NJC

LETTER

Check for updates

Cite this: New J. Chem., 2017, **41** 6981

Received 14th May 2017, Accepted 27th June 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7nj01666b

The cumene/ O_2 system: a very simple tool for the radical chain oxidation of some functional groups†‡

A. Malekafzali,^{ab} K. Malinovska^a and F. W. Patureau 🛈 *^a

Due to the relative stability of the cumyl radical, cumenes and a-methyl-styrenes are ideally structured to directly harvest the oxidizing reactivity of O2 and initiate radical chain reactions in catalyst-free conditions. In the absence of additional substrates, these processes can lead to acetophenones. In the presence of substrates, the cumene oxidation process can be intercepted in various chain reactions, affording very simple protocols for functional group oxidation.

Phenones are traditionally prepared by ozonolysis (C=C bond cleaving oxidation of styrenes with O₃),¹ metal catalysed oxidation of styrenes with O₂, such as in the Wacker process,² or simply by oxidation of their alkyl benzene precursors, to name only a few methods.³ The chemistry of phenones, their preparation but also their very rich and versatile reactivity has been at the heart of organic synthesis for well over a century. Recently, Jianliang Xiao reported a C=C bond cleaving oxidation of styrenes towards the corresponding phenones while using an iron(m)-triflate catalyst bearing a tridentate amine ligand, with O_2 as the terminal oxidant (Scheme 1).⁴ More recently, Xiao Wang reported an analogous protocol utilizing an aromatic disulfide organocatalyst under white LED, also under 1 atm of O₂.⁵ The latter methods afford the corresponding phenones in good yields at mild temperatures (as low as 25 °C, Scheme 1). However to what extend exactly does one need a catalyst for this reaction to occur? While the activation of molecular O₂ can be troublesome in mild reaction conditions without a catalyst, some early work conducted by Hayashi in 2002 did document the neat transformation of α-methyl-styrenes into acetophenones with O_2 as the sole reagent.⁶



Scheme 1 Oxygenolysis of (a-methyl)-styrenes according to recent reports of Xiao (top)⁴ Wang (middle),⁵ selected examples, in comparison to the catalyst-free method of Hayashi (beneath).⁶

Beyond Hayashi's catalyst free method for α-methylstyrenes,⁶ it seemed clear that based on the known chemistry of cumyl-hydroperoxide, pure cumene should also be a competent substrate in this reaction. This assumption is moreover supported



View Article Online

Jianliang Xiao (JACS) 2015

^a FB Chemie, Technische Universiät Kaiserslautern, Erwin-Schrödinger Str. 52, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany. E-mail: patureau@chemie.uni-kl.de; Web: http://www.chemie.uni-kl.de/patureau

^b Chemistry Department, Tarbiat Modares University, Jalale-Ale-Ahmad Highway, 14117-13116 Tehran. Iran

[†] All the work presented here was conducted in Kaiserslautern.

[#] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and analytical data. See DOI: 10.1039/c7nj01666b

by the decades-old decomposition of cumyl-hydroperoxide, which is known to yield acetophenone.⁷ It thus occurred to us that cumene,⁸ a precursor to α -methyl-styrene, would probably display a similar reactivity than Hayashi's oxygenolysis with O₂, in completely additive free conditions.⁹ We thus conducted an initial reactivity and mechanistic survey, summarized in Table 1.

The following reaction conditions were eventually selected: cumene, 14 mmol, is placed in an 85 mL glass reactor, which is then flushed with O_2 for approximatively 1 minute, and then sealed and heated up for 24 h at 190 °C. When cumene is submitted to those conditions, one molecule of O_2 produces approximatively one molecule of acetophenone. The reaction is still operational at 150 °C but conversion is then lower (1.62 mmol, Table 1, entry 1). By increasing reaction temperature, dehydration of the dimethyl-phenyl-carbinol byproduct increases also, yielding the corresponding α -methyl styrene which can then re-engage itself in an oxidation event with O_2 to produce the desired acetophenone. As opposed to the Xiao⁴ and Wang⁵ systems, in which the position of the olefin starting material is already defined in the substrate, starting directly from unsymmetrical alkyl-benzene precursors can offer

competing C-C bond cleaving pathways. Interestingly, utilizing 2-phenyl-butane instead of cumene is more effective at 150 °C than cumene, with a yield of acetophenone of 3.20 mmol (entry 2). Notably, C-C bond cleavage occurs with a very large preference on the side of the longer alkyl chain, as the acetophenone product largely exceeds the propiophenone product by a ratio of 96:4 (entry 2). This suggests the intermediacy of the corresponding styrene as a significant intermediate, similarly to the Xiao,⁴ Wang⁵ and Hayashi⁶ systems, the internal alkene being largely favored over the external one in the case of an in situ dehydrogenative event. This is confirmed by entry 3, in which neat α -methylstyrene is also converted to acetophenone (3.25 mmol).9 We also tried to run the reaction under air at cumene's boiling point. Only 2.6% of the cumene converted to acetophenone in those open-flask conditions. With those initial conditions in hand, we then evaluated the effects of some simple electron donating and withdrawing substitution patterns (Table 1). We found that strongly withdrawing groups (\mathbb{R}^4 = Ac, 2i), make the cumenes unreactive, likely by preventing the first oxidation step: the cumyl H-atom abstraction. For halides, methoxy, and phenyl functional groups, the tolerance is generally acceptable. A mechanism is proposed in Scheme 2 consisting in a stepwise radical¹⁰ dehydrogenation, producing α-methyl-styrene which would then be further oxidized with O2





Scheme 2 Possible chain propagation mechanisms taking into account the observed by-products of Table 1, PATH I through α -methyl-styrene, notably proposed by Hayashi,⁶ and PATH II through the cumyl-hydroperoxide route, notably documented by Di Somma.⁷

in a Hayashi-like mechanism.⁶ Alternatively, a classical cumylhydroperoxide route can be considered, as documented notably by Di Somma,⁷ which is also reasonable in view of some of the byproducts observed in Table 1. In any case, 2 mmol of 2,4,6-tritert-butylphenol added to the reactor as a radical inhibitor suppresses acetophenone formation (Scheme 2). This result thus confirms the radical chain character of this transformation. Because cumene is so readily oxidized by O_2 , it may potentially be a good solvent to carry out simple functional group oxidation and/or oxidative coupling reactions through radical chain oxidations. In two recent cross-dehydrogenative coupling methods, the cumene/O₂ couple was utilized as an enabling (re-)oxidizing strategy (Scheme 3). One method relies on a Ru/Cu catalysed C-H/N-H bond activation system,¹¹ while the other method is entirely additive-free.⁸ The cumene/O₂ system notably enables the direct and additive-free cross dehydrogenative C-N coupling of



Scheme 3 Cumene/O₂ as a simple oxidizing method, isolated yields.

phenols with phenothiazines in excellent yields, a reaction which we previously reported.8 A similar system also allows the C-S cross dehydrogenative coupling between phenols and thiophenols, although with moderate yields (product 8a, 44%).¹² We thus wondered whether the cumene/O2 system would also be competent for simple functional group oxidation. We therefore considered the oxidation of a series of organic substrates under those conditions. For example, benzhydrol 9 yields benzophenone (entry 14, 97% isolated yield). Diphenylmethane 10 also yields benzophenone (entry 15, 88%), while xanthene (11) and fluorene (12) produce xanthone 16 and fluorenone 17 with 93% and 74% yield respectively. Finally 1,2-diphenylhydrazine (13) yields azobenzene 18 (93%). All were efficiently transformed while simply being heated up in cumene under 1 atm of O₂ at 150 °C, without any further additive. These trivial oxidation processes are among the most studied reactions in the literature.^{13–16} However the vast majority of these oxidative methods rely on metal additives, sometimes also on externally added oxidants, which arguably makes our method an interesting alternative.

In conclusion, the oxidative C–C bond cleaving oxidation of cumenes or α -methyl-styrenes with O₂ towards the corresponding phenones can proceed efficiently without a catalyst. These typically require significantly higher temperatures however than under catalytic conditions.¹⁷ Importantly, the O₂ mediated oxidation of cumene can be intercepted in the frame of cross dehydrogenative couplings, or the simple oxidation of diverse functional groups. Clearly, cumene and its congeners are well suited for the organic activation of O₂ towards oxidative applications.

Acknowledgements

The research of FWP is generously supported by the DFG-funded transregional collaborative research center SFB/TRR 88 "Cooperative effects in homo and heterometallic complexes" (http://3MET.de), DFG funded project PA 2395/2-1, COST Action CA15106 (CHAOS), and since March 2017: by ERC project 716136: "202ACTIVATION". A. M. also acknowledges a fellowship from the Iranian ministry of science, research and technology. Mr Alexander Jones is acknowledged for teaching the laboratory techniques to K. M.

Notes and references

- A selection: (a) R. W. Murray, Acc. Chem. Res., 1968, 1, 313;
 (b) R. Criegee, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1975, 14, 745
 (Angew. Chem., 1975, 87, 765); (c) R. L. Kuczkowski, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1992, 21, 79; (d) S. G. Van Ornum, R. M. Champeau and R. Pariza, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 2990.
- 2 A selection: (a) T. Punniyamurthy, S. Velusamy and J. Iqbal, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 232; (b) C. N. Cornell and M. S. Sigman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 1903; (c) J. A. Keith and P. M. Henry, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9038; (d) J. J. Dong, W. R. Browne and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 734.

- 3 Selected references: (*a*) K. Suga, K. Ohkubo and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2003, **107**, 4339; (*b*) A. Rajagopalan, M. Lara and W. Kroutil, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, 355, 3321; (*c*) A. Rubinstein, P. Jimenez-Lozanao, J. J. Carbo, J. M. Poblet and R. Neumann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10941; (*d*) J.-P. Wan, Y. Gao and L. Wei, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2016, **11**, 2092.
- 4 A. Gonzalez-de-Castro and J. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 8206.
- 5 (a) Y. Deng, X.-J. Wei, H. Wang, Y. Sun, T. Noël and X. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 832, see also; (b) X. Baucherel, J. Uziel and S. Jugé, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 4504.
- 6 See also: (a) Y. Hayashi, M. Takeda, Y. Miyamoto and M. Shoji, *Chem. Lett.*, 2002, 414; (b) R. Lin, F. Chen and N. Jiao, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 4158.
- 7 A selection: (a) M. S. Kharasch, A. Fono and W. Nudenberg, J. Org. Chem., 1951, 16, 113; (b) A. Baignee, J. A. Howard, J. C. Scaiano and L. C. Stewart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 6120; (c) I. Di Somma, R. Andreozzi, M. Canterino and V. Caprio, AIChE J., 2008, 54, 1579; (d) I. Di Somma, R. Marotta, R. Andreozzi and V. Caprio, Process Saf. Environ. Prot., 2013, 91, 262; (e) Y.-S. Duh, Thermochim. Acta, 2016, 637, 102.
- 8 (a) M.-L. Louillat-Habermeyer, R. Jin and F. W. Patureau, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 4102, see also: (b) Y. Zhao, B. Huang, C. Yang and W. Xia, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 3326.
- 9 Cumene is a better starting material than neat α-methylstyrene. In the latter case, a white precipitate also appears, presumably oligomeric by-products.
- 10 A recent review on radical mechanisms: A. Studer and D. P. Curran, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 58.
- 11 M.-L. Louillat, A. Biafora, F. Legros and F. W. Patureau, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 3505.
- 12 See ESI[‡] for details. Other examples, with unfortunately lower yields.



13 For the oxidation of 9, 10, 11, and 12, see these selected recent methods: (a) H. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Huang, J. Tan and K. Xu, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 5680; (b) F. Rusch, J.-C. Schober and M. Brasholz, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 2881; (c) A. Alanthadka, E. S. Devi, S. Nagarajan, V. Sridharan, A. Suvitha and C. U. Maheswari, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2016, 4872; (d) G. Pandey, R. Laha and D. Singh, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 7161; (e) B. Majumdar, T. Bhattacharya and T. K. Sarma, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 1825; (f) R. Xie, G. Fan, L. Yang and F. Li, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 363; (g) Z. Ma, H. Zhang, Z. Yang, G. Ji, B. Yu, X. Liu and Z. Liu, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 1976; (h) M. Zarghani and B. Akhlaghinia, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 38592; (i) S. Verma, R. B. N. Baig, M. N. Nadagouda and R. S. Varma, ACS

Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 2333; (j) S. Yang, L. Peng, P. Huang, X. Wang, Y. Sun, Ch. Cao and W. Song, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 4016; (k) A. Al-hunaiti, M. Raisanen and T. Repo, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 2043; (1) C. Miao, H. Zhao, O. Zhao, C. Xiaa and W. Sun, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 1378; (m) Y. Kuwahara, Y. Yoshimuraa and H. Yamashita, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 442; (n) T. Uematsu, Y. Miyamoto, Y. Ogasawara, K. Suzuki, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 222; (o) A. Shaabani, Z. Hezarkhani and E. Badali, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 61759; (p) Y. Chen, X. Feng, X. Huang, Z. Lin, X. Pei, S. Li, J. Li, S. Wang, R. Li and B. Wang, Chem. Eur. J., 2015, 21, 13894; (q) R. Chebolu, A. Bahuguna, R. Sharma, V. K. Mishra and P. C. Ravikumar, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 15438; (r) H. Yi, C. Bian, X. Hu, L. Niu and A. Lei, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 14046; (s) O. Martínez-Ferraté, G. J. P. Britovsek, C. Claver and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2015, 431, 156; (t) S. K. Pahari, P. Pal, A. Sinhamahapatra, A. Saha, C. Santra, S. C. Ghosh, B. Chowdhury and A. B. Panda, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 45144; (u) S. K. Pahari, P. Pal, D. N. Srivastava, S. Ch. Ghosh and A. B. Panda, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 10322; (v) G. Urgoitia, R. SanMartin, M. T. Herrero and E. Dominguez, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 4799; (w) B. Muehldorf and R. Wolf, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 8425; (x) F. Napoly, R. Kieffer, L. Jean-Gérard, C. Goux-Henry, M. Draye and B. Andrioletti, Tetrahedron Lett., 2015, 56, 2517; (y) Y. Wang, Y. Kuang and Y. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5852; (z) J.-K. Li, X.-Q. Huang, S. Yang, H.-W. Ma, Y.-N. Chi and C.-W. Hu, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 1454.

- 14 Selected recent methods for the oxidation of hydrazines: (a) L. Wang, A. Ishida, Y. Hashidoko and M. Hashimoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 870; (b) M. Lin, Z. Wang, H. Fang, L. Liu, H. Yin, C.-H. Yan and X. Fu, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 10861; (c) B. Dutta, S. Biswas, V. Sharma, N. O. Savage, S. P. Alpay and S. L. Suib, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2171; (d) S. Singh, P. Chauhan, M. Ravi, I. Taneja, Wahajuddinb and P. P. Yadav, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 61876; (e) S. Donck, E. Gravel, A. Li, P. Prakash, N. Shah, J. Leroy, H. Li, I. N. N. Namboothiri and E. Doris, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 4542; (f) T. Hashimoto, D. Hirose and T. Taniguchia, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2015, 357, 3346; (g) K. Monir, M. Ghosh, S. Mishra, A. Majee and A. Hajra, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 1096; (h) S. Okumura, C.-H. Lin, Y. Takeda and S. Minakata, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 12090; (i) W. Gao, Z. He, Y. Qian, J. Zhao and Y. Huang, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 883.
- For other selected related systems, see: (a) M. Mitra, H. Nimir, S. Demeshko, S. S. Bhat, S. O. Malinkin, M. Haukka, J. Lloret-Fillol, G. C. Lisensky, F. Meyer, A. A. Shteinman, W. R. Browne, D. A. Hrovat, M. G. Richmond, M. Costas and E. Nordlander, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 7152; (b) C. Jin, L. Zhang and W. Su, *Synlett*, 2011, 1435; (c) B. Xu, E. M. Hartigan, G. Feula, Z. Huang, J.-P. Lumb and B. A. Arndtsen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 15802.
- 16 See also: (a) G. Franz and J. H. Sheldon, Oxidation, in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000; (b) C. Aellig, D. Scholz and I. Hermans, ChemSusChem, 2012, 5, 1732; (c) I. Hermans, E. S. Spier,

U. Neuenschwander, N. Turra and A. Baiker, *Top. Catal.*, 2009, **52**, 1162.

17 Proper safety precautions should be utilized for these reactions, suitable protective shields, *etc.* Upscaling in batch conditions is not advised. In the acetophenone process (Table 1), utilizing O_2 as limiting reagent is designed at making the reaction safer for the operator. This approach has the merit of avoiding cumyl-hydroperoxide isolation, as it is usually done otherwise, potentially leading to explosions.⁷ The amount of O_2 is calculated utilizing the gas equation $PV = Z \cdot n \cdot R \cdot T$ in which *Z*, the compressibility factor, is approximated to 1 for O_2 gas at 20 °C and atmospheric pressure. In those conditions, which prevail when the reactor is filled and closed, 85 mL of O_2 gas represents approximatively 3.5 mmol of O_2 , ± 0.2 mmol estimated precision because of small differences in the handmade glass reactors, small variations of room temperature, and accuracy of the O_2 -flushing technique.