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Easy preparation of well-dispersed inorganic nanoparticles is critical for their practical applications. By using jellyfish mesoglea, a biological hydrogel mainly composed of nanofibers, as a template, nanocomposite hydrogels with well-dispersed Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are successfully synthesized through the coprecipitation method. Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, mostly less than 20 nm, are uniformly formed and distributed on the nanofibers. With the increase of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration, more nanoparticles are formed and hence the size of the nanofibers increases, and only very less significant aggregation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles is observed at a high Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration of 1 M. The JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels have very high Fe₃O₄ content, which can achieve about 79%. The nanocomposite hydrogels display nearly superparamagnetism, and show smaller saturation magnetizations (Mₛ) than that of bulk Fe₃O₄. Moreover, introduction of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles increases the BET surface areas of the gels. The JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels exhibit high activity in catalyzing the oxidative degradation of xylenol orange and excellent reusability.

Introduction

Magnetic nanomaterials have widespread applications in drug release, hyperthermia, sensors, supercapacitors, adsorption, and catalysis.¹⁻⁶ The application of magnetic nanoparticles in catalysis has drawn rapidly growing attention due to their easy preparation, high catalytic efficiency, excellent reusability, and convenient separation from the reaction solutions by an external magnetic field.⁷ Various kinds of magnetic nanoparticles, such as MgCr₂O₄, Mn₃Fe₁₋ₓO and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, have been applied to catalyze the oxidative or photo degradation of pollutants in water, such as dyes, phenols, and bacteria.⁶,⁸⁻¹⁰

Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are the most commonly used magnetic nanomaterials, and they are usually prepared through thermal decomposition, solvothermal reaction, co-precipitation and so on.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, due to their inherent magnetic interactions and high surface energies, the aggregation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles is inevitable in many cases, which leads to their wide size distributions, small surface areas, and hence low catalytic efficiencies.¹⁵,¹⁶ To prevent the aggregation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, inorganic porous materials (e.g. alumina, silica and activated carbon) and macromolecular microspheres are commonly employed as the templates for the formation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.¹⁷⁻²⁰ In addition, surfactants, capping agents, and chelating agents are also widely applied to increase the stability and activity.²¹⁻²³

Hydrogels are three-dimensional polymeric networks containing a large portion of water. The polymeric networks can serve as ideal matrices for the formation of uniformly distributed Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.²⁴ The incorporation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles into the hydrophilic gel network also facilitates the application of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in catalyzing the degradation of water pollutants.⁴ Till now, several types of hydrogels with Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles have been reported. Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles can be introduced into the hydrogels before or after the gelation process²⁵⁻²⁸ or simultaneously.²⁹⁻³²

However, most synthetic hydrogels are structurally inhomogeneous, and they generally show porous structures with continuous and dense pore walls (in the freeze-dried state), which impede the fast diffusion and exchange of masses between the hydrogels and the environment. The continuous and dense pore walls also lead to the low specific surface areas of the hydrogels, and hence severe aggregation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles is also observed in the hydrogels when the content of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles is sufficiently high. Moreover, if the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are incorporated before or in the gelation process, then a large portion of them might be imbedded in the dense pore walls, and hence their performance is strongly impeded.

Hydrogels constructed of nanofibers and/or nanosheets should have very high specific surface areas, and hence provide more active sites for forming Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Unfortunately, the fabrication of nanostructured hydrogels remains
a challenge. Only very few such hydrogels have been reported, and the nanowires are usually made with template polymerization,\textsuperscript{33,34} electrospinning,\textsuperscript{35} and self-assembly.\textsuperscript{36} Our group recently reported a novel directional freezing and γ-radiation initiated polymerization method for synthesizing hydrogels with aligned nanowires.\textsuperscript{37} These methods are commonly very complex and time-consuming.

Thanks to their well-developed structures, biological hydrogels exhibit superior properties than synthetic hydrogels. Jellyfish mesogea (hereafter shortened as JF gel) is a biological hydrogel mainly composed of nanofibers and nanosheets.\textsuperscript{38,39} Macropores in micrometers and meses in submicrons are constructed by the nanofibers and nanosheets. The unique nanostructured microendows JF gel with good mechanical properties even at an extremely high water content of 97–99%.\textsuperscript{40,41} Taking advantage of the JF gel’s nanostructured porous structure, extremely high-content dendritic silver nanoparticles have been prepared by our group.\textsuperscript{42} This novel biogel templated metal nanoparticle production method is very simple, cheap and high efficient, and it should be applicable for preparing other types of nanoparticles.

In this work, we used JF gel as a template to prepare Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles through co-precipitation. In addition, the application of the JF/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanocomposite hydrogels in catalysis was demonstrated by catalyzing the oxidative degradation of xylenol orange. The Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles in the composite hydrogels exhibited high catalytic activity and excellent reusability.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

The edible jellyfish umbrella was purchased from seafood market, which was preserved with a mixture of table salt and alum. The inner part of the jellyfish mesogea was cut from the jellyfish umbrella, and then it was firstly washed with tap-water and then washed with deionized water for 72 h to thoroughly remove the salts. Ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl\textsubscript{3} \times 6H\textsubscript{2}O, analytical grade) and ferrous sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO\textsubscript{4} \times 7H\textsubscript{2}O, 98%) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and Alfa Aesar (Shanghai, China) chemicals Co. Ltd., respectively. Hydrochloric acid (HCl), ammonia water (NH\textsubscript{3} H\textsubscript{2}O), nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}) and hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) were analytical grade from Beijing Chemical Works (Beijing, China), and xylenol orange tetrasodium salt (C\textsubscript{31}H\textsubscript{28}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{13}SNa\textsubscript{4}, analytical grade) was from Tianjin Jinke Fine Chemical Institute (Tianjin, China). All chemicals were used without further purification.

**Preparation of JF/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanocomposite hydrogels**

The swollen jellyfish mesogea was cut into specimens with a size of 20 mm × 20 mm × 5 mm. The samples were dipped in a mixture of 25 mL FeSO\textsubscript{4} and 50 mL FeCl\textsubscript{3} (0.03 mol HCl added to prevent hydrolysis) with different original Fe\textsuperscript{2+} or Fe\textsuperscript{3+} concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 M) for 6 h (the Fe\textsuperscript{2+}/Fe\textsuperscript{3+} solution was changed after 3 h). Then the samples were put into a NH\textsubscript{3} H\textsubscript{2}O solution for 12 h to ensure the full reaction. The dipping process and the reactions were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere. Finally, the samples were thoroughly washed with deionized water to remove unreacted chemicals.

**Characterization**

X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded using a PANalytical-X’Pert PRO diffractometer (Cu radiation, λ = 1.5418 Å) running at 40 kV and 40 mA (PANalytical, Holland). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out on a LabRAM Aramis instrument (Horiba Jobin Yvon, France). Raman spectroscopy was performed on an ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer (Thermo, UK) at room temperature.

**ICP-AES analysis**

The Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles in the composite hydrogels were reacted with concentrated HNO\textsubscript{3} to form water-soluble Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}, and then the diluted aqueous Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} solutions were measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) on a SPECTRO ARCOS EOP instrument (SPECTRO Analytical Instruments GmbH, Germany). The contents of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} in the solutions after the catalytic reactions were also measured with ICP-AES.

**Superparamagnetism measurement**

Magnetic properties of the products were investigated using a Superconducting Quantum Interference Device (SQUID, MPMS XL7, Quantum Design, USA) at room temperature.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation**

The samples were firstly frozen by rapidly plunging into liquid nitrogen for about 5 min, and then the frozen samples were freeze-dried in a LMG-10C vacuum freeze dryer (Beijing Sihuan Scientific Instrument Factory, China) for about 24 h to remove water thoroughly. The freshly cracked surfaces of the freeze-dried samples were analyzed with a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope (Tokyo, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

**BET surface area analysis**

The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface areas of the gel samples cracked into small lumps were evaluated on the basis of nitrogen adsorption isotherms using a Quantachrome NOVA 2000e sorption analyzer (Quantachrome, USA) at liquid nitrogen temperature.

**Catalysis degradation of xylenol orange tetrasodium salt (XO)**

For the catalysis degradation of XO, XO aqueous solution (1 × 10^{-4} M) was freshly prepared. In 10 mL XO solution, 10 mL H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} aqueous solution (30%) was added. One JF/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanocomposite hydrogel sample, cut into eight pieces, was used for the catalysis degradation reaction carried out at ambient temperature under magnetic agitation. A Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) was employed to monitor the progress in a scanning range of 300–600 nm. With
a time gradient of every 5 min, a series of UV spectra were obtained.

**Results and discussion**

**Preparation of JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels**

JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels were prepared with the co-precipitation method. Firstly, JF gels (Fig. 1a) were dipped in the aqueous solutions containing both Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺, and in which the molar ratio of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ is 1/2. After then, the JF gels with Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ (Fig. 1b) were soaked into a NH₃·H₂O solution. The semitransparent JF gels became black in about 20 min (Fig. 1c), indicating the formation of Fe₃O₄ in the gels, and hence JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels were obtained.

**Morphologies of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles**

The morphologies of an original JF gel and the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels are displayed in Fig. 2. The original JF gel is mainly composed of smooth nanofibers with an average diameter of about 35 nm (Fig. 2a). When Fe₃O₄ is introduced into the JF gels, the surface of the nanofibers becomes rough and is covered with many nanoparticles (Fig. 2b–d). With the increase of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration, more nanoparticles are formed on the fibers and hence the size of the nanofibers increases. When the Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration is increased to 1.0 M, adjacent fibers can even be merged to form larger fibers or sheets with a diameter or width up to several hundred nanometers due to the aggregation of the nanoparticles (Fig. 2d). The sizes of the nanoparticles are quite uniform, and they are mostly less than 20 nm, though very less significant aggregation of the nanoparticles is observed at a high Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration. In short, the nanofibers in JF gel act as templates for the formation of nanoparticles.

**Characterization of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles**

To confirm that the nanoparticles formed in the JF gels are Fe₃O₄, the dried composite hydrogels were firstly measured with XRD. As shown in Fig. 3, the (220) (311) (400) (422) (511) and (440) planes of Fe₃O₄ were observed at 2θ = 30.20°, 35.16°, 43.13°, 53.66°, 57.16° and 62.90°, respectively, which are consistent with JCPDS 19-629 (JCPDS: Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards).

It is well-known that the XRD patterns of Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃ are very similar, so the XRD pattern cannot provide enough evidence to rule out γ-Fe₂O₃. Therefore, XPS and Raman spectra were both recorded to distinguish Fe₃O₄ from γ-Fe₂O₃. XPS is very sensitive to Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions. Fig. 4a shows the core-level XPS patterns of a JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogel in the Fe 2p region. The peaks at binding energies of 710.3 and 724.1 eV are the characteristic doublet of Fe 2p₃/2 and Fe 2p₁/2 core-level spectra of Fe₃O₄, respectively. While the typical satellite peak at around 719.2 eV attributed to γ-Fe₂O₃ does not appear, excluding the presence of γ-Fe₂O₃ in the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogel. Fig. 4b shows the Raman spectrum of the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogel. Four main peaks at the wavenumbers of 276, 390, 587 and 1288 cm⁻¹ are almost identical to those reported for Fe₃O₄ powder, and no peaks at around 1430 and 1580 cm⁻¹ attributed to γ-Fe₂O₃ are observed. These characterizations substantially prove that the nanoparticles formed are Fe₃O₄.
The contents of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in the composite gels measured with ICP-AES are shown in Fig. 5. The composite gels generally have very high Fe₃O₄ contents, from 32% at a very low Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration of 0.05 M to the highest value of 79% at 1.0 M Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration. The high Fe₃O₄ content in the composite gels is attributed to the extremely low solid content of JF gel (1–3 wt%) and the nanostructured macroporous structures that facilitate the migration of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ ions and the formation of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. It is noticeable that the increase of Fe₃O₄ content with increasing Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration becomes much slower at a higher concentration. The possible reason is the decrease of active sites on the nanofibers after the formation of some nanoparticles.

**Magnetic properties**

Magnetic hysteresis (M–H) loops of the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogel were measured by SQUID at 300 K. Fig. 6a shows the magnetization curve of the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogel prepared at a Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration of 0.5 M. The coercivity (H_c) and remanence (B_r) observed in its enlargement tend to zero (see the inset), indicating that there is nearly not any remaining magnetization after removing external magnetic field. So it is reasonable to conclude that the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in the composite hydrogels exhibit superparamagnetic behavior. The saturation magnetization (M_s) of the gel is about 32 emu g⁻¹, which is much smaller than that of bulk Fe₃O₄ (92 emu g⁻¹). The small values of H_c, B_r and M_s could be all attributed to the rather small size of the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles prepared at a Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration of 0.5 M. Fig. 6b shows that M_s increases with the increase of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration, in consistent with the increase of Fe₃O₄ content and the aggregation of nanoparticles.

The magnetic properties of the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels endow them with easy collection and separation from solutions. As demonstrated in Fig. 6c, the hydrogel blocks placed in water in a beaker can be easily attracted with a magnet.

**Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas**

The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas of the gels are shown in Fig. 7. The original JF gel has a relatively low surface area of 5.9 m² g⁻¹, possibly due to the low solid content and the smooth surface of the nanofibers. The surface areas of the JF/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite hydrogels gradually increase with increasing Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ concentration, and the highest for the gel...
prepared at a Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ concentration of 1.0 M is 85 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The increase of BET surface area should be ascribed to the introduction of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles.

**Catalytic properties**

Using the strong oxidizer 'OH produced through the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ catalyzed by Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles, organic pollutants can be degraded.$^{49}$ Here, we used the JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogels as a heterogeneous catalyst to catalyze the degradation of xylene orange tetrasodium salt (XO). The reaction process was monitored with UV-Vis spectroscopy, and the typical UV-Vis spectra are shown in Fig. 8a and b. In the absence of a JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogel, the strong absorption peak at 434 nm attributed to XO keeps almost no change even after 45 min (Fig. 8a), suggesting that the degradation of XO does not occur, due to the difficulty in producing 'OH. On the contrary, with the addition of a JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogel, the absorbance at 434 nm gradually decreases with reaction time, and the peak vanishes in about 45 min (Fig. 8b). These results indicate that the JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogel is very effective in catalyzing the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$, which produces the strong oxidizer 'OH for the degradation of XO.

The degradation of XO in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ follows a pseudo-first-order kinetics, and the apparent first-order rate constant $k$ can be calculated through the following equation:

$$\ln(A/A_0) = \ln(C/C_0) = -kt$$

where $C_0$($A_0$) and $C$($A$) are the initial concentration (or absorbance) of XO and the concentration (or absorbance) at the reaction time $t$, respectively.

The $\ln(C/C_0)$--$t$ curves of the reactions performed in the presence of a JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogel for five successive cycles are shown in Fig. 8c. A linear $\ln(C/C_0)$--$t$ relationship is found for all cycles. The corresponding slopes ($k$) of the fitted linear lines are $0.040$, $0.038$, $0.037$, $0.037$ and $0.035$ min$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 8c), indicating the excellent recyclability of the JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogel.

The $k$ values of the JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogels prepared at different Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ concentrations are shown in Fig. 8d. Obviously, the $k$ value increases with Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ concentration. The apparent reason is the increase of Fe$_3$O$_4$ content in the hydrogels prepared with a higher Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ concentration. The $k$ values obtained in this work are much higher than the values reported for palladium-modified TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (0.013 min$^{-1}$)$^m$ and ZnS nanoparticles (0.0155 min$^{-1}$)$^t$, and they are comparable to the highest reported values for porous Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanospheres (0.056 min$^{-1}$).$^7$

The Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles in the composite hydrogels are very stable in the catalysis process. We measured the contents of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in the reacted solutions with ICP-AES analysis and found that they are negligible. For example, in the XO and H$_2$O$_2$ solution after being catalyzed for 45 min by a JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogel prepared at a Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ concentration of 1.0 M, there is only 7.37 μg Fe (or 30.53 μg Fe$_3$O$_4$), which is less than 0.04% of the amount of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles in the nanocomposite hydrogel (ca. 80 mg).

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have successfully prepared well-dispersed Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles in the nanostructured jellyfish mesogela which acts as a natural template for the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Thanks to the nanostructured macroporous structures of jellyfish mesogela, Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles are formed and distributed on the nanofibers, avoiding their severe aggregation even at very high contents of Fe$_3$O$_4$. The introduction of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles endows the hydrogels with good superparamagnetic properties and high BET surface areas. The JF/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite hydrogels exhibit high catalytic activity as well as excellent reusability in the catalytic degradation of xylene orange. The idea of using nanostructured biogels as templates to prepare inorganic nanoparticles can be further expanded to other biomaterials for producing other types of metal or metal oxide nanoparticles. The biogel/nanoparticle composite hydrogels may also find practical applications in many other fields.
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