CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, 15, 1013

Correction: Copper(II) catalyzed synthesis of novel helical luminescent benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a][1,10]phenanthrolines via an intramolecular C–H amination reaction

Ramon Borges da Silva,^a Rodolfo Inêz Teixeira,^a James L. Wardell,^{b,c} Solange M. S. V. Wardell^d and Simon J. Garden^{*a}

DOI: 10.1039/c6ob90191c

www.rsc.org/obc

Correction for 'Copper(II) catalyzed synthesis of novel helical luminescent benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a][1,10]phenanthrolines via an intramolecular C–H amination reaction' by Ramon Borges da Silva, et al., *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/c6ob02508k.

The authors regret that there was an error in the bottom part of Fig. 5. The correct figure is shown below.

Fig. 5 (Top) UV-Vis absorption spectra of **6a** in cyclohexane (green), CH_2Cl_2 (blue), MeOH (red) and CH_3CN (black). (Bottom) Emission spectra of **6a** in cyclohexane (green), CH_2Cl_2 (blue), MeOH (red) and CH_3CN (black).

^aInstituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Centro Tecnológica, Bloco A, Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, 21949-909 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.
E-mail: garden@iq.ufrj.br; Tel: +55-21-3938-7135

^bInstituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos – Farmanguinhos, Fiocruz. R. Sizenando Nabuco, 100, Manguinhos, 21041-250 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE Scotland, UK

^dCHEMSOL, 1 Harcourt Road, Aberdeen, AB15 5NY Scotland, UK

In addition, in the paragraph beginning 'Initially, *N*-phenylpyridin-2-amine (**4**) was employed as a model compound to explore reaction conditions and to compare results with previously published studies.' In the sentence 'The reactions using 2-NBA and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of **4**, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, absent in the reaction with 3-NBA.', compound number **4** should read **5**. The correct sentence should read 'The reactions using 2-NBA and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of **5**, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, absent in the reaction with 3-NBA.'

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.