Catalytic decomposition of N₂O over Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃ catalysts†
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Zn–Al₂O₃ supports were prepared by impregnating commercial γ-Al₂O₃ powders with different amounts of Zn(NO₃)₂, followed by calcination in air at 500 or 800 °C. Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃ catalysts were then prepared by impregnating Zn–Al₂O₃ supports with Rh(NO₃)₃ followed by calcination in air at 500 °C. The catalysts and/or supports were characterized by ICP-OES, XRD, N₂ adsorption, Raman spectroscopy, TEM-EDX, XPS, CO₂-TPD, H₂-TPR, and O₂-TPD, and the catalytic performance of supported Rh catalysts in N₂O decomposition was tested. It is concluded that the support can be described as ZnO/Al₂O₃ (ZnO supported on Al₂O₃) when calcining Zn(NO₃)₂/Al₂O₃ at 500 °C, whereas ZnAl₂O₄ spinel forms on the Al₂O₃ surface at 800 °C. Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃ catalysts are much more active than Rh/Al₂O₃ and Rh/ZnO. The best catalyst (Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃–800 with 1 wt% Rh and 1 wt% Zn) has the smallest Rh₂O₃ particle size and can desorb O₂ at lower temperature than other catalysts. Both factors may be important for achieving high activity in N₂O decomposition.

Introduction

The negative environmental impact of N₂O on global warming and ozone layer depletion has raised much concern. The concentration of N₂O in the atmosphere has been increasing at an annual rate of 0.2–0.3% since the industrial revolution.1 Anthropogenic N₂O emission comes from several chemical processes (e.g., nitric acid and adipic acid production) and fossil fuel/biomass burning. N₂O can be decomposed into N₂ and O₂ over supported noble metal catalysts, bare or supported metal oxides, or zeolite-based catalysts.2,3 Rh-Based catalysts often exhibit high activity at relatively low reaction temperatures. Rh species can exist in the form of metallic Rh or Rh₂O₃, depending on whether the catalysts are treated at high temperatures. 

Rh/Al₂O₃ is both a support and a catalyst widely used in industry. Rh/Al₂O₃ shows moderate catalytic activity in N₂O decomposition.4,5,10,11,25 Attempts have been made to improve Al₂O₃-based Rh catalysts for N₂O decomposition. For example, Haber et al. reported that the presence of alkali metal additives on Rh/Al₂O₃ can lead to more active catalysts due to the improved dispersion of Rh species.28 Parres-Esclapez et al. found that Sr can promote the activity of Rh/Al₂O₃ due to the improved dispersion and reducibility of Rh species.29 Zhao et al. reported that Rh/SiO₂-Al₂O₃ shows high activity, because oxygen desorption property is improved and Rh⁰ species is stabilized.30 Kim and co-workers reported that Rh/Fe-Al₂O₃ is more active than Rh/Al₂O₃ due to increased surface area and improved reducibility of Rh species.31

It has been reported that spinel phase has a strong interaction with noble metals, resulting in smaller size and better stability of supported noble metal particles,32,33 which is beneficial for N₂O decomposition.32,25,28 High temperature calcination of Al₂O₃-supported metal nitrates is a convenient method to form spinel phase.14–16 However, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no work dealing with N₂O decomposition over Rh/M–Al₂O₃ catalysts with spinel phase.

Herein, we prepared Zn-Al₂O₃ supports by impregnating Zn(NO₃)₂ on commercial γ-Al₂O₃ powders followed by calcination. Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃ catalysts were synthesized by impregnating Rh(NO₃)₃ onto Zn–Al₂O₃ supports. The catalyst prepared under optimal conditions was found to be much more active than Rh/Al₂O₃ and Rh/ZnO in N₂O decomposition. The catalysts were characterized in detail, and reasons for the high activity of Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃ were elucidated.

Experimental section

Preparation

A calculated amount of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O was dissolved in 20 mL deionized water in an agate mortar. Then, 1.98 g γ-Al₂O₃ powder (specific surface area = 110 m² g⁻¹) was added and the slurry
was mixed sufficiently using an agate pestle, followed by drying under an infrared lamp. The Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ precursors were calcined in a muffle furnace at a certain temperature (500, 600, 700, 800, or 900 °C) for 4 h under flowing air. The obtained supports are referred to as x% Zn-Al$_2$O$_3$-y, where x% represents the wt% Zn in the catalysts and y the calcination temperature in °C. Zn-Al$_2$O$_3$-y in the text usually has 1 wt% Zn, unless otherwise specified.

For comparison, commercial γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ was also calcined at 800 °C for 4 h under flowing air. ZnO was prepared by precipitation. 50 mL ammonia solution (2.8 mol L$^{-1}$) was added dropwise to 100 mL Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution (0.6 mol L$^{-1}$) under stirring. The precipitates were isolated by filtration, thoroughly washed by deionized water till pH value of filter liquor reached 7, dried at 100 °C overnight, and calcined at 800 °C for 4 h under flowing air. These two samples are referred to as Al$_2$O$_3$-800 and ZnO-800, respectively. An additional ZnO sample was prepared by calcining Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$-6H$_2$O at 800 °C for 4 h under flowing air.

Rhodium was loaded onto supports by impregnation. 1.98 g support was mixed with 10 mL Rh(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution (2 mg mL$^{-1}$) in an agate mortar and dried under an infrared lamp (theoretical Rh content is 1 wt%). The obtained powders were calcined at 500 °C for 4 h.

Characterization

XRD patterns were recorded on a MSAL XD2 X-ray diffractometer using CuKα radiation at a scanning speed of 4° min$^{-1}$, with voltage of 40 kV and current of 30 mA. BET surface areas were measured on a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 instrument. The samples were treated at 300 °C in vacuum for 3 h, followed by N$_2$ adsorption at −196 °C. ICP-OES was measured on a PerkinElmer OPTIMA 2100 DV optical emission spectrometer. 0.1 g sample was dissolved in a mixture of 3 mL HNO$_3$, 9 mL HCl, 1 mL HClO$_4$, 0.5 mL H$_2$O$_2$, and 3 mL HF reagents, followed by heating at 150 °C for 2–3 h. After that, the sample was mixed with 1 mL HNO$_3$, 3 mL HCl, 0.5 mL HClO$_4$, and 1 mL HF again, and the mixture was transferred to Teflon autoclave, heated at 180 °C for 4 h, cooled to the ambient temperature, and then diluted with distilled water for analysis.

Raman spectra were recorded on a HORIBA Jobin Yvon XploRA spectrometer. In order to avoid fluorescence of ZnO, wavelength of exciting light was selected as 532 nm. TEM data were obtained by a FEI Tecnai G$^2$ F20 S-TWIN with an EDX instrument. All samples were measured under an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. XPS spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu/Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD spectrometer with AlKα radiation as the excitation source. The C1s line (284.6 eV) was used as the reference to calibrate the binding energy.

Rh dispersion was determined by CO chemisorption on a Micromeritics AutoChem II instrument, based on 1 : 1 stoichiometry (CO/Rh). 0.15 g Rh catalyst (40–60 mesh) was pretreated in He flow at 400 °C for 1 h, and cooled to 30 °C. Then, a pulse of 5% CO–He mixture was repeatedly injected into the reactor via a six-way valve until the CO signals from the thermal conductivity detector remained constant. He was used as the carrier gas. The volume of CO chemisorbed was determined by summing the fractions of CO consumed in each pulse.

CO$_2$-TPD experiments were conducted on a Micromeritics AutoChem II instrument. 0.2 g sample (40–60 mesh) was pretreated in He flow at 500 °C for 1 h, and cooled to 80 °C. The flow was switched to 5% CO$_2$/He (30 mL min$^{-1}$) and kept for 1 h, and then swept by He (30 mL min$^{-1}$) for 1.5 h. Finally, the sample was heated in He (30 mL min$^{-1}$) to 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$.

H$_2$-TPR experiments were conducted on a FINESORB-3010 instrument, equipped with a mass spectrometer (OmniStar$^TM$). 0.15 g catalyst (40–60 mesh) was pretreated in He flow at 400 °C for 1 h, cooled down to room temperature, and further cooled in an ice-water bath. Then, the catalyst was exposed in 4% H$_2$–He mixture (30 mL min$^{-1}$) at 0 °C for 1 h, and heated by a furnace to 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$. The profile was recorded through the channel of m/z = 2.

O$_2$-TPD experiments were conducted on a FINESORB-3010 instrument. 0.2 g catalyst (40–60 mesh) was pretreated in He flow at 500 °C for 1 h, and cooled to 50 °C. The catalyst was exposed to O$_2$ (10 mL min$^{-1}$) at 50 °C for 1 h, swept by He (30 mL min$^{-1}$) for 3 h, and heated in He (30 mL min$^{-1}$) to 580 °C at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$.

Catalytic tests

Catalytic activity of N$_2$O decomposition was tested in a fixed-bed microreactor. 0.5 g catalyst (40–60 mesh) was packed in a U-shaped glass tube (7 mm inner diameter) sealed by quartz wool. A gas stream of 0.5% N$_2$O (balanced by He) flowed through the catalyst at a rate of 60 mL min$^{-1}$. The catalyst (first kept at near room temperature) was exposed to the gas stream for 1 h during which the existing stream was periodically analyzed by a gas chromatograph (GC, Agilent 7890A) equipped with columns (Sepuco 6ftQ and Sepuco Q&5A, column temperature: 80 °C) that can separate N$_2$O, O$_2$, and N$_2$. The reaction temperature was then raised using a furnace and kept at various elevated temperature for 0.5 h in each temperature step. The exhaust was again periodically analyzed by the GC, and the conversion of N$_2$O was calculated according to $X = ([N_2O]_{in} - [N_2O]_{out})/[N_2O]_{in}$ where [N$_2O$]$_{in}$ refers to the N$_2$O concentration or peak area at room temperature, and [N$_2O$]$_{out}$ refers to the N$_2$O concentration or peak area at an elevated temperature.

Results and discussion

Structural and physical properties

Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-500 and Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800 catalysts were prepared by using different supports prepared by calcining Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ in air at 500 or 800 °C. The Zn and Rh contents are both fixed to be 1 wt%. In addition, Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$-800 and Rh/ZnO-800 were also prepared for comparison. The Rh contents of these four catalysts are determined by ICP-OES as 1.1 wt%, 1.1 wt%, 1.0 wt%, and 1.0 wt%, respectively. The Zn contents of Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-500 and Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800 are determined as 1.1 wt% and 1.1 wt%, respectively, in accordance with the theoretical value.

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of supported Rh catalysts. Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-500, Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800, and Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$-800 exhibit identical diffraction peaks at ca. 33, 37, 40, 46, 60, and 67°, in accordance with the diffraction peaks of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (PDF#47-1308).
In addition, no Rh or Rh$_2$O$_3$ can be detected, due to the low Rh content (ca. 1 wt%) and high dispersion, as demonstrated by TEM and Rh dispersion data later. Rh/ZnO-800 shows very sharp peaks corresponding to ZnO, implying that the ZnO support is highly crystalline and has a low surface area. Again, no Rh or Rh$_2$O$_3$ can be seen on the XRD pattern of Rh/ZnO-800. Fig. S1 in the ESI† depicts the XRD patterns in the 2$\theta$ = 30–40° region, highlighting that there is no difference among the XRD patterns of Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-500, Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800, and Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$-800. No ZnO or ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ peaks can be observed for Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800, due to the low loading of Zn (ca. 1 wt%).

According to the literature, surface spinel (ZnAl$_2$O$_4$) may be formed when calcining ZnO/Al$_2$O$_3$ at 800 °C. The fact that no ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ peaks are observed for Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800 may be because the Zn loading is so low (ca. 1 wt%). To prove this explanation, we additionally prepared Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$ supports with nominal Zn contents of 0.5 wt%, 5 wt%, 10 wt%, and 20 wt%, respectively. These supports were all calcined at 800 °C. All catalysts were accurately scanned in the 2$\theta$ = 30–40° range, where the XRD peaks of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$, ZnAl$_2$O$_4$, and ZnO can be distinguished clearly.

As shown in Fig. 2, the diffraction peaks are significantly enhanced with the increase of Zn content, and gradually shift toward 31.2 and 36.8° which represent (220) and (311) planes of ZnAl$_2$O$_4$, respectively. When the Zn content is or exceeds 10 wt%, the ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ peaks become clearer. When the Zn content is 20 wt%, the appearance of peaks at 31.8, 34.4, and 36.3° representing (100), (002) and (101) planes of ZnO respectively proves the formation of ZnO. The data infer that surface ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ may likely form on Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$ with a Zn content of 1 wt%, only that the ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ phase can not be detected by XRD.

Fig. 3 presents the Raman spectra of several samples without Rh. ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ (synthesized via citric acid combustion method)\textsuperscript{37} pure phase proved by XRD) exhibits two Raman peaks at 418 and 659 cm$^{-1}$, representing $E_g$ and $T_{2g}$ vibration modes of spinel structure, respectively.\textsuperscript{38} For Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800, the peak at 418 cm$^{-1}$ is obvious, indicating the formation of ZnAl$_2$O$_4$. However, the $T_{2g}$ peak at 659 cm$^{-1}$ is missing, in accordance with a previous report.\textsuperscript{36} A possible explanation is that tetrahedral defects are induced into spinel structure by impregnating divalent metal cations onto γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (a spinel-like structure with stoichiometric ratio of tetrahedron defects).\textsuperscript{36} For comparison, Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-500 does not exhibit peaks at 418 or 659 cm$^{-1}$. Instead, a board and weak peak at 440 cm$^{-1}$ is observed, close to $E_2$ vibration mode of ZnO at 437 cm$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{39} Therefore, high temperature (800 °C) is necessary for the formation of surface ZnAl$_2$O$_4$. 

---

**Fig. 1** XRD patterns of the catalysts and standard patterns of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (PDF#47-1308), Rh (PDF#05-0685), and Rh$_2$O$_3$ (PDF#41-0541).

**Fig. 2** XRD patterns of Rh/Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800 catalysts with different Zn loading and standard patterns of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (PDF#47-1308), ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ (PDF#05-0699), and ZnO (PDF#36-1451).

**Fig. 3** Raman spectra for Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-800, Zn–Al$_2$O$_3$-500, and ZnAl$_2$O$_4$-cit-800 (synthesized via citric acid route, pure ZnAl$_2$O$_4$ phase) and ZnO-800 for comparison.
Fig. S2† shows the Raman spectra of Zn–Al2O3-800 with different Zn content. The peaks at 418 and 659 cm⁻¹ representing Eg and T2g vibration of ZnAl2O4 lattice become stronger as the Zn content in the sample increases from 1 wt% to 5 wt% and then to 10 wt%. The relative intensity of T2g vibration to that of Eg vibration also increases with the Zn content, probably because higher crystallinity of ZnAl2O4 restrains the formation of tetrahedron defects. However, when the Zn content of sample is 20 wt%, a new peak at 438 cm⁻¹ representing E2 vibration of ZnO lattice appears, indicating the formation of ZnO, as also revealed by XRD (Fig. 2).

Fig. 4 shows the TEM graphs of supported Rh catalysts. The size and morphology of Zn–Al2O3 supports (Fig. 4a and b) are identical to those of Al2O3 (Fig. 4c). This conclusion is in line with the XRD patterns (Fig. 1) and specific surface area data (Table 1). The surface area of Al2O3-800 is 110 m² g⁻¹, and those of Zn–Al2O3-500 and Zn–Al2O3-800 are 108 and 105 m² g⁻¹, respectively. For comparison, ZnO-800 exhibits much bigger spherical particles, in accordance with its low surface area (4 m² g⁻¹, Table 1). Rh2O3 particles are dispersed on these supports. The homogeneous distribution of Rh and Zn on Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 is shown by EDX-mapping (Fig. S3†).

Fig. S4† shows the TEM graphs with larger size. The graphs show that Rh2O3 are well dispersed on the supports. The size distributions of Rh2O3 particles were determined by analyzing 300 particles from over 5 TEM graphs for each sample. As shown in Fig. S5† most Rh2O3 particles are in the range of 0.5 and 1.0 nm over Al2O3-based catalysts. However, due to the low surface area of ZnO support (4 m² g⁻¹), Rh2O3 particles on ZnO are relatively big. The average sizes of Rh2O3 particles on Zn–

**Table 1** Some structural and physical properties of Rh/Zn–Al2O3 and reference samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET surface areaa (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Average size of Rh2O3 particlesb (nm)</th>
<th>Rh dispersion (%)</th>
<th>Amount of basic sites (µmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Starting desorption temperature of O₂ (°C)</th>
<th>Amount of desorbed O₂ (a.u.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.20</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh/Zn–Al2O3-500</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.19</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh/Al2O3-500</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.25</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh/ZnO-800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.90</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Bare supports (without Rh). b Obtained by analyzing 300 Rh2O3 particles in TEM graphs.
stoichiometric Rh oxide (Rh+) is at about 308.1 eV, and that of the Rh 3d5/2 peak due to its higher intensity. The binding energy of Rh 3d5/2 peaks are located at 306.8, 309.5, and 309.6 eV for Rh/ZnO-800, Rh/Al2O3-800, and Rh/ZnO-800, respectively. The following discussion will be focused on such an effect more obviously.

Fig. 5 shows the Rh 3d XPS spectra of fresh catalysts. Peaks assigned to Rh 3d3/2 and Rh 3d5/2 are at 306–311 eV and 311–317 eV, respectively. The discussion will be focused on the Rh 3d5/2 peak due to its higher intensity. The binding energy of reduced Rh species (Rh0) is at 307.0–307.7 eV, that of non-stoichiometric Rh oxide (Rh+) is at about 308.1 eV, and that of Rh3+ is at 308.3–310.5 eV.41–44 Rh 3d3/2 peaks are located at 309.3, 309.5, and 309.6 eV for Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800, Rh/Zn-Al2O3-500, and Rh/Al2O3-800, respectively, indicating that Rh species mainly exist as Rh0. Only a small portion of Rh species (<10%) exist as Rh0. It is reasonable because the catalysts have been calcined at 500 or 800 °C in air and without further reduction. However, Rh 3d spectrum of Rh/ZnO-800 is quite different, showing a very sharp and strong peak at 308.2 eV, indicating the formation of non-stoichiometric Rh oxide. Due to low surface area of ZnO, relatively big Rh2O3 particles on ZnO (Fig. S4d†) have different chemical properties as compared to smaller particles.41 In addition, high surface Rh density on low-surface-area ZnO results in stronger Rh signal. Fig. S6† compares the XPS spectra of Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800 with and without being pretreated in 4% H2 (balance He) at 400 °C for 2 h. Binding energy of Rh 3d5/2 peak declines from 309.3 eV (without pretreatment) to 308.6 eV (with pretreatment), indicating the partial reduction of Rh species (the proportion of Rh0 increases from 9.1% to 26.0%) upon H2 pretreatment.

Activity measurement

Fig. 6 shows the N2O conversions on supported Rh catalysts as a function of reaction temperature. The catalytic activity on these catalysts follows the sequence of Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800 > Rh/Zn-Al2O3-500 > Rh/Al2O3-800 > Rh/ZnO-800. The N2O conversions over these catalysts at 275 °C are 98.7%, 54.4%, 27.2%, and 22.3%, respectively. The specific rates of these catalysts (expressed as moles of N2O converted per mole of Rh per minute) at 275 °C are calculated to be 0.226, 0.125, 0.069, and 0.056 min−1, respectively. The T50 (temperature required for 50% conversion) values of these catalysts are 251, 273, 289, and 300 °C, respectively. Note that ZnO referred to above was prepared by precipitation. An additional Rh/ZnO-800 catalyst was prepared using ZnO obtained by calcining Zn(NO3)2·6H2O at 800 °C for 4 h. That catalyst is less active than Rh/ZnO-800 mentioned above (Fig. S7†). In addition, Al2O3-800 (without Rh) is much less active (17.0% N2O conversion at 400 °C) than Rh/Zn-Al2O3, indicating that Rh2O3 act as the main active sites for N2O decomposition.

The effect of calcination temperature of Zn–Al2O3 on the activity of the resulting Rh/Zn–Al2O3 catalysts was studied. As shown in Fig. S8,† the N2O conversions over Rh/Zn-Al2O3-500, Rh/Zn-Al2O3-600, Rh/Zn-Al2O3-700, Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800, and Rh/Zn-Al2O3-900 at 275 °C are 54.4%, 55.5%, 68.0%, 98.7%, and 47.1%, respectively. The T50 values of these catalysts are 273, 272, 266, 251, and 277 °C, respectively. The activities of these catalysts follow the sequence of Rh/Zn-Al2O3-900 < Rh/Zn-Al2O3-500 < Rh/Zn-Al2O3-600 < Rh/Zn-Al2O3-700 < Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800, i.e., Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800 is the most active.

The effect of Zn content on the performance of Rh/Zn–Al2O3 catalysts was also studied. As shown in Fig. S9,† the N2O conversions over Rh/Al2O3-800, Rh/0.5% Zn–Al2O3-800, Rh/1% Zn–Al2O3-800, Rh/10% Zn–Al2O3-800, and Rh/20% Zn–Al2O3-800 at 275 °C are 27.2%, 89.7%, 98.7%, 83.7%, and 70.1%, respectively. The T50 values of these catalysts are 289, 258, 251, 261, and 265 °C, respectively. The activities of these catalysts follow the sequence of Rh/Al2O3-800 < Rh/20% Zn–Al2O3-800 < Rh/10% Zn–Al2O3-800 < Rh/0.5% Zn–Al2O3-800 < Rh/1% Zn–Al2O3-800, i.e., Rh/1% Zn–Al2O3-800 is the most active.

Fig. 6 Conversion of N2O on the catalysts as a function of reaction temperature.
Fig. S10† shows the influence of different GHSV values (7420, 14 840, and 37 100 h⁻¹), achieved by adjusting the catalyst dosage (0.5, 0.25, and 0.1 g, respectively), on the performance of the optimal catalyst Rh/1% Zn–Al₂O₃-800. In general, a decrease of N₂O conversion is seen at a high GHSV at the same reaction temperature. The T₅₀ values are 251, 266, and 291 °C at GHSV of 7420, 14 840, and 37 100 h⁻¹, respectively. However, the N₂O conversion still reaches 87.5% at 325 °C when the GHSV is as high as 37 100 h⁻¹.

Fig. S11† shows the influence of Rh/M–Al₂O₃-800 (M = Zn, Mg, Co, Ni, Cu) catalysts with different divalent metal cations on N₂O decomposition. The M content is fixed to be 1 wt%. All Rh/M–Al₂O₃-800 catalysts exhibit superior performance than Rh/Al₂O₃-800. The N₂O conversions on Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Mg–Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Co–Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Ni–Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Cu–Al₂O₃-800, and Rh/Al₂O₃-800 at 275 °C are 98.7%, 64.1%, 76.0%, 72.9%, 82.5%, and 27.2% respectively. Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 is still the most active among these catalysts.

Fig. 7 shows N₂O conversion over Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 and Rh/Al₂O₃-800 in the absence or presence of H₂O, O₂, or CO₂. According to the literature,⁶,¹⁹ H₂O and O₂ cause competitive adsorption with N₂O on active sites, leading to severe inhibition of activity on Rh-based catalysts. The T₅₀ value of Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 in the absence of H₂O, O₂, or CO₂ is 251 °C. The T₅₀ value increases to 272 °C when 5% O₂ alone is added to the reaction mixture, and it increases more obviously to 321 °C when 2% H₂O alone is added. When 5% O₂ and 2% H₂O are co-fed to the reaction mixture, the T₅₀ value increases further to 333 °C. The addition of 2% CO₂ alone makes the T₅₀ value become 284 °C. The presence of H₂O, O₂, or CO₂ also exerts similar inhibiting effects on Rh/Al₂O₃-800, only that Rh/Al₂O₃-800 is always much less active than Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 under respective condition for comparison. The stability of both Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 and Rh/Al₂O₃-800 as a function of time on stream is good, either in the absence or presence of 2% H₂O and 5% O₂ (Fig. S12†).

Gas-switching experiments were conducted to know whether the inhibiting effect of O₂, and H₂O is reversible. Fig. 8 clearly shows that N₂O conversion decreases almost immediately when 5% O₂ is co-fed into the reactor, but the conversion can be restored when stopping feeding O₂. 2% H₂O has a more obviously inhibiting effect, but such an inhibiting effect is also reversible.¹¹,²⁶

Fig. 7 Influence of co-feed 5% O₂, 2% H₂O, or 2% CO₂ on the conversion of N₂O over Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 and Rh/Al₂O₃-800 as a function of reaction temperature.

Fig. 8 Response of N₂O conversion to step changes of co-feed 5% O₂ and/or 2% H₂O over Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800 at 275 °C.

Fig. 9 Influence of O₂ concentration on the activities of Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Zn–Al₂O₃-500, Rh/Al₂O₃-800, and Rh/ZnO-800 in N₂O decomposition.
O₂ reaction order was measured by changing O₂ concentration while keeping N₂O concentration as 0.5%,⁴ to further explore the inhibition effect of oxygen. To eliminate diffusion factor, catalysts usage (0.128, 0.204, 0.256, and 0.306 g for Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500, Rh/Al₂O₃-800 and Rh/ZnO-800, respectively) and reaction temperature (230, 250, 260, and 290 °C for Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500, Rh/Al₂O₃-800 and Rh/ZnO-800, respectively) were chosen to control the N₂O conversion below 15%. As shown in Fig. 9, a good linearity between ln(rate) versus ln(O₂ concentration) enables us to derive O₂ reaction orders as −0.352, −0.540, −0.598, and −0.707 for Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500, Rh/Al₂O₃-800, and Rh/ZnO-800, respectively. The data indicate that the inhibition effect of O₂ on Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 is the weakest, whereas that on Rh/ZnO-800 is the strongest.

The influence of 4% H₂ pretreatment on Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 was investigated. As shown in Fig. S13, the H₂ pretreatment could improve the activity. The N₂O conversion reaches 64.5% at 250 °C (in comparison to the 48.9% conversion achieved on the catalyst without being pretreated in H₂). It has been reported that metallic Rh is more favorable for N₂O decomposition on non-reducible support,⁴⁻,⁵ thus the formation of some metallic Rh after H₂ reduction (the proportion of Rh⁰ increases from 9.1% to 26.0% upon H₂ pretreatment, Fig. S6†) should be the reason of the promoted activity although Rh₂O₃ can also catalyze this reaction.

### Chemical properties of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃ and reference samples

Fig. S14† shows the CO₂-TPD profiles of Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Zn-Al₂O₃-500, Al₂O₃-800, and ZnO-800, and the values of calculated basicity are listed in Table 1. It was reported that ZnAl₂O₄ exhibits higher basic site density than Al₂O₃.⁴⁴ However, here Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Zn-Al₂O₃-500, and Al₂O₃-800 show similar CO₂-TPD profiles. The amounts of basic sites of these supports are 14.6, 13.5, and 14.3 μmol g⁻¹, respectively. Low Zn loading should be the reason for the similarity, because only a small amount of ZnAl₂O₄ phase is formed on Al₂O₃ surface. On the other hand, ZnO-800 with a low surface area (4 m² g⁻¹) has the smallest amount of basic sites (4.4 μmol g⁻¹), in accordance with a previous report.⁴⁵

Fig. 10 shows the H₂-TPR profiles of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500, Rh/Al₂O₃-800, and Rh/ZnO-800. All catalysts exhibit reduction peaks below 300 °C, assigned to the reduction of Rh species.¹⁷,²⁶,⁴² The reduction temperature follows the sequence of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 (56 °C) < Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500 (76 °C) < Rh/Al₂O₃ (86 °C) < Rh/ZnO-800 (217 °C). The reduction temperature of Rh/ZnO-800 is much higher than those of other catalysts, probably due to larger Rh particles (TEM, Fig. 4 and S4†) with a distinctive electronic property (XPS, Fig. 5). In addition, a peak located at 233 °C is exhibited (the result is reproducible) in Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800, may due to the strong interaction between the support and Rh, resulting in the formation of other Rh-containing species instead of Rh₂O₃.⁴⁶,⁴⁷

Fig. 11 shows the O₂-TPD profiles of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800, Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500, Rh/Al₂O₃-800, and Rh/ZnO-800. Rh/ZnO-800 does not show any oxygen desorption peak, whereas other three catalysts all show an oxygen desorption peak below 580 °C. The starting desorption temperature follows the order of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 (233 °C) < Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500 (298 °C) < Rh/Al₂O₃-800 (313 °C), whereas the amounts of oxygen desorption follow the sequence of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 > Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500 > Rh/Al₂O₃-800 (Table 1).

### Discussion

The N₂O decomposition activities of four catalysts follow the sequence of Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 > Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-500 > Rh/Al₂O₃-800 > Rh/ZnO-800 (Fig. 6). The catalytic performance of the best catalyst (Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800) in this work is then compared with the performance of other catalysts. Table S1† lists the T₉₀ and T₅₀ values of various catalysts, together with the reaction conditions. Although the Rh/Zn-Al₂O₃-800 catalyst seems to be more active than most of the catalysts, such as Cu-,⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ Fe-,⁵¹,⁵² and Co-based catalysts,⁵¹⁻⁵⁶ as well as Pd-, Pt-,⁴⁸,⁵⁰,⁵⁷ and Ir-based⁴⁸ catalysts.
Hence, the activity of Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800 shown in Table S1, be a stronger interaction between Rh2O3 and ZnAl2O4 phase. promoting the catalytic activity (data not shown). There seems to ZnAl2O4 comes from the H2-TPR data, as an additional reduction 800 should be caused by the formation of ZnAl2O4 phase on Al2O3 contents do not show ZnAl2O4 peaks due to the low concentration of Zn, whereas Zn–Al2O3-800 supports with relatively high Zn contents show ZnAl2O4 peaks clearly (Fig. 2). Another piece of evidence showing the strong interaction between Rh2O3 and ZnAl2O4 comes from the H2-TPR data, as an additional reduction peak shows up at a higher temperature of 233 °C (Fig. 10).

As shown in XPS data (Fig. 5), the binding energies of Rh 3d5/2 follow the sequence of Rh/Zn-Al2O3-800 (309.3 eV) < Rh/Zn–Al2O3-500 (309.5 eV) < Rh/Al2O3-800 (309.6 eV), indicating the electronic properties of Rh species are altered by the supports. Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 exhibits the lowest Rh 3d5/2 binding energy among these catalysts, indicating the strongest shielding effect caused by the highest outer electron density. It has been reported that metal-oxygen bonding energy is weaker in metal oxides with higher electron density, because the electron could fill into the empty orbit of oxygen.66-68 As a result, Rh2O3 on Zn–Al2O3-800 is easier to be reduced due to the weaker bonding between Rh and oxygen (H2-TPR, Fig. 10). In the literature, the higher activity of catalysts in N2O decomposition has been correlated to the reduction behaviour of catalysts (more specifically, the active components) in some cases.54-60 That is not to say that these catalysts have to be reduced prior to the reaction testing. Rather, the enhanced reducibility means the weakening of the O-M bonds, which is expected to be important for N2O decomposition, i.e., the adsorbed oxygen can be desorbed from the catalyst more easily.

In another aspect, oxygen desorption is a key step in N2O decomposition, even rate-determining step in many cases.2,10,14,25-69 If oxygen can not be smoothly desorbed from catalyst surface, the active sites will be occupied by oxygen, blocking the catalytic circle. As shown in Fig. 9, the starting O2- desorption temperature follows the order of Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 (233 °C) < Rh/Al2O3-800 < Rh/Zn–Al2O3-500 < Rh/Al2O3-400 (313 °C), in line with the notion that easy desorption of O2 is beneficial for catalytic N2O decomposition.26,27,70,71 In addition, the inhibition effect of O2 (as seen from the oxygen reaction order, Fig. 8) on catalytic activity follows the sequence of Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 < Rh/ Zn–Al2O3-500 < Rh/Al2O3-800 < Rh/ZnO, inversely correlating with the activity sequence of these catalysts.

**Conclusions**

Zn(NO3)2 was impregnated onto a commercial γ-Al2O3 support. The composite was then calcined in air at 500 or 800 °C. The resulting Zn–Al2O3-500 and Zn–Al2O3-800 supports were used to load Rh via impregnation with Rh(NO3)3 and calcination at 500 °C. While the Zn species in Rh/Zn–Al2O3-500 is ZnO, the Zn species in Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 is ZnAl2O4. The activities of four catalysts in N2O decomposition follow the sequence of Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 > Rh/Zn– Al2O3-500 > Rh/Al2O3-800 > Rh/ZnO-800, correlating with the size of Rh2O3 particles, the reducibility of Rh2O3, the O2-desorption property, and the oxygen reaction order. The most active Rh/Zn–Al2O3-800 has the smallest Rh2O3 particles (i.e., the highest Rh dispersion), the lowest reduction temperature of Rh2O3, the lowest O2 desorption temperature, and its activity is influenced by the presence of O2 least obviously. The formation of ZnAl2O4 on Al2O3 support is beneficial for the stabilization of Rh2O3 particles. This work demonstrates a convenient way for preparing active Rh catalysts based on commercial γ-Al2O3 support.
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