare earth metal triflates such as scandium triflate (Sc(OTf)₃), lanthanum triflate (La(OTf)₃), ytterbium triflate (Yb(OTf)₃), zirconium triflate (Zr(OTf)₄), etc. as Lewis acid catalysts but moisture sensitivity and high cost of these catalysts limited their use. In addition to these catalysts,



Atul Chaskar received his bachelor and master degrees from University of Pune in 1995 and 1997, respectively. He earned his Ph.D. from University of Mumbai in 2006. Following this, he was awarded a postdoctoral fellowship by the Italian government in 2008 to conduct research in the laboratory of Prof. Elena Vismara at Politecnico Di Milano. Subsequently in 2010 he moved to National

Taiwan University and joined the group of Prof. Ken-Tsung Wong. He joined the Institute of Chemical Technology in 2013 as a CSIR Pool Scientist. Since 2014 he is working as an Associate Professor at National Centre for Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, University of Mumbai. His research areas include the synthesis of organic materials for optoelectronic and photovoltaic applications, development of C-H functionalization/activation inspired new synthetic approaches and nanocatalysis.







inexpensive and water-tolerant catalysts such as iodine, ferric chloride (FeCl_3), indium(III) chloride (InCl_3), *etc.* were also used. Eventually various Brønsted acids and heterogeneous catalysts too were used by researchers to accomplish environmentally benign and economically viable synthesis of homoallyl alcohols/ethers. Although, worthwhile protocols have been reported, catalytic modification of the reaction has remained a never ending challenging task, especially for one-pot synthesis of active building blocks.

2. Allylation of acetals and ketals

2.1 Lewis acid catalysed allylation

In the initial attempts for the synthesis of regioselective homoallyl ethers by using allylsilane and acetals, Hosomi *et al.* used titanium as a promoter.⁷ In the presence of stoichiometric amount of TiCl_4 , allylsilane reacted with numerous aliphatic, alicyclic and aromatic acetals at -78°C and produced corresponding homoallyl ethers in good yields (Scheme 2).




However, allyltrimethylsilane on reaction with triethyl orthoformate offered diallyl ether instead of a homoallyl acetal (Scheme 3).


Inspired with aforementioned results of allylation, Hosomi *et al.* had carried out allylation of α,β -unsaturated acetals by using allylsilane in the presence of Lewis acids.⁸ Nature of Lewis acid, reaction temperature and structure of α,β -unsaturated acetals directed the mode of reaction. Stoichiometric use of TiCl_4 promoted the reaction to yield diallylated compounds whereas aluminium trichloride (AlCl_3) or $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ catalysed reaction gave selectively monoallylated compounds at -78°C in dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2).

In the presence of TiCl_4 , allylation took place at the acetal carbon (4) or β -carbon (5) or at both (4 and 5) (Scheme 4). Nature of β -substituent in acetal governed the ratio of 4 and 5 in the product. Methyl and *n*-propyl substituted acetals gave selectively 1,4,8-trienes (5). However, phenyl substituted acetal produced mixture of 4 and 5 at -78°C in CH_2Cl_2 . It is intriguing to note that the formation of monoallylated product was not





Scheme 4

Fig. 1 Coordinate complexes of $TiCl_4$ and $AlCl_3$.

observed in the presence of $TiCl_4$ at $-78^\circ C$ whereas, $AlCl_3$ and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ catalysed reaction offered monoallylated product (3) selectively under the same condition. Presumably, this could be attributed to different coordination abilities of Lewis acids. $TiCl_4$ can coordinate to both alkoxy groups of acetals while $AlCl_3$ and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ can coordinate with only one (Fig. 1). In other words, $TiCl_4$ activates both of the alkoxy groups whereas $AlCl_3$ and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ activate only one. Nevertheless, the second allylation took place smoothly with $TiCl_4$.


Ojima *et al.* had investigated the reaction of allylsilane with bifunctional molecules comprised of keto carbonyl and acetal functionalities.⁹ They had revealed that the structure of substrate and the nature of Lewis acid exerts effect on

chemoselectivity of the reaction. The allylation of β -keto acetals resulted in formation of hydroxyacetal exclusively in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of $AlCl_3$ or $TiCl_4$ (Scheme 5). Moderate to low yields of products were obtained at $-18^\circ C$ to $0^\circ C$ in dichloromethane.


On the contrary, Lewis acid nature dependent chemoselectivity was observed in case of α -keto acetals. $AlCl_3$ promoted allylation took place at the carbonyl group only to give homoallyl alcohols exclusively whereas, $TiCl_4$ promoted reaction led to the formation of bis homoallylic diol monomethyl ethers as it had activated both the functional groups simultaneously (Scheme 6).

Although, when α -keto acetal was treated with two equivalents of allylsilane and one or two equivalents of $AlCl_3$ only homoallyl alcohol was formed, whereas enhancement in the yield of diallylated product was observed in the presence of two equivalents of an allylsilane and $TiCl_4$ at $5-20^\circ C$.

Interestingly, use of trimethylsilyltrifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf) in catalytic amount accomplished homoallylation of



Scheme 5



Scheme 6





Scheme 7

acetals at low temperature (-78 to -40 °C) to produce homoallyl ethers in high yields.¹⁰ The stereoselectivity of TMSOTf catalysed reaction can be understood better by using 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone acetal, which on allylation offered mixture of stereoisomers (Scheme 7). In the mixture, the ratio of equatorial to axial allyl isomer was found to be 97 : 3. However, the catalyst TMSOTf was unable to give the allylation products of carbonyl compounds *viz.* benzaldehyde, benzophenone, acetophenone and 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone.

Further to this the chemoselective allylation of acetals could be analysed in ionic liquids such as butylmethylimidazoliumhexafluorophosphate [bmim][PF₆] and butylmethylimidazoliumtriflate [bmim][OTf] upon their reaction in the presence of 5–20 mol% of trimethylsilyltrifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf).¹¹ The homoallyl ethers were obtained in good quantities at room temperature (Scheme 8).


Unlike dichloromethane, a commonly used solvent for allylation, non-volatile and non-flammable ionic liquids are easy to recover and recycle. This various functional group compatible protocol offered monoallylated product of cinnamaldehyde dimethyl acetals unlike TiCl₄ and aluminium tribromide (AlBr₃). Along with this, the chemoselective allylation of 2,2'-diethoxyacetophenone in [bmim][OTf] produced homoallyl ether with a good yield of 78%, thereby indicating selective allylation of acetals over the carbonyl group (Scheme 9). The rate of this reaction in CH₂Cl₂ was found to be very slow and the product recovery was also very less (>40%). Notably, the reaction did not proceed with 5 mol% bismuth triflate (Bi(OTf)₃) in CH₂Cl₂, thereby highlighting the influence of ionic liquid on the

reaction outcome. The ionic liquid [bmim][PF₆] was quantitatively recycled and successively reused upto four cycles of allylation of *p*-chlorobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal.

Iodotrimethylsilane (Me₃SiI) plays an important role in the synthesis of various homoallylethers with regiospecific *trans* allyl group from acetals and allylsilanes when it is used in catalytic quantity (10 mol%) at -78 to -40 °C in dichloromethane (Scheme 10).¹²

Furthermore, α,β -unsaturated acetals were formed by regioselective monoallylation at the acetal carbon while carbon-carbon double bonds remained unreacted. Though functionalized allylsilanes enhanced the yield of the product, steric hindrance around silicon and allyl groups retarded the rate of reaction. High yield of the regioselective products could be attributed to the ability of iodotrimethylsilane to form oxonium ion at low temperature when reacted with acetals. The oxonium ion further on bimolecular nucleophilic displacement with allylsilane offered homoallylether (Fig. 2).

Mukaiyama *et al.* disclosed the regio and stereoselective synthesis of homoallyl ethers.¹³ They had used trityl perchlorate (TrClO₄) and diphenylboryl triflate (Ph₂BOTf) catalysts at -23 °C and -78 °C, respectively in CH₂Cl₂. An enhancement in diastereoselectivity was observed at lower temperature. Both the catalysts exhibited promising performance and afforded the product in good to excellent amounts. Triphenylmethyl carbenium ion of trityl perchlorate and diphenyl boron cation of diphenylboryl triflate causes activation of acetals. When allyltrimethylsilane was used, allylation of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal occurred at γ -carbon of allylsilane while moderate diastereoselectivity was observed in the presence of



Scheme 8



Scheme 9






Fig. 2 Catalytic cycle of the allylation.



Scheme 10





crotyltrimethylsilane and cinnamyltrimethylsilane, respectively. In the presence of TrClO_4 (γ - γ -dimethylallyl) trimethylsilane reacted with (3,3-dimethoxypropyl) benzene and produced homoallyl ether in 57% yield which did not happen in the presence of TMSI (Scheme 11).

The mechanical and stereochemical aspects of Hosomi-Sakurai reaction were studied and explained by Denmark *et al.* Allylsilane exhibited Lewis acid dependent cyclization stereochemistry.¹⁴ TMSOTf catalysed reaction showed highly selective *syn* allylation while TiCl_4 catalysed did not show such selectivity for allylation, thereby clearly indicating the involvement of Lewis acid in bond formation step, which is a stereochemistry determining step. Moreover, this observation is supported by the influence of SnCl_4 on stoichiometry of the product (Table 1).

Interestingly, the structure of acetal and nature of Lewis acid governed the stereochemistry of homoallyl ether. The reaction proceeded to form product through either SN^2 type mechanism *via* complexation of Lewis acid with acetal or SN^1 type mechanism *via* formation of oxocarbenium ion. In case of methyl, ethyl and isobutyl acetals reaction followed the SN^2 path resulting in *syn* product as major while isopropyl acetals react *via* SN^1 path, as ready ionization was favoured owing to its

Table 1 Effect of Lewis acid in the cyclization

Entry	Reagent	Temp (°C)	% <i>syn</i>	% <i>anti</i>	Yield, % (mass recovery)
1	Me_3SiOTf	-70	96	4	100
2	TfOH	-70	96	4	62 (74)
3	$\text{Ti}(\text{OiPr})_2\text{C}_2$	-20	87	13	21 (91)
4	AlCl_3	-20	86	14	33 (78)
5	BCl_3	-70	82	18	57 (73)
6	$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$	-20	77	23	95 (100)
7	TiCl_4	-90	47	53	55 (58)
8	SnCl_4 (1.0 equiv.)	-70	45	55	35 (60)
9	SnCl_4 (0.5 equiv.)	-60	71	29	81 (81)




Table 2 Effect of acetal structure on the cyclization of 1 with TMSOTf

Substrate	R	% <i>syn</i>	% <i>anti</i>
1a	Me	96	4
1b	Et	92	8
1c	iBu	90	10
1d	iPr	38	62

inherent strain which leads to *anti* product as major one (Scheme 12) (Table 2).

Monoallylation of acetals and ketals was accomplished by using allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of 0.5 mol% titanium complex $[\text{TiCp}_2(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2]$ in nitromethane as well as dichloromethane.¹⁵ Nitromethane was found to be the solvent of choice as it accelerated the rate of the reaction by dissociating triflate ligands which easily bind to the oxygen atom of the electrophile. The lower reactivity observed for ketal as compared to acetals was accounted for steric hindrance.

With respect to enormous applications of silicon based reagents, Achla Trehan *et al.* successfully prepared trimethylsilyl bis(fluorosulfonyl) imide $[\text{TMSN}(\text{SO}_2\text{F})_2]$ and effectively used it for allylation of acetals.¹⁶ $[\text{TMSN}(\text{SO}_2\text{F})_2]$ exhibited superior catalytic activity than the TMSOTf, presumably due to the downfield silicon signal. Acetals of the aromatic as well as conjugated aldehydes underwent allylation in the presence of 5 mol% of $[\text{TMSN}(\text{SO}_2\text{F})_2]$ at -78°C in dichloromethane and the corresponding homoallyl ethers were obtained in good to excellent yield within 30–50 min.

Gihani and Heaney had carried out the allylation of benzaldehydes dimethyl acetal by using trifluoromethanesulfonic (triflic) acid (TfOH).¹⁷ In the presence of bis-trimethylsilyl acetamide (BTMSA), 83% of homoallyl ether of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal was obtained and the yield was increased upto 99% in the presence of bis-trimethylsilyl urea (BTMSU).

Admiring a high ionizing power of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) owing to its electron pair acceptor ability, Mayr *et al.* had used liquid SO_2 as Lewis acid solvent for allylation of acetals.¹⁸ Appreciable factor is recyclability of recondensed SO_2 after reaction, which avoids aqueous workup. Homoallyl ethers of respective aliphatic and aromatic acetals were produced in good yields ranging from 83–98% by using 1.2 equivalent of allyltrimethylsilane in liquid SO_2 at -60°C . In addition to this, 1,1-dimethoxyheptane underwent allylation at 20°C when a tiny crystal of I_2 was added. It is worth to note that other allylsilanes also reacted with benzaldehydes dimethyl acetal in liquid SO_2 at -60°C and -20°C , respectively.

With regard to the electron transfer ability of aminium salts, Hasegawa *et al.* successfully used tris(*p*-bromophenyl)aminiumhexachloroantimonate $[(p\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4)_3\text{NSbCl}_6^-]$ for the allylation of acetals with allyl trimethylsilane.¹⁹ The reaction progressed through the formation of oxonium intermediate and homoallyl ethers were obtained in good to excellent yields within 10–120 min.

Furthermore, triarylpyrylium salts catalysed photochemical as well as thermal allylation of acetals is also reported by Hasegawa and co-workers (Scheme 13).²⁰






Table 3 Photochemical and thermal allylation of acetals

Sr. no.	Comp.	Photoreaction		Thermal reaction	
		Time (min)	Yield (%)	Time (min)	Yield (%)
1	7a	5	90	120	78
2	7b	10	80	300	74
3	7c	10	99	300	99
4	7d	60	78	600	93
5	7e	60	51	600	64
6	7f	20	83	300	24
7	7g	20	65	600	25

2,4,6-Triphenylpyrylium perchlorate (TPPClO₄, 3 mol%) catalysed photochemical reaction worked well at $\lambda > 360$ nm, yielding product in the range of 51–99%. Thermal reaction in the presence of the same catalyst offered the product in 24–99% yield (Table 3). As compared to thermal reaction, photochemical reaction was much faster. Control experiments had revealed that photochemical reaction proceeded through photoinduced electron transfer (PET) and perchlorate anion had a pivotal role in the promotion of reaction. The initial single electron transfer (SET) took place between the singlet excited TPPClO₄ and allyl silane, leading to the generation of silyl perchlorate which apparently promoted cationic reaction (Scheme 14).


A monoallylation of cyclohexanone dimethylketal in the presence of trimethylsilyl bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) amide (TMSNTf₂) is faster than its counterparts TMSOTf and TMSN(SO₂F)₂.²¹ This is because of the super silylating ability of TMSNTf₂ which is evidenced by its downfield silicon signal than that of TMSOTf and TMSN(SO₂F)₂ (Table 4).


Table 4 Comparison of allylation reaction of dimethylketal with allylsilanes catalyzed by TMSX

Catalyst (eq.)	Chemical shift of Si (ppm)	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)
TMSOTf	43.54	−60 to −45	18	81
TMSN(SO ₂ F) ₂	44.92	−78	0.75	91
TMSNTf ₂	57.2	−78	0.25	91

Bismuth(III) salts have emerged as promising catalysts in organic synthesis owing to their low toxicity.²² Interestingly, bismuth bromide effectively catalysed the allylation of aldehydes and acetals at room temperature with 5–20 mol% concentration. Its catalytic efficiency is better than bismuth(III) chloride (BiCl₃), antimony(III) chloride (SbCl₃) and comparable with TMSOTf and TMSI. Furthermore, the C-allylation of methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*o*-benzyl α -D-glycopyranoside was achieved at room temperature in CH₃CN by using higher concentration (50 mol%) of bismuth(III) bromide (BiBr₃) with high stereo-selectivity ($\alpha/\beta = 8/1$) (Scheme 15). Higher catalyst concentration is attributed to the partial deprotection of the benzyl groups accompanying allylation.

Scandium triflate (5 mol%) effectively catalysed allylation of acetals and *gem*-diacetates with sub-stoichiometric amount at ambient temperature in dichloromethane.²³ It was found to be effective due to its high oxophilic nature and ability to form strong but labile bonds with oxygen donor ligands. Methoxy substituted aldehyde diacetates and aliphatic acetals offered low to moderate yields of the products owing to their inherent lower reactivity. The recovery of catalyst and further reuse without considerable loss of activity are the prominent features of this protocol. Allylation of ketone dimethylacetals and diacetates did not occur under present reaction conditions. Yb(OTf)₃ and yttrium triflate (Y(OTf)₃) were also screened for allylation but they exhibited inferior performance to that of Sc(OTf)₃.






Scheme 15

Likewise, bismuth triflate also efficiently catalysed the allylation of acetals by using allyltrimethylsilane.²⁴ The reaction proceeded smoothly at room temperature in dichloromethane with low catalyst loading (1.0 mol%). This nontoxic catalyst worked well at little hydrous condition too. In contrast to TiCl_4 initiated reaction, $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$ catalysed reactions offered selectively monoallylated ethers in quantitative yields. Whereas, $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$ was not effective for allylation of 2,2-diethoxyacetophenone and benzaldehyde.

Allylation of acetals with allyltrimethylsilane have been accomplished using 2 mol% of FeCl_3 at -20°C .²⁵ The corresponding homoallyl methyl ethers were obtained in good to excellent yields, irrespective of the nature of substituents present on the aromatic dimethyl acetals. In addition, α,β -unsaturated dimethyl acetals and aliphatic dimethyl acetals were also subjected to allylation which on completion of the same formed products in quantitative yields at -20°C and room temperature, respectively. Eventually homoallyl ethyl ether and homoallyl benzyl ether were also obtained in high yields from their respective acetals (Scheme 16).

Jung and Maderna²⁶ had explored and explained the aluminium bromide (AlBr_3) catalysed allylation of acetals and cyclic ketals in the presence of trimethylaluminium (AlMe_3) as a desiccant which scavenges hydrobromic acid (HBr) formed during the course of reaction. The best result was observed when 100 mol% of $\text{AlBr}_3/\text{AlMe}_3$ with the stoichiometric ratio of 6 : 1 has been used at -78°C for 2 h. Yield of the product seemed to be directly proportional to the amount of catalyst *i.e.* product yield has decreased with the decrease in mol% of catalyst besides this product formation occurred at high temperature with a prolonged reaction time.

Indeed, substantial enhancement in the reaction rate and yield of the product were observed on addition of catalytic amount of copper(i) bromide (CuBr). The acceleration in rate of reaction could be mainly due to the formation of Al-Cu species. Allylation catalysed by $\text{CuBr}/\text{AlBr}_3/\text{AlMe}_3$ show chemoselective nature. In cyanoacetal, allylation took place selectively at acetal functionality while *para*-methoxy substituted acetal did not allylate as it formed *para*-quinonemethide cation which easily got polymerized.



Scheme 16

Soon after to overcome these difficulties encountered with above protocols, Jung *et al.* developed functional group tolerant, strong Lewis acid free and microwave heating assisted method in the presence of stoichiometric CuBr .²⁷ The reaction proceeded only in the presence of dichloromethane and 1,2-dichloroethane, mostly because of their non-binding to CuBr during the course of reaction. 1,2-Dichloroethane was the solvent of choice due to its higher boiling point. Homoallyl ethers were obtained in quantitative yields when acetals with electron donating substituents on their aromatic ring were used, while acetals with electron withdrawing substituents on their aromatic ring produced moderate yields of the products. However, aliphatic acetals and cyclic ketals afforded homoallyl ether in low yield. Unlike the routine normal reaction condition, during this procedure CuBr acts as a considerably mild but efficient Lewis acid that leads to formation of the oxocarbenium ion by using oxygen atom of the acetal.

Niobium pentachloride–silverperchlorate ($\text{NbCl}_5\text{-AgClO}_4$) catalysed allylation of acetal have been reported by Nishida *et al.*²⁸ This catalyst system with the concentration of 0.5 mol% of each has worked well for aromatic as well as aliphatic acetals at 0°C to room temperature and offered the products in good yields (84–98%). When niobium pentachloride was used as the only catalyst for allylation, it resulted in poor yield of homoallyl ether because of its strong Lewis acidic character which interrupted the establishment of catalytic cycle.

Nevertheless, a range of homoallyl ethers can be successfully synthesised by using an inexpensive and relatively non-toxic iron(III) *p*-toluenesulfonate $\text{Fe}(\text{OTs})_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a catalyst.²⁹ The synthesis could be accomplished in acetonitrile at room temperature but quantity of catalyst required for efficient synthesis varies from 2 mol% to 10 mol%.

2.2 Brønsted acid catalysed allylation

Kampen *et al.*³⁰ have developed sulfonic Brønsted acid with 2 mol% catalyst loading in acetonitrile catalysed allylation of acetals by using allyltrimethylsilane. Various Brønsted acids such as diphenyl phosphate (PPA) (8), camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) (9), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (*p*-TsOH) (10) and 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (DNBA) (11) were used for this reaction. Among these DNBA (Fig. 3) showed the best catalytic activity with 2 mol% catalyst loading in acetonitrile at room temperature. Acetals on reaction with Brønsted acid DNBA formed oxonium ion which on subsequent reaction with allyltrimethylsilane and methanol, respectively offered the homoallyl ether in high yield. Notably, aromatic acetals with electron-rich as well as electron-poor aryl substituents offered







Fig. 3 Catalytic cycle for allylation of acetal.


excellent yields while branched and unbranched aliphatic acetals formed the products in good to excellent yields. Interestingly, functional groups such as benzyl ether, alkyl bromide, nitrile and esters of aliphatic acetals were found to be tolerant to allylation in the presence of 2% DNBA. Further α,β -unsaturated acetals and ketals also offered good yields of monoallylic ethers. Neither hydrolysis nor aldolization was observed. Moderate yields of allylic ethers of few aliphatic acetals was attributed to their volatility. Unlike TiCl_4 catalysed reaction, regioselective homoallylated product formation was observed when allylation of cinnamaldehyde was carried out in the presence of DNBA. It is also found to be equally efficient for allylation of ketals and benzyl acetal.

Barbero and co-workers demonstrated allylation of acetals and ketals by using allyl trimethylsilane in the presence of 5 or 10 mol% of *o*-benzenedisulfonimide (BDSA) (12).³¹ Solvent-free condition and reusability of catalyst are some of the characteristic features of this protocol. The recovered BDSA was successfully used for three consecutive cycles without considerable loss of its catalytic activity or decrease in the yield of homoallyl ether. Moreover, the allylation of acetals could be

realised by using 1-phenyl-2-(trimethylsilyl) acetylene in the presence of *o*-benzenedisulfonimide (20–30 mol%) in acetonitrile (Scheme 17). Lower yields of products could be accounted for the formation of less stable vinylic carbocation during the course of the reaction.

Silicomolybdic acid supported on silica gel (10 wt%, 2.0 mol%) has proven itself to be a green catalyst for homoallylation.³² It catalysed homoallylation of acetals, ketals and acylals when 1.5 equivalents of allyl trimethylsilane was used. Anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal produced a mixture of monoallyl and diallyl products with the ratio of 74 : 19 when 1.5 equiv. of allyltrimethyl silane was used. However, it has then changed to 92 : 5 when 1.0 equiv. of allyltrimethyl silane was used. This is the first report on ring-opening allylation of cyclic acetal under catalytic and ambient conditions. It is intriguing to note that in case of allylation of acylals, less hydrolysis was observed when acetonitrile was replaced by dichloromethane as a solvent. Homoallyl esters are important with respect to their further easy manipulation.

Synthesis of silica supported triphenyl(3-sulfopropyl) phosphonium (TPSP) functionalized phosphotungstic acid (PW-Si/TPSP) with the loading of 10–30% of phosphotungstic acid on silica (w/w) was important and worth to note as they can be eventually used for selective monoallylation of acetals.³³ In this combination, though self-induced phase separation of TPSP was a fascinating feature, polar solvents in the combination suppress solubility of TPSP. The Keggin structures of heteropoly anions remained unaltered while incorporation of



Scheme 17




phosphotungstic acid into the fumed silica takes place, as shown by ^{29}Si -NMR, FT-IR and XRD. Ammonia-TPD and pyridine-FTIR were used to establish acidic strength and Brønsted acidic sites, respectively and with this the acidity order of $\text{PW} > \text{PW-TPSP} > 30\text{PW-Si/TPSP}$ was established. For allylation of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal PW-Si/TPSP was proved to be the best catalyst than amberlyst 15, PW, 30PW-Si, H_2SO_4 -TPSP, PW-MIMBS, PW-TPSP *etc.* Highest yield of the allylated product was obtained with 30% PW loading at 0.050 g quantity of the catalyst in acetonitrile. Quantitative yield of the allylated product was observed in the presence of various substituents *viz.* methoxy, chloro, piperonaldehyde, 1-naphthaldehyde *etc.* Besides, the catalyst has remained stable for five recycling runs and offered the product with negligible loss in its yield.

2.3 Miscellaneous

The present catalyst research has drifted towards the use of sustainable technologies such as heterogeneous catalysis. The catalysts have found and will continue to find extensive uses in a myriad of synthetic contexts as their separation and recycling is very easy.

Onaka and co-workers³⁴ have used various solid acid catalysts for allylation of acetals by using allylsilanes (Table 5). Aluminium cation exchanged montmorillonite Al-Mont and proton exchanged montmorillonite H-Mont, Mont-K10 catalysed reaction produced high yield of the product without formation of any by-product. Being heterogeneous catalysts, they were separated by just filtration after completion of reaction. Yield of the product was significantly affected during drying when montmorillonites were used as catalyst due to the less thermal stability of montmorillonites than zeolites and silica alumina (Scheme 18).

Cyclohexane dimethyl acetal is exclusively allylated from the equatorial position. Similarly, the predominant equatorial allylation of 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexane dimethyl acetal is anticipated due to its superior stability (Scheme 19).



Scheme 18

In 2010, Ito and Asami *et al.*³⁵ designed environmentally benign protocol of Hosomi-Sakurai homoallylation of acetals by using mesoporous aluminosilicate Al-MCM-41. As MCM-41 is having large uniform pores (2–10 nm) and a high surface area, the incorporation of metals, such as Ti, Sn and Al into it enhanced its catalytic activities. Amorphous silica-alumina (SiO_2 - Al_2O_3) and aluminium-free MCM-41 were ineffective for homoallylation of acetal. However, the optimization study revealed that the concentration of aluminum in MCM-41 plays a detrimental role. When its concentration was reduced, the product yield was alleviated from 86% to 96% (Scheme 20, Table 6).

Under the optimized reaction conditions various aromatic and aliphatic acetals formed the respective homoallyl ethers in quantitative yields. Nature of the substituent on aromatic ring did not affect the rate of reaction as well as yield of the product. Naphthaldehydes and (*E*)-cinnamaldehyde dimethyl acetals also were allylated and the products were obtained in good yields. Interestingly, benzaldehyde diethyl acetal also gave homoallylether in a appreciable yield. Furthermore, allylation of cyclohexanone dimethyl ketal also proceeded well, albeit at a high concentration of allyltrimethylsilane (3 equiv.) (Scheme 21).

Al-MCM-41 exhibited chemoselectivity for acetal over aldehyde and consequently formation of only 4-methoxy-4-phenylbut-1-ene was observed (Scheme 22).

3. Allylation of aldehydes and ketones


Though the allylation of acetals and ketals has been extensively explored, several acetals and ketals are not commercially available and must have to be prepared from the corresponding

Table 5 Reaction of octanal dimethyl acetal with allyltrimethylsilane catalysed by solid acid^a


Entry	Solid acid	Drying method of solid acid	Conditions		Mol% of homoallyl ether	Mol% of unreacted acetal
			Temp/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Time/h		
1	Na-Mont	A	0	0.5	0	86
2	Al-Mont	A	0	0.5	95	1
3	H-Mont	A	0	0.5	87	11
4	Mont-K10	A	0	0.5	85	14
5	Na-TSM	A	0	0.5	0	86
6	Al-TSM	A	0	0.5	48	44
7	HY	B	25	2	43	30
8	CaY	B	25	2	30	30
9	Silica-alumina	B	25	2	58	16
10	γ -Alumina	B	25	2	0	89
11	Amberlist-15	C	25	2	47	44
12	Nafion-117	—	25	2	51	33

^a A: pre-dried at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ /0.5 Torr for 16 h and stored in a desiccator then dried at 120 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ /0.5 Torr for 3 h in a reaction vessel. B: pre-dried at 400 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in air for 3 h and stored in a desiccator then dried at 350 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ /0.5 Torr for 10 min in a reaction vessel. C: washed with MeOH and dried at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ /0.5 Torr.






Scheme 19



Scheme 20

Table 6 Allylation of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal with allyltrimethylsilane

Entry	Catalyst	Si/Al	3a (%)	4 (%)
1	Al-MCM-41	26	86	7
2	SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃	31	0	0
3	MCM-41	∞	0	0
4	Al-MCM-41	34	91	4
5	Al-MCM-41	48	96	Trace



Scheme 21

aldehydes and ketones. Nevertheless, carbonyl compounds directly offer homoallyl alcohols or ethers within one step.

3.1 Lewis acid catalyzed allylation

Hosomi and Sakurai³⁶ had successfully synthesized γ,δ -unsaturated alcohols from diverse aliphatic, alicyclic and aromatic carbonyl compounds by using allyltrimethyl silane in presence of titanium tetrachloride in dichloromethane. A regioselective transposition of allylic part took place during the course of reaction and carbon-carbon bond formation occurred exclusively at a γ -carbon of allylsilanes (Scheme 23).


The reaction between but-3-en-2-yl trimethylsilane and equimolar carbonyl compound offered a mixture of two

stereoisomeric alcohols with *trans* isomer as a major product while 1-phenyl allyltrimethylsilane offered exclusively *trans* alcohol. Nevertheless, both the stereoisomers of but-2-en-1-yl trimethylsilane and *trans* isomer of 3-phenylallyltrimethylsilane on reaction with aldehydes or ketones in the presence of TiCl₄ produced solely *trans* alcohols. Looking at the yield of alcohols, TiCl₄ was found to be superior than AlCl₃, SnCl₄ and BF₃·OEt₂.


Diverse aldehydes underwent allylation with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of stoichiometric quantity of aluminium trichloride at -25 °C in dichloromethane.³⁷ The corresponding homoallyl alcohols were obtained after the hydrolysis of silyl ether intermediate in water and methanol.

Nucleophilic affinity of fluoride ion to a silicon atom and high silicon-fluoride bond energy attracted the interest of Hosomi *et al.* to use tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF)³⁸ as a catalyst for the allylation of diverse aldehydes and ketones in THF at reflux temperature (Scheme 24). Notably, functional groups such as nitriles epoxides and esters remained unaffected.

Fluoride ion in TBAF attacked on the silicon atom of allyltrimethylsilane and formed trimethylfluorosilane along with allylic anion species. This allylic anion species underwent chemoselective addition with carbonyl compound and formed allylated alkoxide which on reaction with the trimethylfluorosilane afforded silyl ether, which subsequently produced homoallyl alcohol upon hydrolysis (Fig. 4).



Scheme 23



Scheme 22







Fig. 4 Catalytic cycle for TBAF catalyzed allylation.

Various aldehydes and ketone produce corresponding alcohols in moderate to good yields. Further, the allylation in case of alkyl substituted allyltrimethylsilane occurred solely at the less substituted carbon. However, simultaneous conjugate addition as well as 1,2-addition to carbonyl group took place when α,β -enones reacted with the allyltrimethylsilane. Though catalytic TBAF substantially accelerated/stimulated the allylation reaction, potassium fluoride even in the presence of 18-crown-6 failed to do the same.

Sakurai and co-workers³⁹ had accomplished allylation of carbonyl compounds by using catalytic quantity of trimethyl silyl iodine in the presence of alkoxy silane in dichloromethane. Interestingly, iodine catalyzed homoallylation of benzaldehydes in the presence of methanol was found to be a one-pot synthetic protocol.

Diphenylboryl triflate had effectively catalyzed allylation of benzaldehyde in dichloromethane at 0 °C and yielded the corresponding alcohol in 83% yield.¹³



Mukaiyama *et al.*⁴⁰ had achieved one-pot asymmetric allylation of aldehydes by using diphenylboryl triflate (Ph_2BOTf) catalyst with high stereoselectivity. Although, highest yield of the allylation product of 3-phenyl propanol by using 1-phenyl-1-trimethylsilyloxyethane as a chiral source at -23 °C in dichloromethane in the presence of catalyst TrClO_4 was obtained, the method suffered from poor stereoselectivity (Scheme 25). However, good yield and best stereoselectivity

(96 : 4) were observed when Ph_2BOTf was used as a catalyst at -78 °C in toluene.

Additionally, when various aldehydes were allylated by using Ph_2BOTf as a catalyst, aliphatic aldehydes produced homoallyl ethers with high stereoselectivity and the same was diminished for the benzaldehydes due to an easy formation of the benzylic oxocarbenium ion which had favored the S_{N}^1 transition state instead of S_{N}^2 .

In another context, Markó *et al.*⁴¹ synthesized homoallylic ethers from carbonyl compounds *via* trimethylsilyl ethers and allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of trimethylsilyl triflate TMSOTf.

In this three components reaction, silyl ether was a co-reactant and reaction proceeded through the formation of oxonium cation **19**, which eventually trapped by allyltrimethylsilane to produce diversely substituted ethers



(Scheme 26). Interestingly, aliphatic as well as aromatic aldehydes reacted smoothly to afford the corresponding product with good yields. Nevertheless, ketones formed tertiary homoallylic ethers. Though the presence of silyl ether is indispensable, the reaction is independent of its nature. The reaction exhibited significant level of diastereoselectivity. Nature of the aromatic group present in silyl ether governed the ratio of diastereomers (Scheme 27).


In order to support the earlier mechanism proposed for superacid catalyzed allylation, Davis and Jaspars⁴² prepared supersilylating reagent $\text{Me}_3\text{SiB}(\text{OTf})_4$ and successfully employed it for allylation of aldehydes (Fig. 5). Use of 0.2–1.0 mol% $\text{Me}_3\text{SiB}(\text{OTf})_4$ worked well in dichloromethane at room temperature and offered homoallyl alcohol with 80–93% yield within 5–25 min. On the contrary, low to moderate yield of homoallyl alcohol was obtained by using 20 mol% of Me_3SiI and Me_3SiOTf in 45 min and 23 h, respectively.

Bosnich and co-workers¹⁵ had productively employed organometallic complex $[\text{TiCp}_2(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2]$ for allylation of aldehydes. An allyltrimethylsilane reacted very finely with benzaldehyde in the presence of 0.5 mol% $[\text{TiCp}_2(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2]$ to produce monoallyl ether in 90% yield within 5 minutes in nitromethane. Whereas, under the same reaction conditions it failed to react with butan-2-one and cyclopentanone in the presence of

nitromethane. Nitromethane proved itself as a better solvent than dichloromethane owing to its extensive dissociation of triflate ligands of catalyst. Upon dissociation titanium atom binds to oxygen atom of the electrophile and accelerated the rate of reaction.

Keisuke Suzuki and co-workers⁴³ surprisingly got cyclopropane derivative (21) instead of homoallyl alcohol (22) when they took aldehydes and allyltrimethylsilane in 1 : 2 ratio in the presence of NbCl_5 as a catalyst at room temperature in dichloromethane (Scheme 28).

When the reaction was carried out at -20°C for 5 min, homoallyl alcohol was obtained as the major product in 60% yield along with cyclopropane derivative (5%). However, when reaction time was increased upto 1 h, the yield of cyclopropane derivative was increased upto 69% at the cost of homoallyl alcohol (1%). Unlike TiCl_4 , tantalum pentachloride (TaCl_5) offered cyclopropane derivative only in 48% yield. However, in the presence of ZrCl_4 and hafnium tetrachloride (HfCl_4), cyclopropane derivative was obtained as the major product in 47% and 48% yield while homoallyl alcohol was obtained as the minor product with 35% and 28% yield, respectively. Mechanistic investigation implies that the cyclopropyl moiety is derived from the allyl moiety which is engrafted by using allylsilanes (Scheme 29).



Scheme 27






Fig. 5 Proposed reaction mechanism.





The proposed mechanism is supported by *ab initio* molecular orbital calculations (MP2/16-31G*), investigated for a series of $C_4H_7^+$ cationic species.

An air stable scandium triflate ($Sc(OTf)_3$) had effectively allylated both unactivated and activated aromatic aldehydes and also aliphatic aldehydes in nitromethane.^{44,45} Lower catalyst loading was due to the weak binding of the homoallylic alkoxide to scandium triflate along with better efficiency of silicon transfer to oxygen. In fact only 2 mol% of the scandium triflate was required for carrying out allylation of activated and unactivated aromatic aldehydes while 10 mol% of the same was needed for the allylation of deactivated aromatic aldehydes and less reactive aliphatic aldehydes. 4-Methylbenzaldehyde after completion of allylation offered a mixture of monoallyl alcohol and diallylated product while 4-methoxybenzaldehyde offered solely the diallylated product in the presence of 10 mol% $Sc(OTf)_3$. This was due to the electronic effect which could further be evidenced by allylation of 3-methoxybenzaldehyde in which 43% yield of monoallyl alcohol was obtained along with 12% over-addition product. Interestingly, ketones were totally unreactive with allyltrimethyl silane under these conditions and therefore chemoselective addition of allyltrimethyl silane to benzaldehyde in the presence of acetophenone was possible.

Considering nontoxic nature and commercial availability of bismuth(III) salts, Komatsu *et al.*²² had explored the ability of bismuth bromide (5.0 mol%) for the allylation of aldehydes in dichloromethane at room temperature. Bismuth bromide and bismuth chloride both offered good yields of allyl alcohol, while antimony(III) chloride produced only trace quantity.

Ytterbium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)amide, $[Yb(NTf_2)_3]$ catalyzed allylation of benzaldehydes tendered bisallylation product in 48% yield and mono-allylated trimethylsilyl ether in only trace quantity at room temperature in dichloromethane.²¹

Yang *et al.*⁴⁶ had used ytterbium trifluoromethanesulfonate $Yb(OTf)_3$ for an allylation of glyoxalate hydrates and α -keto aldehyde hydrates. Moderate to good yields of α -keto and α -ester homoallylic alcohols were observed at room temperature with catalyst loading of 5–10 mol%.

Yamamoto and co-workers⁴⁷ successfully utilized a catalytic amount of co-catalyst π -allylpalladium chloride dimer **23** (5 mol%)-TBAF (0.5 equiv.) in *n*-hexane-THF (4 : 1) as a co-solvent for allylation of various aldehydes with allyltrimethylsilane (2 equiv.) at room temperature. An aromatic and alkenyl aldehydes produced corresponding homoallyl alcohols in moderate to good yields whereas poor yields were observed for aliphatic aldehydes and cyclic ketone. Interestingly, formation of bis- π -allylpalladium complex **24**, took place during the course of reaction. This had occurred due to coordination of fluoride ions with allyltrimethylsilane to produce pentacoordinate allylsilicate which could then easily undergo transmetalation to Pd(II) (Fig. 6).

Allylation of benzaldehydes with γ -methyl allyltrimethylsilane and α -methyl allyltrimethylsilane offered γ -addition and α -addition products after 8 days and 12 h, respectively, having nearly same *syn/anti* diastereomer ratios (Scheme 30). This implied that transmetalation step is the rate-determining step and reaction proceeds through the formation of bis- π -allylpalladium.

In situ generated trimethylsilanemethanesulphonate (TMSOMs) from methane sulphonic acid (MsOH) and





Fig. 6 Bis- π -allylpalladium complex.





Scheme 30

allyltrimethylsilane had effectively catalyzed allylation of aldehydes at room temperature with only 10 mol% of catalyst loading.⁴⁸ Wide range of homoallyl ethers were synthesized from aldehydes by using allyltrimethylsilane and various silyl ethers in the presence of TMSOMs.

Yadav and co-workers²³ had used scandium triflate for allylation of various aromatic, aliphatic and heterocyclic aldehydes in the presence of trimethylorthoformate. The reactions occurred smoothly with 10 mol% of $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ at room temperature in dichloromethane and yielded exclusively homoallyl ethers in 70–90% yield.

Considering the low Lewis acidity of the indium salts Akio Baba and co-workers^{49,50} used $\text{InCl}_3\text{-Me}_3\text{SiCl}$ system as a strong Lewis acid catalyst for allylation of aldehydes, ketones, α -hydroxy ketones and benzoin. Both the catalysts independently failed to exhibit the catalytic activity but collectively with 5 mol% quantity they offered excellent yield of the allylation product presumably because of strong interaction between indium and silicon. This is evidenced and consequently confirmed by non-performance of AlCl_3 , $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ and TiCl_4 in combination with Me_3SiCl . Addition order of reactants and catalysts impressed the yield of product, as the catalyst polarised the carbonyl group as well as activities of allylsilane. Among the various chlorosilane screened, Me_3SiCl was found to be the most suitable candidate for designing an efficient catalyst system along with InCl_3 . Mild non-protic condition was compatible for allylation of carbonyl group in the presence of nitro, ester and cyano functional groups. Nevertheless, aliphatic and sterically hindered aldehydes also offered respective homoallyl alcohols in high yields, while ketones formed homoallyl alcohols only trace amounts. It is intriguing to note that this catalyst system possessed high catalytic ability for allylation of homoallyl trimethylsilyl ethers, which could be evidenced by 25% diallylated product of *p*-methyl benzaldehyde and exclusively diallylated product of *p*-methoxy benzaldehyde. Cyclohexanone offered solely homoallyl alcohol while acetophenone tendered diallylation product preponderantly at room temperature in nitromethane. Propiophenone and *p*-chloroacetophenone too formed diallylated products in 49% and 55%, respectively. In the presence of this catalyst system


benzoin underwent allylation at the carbonyl carbon only and afforded stereoselectively *syn*-diol. Notably, size of substituents on chlorosilane determined yield of the allyl alcohol and impressive selectivity with inversion of allylic unit was achieved in case of substituted allylsilanes.

Molecular iodine had effectively converted broad range of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes into corresponding homoallyl alcohols.⁵¹ Higher yield of the product was obtained with high selectivity at 0 °C in acetonitrile within short reaction time (1 min) (Scheme 31). Iodine successfully formed the trimethyl silyl iodide on reaction with allyltrimethylsilane which as a result effectively catalyze the reaction.

In 2002, Oriyama *et al.*⁵² had successfully used ferric chloride for allylation of various aldehydes with 1.5 equiv. allyltrimethylsilane at –20 °C in nitromethane. Benzaldehyde got over allylated (1 and 2) with two equivalent of allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of 5 mol% of anhydrous FeCl_3 at room temperature (Scheme 32). While it offered 54% and 66% homoallyl alcohol with the same quantity of allyltrimethylsilane at 0 °C and –20 °C, respectively. This implied that temperature had a crucial role in the formation of product.


Nevertheless, the quantity (loading) of the allyltrimethylsilane and nature of solvent governed yield of the homoallyl alcohol. Thus, 92% of yield was obtained when 1.5 equiv. of allyltrimethylsilane was used in nitromethane. Interestingly, aliphatic aldehydes got allylated significantly with 5 mol% FeCl_3 at room temperature even though their carbonyl groups have higher electron density than those of aromatic aldehydes. Furthermore, sterically hindered aldehydes were also allylated effectively at room temperature.

Later these authors had used the same catalyst system for one-pot synthesis of homoallyl benzyl ethers.²⁵ In the context of two step procedure for the synthesis of homoallyl methyl ethers and their inability to undergo further synthetic manipulation owing to aliphatic ether linkage, preparation of homoallyl




Scheme 31





Scheme 32



Scheme 33

benzyl ethers gained more importance. A low loading of FeCl_3 (2 to 5 mol%) was sufficient for the synthesis of homoallyl benzyl ethers from various aromatic as well as aliphatic aldehydes by using benzyloxytrimethylsilane (BnOTMS) at $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and room temperature, respectively (Scheme 33). The reaction was chemoselective and the groups such as ketone and ester remained unaffected during the course of reaction.


Three-component one-pot synthesis of homoallyl ethers and homoallyl acetates from aldehydes was facilitated by bismuth triflate (Scheme 34).⁵³

In the initial attempts trialkylorthoformate was used along with allyltrimethylsilane to obtain corresponding homoallyl ethers. Removal of alcohol formed during *in situ* generation of acetal by the reaction of aldehydes with trialkylorthoformate was necessary as it deactivated allyltrimethylsilane. Allyltrimethylsilane played an instrumental role as it not only facilitated allylation but also accelerated it by shifting the aldehydes-acetal equilibrium towards acetal formation, a key *in situ* generated intermediate. Smooth and rapid allylation took place at room temperature. This method worked well for conjugated aromatic aldehydes. However, cinnamaldehyde offered poor yield while aliphatic aldehydes underwent polymerization. The protocol was further improved and made more generalized by using alkoxytrimethylsilanes as wide range of alkoxytrimethylsilanes offered variety of homoallyl ethers *viz.* allyl, benzyl, ethyl and methyl. In addition, this method required very less quantity of $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$ (0.1–1.0 mol%) as compared to former method (2–2.5 mol%). It is worth to note

that homoallyl acetates could also be synthesized in one-pot by using aldehydes, allyltrimethylsilane and acetic anhydride in the presence of $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$ in acetonitrile.

Looking at the promising features such as nonvolatility, nonflammability *etc.* of ionic liquid, Anzalone and Mohan⁵⁴ had used 3–10 mol% of trimethylsilyl triflate in ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoromethane sulfonate ($[\text{bmim}][\text{OTf}]$) for the synthesis of homoallyl ethers from aldehydes in one-pot. An easy product separation was the added advantage in this system as aldehydes were soluble in ionic liquid while the crude product of the reaction was just partially soluble. A wide range of homoallyl ethers were synthesized by using 2.0 equivalent of trialkylorthoformate and 1.2 equivalent of alkoxytrimethylsilane. Nevertheless, allyl homoallyl ethers obtained by using this protocol could be used for the synthesis of cyclic enol ethers *via* ring-closing metathesis reactions. Aromatic aldehydes underwent allylation with 3–6 mol% of TMSOTf and offered homoallyl ethers in good yields while aliphatic alcohol afforded homoallyl ether in moderate yield with high catalyst loading (10 mol%). Furthermore, cyclohexanone too resulted in lower yield (44%) of homoallyl ether and did not undergo complete conversion even with high catalyst loading.

Commercially available inexpensive iron(III) *p*-toluene sulfonate $\text{Fe}(\text{OTf})_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ produced homoallyl ethers of aldehydes by using alkoxytrimethylsilanes in one-pot.²⁹ Homoallyl ethers of aromatic as well as aliphatic aldehydes were formed in moderate to good yields.



Scheme 34




Easy deprotection of benzyl group enabled further synthetic manipulation, impressed by this Phukan *et al.*⁵⁵ had successfully synthesized homoallyl benzyl ethers from aldehydes by using benzyloxytrimethylsilane (1.2 equiv.) in the presence of iodine (10 mol%) at 0 °C in dichloromethane readily available, cheap iodine is the better candidate for being used as a catalyst when compared to other catalysts because it does not require stringent dry conditions. In case of aromatic aldehydes, substituent independent results were observed at 0 °C by using 10 mol% of iodine in dichloromethane while aliphatic aldehyde cinnamaldehyde offered the corresponding homoallyl benzyl ether in relatively low yields (Scheme 35).


Huh *et al.*⁵⁶ studied the stereoselectivity of nucleophilic addition of allylsilane to 3-azidoalkanal in the presence of chelating and non-chelating Lewis acid. Chelating Lewis acid TiCl_4 produced 1,3-*syn* product while non-chelating $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ gave 1,3-*anti* product (Scheme 36).

An *in situ* prepared complex of cerium triflate ($\text{Ce}(\text{OTf})_3$) (19 mol%) and chiral 2,6-bis(oxazoline-2-yl) pyridine (PyBox, 20 mol%) had been successfully used for the enantioselective allylation of aldehydes in the presence of a promoter TMSCl at room temperature.⁵⁷ TMSCl promoted oxophilicity of the complex. Homoallylic alcohols were furnished in good to excellent yields with excellent enantioselectivity (upto 99%) in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CHCl}_3$ in the presence of activated molecular sieves (4 Å). Other Lewis acids such as indium(III) triflate ($\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$), copper(II) triflate ($\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$), bismuth(III) triflate $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$ and zinc(II) triflate ($\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$) were also screened along with PyBox ligand for allylation of aldehydes, but they offered either low yield or lower enantioselectivity of homoallylic alcohols. Notably, the amount of PyBox ligand governed the yield as well as enantioselectivity of the product. In PyBox ligand, the substituents on the oxazoline moiety showed a crucial effect on catalytic activity. PyBox ligands bearing substituents having ability to exert larger electrostatic and steric stabilization enhanced the yield of homoallylic alcohols and their enantioselectivity. The protocol is compatible for aromatic as well as aliphatic aldehydes. Furthermore, in aromatic aldehydes nature and position of substituents influenced the yield and enantioselectivity of the products.

Zhou and co-workers⁵⁸ described allylation of isatin in presence of mercury(II) perchlorate trihydrate ($\text{Hg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) in dichloromethane at 25 °C. 1 mol% of $\text{Hg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ effectively catalyzed the reaction and 98% yield of 3-allyl-3-hydroxyoxindole was obtained in 4 h. The yield was diminished to 93% and 71% when catalyst loading was reduced to 0.3 mol% and 0.1 mol%, while time was increased to 12 h and 144 h, respectively. 1.0 mol% of (*S*)-BINAP/ $\text{Hg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was employed for enantioselective allylation of isatins and excellent yield of the product was observed with 63% ee at 0 °C in THF. In addition, tandem synthesis of 3-allyl-3(thio)ether oxindoles were achieved in presence of 2 mol% of $\text{Hg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in DCE at 70 °C in good yields.⁵⁹ Further they had



Scheme 36




Scheme 37

used a chiral complex of (*S*)-difluorophos and mercury(II) triflate ($\text{Hg}(\text{OTf})_2$) for allylation of isatins. 3-Allyl-3-hydroxyoxindoles were synthesized by using only 0.5–1.0 mol% of catalyst with upto 97% ee in dichloromethane at -10 °C (Scheme 37).⁶⁰

An unsubstituted isatin was allylated with 98% yield and 92% ee, while substituted isatins were allylated in the range of 75–98% yield with 78–88% ee. This implied that this protocol is substituent sensitive. Further, di(*p*-methoxyphenyl)phenylmethyl (DMTr) *N*-protected isatins were also allylated by using this catalysts system at -30 °C with good to high enantioselectivity.

Hanhan *et al.*⁶¹ investigated an enantioselective allylation of isatins utilizing chiral $\text{Sc}(\text{III})$ -Pybox catalyst along with TMSCl and sodium hexafluoroantimonate (NaSbF_6) as activator and additive, respectively. The enantioselectivity was achieved upto 99% ee in presence of 0.05 mol% catalyst at room temperature and the scalability was attained at 0.1 mol% catalyst loading. The high catalyst activity is attributed to the enhanced Lewis acidity due to the interaction of TMSCl with the metal–ligand complex. Several isatins underwent allylation in yields ranging from 72 to 99% with enantioselectivity from 80 to 91% ee, though substituents on isatins affect the reaction rate. An electron-deficient allylsilanes, allyltrichlorosilanes and allyltrimethoxysilane depicted very poor/no reactivity under the similar condition. While on addition of DMF as Lewis base additive, allyltrichlorosilane offered allylation product with 74% yield but without enantioselectivity. This could be ascribed to the silicon's increased Lewis acidity and formation of the six-membered closed transition state. This catalyst system is highly effective for various other substituted allylic silanes with



Scheme 35



reference to high efficiency and selectivity. Further, crotylation of isatin was accomplished with 95% yield and 96% ee.

3.2 Brønsted acid catalyzed allylation

On the background of rapid decomposition of allylsilanes by strong protic acids, Davis and Jaspars⁶² had fruitfully used 0.5–3.0 mol% superacid trifluoromethanesulfoxonium tetrakis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) boronate (TfOH₂ + B(OTf)₄) for allylation of aldehydes and ketones. Allyl alcohols were obtained regioselectively in high yields at room temperature in dichloromethane (Scheme 38).

Control experiment that had been carried out shed light on mechanism of the reaction. Proton released in the reaction of superacid with allylsilane initiated the reaction. Allylsilane could not quench the proton as its counterion B(OTf)₄[−] was highly non-nucleophilic and therefore unable to remove the Me₃Si group. Homoallyl alcohols of various aldehydes were obtained in good yields. However, the same was not observed in case of crotonaldehyde and cinnamaldehyde owing to the elimination of water or trimethyl silanol (Me₃SiOH), promoted by acidic condition. An enhancement in the yield of allylation of cyclohexanone was observed when temperature was changed from room temperature to −40 °C.


Gurmeet Kaur *et al.*⁶³ had productively used Brønsted acid bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide [HN(SO₂F)₂] for allylation of aldehydes as well as ketones. Several aldehydes underwent allylation when 5 mol% HN(SO₂F)₂ was used at 0 °C and offered homoallyl alcohols in good to excellent yields within 5 min, except for cinnamaldehyde. While ketones were allylated at lower temperature (−40 °C), acetophenone and cyclopentanone had remained unallylated. This implied selective allylation of

aldehydes in the presence of ketones. Authors have experimentally proved that HN(SO₂F)₂ acted only as a catalyst and neither caused protodesilylation of allylsilane nor formed TMSN(SO₂F)₂.


K. Ishihara *et al.*⁶⁴ had effectively prepared Me₃SiNTf₂ *in situ* by using triflylimide (HNTf₂) and eventually used it for allylation of aldehydes. A control experiment was carried out in which aldehyde was added in a drop-wise manner over a period of 2 h at −78 °C to a solution of allyltrimethylsilane and triflylimide (HNTf₂) in an appropriate solvent to enhance the selectivity. Yields of allyl alcohol (27), dimeric ethers (28) and cyclic trimers of aldehyde (29) were found to be dependent on the nature of aldehyde and solvent (Scheme 39) (Table 7). Moreover, other Lewis acids such as MeAlNTf₂, HN(SO₂F)₂, Me₃SiB(OTf)₄ could also be employed for this reaction but the best results were observed for Me₃SiNTf₂ catalyzed reaction.

Yamamoto and co-workers^{65,66} had developed polystyrene bound tetrafluorophenylbis(triflyl) methane as a strong Brønsted acid catalyst and successively employed it for homoallylation of aldehydes by using allyltrimethylsilane. This catalyst possessed characteristic features like swelling in the presence of nonpolar organic solvents and superior catalytic activity than conventional resin sulfonic acids. Owing to the heterogeneous nature of the catalyst, it could be quantitatively recovered by simple filtration and reused. It was synthesized by using poly(4-bromostyrene), 2% divinylbenzene (DVB) and lithium salt of 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenylbis(triflyl)methane (A) (Scheme 40).

List and co-workers⁶⁷ had reported 2,4-dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (DNBA) catalyzed three-component (*viz.* aldehyde, allylsilanes and silyl ether or alcohol) Hosomi–Sakurai reaction at room temperature in acetonitrile. Versatility of the protocol



Scheme 38



Scheme 39



Table 7 Effect of solvent on allylation of carbonyl compounds

Entry	R ¹ R ² C=O	Solvent	Isolated yield (%)		
			27	28	29
1	PhCHO	CH ₂ Cl ₂	89 (27a)	8 (28a)	0 (29a)
2	PhCHO	Et ₂ O ^a	98 (27a)	<2 (28a)	0 (29a)
3	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁ CHO	CH ₂ Cl ₂	92 (27b)	0 (28b)	<1 (29b)
4	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁ CHO	PhCl	82 (27b)	0 (28b)	<7 (29b)
5	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁ CHO	Et ₂ O	0 (27b)	0 (28b)	<95 (29b)
6	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CHO	CH ₂ Cl ₂	90 (27c)	0 (28c)	Trace (29c)
7	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CHO	PhCl ^b	84 (27c)	0 (28c)	Trace (29c)
8	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃ COMe	CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^c	89 (27d)	0 (28d)	0 (29d)
9	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃ COMe	PhCl ^d	85 (27d)	0 (28d)	0 (29d)
10	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₀ O	CH ₂ Cl ₂	91 (27e)	0 (28e)	0 (29e)
11	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₀ O	PhCl ^b	89 (27e)	0 (28e)	0 (29e)


^a The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 15 min (step 3).

^b The reaction was carried out at -40 °C (step 2 and 3). ^c The reaction was carried out at -20 °C (step 3). ^d The reaction was carried out at -40 °C (step 2) and 0 °C (step 3).


could be evidenced from the fact that the reaction worked well with aromatic, polycyclic aromatic, heteroaromatic, cyclic as well as aliphatic aldehydes to offer different silyl ethers and their alcohols. The advantages of this catalyst over other catalysts include its easy availability and handling, inexpensive, nontoxic, low catalyst loading and moisture tolerant nature. Wide range of homoallyl benzyl ethers were synthesized by using benzyloxytrimethylsilane (BnOTMS) or benzyl alcohol (BnOH), as easy cleavage of benzyl group enhanced their further synthetic applications. Benzyl alcohol was less effective than benzyloxytrimethylsilane as the catalyst loading and quantity of allylsilanes required for the reaction increased from 3 mol% to 5 mol% and 2 equiv. to 3 equiv., respectively. In spite of this, yield of the product had dropped down from 92% to 72% when benzaldehyde was used as a substrate.

Brønsted acid *N,N*-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)squaramide was prepared from squaric acid and was eventually used for allylation of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (Scheme 41).⁶⁸ Homoallyl alcohol was obtained in excellent yield (92%) by using 3 mol% of the catalyst at room temperature.


Brønsted acid supported ionic liquid phase (SILP) catalyst had been expended for the allylation of aldehydes with allyltrimethylsilane which after completion of reaction led to production of homoallylic alcohols.⁶⁹ The catalyst was prepared with pendant ferrocenyl group, which had been formed from 1-*N*-(ferrocenylmethyl) imidazole and Merrifield resin followed by anion metathesis with conc. H₂SO₄. Merrifield resin imparted



Scheme 41




Scheme 42



Scheme 43


chemical, thermal and mechanical stability to the catalyst due to its microporous structure. Ferrocene promoted unsymmetrical charge distribution on the imidazole ring which led to increase of micropolarity of Brønsted SILP catalyst. Consequently, enhancement in the rate of reaction was observed. The reaction worked well for various electron-rich and electron-deficient functional group substituted benzaldehydes and also for heteroaromatics such as furfuraldehyde and thiophene-2-aldehyde with 2 equiv. of allyltrimethylsilane. The heterogeneous catalyst was separated from reaction mixture by simple filtration and further reused for five more reaction cycles. Nearly consistent performance was experienced.

Silica supported perchloric acid had effectively promoted allylation of aldehydes.⁷⁰ Optimum yields of homoallyl benzyl ethers were obtained by using acetonitrile and dichloromethane in 7 : 3 ratio in the presence of 2 mol% of catalyst at room temperature (Scheme 42). Nature of substituents as well



Scheme 40





Scheme 44

as their position on aryl ring did not affect yield of the product whereas acid sensitive group like CN remained unaffected.

p-Anisaldehyde afforded 1 : 1 mixture of homoallyl ether and dialkyl derivative (Scheme 43).

However, *o*-anisaldehyde exclusively offered homoallyl ether reasonably due to the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the methoxy and benzyloxy group which may have suppressed the formation of compound **33** (Scheme 44).


It is worth to note that polycyclic aromatic aldehydes, heteroaromatic aldehydes and α,β -unsaturated aldehydes effectively underwent allylation and offered the products in quantitative yields. However, aliphatic aldehydes could not undergo allylation presumably due to the instability of oxocarbenium ion intermediate.

Similarly, silica supported silicomolybdc acid (50 wt%) rendered homoallyl ether of various carbonyl compounds which include aromatic, polycyclic aromatic, heteroaromatic and aliphatic aldehydes as well as aliphatic and cyclic ketones.³² Low catalyst loading and its recyclability and reusability made this protocol more attractive from green chemistry perspective. The reaction worked well at room temperature as compared to high

temperature, as it causes hydrolysis of acetal. Intriguingly, *p*-anisaldehyde offered diallyl compound exclusively, most likely because of the effective delocalization of lone pair of methoxy group. The position of carbonyl ion in heteroaromatic aldehyde governed yield of the product. While α,β -unsaturated aldehydes underwent selective allylation at 1,2-position.

Masahiro Sai and Hisashi Yamamoto⁷¹ had successfully synthesized chiral BINOL based thiophosphoramidate bearing the *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) onto the *ortho* aromatic substituents and the perfluorooctyl substituent onto the sulfonyl group as a strong Brønsted acid and then used it as an effective catalyst for the asymmetric allylation of benzaldehydes. This various functional group tolerated protocol offered highly enantioselective product.

Very recently, Benjamin List and co-workers⁷² had wisely designed and synthesized BINOL-derived imidodiphosphorimidate (IDPi) derivatives and used for allylation of aromatic as well as aliphatic aldehydes. Notably, low catalyst loading (0.05–2.0 mol%), high yield of the homoallyl alcohols, low temperature and high enantioselectivity are the striking features of their protocol.



Scheme 45



allyltrimethylsilane under mild reaction conditions without highly sensitive and toxic catalyst.

Acknowledgements

Department of Science and Technology – Science and Engineering Research Board (DST-SERB), Govt. of India is gratefully acknowledged for financial support (sanction no. SB/FT/CS-147/2013). The authors thank Prof. A. K. Srivastava, Director National Centre for Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, University of Mumbai for his generous support.

References

- For recent reviews, see: (a) Y. Yamamoto and N. Asao, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 2207–2293; (b) W. R. Roush, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, editor C. H. Heathcock, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 2, pp. 1–53; (c) I. Fleming, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, editor C. H. Heathcock, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 2, pp. 563–593; (d) C. E. Masse and J. S. Panek, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 1293–1316; (e) Y. Nishigaichi, A. Takuwa, Y. Naruta and K. Maruyama, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 7395–7426.
- (a) M. Yasuda, T. Fujibayashi, I. Shibata, A. Baba, H. Matsuda and N. Sonoda, *Chem. Lett.*, 1995, **24**, 167–168; (b) V. J. Jephcote and E. J. Thomas, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 5327–5330; (c) Y. Yamamoto, H. Yatagai, Y. Naruta and K. Maruyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7107–7109; (d) Y. Yamamoto, H. Yatagai, Y. Ishihara, N. Maeda and K. Maruyama, *Tetrahedron*, 1984, **40**, 2239–2246; (e) V. J. Jephcote, A. J. Pratt and E. J. Thomas, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 800–802; (f) A. Yanagisawa, M. Morodome, H. Nakashima and H. Yamamoto, *Synlett*, 1997, 1309–1311; (g) A. Yanagisawa, H. Inoue, M. Morodome and H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 10356–10357.
- (a) C. Dong-Li and L. Chao-Jun, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 295–298; (b) T.-H. Chan and C.-J. Li, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, **40**, 747–748; (c) E. Kim, D. M. Gordon, W. Schmid and G. M. Whitesides, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 5500–5507; (d) C. J. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 2023–2035; (e) For an excellent book, see: ; C. J. Li and T. H. Chan, in *Organic Reaction in Aqueous Media*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1997, p. 75 and references therein.
- For some leading references, see: (a) W. R. Roush, K. Ando, D. B. Powers, A. D. Palkowitz and R. L. Halterman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 6339–6348; (b) W. R. Roush, A. D. Palkowitz and K. Ando, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 6348–6359; (c) E. J. Corey, C. M. Yu and S. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 5495–5496; (d) E. Corey and H.-C. Huang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 5235–5238 and references therein.
- (a) A. Hosomi, A. Shirahata and H. Sakurai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, **19**, 3043–3046; (b) T. K. Sarkar and N. H. Andersen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, **19**, 3513–3516; (c) R. Calas, J. Dunogues, G. Deleris and F. Piscioti, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1974, **69**, C15–C17; (d) G. Deleris, J. Dunogues and R. Calas, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1975, **93**, 43–50; (e) E. W. Abel and R. J. Rowley, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1975, **84**, 199–229; (f) A. Hosomi and H. Sakurai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, **17**, 1295–1298.
- For reviews, see: (a) G. G. Furin, O. A. Vyazankina, B. A. Gostevsky and N. S. Vyazankin, *Tetrahedron*, 1988, **44**, 2675–2749; (b) H. Sakurai, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1985, **57**, 1759–1770; (c) H. Sakurai, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1982, **54**, 1–22; (d) A. Hosomi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1988, **21**, 200–206.
- A. Hosomi, M. Endo and H. Sakurai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1976, **5**, 941–942.
- A. Hosomi, M. Endo and H. Sakurai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1978, **7**, 499–502.
- I. Ojima and M. Kumagai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1978, **7**, 575–578.
- T. Tsunoda, M. Suzuki and R. Noyori, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1980, **21**, 71–74.
- H. M. Zerth, N. M. Leonard and R. S. Mohan, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 55–57.
- H. Sakurai, K. Sasaki and A. Hosomi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, **22**, 745–748.
- T. Mukaiyama, H. Nagaoka, M. Murakami and M. Ohshima, *Chem. Lett.*, 1985, **14**, 977–980.
- S. E. Denmark and T. M. Willson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 3475–3476.
- T. K. Hollis, N. P. Robinson, J. Whelan and B. Bosnich, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 4309–4312.
- A. Trehan, A. Viji, M. Walia, G. Kaur, R. D. Vermar and S. Trehan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 7335–7338.
- M. El Gihani and H. Heaney, *Synlett*, 1993, 433–434.
- H. Mayr, G. Gorath and B. Bauer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1994, **33**, 788–789.
- M. Kamata, Y. Yokoyama, N. Karasawa, M. Kato and E. Hasegawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 3483–3486.
- M. Kamata, S. Nagai, M. Kato and E. Hasegawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 7779–7782.
- A. Ishii, O. Kotera, T. Saeki and K. Mikami, *Synlett*, 1997, 1145–1146.
- N. Komatsu, M. Uda, H. Suzuki, T. Takahashi, T. Domae and M. Wada, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 7215–7218.
- J. S. Yadav, B. V. S. Reddy and P. Srihari, *Synlett*, 2001, 673–675.
- L. C. Wieland, H. M. Zerth and R. S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 4597–4600.
- T. Watahiki, Y. Akabane, A. Seiji Mori and T. Oriyama, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 3045–3048.
- M. E. Jung and A. Maderna, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 5301–5304.
- M. E. Jung and A. Maderna, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 7755–7757.
- S. Arai, Y. Sudo and A. Nishida, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 4639–4642.
- M. J. Spafford, E. D. Anderson, J. R. Lacey, A. C. Palma and R. S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 8665–8667.
- D. Kampen and B. List, *Synlett*, 2006, 2589–2592.
- M. Barbero, S. Bazzi, S. Cadamuro, S. Dughera and C. Piccinini, *Synthesis*, 2010, 315–319.



- 32 K. Murugan, S. Srimurugan and C. Chen, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, **67**, 5621–5629.
- 33 S. B. Kamble, S. H. Shinde and C. V. Rode, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 4039–4047.
- 34 M. Kawai, M. Onaka and Y. Izumi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1986, **15**, 381–384.
- 35 S. Ito, A. Hayashi, H. Komai, Y. Kubota and M. Asami, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 4243–4245.
- 36 A. Hosomi and H. Sakurai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, **17**, 1295–1298.
- 37 G. Deleris, J. Dunoguès and R. Calas, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, **17**, 2449–2450.
- 38 A. Hosomi, A. Shirahata and H. Sakurai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, **19**, 3043–3046.
- 39 H. Sakurai, K. Sasaki, J. Hayashi and A. Hosomi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, **49**, 2808–2809.
- 40 T. Mukaiyama, M. Ohshima and N. Miyoshi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1987, **16**, 1121–1124.
- 41 A. Mekhalfia and I. E. Markó, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 4779–4782.
- 42 A. P. Davis and M. Jaspars, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1992, **31**, 470–471.
- 43 H. Maeta, T. Nagasawa, Y. Handa, T. Takei, Y. Osamura and K. Suzuki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 899–902.
- 44 V. K. Aggarwal and G. P. Vennall, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 3745–3746.
- 45 V. K. Aggarwal and G. P. Vennall, *Synthesis*, 1998, 1822–1826.
- 46 Y. Yang, M. Wang and D. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1651–1652.
- 47 K. Nakamura, H. Nakamura and Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 2614–2615.
- 48 M. W. Wang, Y. J. Chen and D. Wang, *Synlett*, 2000, 385–387.
- 49 Y. Onishi, T. Ito, M. Yasuda and A. Baba, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **2002**, 1578–1581.
- 50 Y. Onishi, T. Ito, M. Yasuda and A. Baba, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 8227–8235.
- 51 J. S. Yadav, P. K. Chand and S. Anjaneyulu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 3783–3784.
- 52 T. Watahiki and T. Oriyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 8959–8962.
- 53 P. W. Anzalone, A. R. Baru, E. M. Danielson, P. D. Hayes, M. P. Nguyen, A. F. Panico, R. C. Smith and R. S. Mohan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 2091–2096.
- 54 P. W. Anzalone and R. S. Mohan, *Synthesis*, 2005, 2661–2663.
- 55 D. Kataki and P. Phukan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 1958–1960.
- 56 C. W. Huh, C. Schroeder, G. Singh and J. Aubé, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 3160–3165.
- 57 S. Zhao, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, H. Yang, Y. Huang, K. Zhang and T. Du, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 7734–7737.
- 58 Z.-Y. Cao, Y. Zhang, C.-B. Ji and J. Zhou, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 6398–6401.
- 59 F. Zhu, F. Zhou, Z.-Y. Cao, C. Wang, Y.-X. Zhang, C. H. Wang and J. Zhou, *Synthesis*, 2012, **44**, 3129–3144.
- 60 Z. Y. Cao, J. S. Jiang and J. Zhou, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 5500–5504.
- 61 N. V. Hanhan, Y. C. Tang, N. T. Tran and A. K. Franz, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 2218–2221.
- 62 A. P. Davis and M. Jaspars, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1990, **54**, 1176–1178.
- 63 G. Kaur, K. Manju and S. Trehan, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 581–582.
- 64 K. Ishihara, Y. Hiraiwa and H. Yamamoto, *Synlett*, 2001, 1851–1854.
- 65 K. Ishihara, A. Hasegawa and H. Yamamoto, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 4077–4079.
- 66 K. Ishihara, A. Hasegawa and H. Yamamoto, *Synlett*, 2002, 1299–1301.
- 67 D. Kampen, A. Ladépêche, G. Claßen and B. List, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 962–966.
- 68 C. H. Cheon and H. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 4257–4264.
- 69 G. Rashinkar, S. Kamble, A. Kumbhar and R. Salunkhe, *Catal. Commun.*, 2011, **12**, 1442–1447.
- 70 K. Murugan and C. Chen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 5827–5830.
- 71 M. Sai and H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7091–7094.
- 72 P. S. J. Kaib, L. Schreyer, S. Lee, R. Properzi and B. List, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 13200–13203.
- 73 S. Ito, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Kubota and M. Asami, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 2967–2969.
- 74 M. R. Dintzner, Y. A. Mondjinou and B. Unger, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 6639–6641.

