



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 4563

Fenton-like degradation of 2,4-dichlorophenol using calcium peroxide particles: performance and mechanisms

Hefei Wang,^{ab} Yongsheng Zhao,^{ab} Yan Su,^c Tianyi Li,^{ab} Meng Yao^a and Chuanyu Qin^{*ab}

The degradation process of 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) in aqueous solution by a Fenton-like system using calcium peroxide (CaO₂) particles as a source of solid H₂O₂ was investigated. EDTA was added to the system to chelate iron and promote the Fenton-like reaction. The results show that 2,4-DCP can be readily degraded in a CaO₂/EDTA-chelated Fe(II) system. Compared with an H₂O₂/EDTA-chelated Fe(II) system, 2,4-DCP degradation showed a moderate reaction rate owing to the slow dissolution process of the CaO₂ particle. Complicated degradation kinetics were observed and the possible reason was revealed. The initial amount of EDTA chelated iron lost during the Fenton-like reaction was in the range 20–30%. The effects of different reaction parameters, such as initial pH, CaO₂ dosage and amount of EDTA-chelated iron, on 2,4-DCP degradation were studied. According to the identification of dominant reactive species and degradation intermediates, a possible theoretical degradation pathway of 2,4-DCP was proposed.





Received 14th November 2016
Accepted 22nd December 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra26754h

www.rsc.org/advances

1 Introduction

The Fenton-like process, which was developed from traditional Fenton technology, provides a promising alternative for the oxidative treatment of contaminated soil and groundwater. Conventional Fenton's reagent involves the catalyzed decomposition of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) by Fe(II) to form a suite of highly active species, including the hydroxyl radical (HO·), perhydroxyl radicals (HO₂·), superoxide radical anions (O₂^{·-}), and hydroperoxide anions (HO₂⁻) (reaction (1)–(8)).^{1–6} Among them, HO· generated *via* reaction (1) is the main species. It has a high oxidation potential (2.76 V) and can react indiscriminately with most organic contaminants at near diffusion-limited rates.¹




However, the conventional Fenton process, which is used primarily for treating waters and wastewaters, is not feasible for *in situ* soil or groundwater remediation. This is because the conventional Fenton's reaction is usually conducted by gradually adding dilute H₂O₂ to a solution of excess Fe(II) in conditions where the optimal pH is below 3. Fenton's reagent is usually modified for *in situ* chemical oxidation (ISCO) using a one-time addition of higher concentration of H₂O₂ and varying the type of catalyst (*i.e.* Fe(II), Fe(III), iron chelates, or iron minerals).^{7,8} A high concentration of liquid H₂O₂ is typically injected in the subsurface for the supply of H₂O₂ in the modified Fenton system (MF, or Fenton-like system). However, the H₂O₂ utilization efficiency is very low because of the instability of H₂O₂ in the subsurface.⁹ It has been reported that liquid H₂O₂ can only persist from a few minutes to several hours after injected into the subsurface. Disproportionation (reaction (9)) constitutes the major loss of H₂O₂ at neutral pH.^{10,11} It consumes H₂O₂ without producing HO· and releases O₂ gas, which clogs pores around the injection wells and promotes contaminant volatilization.^{12,13} Therefore, means for slow and controlled release of the H₂O₂ into the subsurface are highly desirable.

^aCollege of Environment and Resources, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China. E-mail: qincyu@jlu.edu.cn; Tel: +86 43188502608



^bKey Laboratory of Groundwater Resources and Environment, Ministry of Education, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China

^cShenyang Academy of Environmental Sciences, Shenyang 110167, China





By far, one of the most useful solid forms of peroxide for environmental applications is calcium peroxide (CaO_2).^{14,15} Previously, CaO_2 was mostly used as an oxygen release compound (ORC), which could slowly decompose to release oxygen when in contact with water (reaction (10)).^{16–18} Recent studies showed that CaO_2 can be a more effective source of H_2O_2 than liquid H_2O_2 in MF-ISCO.^{19,20} In fact, CaO_2 can dissolve in water to form H_2O_2 *via* eqn (11) at a large pH range, liberating a maximum of 0.47 g H_2O_2 per g CaO_2 .²¹ According to the available literature, the yield of H_2O_2 from CaO_2 decreased, while production of O_2 was elevated with the increase of pH and temperature.^{19,22} And about 70–80% of CaO_2 was transformed to H_2O_2 at moderate pH and temperature. Moreover, H_2O_2 released from CaO_2 is auto-regulated by the rate of CaO_2 dissolution, reducing disproportionation of H_2O_2 to O_2 since not all the H_2O_2 is available at once as it is with liquid H_2O_2 .



The excellent properties of CaO_2 to release H_2O_2 at a controlled rate attracted more and more researchers to apply CaO_2 in MF-ISCO.^{23,24} Ndjou'ou and Cassidy²⁵ applied a commercially available CaO_2 -based oxidant to treat soils contaminated with total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and found that CaO_2 removed 96% of TPH. Bogan *et al.*²⁰ reported that CaO_2 performed better than liquid H_2O_2 for removing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from soil. Xiang Zhang *et al.*²⁶ used CaO_2 activated with ferrous ions to treat trichloroethylene (TCE) with 100% removal efficiency. Northup and Cassidy¹⁹ investigated CaO_2 dissolution to yield H_2O_2 at various pH conditions and the degradation performance of perchlorethylene (PCE) in the modified Fenton system.

Although the preliminary experimental results look promising, the relationship between 2,4-DCP removal efficiency and the main influencing factors in a CaO_2 -based MF system, particularly CaO_2 dosage, chelate-Fe(II) content, and solution pH, need to be further studied. In addition, the mechanisms of CaO_2 -based MF oxidation and the degradation route of chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons are still not thoroughly elucidated. In this research, 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) was used as the representative target contaminant of chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons. The aim of this experiment is first to explore a practical system, which can degrade 2,4-DCP with high removal efficiency, and find out the effect of main experimental parameters on 2,4-DCP removal. Second, molecular probe tests and scavenger tests were conducted to identify the reactive oxygen species responsible for 2,4-DCP degradation. Third, the mineralization of 2,4-DCP was monitored, the main intermediate products were analyzed and the 2,4-DCP degradation pathway was proposed.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Analytical grade calcium peroxide (75% CaO_2 , 25% Ca(OH)_2) and 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP 99.0%) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Chromatographic grade methyl alcohol (CH_3OH , 99.9%) was purchased from TEDIA High Purity Solvent Co. Ltd. (Fairfield, America). Acetonitrile ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{N}$, 99.9%) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Carbon tetrachloride (CT, 99.5%) was purchased from Tianjin Aoran Chemical Research Institute (Tianjin, China). Monosodium phosphate dihydrate ($\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 99.0%) and disodium phosphate dodecahydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 99%), anhydrous disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate ($\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_8\text{N}_2\text{Na}_2$, EDTA, 99%), sodium formate (NaCHO_2 , 99.5%), sodium acetate ($\text{NaC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$, 99.0%), acetic acid ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_3$, 99.5%), sodium oxalate ($\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$, 99.8%), ammonium acetate ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{N}$, 99%), *tert*-butyl alcohol ($(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{OH}$, TBA, 98.0%), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , 30%) and ferrous sulfate heptahydrate ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 99.0%) were purchased from the Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). Ammonium molybdate ($(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}$, 99.0%), trichloromethane (CHCl_3 , 99.0%), nitrobenzene (NB, 99.0%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 96%) and methanol (CH_3OH , 99.9%) were purchased from Beijing Chemical Works (Beijing, China). Ultrapure water from a Milli-Q water process (Classic DI, ELGA, Marlow, UK) was used for preparing aqueous solutions.

2.2 Preparation

The buffer solutions used in this study were prepared with $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in de-ionized water. Buffer solutions with three different pH values (6.0, 7.0 and 8.0) were chosen to evaluate the effect of pH on the degradation performance. The buffer strength of the solutions was 0.05 mol L^{-1} . Preliminary testing verified that each buffer solution was able to maintain the desired pH with the dose of CaO_2 and other reagents used. A stock solution of 2,4-DCP was prepared by allowing the pure non-aqueous phase liquid 2,4-DCP to equilibrate with buffer solutions of desired pH value under gentle stirring and then diluted to the desired concentration. In the studies, each reactor also received EDTA chelated Fe(II) to catalyze the MF reaction. The initial concentration of 2,4-DCP was kept at 100 mg L^{-1} (0.61 mM). In all experiments except for the effect of CaO_2 dosage and the amount of EDTA-Fe(II) on the degradation performance, the initial molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe(II)}/2,4\text{-DCP}$ was set as 16/4/1.

2.3 Procedure

All experiments were conducted in a 250 mL jacketed cylindrical glass reactor with a constant temperature of $22 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ using a thermostat circulating water bath. A magnetic stirrer was used to ensure the uniformity of contaminants. After the chemicals participating in the reaction, except for CaO_2 (for instance, 2,4-DCP, EDTA chelated ferrite, *etc.*), were dissolved in the reactor and the reaction was started by adding the desired



CaO₂ dosage. Samples were withdrawn at desired time intervals and immediately quenched with methyl alcohol before the analysis of 2,4-DCP. In order to identify the reactive oxygen species generated during the reaction, probe tests were conducted in accordance with the 2,4-DCP degradation procedure and 2,4-DCP was replaced by NB (HO· probe),^{27,28} or CT (O₂⁻ probe).^{29,30} Furthermore, to evaluate the contribution of the reactive oxygen species to 2,4-DCP degradation, TBA (HO· scavenger)²⁸ and CHCl₃ (O₂⁻ scavenger),²⁹ as radical scavengers, had been applied in the experiments to observe 2,4-DCP degradation changes. Phosphate buffer solutions at three pH values (6.0, 7.0 and 8.0) were chosen to observe the effect of pH on the degradation performance and in other experiments, the pH of solution was maintained at 7.0. All experiments were conducted in duplicate and the mean values were reported. The standard deviations in all experiments were in the range of 0.012–0.050.

2.4 Analyses

The concentrations of 2,4-DCP and NB were measured by means of an Agilent 1100 high performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) with a C18 reversed-phase column (5 μm, 4.6 mm × 150 mm) and a diode array detector (DAD). To determine the concentration of 2,4-DCP, the mobile phase was methanol/water (70 : 30, v/v) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The UV detector was set at 225 nm. To measure aqueous samples containing NB, the mobile phase was acetonitrile/acetate buffer solution (65 : 35, v/v) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The UV detector was set at 262 nm. The degradation intermediates were identified using a Waters ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) with an Acquity UPLC C18 column (1.7 μm, 2.1 × 100 mm) and Quattro Premier™ XE mass spectrometer. The mobile phase was methanol/water (70 : 30, v/v) with a flow rate of 0.25 mL min⁻¹. The desolvation gas was N₂ and the gas temperature was 400 °C. The ion source temperature was set at 110 °C. CT in the solution was first extracted with hexane (1 mL) for 3 min using a vortex stirrer and kept standing for 5 min for separation. Extracts containing CT were then measured by means of an Agilent 6890A gas chromatograph with an autosampler (Agilent 7693), a DB-VRX column (1.4 μm, 0.25 mm × 60 m) and an electron capture detector (ECD). The temperatures of the injector, column and ECD detector were set at 240 °C, 80 °C and 260 °C, respectively.

Total organic carbon (TOC) was measured by a total organic carbon analyzer (TOC-L, Shimadzu, Japan). The H₂O₂ concentration in aqueous phase was determined using a spectrophotometer (Evolution, Thermo, United States) at a wavelength of 340 nm after the color was developed by ammonium molybdate. The solution pH was analyzed using a yellow spring instrument (YSI-100, America) pH-meter. To determine the concentration of chloride ions (Cl⁻), carboxylic acids and EDTA, an ion chromatograph (ICS-2100, Dionex, Germany) equipped with a Dionex RFICTM IonPac® AS 19 analytical column (4 mm × 250 mm) was used throughout the experiment. The eluent was 3.5 mM KOH with a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹.

3 Experimental results and discussion

3.1 The performance of 2,4-DCP degradation in a Fenton-like system

The degradation of 2,4-DCP along time under different experimental conditions was evaluated as shown in Fig. 1.

The experiments were carried out with a fixed 2,4-DCP concentration at different CaO₂ (or H₂O₂)/EDTA-Fe(II)/2,4-DCP molar ratios. The pH value was maintained at 7.0 with phosphate buffer and the temperature was kept at 22 °C. It was observed that within 450 min of reaction time, less than 5% removal of 2,4-DCP was obtained with a molar ratio of 16/0/1. In the H₂O₂/EDTA-Fe(II)/2,4-DCP system, 2,4-DCP was removed completely within only 20 min and as the degradation reaction progressed, a large amount of micro-bubbles were generated in the solution. It means that a large amount of H₂O₂ decomposed to O₂ during this violent degradation reaction and this problem would be magnified when H₂O₂ is applied to actual site remediation according to previous studies.^{10,11}

Remarkable degradation of 2,4-DCP was also achieved in the CaO₂/EDTA-Fe(II) system. However, the degradation rate was relatively moderate compared with that of the conventional H₂O₂/EDTA-Fe(II) system. In the CaO₂/EDTA-Fe(II) system, the removal efficiency of 2,4-DCP reached 83.0% within 30 minutes, and then the degradation rate (slope of the degradation curves) slowed down gradually. It indicates that remarkable degradation of 2,4-DCP was also achieved in the CaO₂/EDTA-Fe(II) system with a relatively moderate degradation rate compared with that of the conventional H₂O₂/EDTA-Fe(II) system. According to the literature and our previous studies,^{19,22} CaO₂ can slowly release H₂O₂ after being dissolved in water. It can thus be reasonably deduced that EDTA-Fe(II) reacted quickly with H₂O₂ released by CaO₂ and the reaction facilitated the CaO₂ dissolution simultaneously, generating a large amount of reactive oxygen species. Along with the generation of H₂O₂, Ca(OH)₂ can also be formed through reaction (11), but phosphate buffer solution was able to maintain the desired pH. In addition, only a small amount of bubbles, which were considered as O₂, were generated in the CaO₂/EDTA-Fe(II) system. It is




Fig. 1 Degradation of 2,4-DCP by CaO₂ or H₂O₂ in a modified Fenton system ([2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.61 mM).



concluded that CaO_2 , compared with H_2O_2 , can dramatically prolong the contaminant removal reaction time by releasing H_2O_2 at a controlled rate and enhance the utilization efficiency of peroxide by reducing the O_2 yield, which is not responsible for the MF reaction. The abovementioned results demonstrated that it was much more feasible to use CaO_2 as a long-term source of H_2O_2 to promote MF-ISCO.

To investigate the activity of EDTA-Fe(II) in the degradation of 2,4-DCP, a control experiment was conducted with the molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$, consistent with that of other systems. It can be observed that only 13% of 2,4-DCP was removed without the addition of EDTA, whereas 95% of 2,4-DCP was removed in the system of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$. Total iron testing (not shown) showed that only little soluble iron remained in the system at the end of experiments. By contrast, about 70% of initial iron was detected in the $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$ system. The low degrading efficiency in the $\text{CaO}_2/\text{Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$ system is probably ascribed to the sharp decrease of the concentration of soluble iron. Two reasons can account for the diminution of Fe(II) catalytic activity. On one hand, phosphate used to buffer pH can combine most of the Fe(II) in solution to form sediment as soon as Fe(II) is added into the solution. On the other hand, the other part of Fe(II) involved in Fenton degradation was oxidized to Fe(III) immediately by HO^\bullet and then converted to a precipitate of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ completely within the first 5 min. Once iron was converted to a precipitate, it could no longer participate in the radical propagation cycle. It can be concluded that chelation of EDTA can effectively maintain the catalytic activity of Fe(II) in Fenton chemistry based on CaO_2 at moderate pH.

3.2 Decay of EDTA-Fe(II) in a Fenton-like system

To better understand the role of EDTA chelated Fe(II) in the 2,4-DCP degradation process, the decay mechanisms of EDTA and iron ions were also investigated. As observed in Fig. 2, similar to the degradation process of 2,4-DCP, the concentration of EDTA shows a prominent decrease during the reaction time. This is because HO^\bullet generated in the MF system have a great oxidizing




Fig. 2 Decay of EDTA-Fe(II) in the degradation process of 2,4-DCP. (The molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$ was kept at 16/4/1.)

power and can degrade both 2,4-DCP and EDTA indiscriminately to form carboxylic acids of small molecules or CO_2 . Previous studies also showed the degradation of EDTA in the MF system.^{31,32} In addition to this, the identification results of deposits in the bottom of the reactor showed the presence of EDTA, which indicates that a small amount of EDTA initially dissolved in the solution precipitated out during the reaction time.

It can also be observed that the variation of iron ion concentration was in accordance with EDTA, which is because the dissociated iron ions with the decay of the chelating agent will soon react with OH^- to form sediment and precipitate out from the solution. Although concentrations of both EDTA and iron ions were reduced by 20–30% at the end of the experiments, the concentration left in the MF system was still enough for catalytic reaction during the following experiment.

3.3 Effects of reaction parameters on 2,4-DCP removal performance

3.3.1 Effect of CaO_2 dosage. The oxidation of 2,4-DCP at pH 7.0 and a temperature of 22 °C with different CaO_2 dosages was investigated and shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen from the figure that the removal efficiency of 2,4-DCP within 240 min was rapidly increased from 47.0% to 95.7% as the molar ratio of CaO_2 to 2,4-DCP increased from 4/1 to 16/1. However, with the molar ratio further increasing to 32/1, the degradation removal was decreased to 92.4%. This is because an excess of CaO_2 can release an overly high concentration of H_2O_2 , which was reported to scavenge HO^\bullet as expressed by reaction (5).

Therefore, the initial molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/2,4\text{-DCP}$ was chosen as 16/1 ($[\text{CaO}_2]_0 = 10 \text{ mM}$) for the investigation discussed below.

3.3.2 Effect of EDTA-Fe(II) concentration. Fig. 4 illustrates the effect of EDTA-Fe(II) concentration on 2,4-DCP removal efficiency at pH 7.0 and a temperature of 22 °C with an initial $\text{CaO}_2/2,4\text{-DCP}$ molar ratio of 16/1. It can be seen that the removal efficiencies of 2,4-DCP at the molar ratios of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$ varying from 16/1/1 to 16/8/1 were similar




Fig. 3 Effect of CaO_2 dosage on 2,4-DCP degradation ($[\text{2,4-DCP}]_0 = 0.61 \text{ mM}$ and the initial concentration of EDTA-Fe(II) was 2.45 mM).






Fig. 4 Effect of EDTA–Fe(II) addition on 2,4-DCP degradation ($[2,4\text{-DCP}]_0 = 0.61 \text{ mM}$).

and were all over 95.0% within 400 min of reaction time. Nevertheless, the curve slopes representing the degradation rate varied widely with the increase of EDTA–Fe(II) concentration. As observed in the figure, the removal efficiency within 60 min of reaction time was 57.4% with the molar ratio kept at 16/1/1, whereas it increased to 88.6% with the molar ratio of EDTA–Fe(II)/2,4-DCP enhanced to 16/8/1. It suggests that the degradation rate of 2,4-DCP was elevated with the molar ratio increased from 16/1/1 to 16/8/1, though the degradation performances for 2,4-DCP were similar. This can be explained by iron consumption and a regeneration cycle composed of reactions (1) and (2). The rate constant for reaction (1) was tested by former researchers as $63 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, whereas that for reaction (2) was only $0.01 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.²⁹ This means that Fe(II) ions were consumed faster than they were produced. Accordingly, increasing the Fe(II) concentration accelerated the degradation process by promoting the decomposition of H_2O_2 to produce more HO^\bullet at a time and reducing the regeneration cycle numbers. However, when the molar ratio was further increased from 16/8/1 to 16/16/1, both the degradation performance and the degradation rate decreased dramatically. This is ascribed to the scavenging of HO^\bullet or other radicals by present iron species through undesirable reactions (3), (4) and (6).^{33,34}

3.3.3 Effect of pH. The effect of pH on 2,4-DCP degradation was investigated in the pH range from 5.0 to 8.0 maintained by phosphate buffer solution. It should be noted that solution pH variation before and after reaction was less than 0.2. As seen from Fig. 5, within 300 min of reaction time, the removal efficiency decreased from 99.9% to 77.2% with the increase of pH from 5.0 to 8.0. The decreased oxidation efficiency at higher pH values was attributed to the lower oxidation potential of hydroxyl radicals and the undesirable decomposition of CaO_2 to form O_2 at higher pH (eqn (10)). In the degradation process without addition of buffer solution, the concentration of contaminant diminished quickly within 3 min and then tended to keep a constant value with the final removal efficiency of 51.2%. The reaction ceased probably because CaO_2 can react with water to form $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ (eqn (11)), which caused a dramatic increase in pH. Without the addition of buffer reagents, the




Fig. 5 Effect of pH on 2,4-DCP degradation performance (molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe(II)}/2,4\text{-DCP}$ in the system was kept at 16/4/1, $[2,4\text{-DCP}]_0 = 0.61 \text{ mM}$).

solution pH rises quickly to more than 11.0, at which point CaO_2 is difficult to dissolve to form H_2O_2 . A pH of 7 was chosen for the following study.

3.3.4 2,4-DCP degradation kinetics. It is known that a modified Fenton reaction is a pseudo-first-order reaction with respect to the concentration of the contaminant.^{35–38} However, the 2,4-DCP degradation in the MF system based on CaO_2 , particularly with the addition of EDTA, showed a very complex behavior; the 2,4-DCP concentration first decreased rapidly, followed by a much slower degradation process (shown in Fig. 1). This led to a situation where the 2,4-DCP degradation could be fitted by neither zero-, first-, nor second-order kinetics. In fact, the degradation process was controlled by the release of H_2O_2 from CaO_2 decomposition to a large extent and in our previous study we had concluded that the H_2O_2 releasing process from CaO_2 decomposition followed a pseudo-zero-order kinetics pattern.²²

Then, we conducted a series of experiments with the addition of an equivalent amount of CaO_2 and with no addition of 2,4-DCP to water to find out the relationship between the 2,4-DCP degradation process and release of H_2O_2 from CaO_2 decomposition. Fig. 6 shows the H_2O_2 releasing process from CaO_2 dissolution (see the red line) and the 2,4-DCP degradation performance (see the black line) by an equivalent amount of CaO_2 . In Fig. 6, C_A/C_{A0} on the left vertical axis represents the relative concentration of 2,4-DCP, whereas $C_B/C_{B\text{max}}$ on the right is the H_2O_2 productivity. The results show that without the addition of 2,4-DCP, H_2O_2 can be generated gradually from CaO_2 dissolution at a certain rate, regardless of the decreasing CaO_2 content in solution (see the solid red line). It needs to be noted that a significant amount of H_2O_2 was produced instantaneously once CaO_2 was added to the solution to initiate the experiment. Therefore, the H_2O_2 releasing process from CaO_2 dissolution can be fitted approximately by a line disjointed to the zero point. Consequently, in the initial stage of the degradation reaction, the H_2O_2 concentration was relatively high to react with EDTA–Fe(II) and form HO^\bullet , which can degrade 2,4-DCP at a higher rate. With the reaction going on, H_2O_2 , which






Fig. 6 Releasing curve of H_2O_2 from CaO_2 decomposition and the degradation curve of 2,4-DCP by a comparable amount of CaO_2 (the molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe(II)}/2,4\text{-DCP}$ in the system was kept at 16/4/1, $[2,4\text{-DCP}]_0 = 0.61 \text{ mM}$).




Fig. 7 The degradation of probe compounds in the $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe(II)}$ system ($[\text{NB}]_0 = 1 \text{ mM}$, $[\text{CT}]_0 = 0.05 \text{ mM}$, $[\text{CaO}_2]_0 = 10 \text{ mM}$, $[\text{Fe(II)}]_0 = 20 \text{ mM}$, $T = 22 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$).

was released from CaO_2 at a certain slow rate, was relatively “insufficient” for the following degradation process. Accordingly, the 2,4-DCP degradation in the $\text{Ca}_2\text{O}_2/\text{EDTA-Fe(II)}$ system showed a moderate reaction rate because of the dissolution process of CaO_2 particles.

3.4 Identification of predominant reactive oxygen species

3.4.1 Free radical probe compound tests. To verify the generation of reactive oxygen species, NB and CT were used as the probe compounds on the basis of their reactivity with each type of species potentially presented in the system. NB was selected as the oxidant probe to demonstrate the presence of HO^\cdot since it has high reactivity with HO^\cdot ($k_{\text{HO}^\cdot} = 3.9 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).²⁷ Apart from the oxidative species, the system may also have potential in generating reductive species such as $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$. CT, which is a highly oxidized compound, was used for identifying $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ because of its high reactivity with reductants ($k = 1.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), but extremely low reactivity with hydroxyl radicals ($k_{\text{HO}^\cdot} < 2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).²⁹

The generation of HO^\cdot in a CaO_2 -based Fenton system, measured by NB loss, is shown in Fig. 7. The NB removal efficiency was above 95% in 240 min of reaction time, proving that HO^\cdot was generated in the system. The presence of HO^\cdot demonstrated the ability of CaO_2 to replace H_2O_2 to participate in the modified Fenton system. The removal efficiency of CT can reach 24% within 240 min of reaction time in the modified Fenton system, indicating the presence of $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ in the system. Previous studies²⁸ have shown that $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ is likely a transforming species in the modified Fenton's process and is probably formed in the processes represented by eqn (5) and (12):



3.4.2 Free radical scavenger tests. In order to identify the major reactive oxygen species for 2,4-DCP removal, free radical scavenger tests with two types of scavengers were conducted.

Literature indicates that TBA reacts with HO^\cdot at high rates ($k_{\text{HO}^\cdot} = 5.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and chloroform has minimal reactivity with HO^\cdot ($k_{\text{HO}^\cdot} = 7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and high reactivity with $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ ($k_{\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}} = 3 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).^{29,31} Based on these properties, TBA was used to scavenge HO^\cdot , whereas chloroform was selected as the $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ scavenger. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the 2,4-DCP removal efficiency in 60 min of reaction time reached 83.8% in the absence of the scavenger. As TBA was added to the system from 0 mM to 30 mM and 100 mM, the 2,4-DCP removal efficiencies within 60 min decreased to 47.0% and 18.5%, respectively. The removal efficiency decreased dramatically with scavenging of HO^\cdot . This demonstrates that HO^\cdot is the dominant reactive oxygen species responsible for 2,4-DCP degradation in the system.

The result of the $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ scavenging test with chloroform is shown in Fig. 8(b). As seen from it, the removal efficiency of 2,4-DCP within 200 min of reaction time was decreased from 90.2% to 84.5% and 82.3%, respectively, in the presence of chloroform from 0 to 3 mM, 10 mM, and 30 mM. It means that the degradation performance of 2,4-DCP shows a minor decrease with the scavenging of some $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$. It can be deduced that $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ was generated in the system, but its role of promoting the degradation of 2,4-DCP is limited. It is potentially because the $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ was generated in the reaction steps, which were not essential to the degradation. The result agrees well with former studies.³¹

3.5 Degradation intermediates and reaction mechanism

As chloride substituents on the benzene ring are responsible for the toxicity of aromatic compounds, the fate of chloride substituents must be given much more concern. As observed from Fig. 9(a), the initial concentration of 2,4-DCP was 77.4 mg L^{-1} and removal efficiency was 95.7%. The calculated dechlorination degree of 2,4-DCP reached 90%, showing that most of the chlorine on the aromatic ring was released and formed chloride ions. The mineralization degree of 2,4-DCP in this MF system was determined to investigate the oxidation efficiency of 2,4-DCP (as depicted in Fig. 9(a)). The initial concentration of






Fig. 8 Effect of radical scavengers on the degradation of 2,4-DCP. The radical scavengers in (a) and (b) were TBA and chloroform, respectively. (The molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA}-\text{Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$ was 16/4/1, $[2,4\text{-DCP}]_0 = 0.61 \text{ mM}$.)




Fig. 9 (a) 2,4-DCP degradation efficiency, TOC removal and dechlorination degree of 2,4-DCP; (b) chromatogram of degradation intermediates detected by UPLC-MS; (c) the concentration of degradation intermediates detected by IC. (The molar ratio of $\text{CaO}_2/\text{EDTA}-\text{Fe}(\text{II})/2,4\text{-DCP}$ was kept at 16/4/1.)

EDTA was 711.2 mg L^{-1} and the initial concentration of TOC (including 2,4-DCP and EDTA) in the system was measured as 374.9 mg L^{-1} . The final TOC at 300 min was tested as 327.7 mg L^{-1} . Therefore, the calculated mineralization of 2,4-DCP in the oxidant system is 12.6%. This suggests that some of the intermediates derived from 2,4-DCP decomposition, such as carboxylic acids, remained in the solution.

Through UPLC-MS/MS and IC analyses, the main intermediates were 2-chlorohydroquinone, 4,6-dichlororesorcinol, acetic acid, formic acid and oxalic acid (shown in Fig. 9(b) and (c)). The concentration of 2,4-DCP decreased quickly in the solution during the first 30 min and then decreased slowly till complete removal within 300 min. 2-Chlorohydroquinone and 6-dichlororesorcinol were generated quickly after the degradation reaction was initiated and began to disappear within 30 min. The acetic acid and formic acid were formed quickly during the first

30 min and then were generated slowly during the remaining reaction time. It should be noted that the total concentration of carboxylic acid was relatively high compared to that of the direct products from 2,4-DCP, which is ascribed to the degradation of a small amount of EDTA (shown in Fig. 2). Previous researchers have reported the degradation intermediates of monochlorophenol and phenol, but they were not detected in our system.^{39,40}

According to the results and the information reported in the literature,^{41–44} the degradation pathway was proposed and shown in Fig. 10. The chlorine atom located in the *para*-position on the aromatic ring of 2,4-DCP was apparently the preferred location for radical attack because of steric effects. Hence, 2,4-DCP was substituted by HO^\cdot and degraded to 2-chlorohydroquinone. This 2-chlorohydroquinone was subsequently dehydrogenated to give 2-chloro-1,4-benzoquinone with further attack of HO^\cdot . Moreover,






Fig. 10 The suggested pathway for the degradation of 2,4-DCP in the MF system.

the mechanism also allows an electrophilic HO[•] group to be added onto the aromatic ring of the 2,4-DCP, forming hydroxylation intermediates such as 4,6-dichlororesorcinol. Further oxidation of chlorobenzoquinone and 4,6-dichlororesorcinol leads to dechlorination, followed by ring cleavage and formation of maleic and fumaric acids. These two acids were subsequently oxidized and transformed into acetic acid and formic acid remaining in solution eventually.

4 Conclusion

A CaO₂-based modified Fenton system suitable for remediating contaminated groundwater was developed. Since H₂O₂ released from CaO₂ is auto-regulated by the rate of CaO₂ dissolution, this system can not only remove 2,4-DCP from aqueous solution with a moderate reaction rate, but also shows a high utilization efficiency of H₂O₂ by inhibiting disproportionation of H₂O₂ to form O₂. Addition of EDTA can effectively maintain the dissolution of iron at neutral pH, thus increasing the degradation performance. However, some EDTA will be lost due to oxidation or precipitation. A type of complicated kinetics of 2,4-DCP degradation was observed in the CaO₂-based modified Fenton system. EDTA-Fe(II) content, CaO₂ dosage and pH value could clearly affect the degradation performance. According to the aromatic intermediates, carboxylic acids and chloride ions determined by UPLC-MS and IC, a possible degradation pathway based on HO[•] mechanism was proposed.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41572213). We are also thankful

for the support provided by the Key Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (41530636).

References

- 1 C. Walling, Fenton's reagent revisited, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1975, **8**, 125–131.
- 2 A. Romero, A. Santos and F. Vicente, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **162**, 785–790.
- 3 C. Walling and A. Goosen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 2987–2991.
- 4 B. H. Bielski, D. E. Cabelli, R. L. Arudi and A. B. Ross, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1985, **14**, 1041–1100.
- 5 A. S. Stasinakis, *Global NEST J.*, 2008, **10**, 376–385.
- 6 E. Chamorro, A. Marco and S. Esplugas, *Water Res.*, 2001, **35**, 1047–1051.
- 7 R. J. Watts, *Biorem. J.*, 1992, **2**, 413–425.
- 8 R. J. Watts and S. E. Dilly, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 1996, **51**, 209–224.
- 9 R. J. Watts and A. L. Teel, *J. Environ. Eng.*, 2005, **131**, 612–622.
- 10 B. Francesco, E. Bernd, M. C. M. Gribnau and E. J. Baerends, *Chemistry*, 2003, **9**, 3436–3444.
- 11 R. J. Watts, M. K. Foget, S. H. Kong and A. L. Teel, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 1999, **69**, 229–243.
- 12 P. Xu, G. Achari, M. Mahmoud and R. Joshi, *Pract. Period. Hazard., Toxic, Radioact. Waste Manage.*, 2006, **10**, 19–27.
- 13 G. Chen, G. E. Hoag, P. Chedda, F. Nadim, B. A. Woody and G. M. Dobbs, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2001, **87**, 171–186.
- 14 M. P. Granados, G. M. Salido, A. González and J. A. Pariente, *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2006, **84**, 39–48.
- 15 T. Schmidtke, D. White and C. Woolard, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 1999, **64**, 157–165.
- 16 S. J. Liu, B. Jiang, G. Q. Huang and X. G. Li, *Water Res.*, 2006, **40**, 3401–3408.
- 17 D. P. Cassidy and R. L. Irvine, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 1999, **69**, 25–39.



- 18 A. C. Air and C. Water, in *US Environmental Protection Agency*, Washington DC., 1999.
- 19 A. Northup and D. Cassidy, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **152**, 1164–1170.
- 20 B. W. Bogan, V. Trbovic and J. R. Paterek, *Chemosphere*, 2003, **50**, 15–21.
- 21 I. I. Vol'nov and A. W. Petrocelli, *Peroxides, superoxides, and ozonides of alkali and alkaline earth metals*, Plenum Press, New York, 1966.
- 22 H. F. Wang, Y. S. Zhao, T. Y. Li, Z. Chen, Y. Wang and C. Y. Qin, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **303**, 450–457.
- 23 M. Arienzo, *Chemosphere*, 2000, **40**, 331–337.
- 24 A. Goi and M. Trapido, *J. Adv. Oxid. Technol.*, 2010, **13**, 50–58.
- 25 A. C. Ndjou'ou and D. Cassidy, *Chemosphere*, 2006, **65**, 1610–1615.
- 26 X. Zhang, X. Gu, S. Lu, Z. Miao, M. Xu, X. Fu, Z. Qiu and Q. Sui, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2015, **284**, 253–260.
- 27 G. V. Buxton, C. L. Greenstock, W. P. Helman and A. B. Ross, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1988, **17**, 513–886.
- 28 C. Liang and H. W. Su, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **48**, 5558–5562.
- 29 A. L. Teel and R. J. Watts, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2002, **94**, 179–189.
- 30 M. Xu, X. Gu, S. Lu, Z. Qiu and Q. Sui, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 1056–1063.
- 31 N. Wang, L. Zhu, M. Lei, Y. She, M. Cao and H. Tang, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 1193–1202.
- 32 X. Fu, X. Gu, S. Lu, M. Xu, Z. Miao, X. Zhang, *et al.*, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **285**, 180–188.
- 33 E. Neyens and J. Baeyens, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2003, **98**, 33–50.
- 34 S. Fukuchi, R. Nishimoto, M. Fukushima and Q. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **147**, 411–419.
- 35 M. Luo, D. Bowden and P. Brimblecombe, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **85**, 201–206.
- 36 T. R. Gordon and A. L. Marsh, *Catal. Lett.*, 2009, **132**, 349–354.
- 37 Z. Tao, Y. Li, J. Jing, F. S. Wong and X. Lu, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2008, **62**, 551–558.
- 38 L. Xu and J. Wang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **123**, 117–126.
- 39 H. Wang and J. Wang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **89**, 111–117.
- 40 H. Wang and J. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2008, **53**, 6402–6409.
- 41 W. Chu, C. Y. Kwan, K. H. Chan and S. K. Kam, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2005, **121**, 119–126.
- 42 E. Brillas, J. C. Calpe and J. Casado, *Water Res.*, 2000, **34**, 2253–2262.
- 43 C. Badellino, C. A. Rodrigues and R. Bertazzoli, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2006, **137**, 856–864.
- 44 C. Chen, P. Lei, H. Ji, W. Ma, J. Zhao, H. Hidaka and N. Serpone, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, **38**, 329–337.

