Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 1013

Correction: Copper(II) catalyzed synthesis of novel helical luminescent benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a][1,10] phenanthrolines *via* an intramolecular C–H amination reaction

Ramon Borges da Silva,^a Rodolfo Inêz Teixeira,^a James L. Wardell,^{b,c} Solange M. S. V. Wardell^d and Simon J. Garden*^a

DOI: 10.1039/c6ob90191c www.rsc.org/obc

Correction for 'Copper(II) catalyzed synthesis of novel helical luminescent benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a][1,10] phenanthrolines *via* an intramolecular C–H amination reaction' by Ramon Borges da Silva, *et al.*, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/c6ob02508k.

The authors regret that there was an error in the bottom part of Fig. 5. The correct figure is shown below.




Fig. 5 (Top) UV-Vis absorption spectra of $\mathbf{6a}$ in cyclohexane (green), CH_2Cl_2 (blue), MeOH (red) and CH_3CN (black). (Bottom) Emission spectra of $\mathbf{6a}$ in cyclohexane (green), CH_2Cl_2 (blue), MeOH (red) and CH_3CN (black).

^aInstituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Centro Tecnológica, Bloco A, Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, 21949-909 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. E-mail: garden@iq.ufrj.br; Tel: +55-21-3938-7135

^bInstituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos - Farmanguinhos, Fiocruz. R. Sizenando Nabuco, 100, Manguinhos, 21041-250 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE Scotland, UK

^dCHEMSOL, 1 Harcourt Road, Aberdeen, AB15 5NY Scotland, UK

In addition, in the paragraph beginning 'Initially, N-phenylpyridin-2-amine (4) was employed as a model compound to explore reaction conditions and to compare results with previously published studies.' In the sentence 'The reactions using 2-NBA and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of 4, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, absent in the reaction with 3-NBA.', compound number 4 should read 5. The correct sentence should read 'The reactions using 2-NBA and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of 5, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, absent in the reaction with 3-NBA.'

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.