## Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



View Article Online

## CORRECTION



**Cite this:** *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 1013

## Correction: Copper(II) catalyzed synthesis of novel helical luminescent benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a][1,10] phenanthrolines *via* an intramolecular C–H amination reaction

Ramon Borges da Silva,<sup>a</sup> Rodolfo Inêz Teixeira,<sup>a</sup> James L. Wardell,<sup>b,c</sup> Solange M. S. V. Wardell<sup>d</sup> and Simon J. Garden\*<sup>a</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/c6ob90191c

www.rsc.org/obc

Correction for 'Copper(II) catalyzed synthesis of novel helical luminescent benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a][1,10] phenanthrolines *via* an intramolecular C–H amination reaction' by Ramon Borges da Silva, *et al.*, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/c6ob02508k.

The authors regret that there was an error in the bottom part of Fig. 5. The correct figure is shown below.



Fig. 5 (Top) UV-Vis absorption spectra of 6a in cyclohexane (green),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (blue), MeOH (red) and  $CH_3CN$  (black). (Bottom) Emission spectra of 6a in cyclohexane (green),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (blue), MeOH (red) and  $CH_3CN$  (black).

<sup>b</sup>Instituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos – Farmanguinhos, Fiocruz. R. Sizenando Nabuco, 100, Manguinhos, 21041-250 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE Scotland, UK

<sup>d</sup>CHEMSOL, 1 Harcourt Road, Aberdeen, AB15 5NY Scotland, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Centro Tecnológica, Bloco A, Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, 21949-909 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. E-mail: garden@iq.ufrj.br; Tel: +55-21-3938-7135

## Correction

In addition, in the paragraph beginning 'Initially, *N*-phenylpyridin-2-amine (4) was employed as a model compound to explore reaction conditions and to compare results with previously published studies.' In the sentence 'The reactions using 2-NBA and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of 4, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, absent in the reaction with 3-NBA.', compound number 4 should read 5. The correct sentence should read 'The reactions using 2-NBA and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of 5, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, and 3-NBA gave similar isolated yields of 5, but the reaction with 2-NBA was observed to be a more complex mixture of products which were, in large part, absent in the reaction with 3-NBA.'

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.