The role of interactions between abrasive particles and the substrate surface in chemical-mechanical planarization of Si-face 6H-SiC
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The interactions between abrasive particles and the wafer surface play a significant role in the chemical-mechanical planarization (CMP) process. The influence of interactions between silica or ceria nanoparticles and the substrate surface on the CMP of Si-face (0001) 6H-SiC in different slurries with varied pH values was investigated using zeta potential measurements, SEM observations, friction tests, polishing experiments and XPS analysis. Meanwhile, the interaction forces between the substrate surfaces and the abrasive nanoparticles were also estimated using the Derjaguin–Landau–Verwey–Overbeek (DLVO) theory. Silica particles are prone to adhere to the Si-face 6H-SiC surface below pH 5 and are repelled above pH 5, while ceria particles tend to adhere to the similarly charged and oppositely charged 6H-SiC surfaces. This can be attributed to the fact that the ceria particle possesses a chemical tooth and Si–O–Ce bonds are formed between ceria particles and the 6H-SiC surface. The friction coefficient and material removal rate during the CMP of 6H-SiC could be reduced significantly by the adhesion of silica particles on the 6H-SiC surface resulting from the electrostatic interaction at pH 2 and 4, while this phenomenon was not observed when ceria particles were adsorbed. The XPS analysis indicated that more oxidized species (e.g. Si–C–O, Si–O2–C, Si–O2, Si4–C4–S–O2, Si4–C4–O4 and C–O) were formed during immersion in aq. KMnO4 solution. Finally, an ideal electrostatic interaction between the abrasive particles and the 6H-SiC substrate surface during the CMP process was proposed.

1. Introduction

A silicon carbide (SiC) single crystal has been considered to be an ideal material for high-temperature and high-frequency optoelectronic devices because of its high breakdown voltage, good electron mobility, wide band gap and excellent thermal conductivity. A flat and damage-free substrate surface is crucial for its applications and can potentially be achieved using CMP processes.1–4 However, it is difficult to obtain this desired surface with good material removal rates (MRR), due to its unique properties (e.g. high hardness and chemical stability).

Substantial research efforts have been made to improve the MRR of SiC single-crystal substrates with minimally damaged surfaces during the CMP process using silica/ceria abrasives.5–10 It was suggested that surface oxidation was essential for the CMP of the SiC substrate. It was initially proposed that the OH− group weakens the Si–C bonds and increases the chemical reaction rate of the surface atoms during the CMP process.11 Yagi et al. analyzed the 4H-SiC surface after it had been dipped in a mixture of FeSO4 and H2O2 solution for 3 h and found that the C-plane was more easily oxidized than the Si-plane. They continued by reporting that the oxidized product could be removed by dissolving it in potassium hydroxide solution.12 Furthermore, Li et al. explored a two-step electrochemical mechanical planarization (ECMP) process to polish the Si-face 4H-SiC substrate. The SiC substrate surface first underwent anodic oxidation by hydrogen peroxide using potassium nitrate as an electrolyte, then it was polished with colloidal silica slurries at pH 10 to remove the oxide layer.13 Deng et al. investigated a ceria-slurry-based ECMP process in which anodic oxidation and abrasive polishing were combined efficiently to flatten single-crystal SiC substrates.14 However, the polishing mechanism of the SiC substrate during the CMP process was not fully understood, involving electrostatic interactions between the abrasives and the 6H-SiC substrate. The interactions between the slurry particles and the wafer surface affect the MRR of the wafer during the CMP process. Meanwhile, the adhesion of abrasive particles to polished surfaces may cause contaminants and defects. Volkov et al. studied the influence of the adhesion of silica and ceria abrasive nanoparticles on the CMP of silica surfaces and found that high adhesion was associated with a higher number of defects. They went on to report that higher adhesion correlated with higher removal rates when polishing with ceria slurries.15 Lu et al. reported that the polishing efficiency of the substrate was strongly affected by the attractiveness of the slurry particles to the polished surface.16 Abiade et al. found that a high removal rate was
related to the actual participating abrasives during the CMP process and that the agglomeration of slurry particles could lead to a high number of surface defects.\textsuperscript{17} Sreeremya \emph{et al.} revealed that the material removal and the final surface quality were affected mostly by the morphology of the ceria-based abrasives and that the quality of the polished surface could be improved by improving the dispersion of the abrasives.\textsuperscript{18} Furthermore, the interaction between the abrasives and the polished surface could be changed by modifying the surface charge of the abrasives and the wafer to influence the material removal during the CMP process.\textsuperscript{19,20} Lagudu \emph{et al.} studied the influence of the ionic strength on material removal during the CMP of amorphous SiC film using silica slurries and suggested that the electrostatic repulsion between the silica abrasive and the SiC film was reduced by the addition of an ionic compound, thereby increasing the material removal.\textsuperscript{21} Therefore, the MRR of the SiC substrate could be increased, surface defects might be reduced and contaminants could be avoided, through a fundamental understanding of the role of the interactions between the abrasive particles and the wafer surface. Though there are few studies reported on the effect of particle type, slurry pH and oxidant,\textsuperscript{22–24} no detailed studies have been conducted on the role of the interaction between SiO\textsubscript{2} or CeO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles and the surface in the CMP of SiC single crystal substrates.

In this paper, the electrostatic interactions between the abrasive particles (silica and ceria) and the 6H-SiC substrate surfaces were studied by measuring the zeta potential of the abrasives in slurries with varied pH values. Also the adhesion of particles to the 6H-SiC polished surfaces in different slurries with varied pH values were observed using scanning electron microscope (SEM) measurements, and the attractive/repulsive forces between the charged silica/ceria nanoparticles and the 6H-SiC wafer surfaces in aqueous solution were calculated using the classical Derjaguin–Landau–Verwey–Overbeek (DLVO) theory model, which accounts for van der Waals interactions and electrostatic double layer interactions. Furthermore, the influence of the adhesion of abrasive particles to the wafer surfaces in the absence and presence of KMnO\textsubscript{4} on the friction coefficients during the CMP of Si-face 6H-SiC was also studied through friction tests. The effect of KMnO\textsubscript{4} on the surface oxidation of Si-face 6H-SiC was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Finally, the role of interaction between the abrasives and surfaces on the CMP performance of Si-face 6H-SiC substrates is discussed, based on the zeta potential, SEM images, DLVO theoretical predictions, friction coefficient, polishing experiments and XPS analysis.

2. Materials and experimental methods

2.1 Materials

Commercially available n-type, 2-inch diameter Si-face (0001) 6H-SiC single crystal wafers (TanKeBlue Semiconductor Co. Ltd., Beijing, China) were used in the experiments. Silica abrasives ($d_{\text{mean}} \sim 80$ nm, 30 wt%, Jingrui New materials Co. Ltd., Xuancheng, China) and ceria abrasives ($d_{\text{mean}} \sim 120$ nm, 30 wt%, Chuangyuan New materials Co. Ltd., Suzhou, China) were obtained as colloidal dispersions and diluted to use at different particle concentrations. These two abrasive nanoparticles were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL-2100, Japan), as shown in Fig. 1. The chemical additives, potassium hydroxide (KOH, \(\geq 85\%\)), nitric acid (aq. HNO\textsubscript{3}, 70\%) and potassium permanganate (KMnO\textsubscript{4}, \(\geq 99\%\)) were supplied by China National Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and used without further purification. In this study, the concentrations of silica particles and ceria particles were maintained at 6 wt\% and 2 wt\%, respectively, based on the results of orthogonal experiments in our previous work.

2.2 Sample preparation

Before testing, the 6H-SiC wafer was pre-polished with slurries containing only 6 wt\% colloidal silica at pH 8 to remove the native oxide layer on the as-received 6H-SiC substrate surface. During the dipping process, the SiC samples were dipped in four different slurries (6 wt\% silica, 6 wt\% silica + 0.05 M KMnO\textsubscript{4}, 2 wt\% ceria, 2 wt\% ceria + 0.05 M KMnO\textsubscript{4}) for 2 min at pH values of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10, respectively. Then, these dipped
samples were sonicated in DI water with the same pH value as their dipped slurries for 10 min. Finally, all these samples were dried in air. The slurries were obtained by mixing chemical additives with deionized water, then adding abrasive particles to this solution and stirring for 10 min. The pH values of the slurries were adjusted by nitric acid or potassium hydroxide. For XPS analysis, SiC samples were first oxidized by immersion into the KMnO₄ (0.05 M) solution at pH 6 for 3 h. To dissolve the oxide formed above, the sample was further dipped in a solution of KOH at pH 12 for 3 h. These samples were subsequently rinsed with DI water and dried in air.

2.3 Polishing of 6H-SiC substrates

The polishing experiments were performed on a 1200S polishing machine (Kejing Auto-Instrument Co., Ltd, Shenyang, China) using an IC-1000 pad (Dow Electronic Materials, New-ark, DE). Each SiC substrate was polished for 20 min at 4 psi down pressure with a platen/carrier speed of 80/80 rpm and a slurry flow rate of 90 ml min⁻¹, then polished with ultrapure water for 1 min to remove any particles left on the polished surface. The polished pad was ex situ conditioned with a diamond grit conditioner. The MRR was calculated from the weight loss of the SiC substrate before and after polishing. The surface topography was characterized by atomic force microscopy (AFM, Rtec instruments, USA).

2.4 Friction test

The friction tests were performed on an Rtec tribometer (MFT 5000, Rtec instruments, USA) using the pin-on-disc technique. During the tests (n = 3), the 2-inch Si-face 6H-SiC substrate was fixed in a plastic container, which was driven by an electric rotator at a speed of 2 rpm and reciprocated in the X direction. A polyurethane pad (IC1000, Dow Electronic Materials) with a diameter of 5 mm was stuck to a stainless steel pin and pressed against the 6H-SiC substrate which was immersed in different slurries. The tests were conducted under a load of 4 psi for 5 min with a reciprocating amplitude of 10 mm and a frequency of 2 Hz. Before each test, the 6H-SiC substrate was pre-polished with 6 wt% SiO₂ at pH 8 for 5 min and each pad was used only once. All these experiments were conducted at room temperature.

2.5 Characterization techniques

The zeta potentials of colloidal silica and ceria particles in DI water in the pH range from 2 to 11 were measured using a zeta plus particle apparatus (Nano-ZS, Malvern). The adhesion of nanoparticles to the wafer surfaces was characterized by SEM (Hitachi SU8020, Japan). All XPS experiments were completed on an RBD upgraded PHI-5000C ESCA system (PerkinElmer) with Mg Kα radiation (hv = 1253.6 eV) or Al Kα radiation (hv = 1486.6 eV). The X-ray anode was operated at 250 W, the high voltage was maintained at 14.0 kV with a detection angle at 54° and the base pressure of the analyzer chamber was around 5 × 10⁻⁶ Pa.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Zeta potential

Electrostatic interactions between the abrasive particles and the SiC surface play an important role in particle adhesion during the CMP process. To investigate these electrostatic interactions, zeta potentials of the silica and ceria particles were measured over the pH range from 2 to 11, as shown in Fig. 2. As is well known, the zeta potentials of silica particles in DI water are negative in the pH range 2–11, and the absolute value of the (negative) zeta potential increases with an increase of pH value from 2 to 7, then it tends to be stable from pH 8 to 11. The zeta potentials of ceria particles in DI water, by contrast, were positive when pH < 7 and negative when pH > 8. The absolute value of the zeta potential decreased from pH 2 to 7, then increased from pH 8 to 11. The iso-electric point (IEP) of ceria in DI water was found at around pH 7, similar to the reported values around pH 6–8. In addition, the IEP of SiC in DI water was around pH 5. Therefore, silica particles are expected to adhere to the SiC surface in the pH range 2–5, while ceria particles are likely to adhere to the SiC surface in the pH range 5–7.

3.2 SEM measurements

The adhesion of the silica and ceria particles to the pre-polished 6H-SiC surfaces in four different slurries with five pH values (pH 2, pH 4, pH 6, pH 8 and pH 10) were observed using SEM. The SEM image of the as-received 6H-SiC substrate clearly showed that there were no particles on the 6H-SiC surface, as shown in Fig. 3. After dipping in silica based slurries (6 wt% silica), a number of silica particles were present on the 6H-SiC surface at pH 2 (Fig. 4a) and pH 4 (Fig. 4b) and no particles were present at pH 6 (Fig. 4c), pH 8 (Fig. 4d) or pH 10 (Fig. 4e). These observations were consistent with the analysis of zeta potentials (Fig. 2). Since the surfaces of the silica particles and the 6H-SiC substrate were oppositely charged below pH 5, they were expected to attract each other at pH 2 and pH 4, and the silica particles appear to be distributed on the 6H-SiC surface. On the
other hand, the silica particles and the 6H-SiC surface were expected to repel each other at pH 6, pH 8 and pH 10, due to having the same charge above pH 5.

Fig. 5 shows the SEM images of the 6H-SiC substrates dipped in silica based slurries in the presence of KMnO₄. It can be seen that a lot of aggregated silica particles adhered to the 6H-SiC surface at pH 2 (Fig. 5a) and pH 4 (Fig. 5b), there were a few aggregated silica particles at pH 6 (Fig. 5c) and no aggregated silica particles at pH 8 (Fig. 5d) or pH 10 (Fig. 5e). This can be attributed to the fact that the aggregation of silica particles was accelerated by adding KMnO₄ and would be affected by a more heterogeneous surface. If the surface oxidation was enhanced by KMnO₄, the attractive interaction between silica particles and the oxidized surface would be weakened, and the amount of absorbed particles would also be reduced. However, from Fig. 4 and 5, it can clearly be seen that the amount of aggregated particles did not decrease at pH 2 and pH 4, suggesting that the aggregation might not be influenced by the surface heterogeneity. Due to the good stability of silica particles and the large repulsive force between silica particles and the substrate surface at high pH values, it was hard to observe any aggregated silica particles on the dipped 6H-SiC surface at pH 8 and pH 10.
The adhesion of ceria particles to the 6H-SiC surface at varied pH values, by contrast, was different from that of silica particles, as shown in Fig. 6. It can be clearly seen that a large number of ceria particles attached to the 6H-SiC surface at pH 2 (Fig. 6a) and pH 4 (Fig. 6b), fewer at pH 6 (Fig. 6c) and pH 10 (Fig. 6e), and many fewer at pH 8 (Fig. 6d). According to the analysis of zeta potentials, the ceria particles were expected to be repelled by the same charged 6H-SiC surface below pH 5 and above pH 8. However, a lot of ceria particles still existed on the 6H-SiC surface at pH 2, pH 4 and pH 10. This might be because the ceria particles possess a chemical tooth and the Si–O–Ce bonds were formed between ceria particles and the 6H-SiC surface. A similar phenomenon was observed on the 6H-SiC surfaces dipped in ceria based slurries in the presence of KMnO₄, as shown in Fig. 7.

3.3 DLVO interactions

To estimate the repulsive/attractive forces between a charged 6H-SiC plate and a charged silica or ceria particle, the DLVO interactions were considered.

The total DLVO of the plate–sphere interaction energy ($W_T$) is given by

$$W_T = W_E + W_A$$  \hspace{.5cm} (1)

The energies of electrostatic interaction between the surface of a plate and a spherical layer ($W_E$) are calculated using constant charge condition as

$$W_E = -\frac{\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon_0 R (\psi_1 + \psi_2)^2 \ln(1 - \exp(-\kappa D))}{\kappa} + (\psi_1 + \psi_2)^2 \ln(1 + \exp(-\kappa D))$$  \hspace{.5cm} (2)

where $\varepsilon$ (≈8.854) is the relative dielectric constant of water, $\varepsilon_0$ (≈8.854 x 10⁻¹² C V⁻¹ m⁻¹) is the permittivity of vacuum, $R$ is the particle diameter, $\psi_1$ or $\psi_2$ is the surface potential, $\kappa$ is the separation distance, $\kappa$ is the inverse Debye length.

The energies of van der Waals interaction ($W_A$) can be calculated according to

$$W_A = -A_{132}R/6D$$  \hspace{.5cm} (3)

Fig. 5 The SEM images of the 6H-SiC substrate dipped in silica + KMnO₄ based slurries at (a) pH 2, (b) pH 4, (c) pH 6, (d) pH 8 and (e) pH 10.
where \( A_{132} \) is the Hamaker constant of two adjacent materials 1 and 2, interacting across medium 3, and can be calculated according to\(^4^9\)

\[
A_{132} = (A_{131} A_{232})^{1/2}
\]

The Hamaker constants for the 6H-SiC–water–silica and 6H-SiC–water–ceria interactions were calculated to be \( 3.3 \times 10^{-20} \) J and \( 8.5 \times 10^{-20} \) J, respectively.\(^4^0\)–\(^4^2\)

The total force (\( F_T \)) between the plate and the sphere is defined as\(^4^3\)

\[
F_T = -dW_T/dD
\]

According to eqn (1)–(3) and (5), the force/R-separation distance between the 6H-SiC surface and the silica or ceria particle under different environments can be modeled. In this study, it was assumed that the charged particles were spherical and that the surface potentials were equal to the zeta potentials of the surface. The background electrolyte was considered to be 1–1 type with a concentration of \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) M. The zeta potentials of SiC were set as 30 mV at pH 4, −56 mV at pH 6, −96 mV at pH 8 and −125 mV at pH 10, respectively. Fig. 8 shows the theoretical DLVO forces between the 6H-SiC surface and a silica particle in \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) M KNO\(_3\) solutions at different pH values. It can be clearly seen that an attractive electrostatic interaction was present at pH 4, due to the attraction induced by the oppositely charged surfaces between SiC and silica. While at high pH levels (pH = 6, 8, 10), the repulsive force played a dominant role at long separation distances, and it went up with an increase in pH values. At short separations, the force changed to attractive, which can be understood by combining the electrostatic force and the van der Waals force (vdW force). At high pH values, the surfaces of SiC and silica were both negatively charged. Therefore, a repulsive electrostatic interaction existed between the similarly charged SiC and silica surfaces at longer separation distances. While at a short
Distance, the vdW force was dominant and the total interaction force became attractive. An attractive force between the surfaces of 6H-SiC and ceria particles in $1 \times 10^{-3}$ M KNO₃ solutions was clearly seen at pH 6 over the whole range of separation distances, as shown in Fig. 9. This was in good agreement with the attractive interaction between the negatively charged SiC surface and the positively charged ceria surface. However, when the surfaces of SiC and ceria had the same charges at pH values of 4, 8 and 10, the total forces were repulsive at long separation distances and became attractive at short distances due to the increasing vdW force.

The theoretical predictions of DLVO forces between the surfaces of the 6H-SiC substrate and the silica nanoparticles were in good agreement with the experimental observations. Discrepancies were noted between the theoretical predictions and experimental observations for ceria nanoparticles. This may be due to the existence of non-DLVO interactions between the surfaces of the ceria particles and the 6H-SiC plate, such as the chemical tooth of the ceria particle and the Si–O–Ce bonds formed between ceria particles and the 6H-SiC surface.⁴ The adhesion force of silica nanoparticles (about 5–12 nN) to the silica surface was reported to be noticeably higher than that of ceria nanoparticles (about 0.5–1.5 nN) at different pH values using the AFM-cantilever approach method.¹¹ Therefore, the adhesion of the ceria nanoparticles to the 6H-SiC surface resulting from the non-DLVO force was negligibly small.

3.4 Friction coefficient

In order to further understand the influence of the abrasives’ adhesion to the wafer surface in the absence and presence of KMnO₄ during the CMP of Si-face 6H-SiC substrate, the friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface were investigated through friction tests. Fig. 10 shows the friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in DI water or KMnO₄ solution in a pH range of 2–10. It can clearly be seen that the friction coefficient in DI water was higher than that in KMnO₄ solution. The friction
The normalized forces between the surfaces of 6H-SiC and silica particles as a function of separation distance at different pH values. The surface potentials of the SiC plate and silica particles are 30 and −32 mV at pH 4, −56 and −37 mV at pH 6, −96 and −42 mV at pH 8, −125 and −41 mV at pH 10, respectively. The Hamaker constant is $3.3 \times 10^{-20}$ J and the background electrolyte is 1 mM KNO$_3$.

The friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in DI water and KMnO$_4$ solution in pH range 2–10.

The friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in the silica based slurries in the absence and presence of KMnO$_4$ in a pH range of 2–10.

The friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in the ceria based slurries in the absence and presence of KMnO$_4$ in a pH range of 2–10.

coefficient in KMnO$_4$ solution increased dramatically from pH 2 to pH 6, then fell slowly from pH 6 to 10. The lowest friction coefficient in KMnO$_4$ solution was 0.13 at pH 2, which indicated that a new oxide layer might be formed on the 6H-SiC substrate surface.

Fig. 11 compares the friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in the silica based slurries in the absence and presence of KMnO$_4$ in a pH range of 2–10. The particularly low friction coefficients in the silica based slurries were 0.10 at pH 2 and 0.07 at pH 4, indicating that the silica abrasives acted as ball bearings between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface. Higher friction coefficients were obtained at pH 6, pH 8 and pH 10, due to the fact that the silica abrasives acted as ball bearings between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface.
particles were expected to repel the 6H-SiC surface in the pH range 6 to 10. A similar trend was also observed for the friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in the silica based slurries containing KMnO4 in a pH range of 2–10.

Fig. 12 demonstrates the friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface immersed in the ceria based slurries in the absence and presence of KMnO4 over pH values from 2 to 10. It can be seen that the friction coefficient lubricated by the ceria based slurries increased steadily from pH 2 to pH 8 and then decreased at pH 10, which is in accordance with the adhesion of ceria particles to the 6H-SiC surface from pH 2 to 10, suggesting that the adhered ceria particles played a role as lubricant. However, the change in the friction coefficient lubricated by the ceria based slurries containing KMnO4 over pH values from 2 to 10 showed a different trend. It reached a maximum value of 0.21 at pH 2 and then showed no significant change from pH 4 to 10. A higher shear force might be created by the adhered ceria particles on the oxidized surface during mechanical action, thus increasing the friction coefficient.

Fig. 13 shows the MRR of the 6H-SiC substrates polished with silica-based slurries and ceria-based slurries in the presence of KMnO4 at pH 2, 4 and 10.

Fig. 14 AFM images of the 6H-SiC surfaces polished with silica + KMnO4 based slurries at (a) pH 2, (b) pH 4, (c) pH 10 and with ceria + KMnO4 based slurries at (d) pH 2, (e) pH 4, (f) pH 10.
It can be seen from the above results that there were some positive correlations between adhesion and friction in the absence of KMnO₄. Although the silica and ceria nanoparticles were different in shape and size, they both showed a relatively lower friction coefficient when adhered to the 6H-SiC surfaces. However, when tested in the presence of the KMnO₄, there was a noticeable difference in friction coefficients between these two kinds of particles. A considerable increase in friction coefficients could be seen when polished with ceria based slurries containing KMnO₄ at pH 2 and pH 4, whereas it rose slightly when polished with silica based slurries containing KMnO₄ at the same pH values. This could be due to their different electrostatic interactions with the 6H-SiC plate. An attractive force was present between the negatively charged silica particles and the positively charged 6H-SiC surface below pH 5, while the positively charged ceria particles showed a repulsive force. These attracted silica particles hindered the tribochemical reactions between the pad and the wafer surface. In contrast, those adhered ceria particles showed only a negligibly small non-DLVO adhesion force to the wafer surface and this force had less effect on the tribochemical interactions between the pad and the wafer surface. Furthermore, owing to the chemical tooth and the Si–O–Ce bonds, a higher shear force might be created by the adhered ceria particles on the oxidized 6H-SiC surface during the mechanical action, especially in a strongly acid KMnO₄ environment, thus increasing the friction coefficient.

3.5 Polishing of Si-face 6H-SiC substrates

Fig. 13 compares the MRR of the 6H-SiC substrates polished with silica-based slurries and ceria-based slurries in the presence of KMnO₄ at pH 2, 4 and 10. It can be clearly seen that the MRRs of the 6H-SiC substrates polished with two different slurries both decreased with the increase in pH values, likely due to the fact that the oxidizing capacities of the polishing slurries were reduced with the addition of OH⁻. However, the MRRs of the 6H-SiC substrates were significantly higher when polished with the ceria-based slurries than with silica-based slurries at pH 2 and pH 4, which were in good agreement with the point that surface oxidation was hindered by the electrostatic adsorption of silica particles onto the 6H-SiC substrate surfaces below pH 5 during the CMP process. The AFM images of the polished 6H-SiC surfaces are shown in Fig. 14 and the respective average roughness $R_a$ values are presented in Fig. 15. As can be clearly seen, the $R_a$ values of the polished 6H-SiC substrates were around 0.2 nm, indicating that the adsorption of abrasive particles had no significant influence on the surface quality of the polished 6H-SiC substrates. However, the adsorbed adhesive particles would cause particle contamination on the polished surfaces.

3.6 XPS analysis

XPS survey scans were performed on the as-received, pre-polished and dipped 6H-SiC substrate surfaces, as shown in Fig. 16. Peaks corresponding to the Si 2s, Si 2p, C 1s and O 1s photoelectrons can be clearly seen and the spectra were similar except for a shorter C 1s peak in the pre-polished 6H-SiC surface. Furthermore, a measurable Mn 2p peak was observed on the 6H-SiC substrate surface when dipped in the KMnO₄ solution and which weakened when followed by immersion in the KOH solution.

Table 1 compares the atomic compositions (%) of the 6H-SiC substrate surfaces treated with different processes. It can be seen that the ratio of C/Si and O/Si in the as-received and oxidized 6H-SiC surfaces were higher than in the pre-polished and dissolved 6H-SiC surfaces. The change in the O/Si ratio indicates that the native oxide layer on the as-received 6H-SiC surface was removed during the pre-polishing process and a new oxide layer was formed on the 6H-SiC surface after dipping in the KMnO₄ solution. Then this oxide layer could be dissolved into the KOH solution at pH 12. Meanwhile, a measurable concentration of Mn was observed on the dipped 6H-SiC surface. Furthermore, the C/Si ratio of the four 6H-SiC surfaces was significantly higher than the normal stoichiometric value of 1.
substrate play a significant role in the 6H-SiC CMP process.

According to the remarkably different performances in the CMP of 6H-SiC between the silica-based slurries and the ceria-based slurries, the interaction has a tremendous impact on the surface oxidation of the 6H-SiC substrate and affects the removal of the oxide layer in mechanical abrasion as well.

During the 6H-SiC CMP process, the atoms on the 6H-SiC surface could be further oxidized into the oxidation species (e.g. Si–C–O, Si–O2–C, Si–O2, Si4+–C4–O4, Si4–C4–O4 and C–O) in the presence of oxidizer and this soft layer consisting of oxidation species (e.g. Si–O–C–O, Si–O2, Si4–C4–O4 and C–O) might first be removed under mechanical abrasion (as shown in Table 2).

Based on the results of the zeta potentials of the abrasive particles and the DLVO interactions between the 6H-SiC wafer surface and nanoparticles, silica particles are prone to adhere to the Si-face 6H-SiC surface below pH 5, while ceria particles are expected to be repelled by the 6H-SiC surface with the same charge. However, a lot of ceria particles still exist on these sample surfaces at pH 2, pH 4 and pH 10. This may be because the ceria particle process a chemical tooth and Si–O–Ce bonds are formed between ceria particles and the sample surface.

During the CMP processes, the friction coefficient was reduced significantly by the adhesion of silica/ceria nanoparticles onto the 6H-SiC substrate surface in the absence of KMnO4. However, the MRR and the friction coefficients were most affected by the electrostatic adsorption of silica particles on the Si-face 6H-SiC surface below pH 5 in the presence of KMnO4, while this phenomenon was not observed when ceria particles were adsorbed.

On the basis of the XPS analysis of the 6H-SiC substrate surfaces, oxidized species were formed on the 6H-SiC surface in the presence of KMnO4. Furthermore, the adhesion of abrasive particles onto the oxidized 6H-SiC surfaces could increase the effective contact area between the abrasives and the 6H-SiC surface during the mechanical abrasion, thus facilitating its material removal.

To summarize the above discussion, in order to achieve a defect-free 6H-SiC surface with a high material removal rate during CMP, the ideal electrostatic interactions between the abrasive particles and the 6H-SiC substrate surface are required to meet two conditions: firstly, the abrasives need to be repelled from the substrate surface so that the surface oxidation could easily occur on the 6H-SiC surface when polished in the presence of KMnO4 below pH 5; secondly, the abrasive particles need to attach rapidly to the oxidized surface. Then both of them could be removed quickly through the mechanical abrasion force. In addition, this deduction of the electrostatic interaction has a tremendous impact on the surface oxidation of the 6H-SiC substrate and affects the removal of the oxide layer in mechanical abrasion as well.

During the CMP processes, the friction coefficient was reduced significantly by the adhesion of silica/ceria nanoparticles onto the 6H-SiC substrate surface in the absence of KMnO4. However, the MRR and the friction coefficients were most affected by the electrostatic adsorption of silica particles on the Si-face 6H-SiC surface below pH 5 in the presence of KMnO4, while this phenomenon was not observed when ceria particles were adsorbed.

On the basis of the XPS analysis of the 6H-SiC substrate surfaces, oxidized species were formed on the 6H-SiC surface in the presence of KMnO4. Furthermore, the adhesion of abrasive particles onto the oxidized 6H-SiC surfaces could increase the effective contact area between the abrasives and the 6H-SiC surface during the mechanical abrasion, thus facilitating its material removal.
interactions during the CMP process was in good agreement with the results of polishing experiments as well as with our previous study on the Si-face 6H-SiC CMP performance under KMnO₄ containing slurries.

Finally, some points need to be clarified. Firstly, the preferred crystallographic orientation of SiC could be studied to provide an exact insight into the mechanism of the interaction. However, we compared the XRD results of pre-polished 6H-SiC substrate with substrates dipped in different slurries (0.05 M KMnO₄ solution, 6 wt% silica slurry at pH 4, 6 wt% silica containing 0.05 M KMnO₄ at pH 4, 2 wt% ceria slurry at pH 4 and 2 wt% ceria slurry containing 0.05 M KMnO₄ at pH 4) for 3 h. According to the XRD study, there was no significant difference between the pre-polished substrate surface and the dipped sample surface. Secondly, the interactions of abrasive particles and the SiC surface could be affected by surfaces with different oxidation degrees. It could be very meaningful to vary the oxidation degree of the SiC surface and study the interactions at a defined pH value. However, due to the high chemical stability of the SiC single crystal, it was hard to change the

Fig. 17  Si 2p and C 1s spectra of the 6H-SiC surfaces: (a and b) as-received; (c and d) pre-polished; (e and f) dipped in KMnO₄ solution; (g and h) dipped in KMnO₄ solution and then in KOH solution.
Si$_2$p Si–C 29.4 56.6 37.2 61.5
Si–C–O 24.7 30.4 48.2 30.8
Si–O$_2$–C$_p$ 30.2 8.8 7.5 7.7
Si–O$_2$ 15.7 4.3 7.1 —
C 1$s$

Si–C 5.4 39.2 13.9 21.8
Si$_4$C$_{4-x}$–O$_2$ 12.2 15.0 7.6 15.6
Si$_4$C$_{4-x}$–O$_4$ 43.6 22.9 46.7 34.6
C–O 28.6 15.8 23.8 22.9
C==O 10.2 7.1 8.1 5.2

oxidation of the SiC surface to a particular degree during the static dipping process. This could be achieved with the development of technology in the future.

4. Conclusions

The influence of the interaction between SiO$_2$ or CeO$_2$ nanoparticles and the Si-face (0001) 6H-SiC substrate surface in different slurries with varied pH values were investigated using zeta potential measurements, SEM observations, DLVO theoretical predictions, friction tests, polishing experiments and XPS analysis. Silica particles were prone to adhere to the Si-face 6H-SiC substrate surface, while ceria particles were expected to be repelled by the similarly charged 6H-SiC surface below pH 5, while ceria particles were expected to adhere to the sample surface. The theoretical predictions of DLVO forces between the surfaces of the 6H-SiC substrate and the silica nanoparticles were in good agreement with the experimental phenomena. While in the case of ceria nanoparticles, discrepancies between theoretical predictions and experimental observations were observed, due to the existence of non-DLVO interactions between the surfaces of the ceria particles and the 6H-SiC plate, such as the chemical tooth possessed by the ceria particles and the Si–O–Ce bonds formed between ceria particles and the 6H-SiC surface. The friction coefficients between the pad and the 6H-SiC substrate surface could be reduced by the adhesion of silica or ceria particles which acted as ball bearings in the presence of KMnO$_4$. However, there was a significant difference in the friction coefficients and polishing performance between the adhesion of silica and ceria particles in the presence of KMnO$_4$ below pH 5. The XPS analysis indicated that the oxide layer of 6H-SiC substrate could be removed through the mechanical action of abrasive particles and re-oxidized in the presence of oxidants during the CMP process.
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