Reactive oxygen species formed in aqueous mixtures of secondary organic aerosols and mineral dust influencing cloud chemistry and public health in the Anthropocene†
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Mineral dust and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) account for a major fraction of atmospheric particulate matter, affecting climate, air quality and public health. How mineral dust interacts with SOA to influence cloud chemistry and public health, however, is not well understood. Here, we investigated the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are key species of atmospheric and physiological chemistry, in aqueous mixtures of SOA and mineral dust by applying electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrometry in combination with a spin-trapping technique, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), and a kinetic model. We found that substantial amounts of ROS including OH, superoxide as well as carbon- and oxygen-centred organic radicals can be formed in aqueous mixtures of isoprene, α-pinene, naphthalene SOA and various kinds of mineral dust (riptide, montmorillonite, kaolinite, palygorskite, and Saharan dust). The molar yields of total radicals were ~0.02–0.5% at 295 K, which showed higher values at 310 K, upon 254 nm UV exposure, and under low pH (<3) conditions. ROS formation can be explained by the decomposition of organic hydroperoxides, which are a prominent fraction of SOA, through interactions with water and Fenton-like reactions with dissolved transition metal ions. Our findings imply that the chemical reactivity and aging of SOA particles can be enhanced upon interaction with mineral dust in deliquesced particles or cloud/fog droplets. SOA decomposition could be comparably important to...
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the classical Fenton reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ with Fe$^{2+}$ and that SOA can be the main source of OH radicals in aqueous droplets at low concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ and Fe$^{2+}$. In the human respiratory tract, the inhalation and deposition of SOA and mineral dust can also lead to the release of ROS, which may contribute to oxidative stress and play an important role in the adverse health effects of atmospheric aerosols in the Anthropocene.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric particulate matter suspended in the air can strongly affect climate and public health.$^{1,2}$ Their diameters ($D_p$) vary from nanometers to tens of micrometers, and they are generally categorized into coarse ($D_p > 2.5 \mu m$), fine ($D_p < 2.5 \mu m$), and ultrafine ($D_p < 0.1 \mu m$) particles. Ambient aerosol particles consisting of inorganic and organic compounds can be emitted both from natural and anthropogenic sources. Coarse particles such as mineral dust and sea salts are injected into the troposphere by direct emission.$^3$ Gaseous volatile organic compounds emitted by vegetation and anthropogenic activities can be oxidised to generate semi-volatile and low volatility products, which can form ultrafine and fine secondary organic aerosols (SOA) by nucleation and gas-to-particle partitioning.$^4-6$

Mineral dust is emitted with an annual flux of $\sim$2000 Tg per year and accounts for a major fraction of atmospheric particulate matter.$^7$ Mineral dust particles can be emitted from arid and semi-arid areas and transported over thousands of kilometres.$^7$ They can influence the global radiation budget via scattering and absorption of solar and terrestrial radiation.$^8,9$ In addition, they can also act as cloud condensation or ice nuclei upon interaction with water molecules.$^{10-13}$ During long-range transport, heterogeneous and multiphase chemistry on mineral dust particles may alter their physical and chemical properties and dust may become internally mixed with SOA by coagulation and condensation.$^{14-18}$ With respect to health effects, inhalation and deposition of mineral dust into the respiratory tract may cause a broad range of pulmonary, cardio-vascular and occupational lung diseases.$^{19-23}$

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) is a term describing reactive radicals and molecules including hydroxyl radicals (¢OH), superoxide radicals (O$_2^{-}$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and organic radicals.$^2,24,25$ ROS widely exist in the gas and condensed phases. Emerging studies have indicated that particulate matter contain ROS, influencing atmospheric chemistry, air quality and public health.$^2,26,27$ It has also been reported that long-lived reactive oxygen intermediates can be formed during the heterogeneous reactions of ozone with aerosol particles such as pollen proteins, unsaturated hydrocarbons, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.$^{28-30}$ Furthermore, combustion-generated particles such as soot contain environmentally persistent free radicals (EPFRs), which are most likely semiquinone radicals.$^{31,32}$ Ambient particles can release a variety of ROS upon interactions with water,$^{13}$ amplifying ultrafine particle mediated cellular oxidative stress and cytotoxicity.$^{34,35}$

Substantial amounts of particle-bound ROS are found on biogenic SOA.$^{36,37}$ Recent studies have shown that extremely low volatility compounds (ELVOC),$^{38}$ or highly oxidized molecules (HOM) play an important role in new particle formation and growth of SOA.$^{39,40}$ They are mostly organic hydroperoxides formed...
through multigenerational oxidation or autoxidation. It has also been found that these compounds are labile in the condensed phase. Recent studies have shown that organic hydroperoxides can decompose to form OH radicals upon UV exposure and also under dark conditions, and the formation of OH radicals can be enhanced in the presence of iron ions due to Fenton-like reactions.

Due to the lack of a quantitative and comprehensive understanding of the interactions of mineral dust and SOA, there is considerable uncertainty over the synergistic effects of SOA and mineral dust on climate and public health in the Anthropocene. In this study, we characterized the formation of ROS by aqueous mixtures of SOA and mineral dust by applying continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance (CW-EPR) spectroscopy in combination with a spin-trapping technique, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), and a kinetic model. Using these approaches, we quantified the ROS yields by SOA formed by different precursors (α-pinene, isoprene, and naphthalene) and five different mineral dusts under different pH and temperature and also with UV exposure.

2. Methods

2.1 Dust and chemicals

The Saharan dust used was obtained from deposits on the Cape Verde islands, its composition (as measured by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) is given by Hanisch et al. The individual minerals and clay-minerals rapidolite ((Mg,Fe,Al)₆(Si,Al)₄O₁₀(OH)₈), montmorillonite m[(Mg₄Si₄O₁₀)(OH)₂] × p[Al(Fe)₄][Si₄O₁₀], m : p = 0.8–0.9), kaolinite (Al₄[Si₂O₅](OH)₄) and palygorskite ((Mg,Al)₂[OH,Al|Si₄O₁₀]·2H₂O + 2H₂O) were purchased from the Source Clay Minerals Repository, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA.

The chemicals including isoprene (>99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), α-pinene (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), naphthalene (99.6%, Alfa Aesar GmbH&Co KG), H₂SO₄ (≥95%, Fluka), 5-tert-butoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (BMPO, high purity spintrap from Enzo Life Sciences GmbH) were used without further purification. The ACS reagent water (14211-1L-F) from Sigma-Aldrich was used as solvent in this study. Micropipettes (50 μL, Brand GmbH&Co KG) were used for the analysis of solution samples. High purity nitrogen gas (99.999%, Westfalen AG) and synthetic air (Westfalen AG) were used for SOA formation.

2.2 SOA formation, characterization, collection, and extraction

SOA particles were generated in a 19 L potential aerosol mass (PAM) chamber through ozonolysis of α-pinene, or gas-phase photooxidation of isoprene and naphthalene with OH radicals. SOA generated by the PAM chamber have been shown to be a good surrogate for chamber-generated SOA in terms of their oxidation state, chemical composition, and hygroscopicity.

The conditions under which SOA are formed in this study are the same as we used previously and are introduced briefly here. Ozonolysis of α-pinene SOA was performed under dry conditions (<5% RH). 1 bar and 50–150 ccm min⁻¹ of N₂ were used as a carrier gas to introduce α-pinene into the chamber for a reaction time of about 5 minutes. Isoprene or naphthalene vapours were introduced into
the PAM chamber in a similar fashion to the α-pinene with a 1 bar and 250 or 50 ccm min\(^{-1}\) \(\text{N}_2\) flow as carrier gas. Gas-phase OH radicals were produced \textit{via} photolysis of ozone and water moisture (30–40% RH). SOA were then formed by reactions of isoprene or naphthalene with OH radicals. Ozone concentrations in the PAM chamber were 10 ± 5 ppm (measured with ozone monitor of model 49i, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), and the gas-phase OH radical exposure in the chamber was estimated to be 0.45–1.3 \(\times\) 10\(^{12}\) molecules per cm\(^3\) per s. The size and mass concentrations of the generated SOA particles were characterized with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, GRIMM Aerosol Technik GmbH & Co. KG). 47 mm Omnigore Teflon filters (100 nm pore size, Merck Chemicals GmbH) were used to collect the aerosols at a flow rate of 3 L min\(^{-1}\) assisted by a common diaphragm vacuum pump (NMP850KND, KNF Neuberger GmbH).

The SOA-loaded filters were placed into a 16 mL vial with mineral dust. SOA particles on the filter were then extracted into ~1 mL of a 10 mM \(5\text{-}\text{tert}\text{-butoxy}5\text{-methyl}-1\text{-pyrroline-N-oxide}\) (BMPO, high purity, Enzo Life Sciences GmbH) water solution by stirring with a vortex shaker (Heidolph Reax 1) for 10 minutes at 2500 rpm. BMPO is an efficient spin-trapping agent for \(\cdot\text{OH}, \text{O}_2^\cdot\) and organic radicals.\(^{33,48,53}\) The final SOA concentration was ~1 mM with an assumed average molar mass of 200 g mol\(^{-1}\) for SOA.

For experiments investigating pH effects, aqueous solutions with different pH values were made by diluting concentrated \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) solutions with ultra-pure water. The spin trap, mineral dust, and SOA loaded filters were then added into these solutions for extraction and reactions. The mixtures were shaken for 10 min and centrifuged before the measurement with CW-EPR. A Mettler Toledo SevenCompact\textsuperscript{TM} pH meter (S210) was used for the pH measurement.

### 2.3 CW-EPR measurement

A CW-EPR spectrometer (EMXplus-10/12, Bruker, Germany) was applied for measurements of BMPO-radical adducts. The parameter set for EPR measurements and the spin counting method used in this study was the same as used in our previous studies:\(^{48,54}\) a modulation frequency of 100 kHz; a modulation amplitude of 0.6 or 1; microwave power of 2.149 mW (20 dB) or 21.17 mW (10 dB); a receiver gain of 40 dB; a time constant of 0.01 ms, and a magnetic field scan of 100 G. Spin concentrations were calculated using the following equation:\(^{55}\)

\[
\text{DI} = c \left[ G_R C_t n \right] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{PB_m Qn_B S(S+1)n_S}}{f(B_1, B_m)} \right]
\]

where \(c\) is a constant determined by a standard sample with a known number of spins, \(G_R\) = receiver gain, \(C_t\) = conversion time, \(n\) = number of scans, \(P\) = microwave power (W), \(B_m\) = modulation amplitude (Gauss), \(Q\) = quality factor of resonator, \(n_B\) = Boltzmann factor for temperature dependence, \(S\) = total electron spin, \(n_S\) = number of spins, and \(f(B_1, B_m)\) = spatial distribution of the microwave field and the modulation field experienced by the sample.

Concentrations of BMPO-radical adduct are reported in the unit of \(\mu\text{M}\). The production rates of radicals can be obtained by dividing concentrations by the extraction time of 300 s. The error bars in Fig. 4–6 are based on repetition of experiments, the estimated deviations from the TEMPOL calibration curve, and the uncertainties in the SOA mass measurements. Potential interferences such as
laboratory dust contamination and vial surface catalytic decomposition of H₂O₂ were found to be negligible based on blank measurements.⁴⁸ Vials were used only once to avoid contamination from residues.

2.4 LC-MS/MS

The extracts were analysed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS). The Agilent 1260 Infinity Bio-inert Quaternary LC system consisted of a quaternary pump (G5611A), a HiP sampler (G5667A) and a column thermostat (insert model no. G1316C), and was connected to an electrospray ionization (ESI) source interfaced to a Q-TOF mass spectrometer (6540 UHD Accurate-Mass Q-TOF, Agilent; nominal mass resolution of 30 000 at a scan rate of 1 s⁻¹). All modules were controlled by Mass Hunter software (Rev. B.06.00, Agilent).

The analysis of samples was performed using a Zorbax Extend-C18 Rapid resolution HT (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.8 µm) column maintained at 30 °C. The mobile phase consisted of variable mixtures of eluent A (20% (v/v) acetonitrile (HPLC Gradient Grade, Fisher Chemical) in water containing formic acid (0.1% v/v, LC-MS Chromasolv, Sigma-Aldrich)) and eluent B (3% water in acetonitrile), delivered at a flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹ with a total run-time of 50 min. The elution started at 3% B for 3 min followed by a 36 minute linear gradient that raised eluent B to 60%. Eluent B was then increased to 80% in 1 min and initial conditions were restored within 0.1 min, followed by column re-conditioning for 9.9 min before the next run. The injection volume was 95 µL.

The ESI-Q-TOF instrument was employed in the positive ionization mode (ESI⁺) with 325 °C drying gas temperature, 20 psig nebulizer pressure, 4000 V capillary voltage and 90 V fragmentor voltage. Targeted MS/MS (Table S1†) analysis was employed and the fragmentation of protonated ions was conducted using 10 eV collision energy. Spectra were recorded at a scan rate of 5 s⁻¹ over the mass range of m/z 70–700. The data were processed using the Agilent qualitative data analysis software (B.06.00). Three blank filters were also extracted with 10 mM BMPO water solution and analysed using the same instrumental conditions to ensure the presence of radical adducts in SOA extracts. The radicals that were trapped by BMPO within different types of SOA are listed in Tables S2–S4.† Identification of the different BMPO radical adducts was performed as described previously.⁴⁸ Briefly, the presence of radical adducts in SOA extracts is determined by their m/z values in the MS spectrum. MS/MS analysis was performed to confirm the radical adducts. In each corresponding MS/MS spectrum, the major fragment ion observed corresponds to the loss of a t-butyl function (−C₄H₈), which is a characteristic fragment for the BMPO spin trap, indicating the formation of different radical adducts in SOA extracts and as observed in the previous study.⁴⁸ For several radical adducts, isomers were observed with the same MS and MS/MS spectra but different retention times.

2.5 Kinetic modelling

The concentrations of radicals trapped by BMPO were modelled using the mechanism and reactions shown in Table 1. The reactions in the model included the self-decomposition of organic hydroperoxides (ROOH; R24) and their decomposition due to Fenton-like reactions with iron ions (R20–22). Other important reactions in the model were the trapping reactions of the different
Table 1  Equations and parameters used in the kinetic model for ROS generation by isoprene, α-pinene, and naphthalene SOA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction number</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate constant (cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ or s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference or comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$ + OH $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O</td>
<td>$k_1 = 5.5 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OH + OH $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>$k_2 = 8.6 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH + HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O + O$_2$</td>
<td>$k_3 = 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HO$_2$ + HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O + O$_2$</td>
<td>$k_4 = 1.4 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$ + HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O + O$_2$ + OH</td>
<td>$k_5 = 5 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HO$_2$ + O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O + OH$^-$ + O$_2$</td>
<td>$k_6 = 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>H$^+$ + O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ HO$_2$</td>
<td>$k_7 = 1 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ H$^+$ + O$_2$</td>
<td>$k_8 = 2.3 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fe(II) + H$_2$O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ Fe(III) + O$_2$ + OH$^-$ + OH</td>
<td>$k_9 = 1.1 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fe(II) + OH $\rightarrow$ Fe(III) + OH$^-$</td>
<td>$k_{10} = 5.3 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fe(III) + H$_2$O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ Fe(II) + HO$_2$ + H$^+$</td>
<td>$k_{11} = 3.3 \times 10^{-24}$</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fe(III) + HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ Fe(II) + O$_2$ + O$_2$ + H$^+$</td>
<td>$k_{12} = 3.3 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fe(III) + H$_2$O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ Fe(IV) + H$_2$O</td>
<td>$k_{13} = 1.0 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fe(III) + Fe(II) $\rightarrow$ Fe(III)</td>
<td>$k_{14} = 6.6 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>BMPO + OH $\rightarrow$ BMPO-OH</td>
<td>$k_{15} = 1.6 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>BMPO-OH $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{16} = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>All_adducts + Fe(II) $\rightarrow$ product + Fe(III)</td>
<td>$k_{17} = 1.0 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>All_adducts + Fe(III) $\rightarrow$ product + Fe(III)</td>
<td>$k_{18} = 9.0 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>All_adducts + Fe(IV) $\rightarrow$ product + Fe(III)</td>
<td>$k_{19} = 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fe(II) + ROOH $\rightarrow$ Fe(III) + RO$^-$ + OH</td>
<td>$k_{20} = 1.0 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fe(II) + ROOH $\rightarrow$ Fe(III) + RO + OH$^-$</td>
<td>$k_{21} = 1.0 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Fe(III) + ROOH $\rightarrow$ Fe(II) + RO$_2$ + H$^+$</td>
<td>$k_{22} = 3.3 \times 10^{-24}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>OH + ROOH $\rightarrow$ ROH + HO$_2$</td>
<td>$k_{23} = 5.5 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>ROOH $\rightarrow$ RO + OH</td>
<td>$k_{24} = 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>SOA + OH $\rightarrow$ SOA$^-$</td>
<td>$k_{25} = 4.0 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>All_adducts + OH $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{26} = 5.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Fe(II) + O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ O$_2$ + Fe(III)</td>
<td>$k_{27} = 3.3 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction number</td>
<td>Reaction</td>
<td>Rate constant (cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ or s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Reference or comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Fe(II) + O$_2^-$ + 2H$^+$ $\rightarrow$ Fe(III) + H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>$k_{28} = 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>Determined from optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>O$_2^- +$ OH $\rightarrow$ O$_2 +$ OH$^-$</td>
<td>$k_{29} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>RO $\rightarrow$ R</td>
<td>$k_{30} = 5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>R + O$_2$ $\rightarrow$ ROO</td>
<td>$k_{31} = 8.1 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>BMPO + O$_2^-$/HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ BMPO–O$_2^-$/HO$_2$</td>
<td>$k_{32} = 2.6 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>Determined from optimization$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>BMPO + RO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ BMPO–OOR</td>
<td>$k_{33} = 2.6 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>Assumed to be the same as $k_{32}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>BMPO + OR $\rightarrow$ BMPO–OR</td>
<td>$k_{34} = 7.7 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>Determined from optimization$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>BMPO + R $\rightarrow$ BMPO–R</td>
<td>$k_{35} = 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>Determined from optimization$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>BMPO–O$_2^-$/HO$_2$/RO$_2$ + BMPO–O$_2^-$/HO$_2$/RO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{36} = 4.8 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>BMPO–R $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{37} = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>Assumed to be the same as $k_{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>BMPO–OR $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{38} = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>Assumed to be the same as $k_{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>All_adducts + O$_2^- $ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>$k_{39} = 5.4 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>Determined from optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>All_adducts + HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>$k_{40} = 5.4 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>Assumed to be the same as $k_{39}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>All_adducts + RO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>$k_{41} = 5.4 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>Assumed to be the same as $k_{39}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>All_adducts + OR $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>$k_{42} = 5.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>Inensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>All_adducts + R $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>$k_{43} &lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>R + R $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{44} = 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>OR + OR $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{45} = 3.3 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ product</td>
<td>$k_{46} = 1.0 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Consistent with the expected trend of OH > OR > R > RO$_2$/O$_2^-$/HO$_2$. 
radicals by BMPO forming adducts (R16 and R32–35), the destruction of these adducts by decomposition (R16 and R36–38), reactions with iron (R17–19) and reactions with radicals (R26 and R39–43). Literature rate constants were used for the majority of these reactions, but when they were unknown or uncertain, the rate coefficients were determined using the Monte Carlo genetic algorithm (MCGA method) method and sensitivity tests. In the model we assumed that 15% of the total isoprene SOA consisted of ROOH that would decompose to form radicals. This is in agreement with previous work, where it was found that 15% of isoprene SOA, 9% of α-pinene SOA, 10% of β-pinene SOA and 1% of limonene SOA consisted of ROOH that would decompose to form radicals. The concentration of transition metal ions due to the presence of dust was parameterized as discussed in the Results and discussion section.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 EPR spectra of aqueous mixtures of SOA and dust

Fig. 1 shows the EPR spectra of the BMPO-radical adducts formed in aqueous solutions of SOA, dust and their mixtures. The black spectra (A, E and I) indicate that isoprene and α-pinene SOA formed mainly OH radicals, whereas superoxide radicals were mainly formed by naphthalene SOA. These three types of SOA also yielded organic radicals. The presence of ‘OH, O2− and organic radicals trapped by BMPO was directly detected and confirmed by LC-MS analysis (Tables S1–S3†).

For the palygorskite suspensions, low concentrations of radicals were observed at 295 K (spectrum M) and 310 K (spectrum N), and only OH radicals could be identified upon exposure to 254 nm UV. In addition to the palygorskite, we also measured the effect of four other types of dust on the radical yield of SOA in

Fig. 1  EPR spectra of BMPO-radical adducts of SOA (black lines, except the spectrum M) and aqueous mixtures of isoprene (left column), α-pinene (middle column), and naphthalene (right column) SOA with palygorskite dust in water. The spectra M, N, and O are for the palygorskite suspensions. The red spectra were obtained at 295 K, the blue spectra were obtained at 310 K, and the pink spectra were obtained 15 min after the mixture exposure to 254 nm UV. The different colours of the dashed lines indicate different radical species.
aqueous mixtures under different conditions, and the EPR spectra are shown in Fig. S1–S3 of the ESI.†

In the aqueous mixtures of SOA and dust at 295 K (red spectra) and 310 K (blue spectra), the dominant radicals formed by isoprene (spectra B and C) and α-pinene (spectra F and G) SOA at 295 (spectra B and F) and 310 (spectra C and G) K were still OH radicals. Significant enhancements in the formation of OH radical were observed after the aqueous mixtures of isoprene (spectrum D) or α-pinene (spectrum H) SOA with palygorskite dust were exposed to 254 nm UV for 15 min. For mixtures of naphthalene SOA and dust, the formation of superoxide seems to be suppressed and the OH radical was the main radical formed at 295 K (spectrum J) and at 310 K (spectrum K). Furthermore, no significant enhancement of OH radical formation was observed after the aqueous mixtures were exposed to 254 nm UV for 15 min (spectrum L). It should be noted that 254 nm UV condition may not be relevant to the photochemistry in the troposphere. We plan to investigate the effects of visible light on ROS formation in follow up studies.

The observed formation of OH radicals is most likely due to decomposition of organic hydroperoxides (ROOH), which account for the predominant fraction of isoprene and α-pinene SOA, but have little contribution for naphthalene SOA.38 ROOH are formed via multigenerational gas-phase oxidation and autoxidation, introducing multiple hydroperoxy functional groups forming extremely low volatility organic compounds.38 Due to the low binding energy of the O–O bond, ROOH are known to undergo thermal homolytic cleavage (ROOH → RO’ + ‘OH). In the presence of transition metal ions such as Fe2+, decomposition of ROOH can be enhanced mainly via Fenton-like reactions leading to heterolytic cleavage of the O–O bond in the following two ways depending on the pH and reaction environments: ROOH + Fe2+ → RO’ + OH− + Fe3+ or ROOH + Fe2+ → RO− + ‘OH + Fe3+.59 Note that homolytic cleavage can be also catalyzed by iron ions.60,61 The formed alkoxy radicals (RO’) can be trapped by BMPO (Tables S1–S3†) and secondary reactions between the formed OH and organic compounds may lead to generation of organic radicals.

### 3.2 Radical concentrations in pure SOA or dust

The total radical concentrations were quantified using the spin-counting technique.33,48 To estimate the relative amount of different types of ROS, the observed EPR spectra were fitted and simulated using the Xenon software.33,54 Four types of radicals have been used to fit the spectra: BMPO–OH (hyperfine coupling constants of \(a^N = 14.3\) G, \(a^{H_b} = 12.7\) G, \(a^{H_g} = 0.61\) G), BMPO–OOH (\(a^N = 14.3\) G, \(a^{H} = 8.1\) G), BMPO–R (\(a^N = 15.2\) G, \(a^{H} = 21.6\) G) and BMPO–OR (\(a^N = 14.5\) G, \(a^{H_b} = 16.6\) G).33 The simulated EPR spectrum reproduced the observed spectrum very well with a small residual. The deconvolution of spectra allowed us to estimate the relative contribution of different types of ROS herein.

Panels (a) to (c) of Fig. 2 show the determined concentrations of radical types of ROS in SOA extracts (1 mM) and dust suspensions (10 mg mL\(^{-1}\)). Isoprene, α-pinene, and naphthalene SOA generated in total \(\sim0.6\) μM, \(\sim1.6\) μM, and \(\sim1\) μM radicals, respectively, at 295 K. At 310 K, ROS concentrations in isoprene SOA increased by about a factor of two, whereas ROS concentrations in α-pinene, and naphthalene SOA stayed almost the same. This may indicate that organic hydroperoxides contained in isoprene SOA are more labile compared to those
contained in α-pinene SOA. OH radicals are the dominant radicals formed by isoprene (≈0.5 μM) and α-pinene (≈1.2 μM) SOA at both 295 and 315 K. Smaller amounts of carbon and oxygen-centred organic radicals were also produced. At these two temperatures, naphthalene SOA produced substantial amounts of superoxide radicals (≈0.07–0.1 μM) and small amounts of carbon (<0.02 μM) and oxygen (<0.1 μM) centred organic radicals.

After the SOA extracts were exposed to 254 nm UV for 15 min, total ROS concentrations produced by isoprene SOA were increased by about a factor of three and those by α-pinene SOA increased by about 50% compared to those at 295 K. A variety of ROS were produced by isoprene and α-pinene SOA with notable increases of superoxide radicals. Such increases of ROS formation may be due to photolysis and decomposition of organic hydroperoxides, which are highly abundant in isoprene or α-pinene SOA. In contrast, the yield of ROS from naphthalene SOA decreased by a factor of two. Naphthalene SOA are known to contain less organic hydroperoxides and these results indicate that the main source of ROS by naphthalene SOA is unlikely to be the decomposition of organic hydroperoxides. Instead, quinones contained in naphthalene SOA are redox active and can trigger formation of superoxide radicals. Such redox-active reactions might be hindered under UV irradiation.

For pure dust suspensions, the montmorillonite and palygorskite could produce small amounts of OH radicals at 295 and 310 K (<0.2 μM), whereas other...
dust did not produce detectable amounts of ROS. We infer that these OH radicals may be produced through Fenton(-like) reactions of dissolved transition metal ions or on the surface of the dust. While exposed to 254 nm UV, the montmorillonite (~0.3 μM), palygorskite (~0.9 μM), ripidolite (~0.1 μM), kaolinite (~0.4 μM), and Saharan (~0.3 μM) dust produced significant amounts of OH radicals. We infer that the production of OH radicals was enhanced by photo-Fenton reactions. Fig. 2d shows the reported chemical structures of mineral dust used in this study. The residue compounds, which are not SiO₂, MgO, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃, are assigned to be 'other'. The total abundance of SiO₂, MgO, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃ increases in ripidolite, montmorillonite, Saharan, kaolinite, and palygorskite, which seems to have a positive correlation with the generated OH radical concentrations.

3.3 Radical concentrations in aqueous mixtures of SOA and dust

Fig. 3 shows yields of radical types of ROS in the aqueous mixtures of SOA with mineral dust with the green dashed lines representing the yield of radicals in pure SOA solutions. In the aqueous mixtures of isoprene and α-pinene SOA with dust, ripidolite caused the strongest enhancement of the radical formation of SOA by a maximum factor of 2.4 for isoprene SOA and a maximum factor of 1.8 for α-pinene SOA at 295 K. At 310 K, an even stronger enhancement has been observed for α-pinene SOA. These enhancements are most likely caused by dissolved transition metal ions, which can cause Fenton(-like) reactions promoting
decomposition of SOA components. The effectiveness of dust for enhancing ROS formation by SOA may depend strongly on the chemical composition of the dust and solubility of the transition metal ions. After UV exposure, the ROS concentrations in the aqueous mixtures of isoprene SOA with ripidolite, kaolinite and palygorskite increased significantly, most likely due to ROOH photolysis and photo-Fenton reactions. In the aqueous mixtures of naphthalene SOA with dust, only palygorskite enhanced the ROS formation slightly at 295 and 310 K and ripidolite and palygorskite increased ROS formation under UV exposure. The limited impacts of dust on ROS formation by naphthalene SOA may indicate that transition metal ions do not interfere substantially with ROS formation processes by redox reactions of quinones contained in naphthalene SOA. The extent of the effects of higher temperature and UV exposure is further visualized in Fig. S4, which shows the ratios of production rates of ROS by SOA at 310 K and upon UV exposure to that at 295 K.

Clearly OH is the dominant radical product for mixtures of dust and isoprene and α-pinene SOA at 295 and 310 K as well as under 254 nm UV exposure. The aqueous mixtures exposed to 254 nm UV showed the highest yield of OH, carbon and oxygen centred radicals. The aqueous mixture of each mineral dust type and α-pinene SOA generated more OH radicals than the mixtures with isoprene SOA. For example, the OH radical yields of the aqueous mixtures of ripidolite dust and isoprene SOA were ~0.18% at 295 K, ~0.14% at 310 K, and ~0.18% after 254 nm UV exposure, whereas the OH radical yields of the aqueous mixture of ripidolite dust and α-pinene SOA were ~0.27% at 295 K, ~0.42% at 310 K, and ~0.32% after 254 nm UV exposure. For the aqueous mixtures of naphthalene SOA with montmorillonite, OH was the dominant radical species at 295 K.

We have investigated the effect of pH on ROS formation by isoprene SOA mixed with three different types of dust (ripidolite, palygorskite, and Saharan dust). Fig. 4 shows the measured radical concentrations with a pH range of 0–6. As the
pH of the aqueous mixtures decreases from ~5.5 to ~0.3, the total radical concentration increases significantly from ~0.9 μM to ~7.3 μM for ripidolite, ~0.2 μM to ~5.7 μM for palygorskite, and ~0.1 μM to ~17 μM for Saharan dust. Low pH may facilitate an increase in the solubility of transition metal ions\textsuperscript{44} that can undergo Fenton-like reactions.

3.4 Effect of dust concentrations on radical concentrations in aqueous mixtures of SOA and dust

Fig. 5 shows radical concentrations in water extracts of isoprene SOA mixed with different concentrations of (a) FeSO_4 or (b) ripidolite. As the molar ratio of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and isoprene SOA increased from ~0.1 to ~0.3 (panel a), the concentration of OH and total radical yield of isoprene SOA increased from ~2 to ~6 μM and ~3 to ~8 μM, respectively. This process can be explained by an enhancement in Fenton-like reactions of iron ions and organic hydroperoxides. As the concentration of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} increased further, the yield of OH and total radicals decreased, which is induced by the reaction of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} with the BMPO-radical adducts, leading to decay of BMPO-radical adducts. In the aqueous mixtures of isoprene SOA and ripidolite dust
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Fig. 5 Measured (symbols) and modelled (lines) total radical concentrations in aqueous mixtures of isoprene SOA with Fe\textsuperscript{2+} or ripidolite dust. (a) Data for aqueous mixtures containing isoprene SOA and FeSO_4. The squares and circles represent the experimental data on OH radical and total radicals, respectively. The black and blue dashed lines represent the modelled data on OH radical and total radicals. (b) Data for aqueous mixtures containing isoprene SOA and ripidolite. The squares and circles represent the modelled data on OH radical and total radicals, respectively. The olive and red dashed lines represent the modelled data on OH radical and total radicals. The concentration of SOA is 1 mM or 200 μg mL\textsupers\textsuperscript{-1} for all experiments. The error bars are composed of the uncertainties in the SOA mass measurements and the estimated deviations from the TEMPOL calibration curve. \(m_{\text{ripidolite}}/m_{\text{SOA}}\) means the mass ratio of ripidolite and SOA in the aqueous mixtures under study.
(panel b), the concentration of isoprene SOA was kept at 1 mM, and the concentrations of ripidolite dust were in the range of ~0.9 to ~10 mg mL\(^{-1}\). The dissolved transition metal concentrations should have a positive relationship with the dust concentrations. The concentrations of OH and total radicals increase from ~0.3 to ~1.8 \(\mu\)M and from ~0.9 to ~2.3 \(\mu\)M, respectively, as the \(m_{\text{ripidolite}}/m_{\text{SOA}}\) increases from ~4.5 to ~50.

As shown by the dashed lines, this observed behaviour was fully reproduced by the kinetic model, which included in total 46 reactions of ROS and iron chemistry, Fenton-like reactions of organic hydroperoxides, trapping of radicals by BMPO, as well as decay of BMPO-adducts. At very low iron concentrations (<0.02 mM) the self-decomposition of ROOH dominates the radical production. However, as the iron concentration increases, the concentration of adducts increases as the Fenton-like destruction of ROOH becomes important. At very high iron concentrations the destruction of adducts due to reactions with Fe\(^{3+}\) (and to a lesser extent reactions with untrapped radicals) leads to a decrease in the total concentrations of trapped radicals. The modelling results suggested that ripidolite dust decomposed ROOH contained in isoprene SOA in a similar way to Fe\(^{2+}\) and could be parameterised as: \([\text{Fe}^{2+}] \text{(mM)} = 0.0086[Dust] \text{(mg mL}^{-1})\). These results clearly demonstrate that transition metal ions can significantly enhance ROS formation of isoprene SOA via Fenton-like reactions.

4. Implications and conclusions

The implications of this study are illustrated in Fig. 6 and 7. Mineral dust is emitted from arid and semiarid areas and can be transported over long distances. During long-range transport, dust can be internally mixed with SOA by coagulation and condensation (i.e., gas-particle partitioning of semi-volatile and low volatility compounds). SOA coatings may contain a substantial fraction of highly oxidized multifunctional organic compounds (HOM) and extremely low volatility compounds (ELVOC). These compounds are mostly organic hydroperoxides (ROOH), which are labile and their lifetime is relative humidity dependent.\(^{65,66}\) When such particles undergo aerosol deliquescence or activation to cloud or fog droplets, decomposition of ROOH may be triggered upon interactions with water and transition metal ions that are dissolved from dust, leading to the formation of a variety of radical forms of reactive oxygen species including \(^{1}\)OH, \(^{1}\)O\(_2\)\(^{••}\), carbon- and oxygen-centered organic radicals. ROOH decomposition and ROS formation can be especially enhanced by photochemistry. The extent of ROS formation may depend strongly on the chemical composition of SOA and dust; mixing isoprene and \(\alpha\)-pinene SOA with ripidolite may lead to high ROS formation, whereas ROS formation by naphthalene SOA would not be affected by the presence of dust. ROS formation will be significantly higher at a lower pH.

The orange area in Fig. 6 shows the OH production rate by Fenton reactions between Fe\(^{2+}\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) forming OH radicals, which has been thought to be the main OH source in cloud droplets,\(^{67,68}\) as a function of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration with typical dissolved iron concentrations in cloud droplets of 0.1–2.5 \(\mu\)M.\(^{68,67}\) The dashed lines represent the OH production rate by Fenton reactions of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) with 0.1 and 2.5 \(\mu\)M iron ions. The green area shows the OH production rate by SOA decomposition in cloud or fog droplets, which ranges between ~0.01 and 100 nM s\(^{-1}\) depending on SOA precursors and the Fe\(^{2+}\) and SOA concentrations. The pink
triangles and navy color crosse show the OH production rate by the aqueous mixtures of 1 mM SOA and ripidolite at 295 and 310 K. It clearly shows that SOA decomposition could be comparably important to the Fenton reaction in most conditions and that SOA can be the main source of OH radicals at low concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ and Fe$^{2+}$. In addition, the OH production rate by the aqueous mixtures of SOA and ripidolite can be significantly enhanced at low pH (<3) and
elevated temperature (310 K) conditions. The generated OH radicals can play an important role in the chemical aging of organic compounds by inducing reactions of fragmentation, functionalization and oligomerization.69–77

This study also implies that ROS can be formed in lung lining fluid upon inhalation and respiratory deposition of internally mixed SOA–dust particles. Some fractions of ROS may be scavenged by antioxidants contained in the lung lining fluid, which needs to be quantified by further experiments. Nevertheless, excess concentrations of ROS including OH radicals, superoxide, and potentially also carbon- and oxygen-centred organic radicals may cause oxidative stress to lung cells and tissues.2,46,78 Recently, Lakey et al.25 have shown that fine particulate matter containing redox-active transition metals78,79 and SOA can increase ROS concentrations in the lung lining fluid to levels characteristic for respiratory diseases. ROS may induce chemical transformation of biomolecules such as proteins and lipids in lung fluid to form damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which can trigger the toll-like receptor radical cycle causing inflammation.79 Due to the important implications to adverse aerosol health effects, further studies are warranted to characterize the ROS formation efficiency of SOA particles mixed with other types of particles (for example, soot) and to explore multiphase ROS chemistry in lung lining fluid.
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