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Natural peptidic thiols play numerous important roles in aquatic systems. While thiols are known to be susceptible to sensitized photoreaction, the photochemical transformation of thiols in surface waters remains largely unknown. This study systematically assessed the photochemical transformation of naturally occurring thiols, including arginylcysteine (RC), γ-glutamylcysteine (γEC), glutathione (GSH), and phytochelatin (PC) in solutions containing dissolved organic matter (DOM). The results show that all thiols underwent rapid indirect photochemical transformation. The transformation rates of thiols were highly pH-dependent and increased with increasing solution pH. γEC and GSH show lower transformation rates than free Cys, which was ascribed to their higher thiol $pK_a$ values. In comparison, PC and RC show much higher transformation rates than γEC and GSH, due to more reactive thiol groups contained in the PC molecule and sorption of RC to DOM macromolecules, respectively. While all investigated pathways contributed to thiol transformation, hydroxyl radical-mediated oxidation dominated at low solution pH and singlet oxygen-mediated oxidation dominated at high solution pH in the DOM-sensitized phototransformations of γEC, GSH, and PC. Furthermore, the effects of metal complexation and solution salinity on thiol transformation rates were examined. Thiol reactivity was not affected by Fe$^{3+}$ and Ag$^+$, slightly enhanced in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, and significantly enhanced by Cu$^{2+}$. Additionally, enhanced thiol transformation rates were observed in solutions with high salinity.

Environmental significance

Abiotic photochemical transformations may act as key removal processes of thiols in sunlit waters and thus have great biogeochemical importance, given the significant roles of thiols in multiple environmental processes. This study systematically assessed the abiotic transformation of RC, γEC, GSH, and PC in irradiated DOM solutions. Rapid transformations of thiols were observed, indicating that abiotic photochemical transformations might be the major thiol removal processes in sunlit waters. The reactivity of thiols was greatly affected by their intrinsic physicochemical properties such as number of reactive thiol groups, $pK_a$ values of thiol groups, and sorption affinity of thiols to DOM macromolecules. Furthermore, the transformation rates of thiols were highly dependent on surrounding aquatic environments such as solution pH, solution salinity, and complexation with metals.

Introduction

Thiols are group of biogenic sulphydryl-containing compounds with multiple essential functions in biogeochemical systems. Marine microbes such as phytoplankton contain high concentrations ($\mu$M to mM) of thiols (Table 1) such as cysteine (Cys), γ-glutamylcysteine (γEC), arginylcysteine (RC), glutathione (GSH), and phytochelatins (PC). In particular, the tripeptide GSH has high intracellular concentrations (780–3300 μM) and plays important roles in detoxifying xenobiotics, depleting reactive oxygen species (ROS), mediating redox signaling, and serving as a precursor for PC synthesis. Upon quenching of ROS, GSH is oxidized to glutathione disulfide (GSSG) and subsequently restored to GSH by the glutathione reductase. Other biologically abundant thiol species are also of great biological importance. For instance, Cys is among the 20 ribosomally synthesized, proteinogenic amino acids and serves as synthesis precursor for other thiols. γEC serves as precursor for GSH synthesis. The biological function of RC is unclear until now, yet it is plausible that RC with high intracellular concentration (~2900 μM) may serve as nitrogen storage due to its high nitrogen content and rapid synthesis rate. PC is a class of thiol-containing peptides with structures of [γ-Glu-Cys]$_n$-Gly, where $n$ ranges from 2 to 11 (Table 1, showing $n = 2$ as example,
n = 1 is GSH). PC acts as heavy metal detoxifier by sequestering metals through formation of PC–metal complexes, which are subsequently excreted from the cell to the extracellular environment.12,13

Biogenic thiols in microbes can be released to the extracellular environment by cell lysis or exudation.14,15 Previous studies have detected significant amount of Cys, RC, γEC, GSH, and PC in lakes,16 estuaries,17 and seawater.2,4,14,18,19 The spatial distributions of thiols are highly depth-dependent with relatively high concentrations (sub-nM to nM) in the upper 100 m of the water column.2,4 Extracellular thiols in bulk waters play important roles in numerous geochemical processes. For instance, thiols are important ligands for metals such as Cu, Zn, Pb, Cd, and Hg in surface seawaters and therefore, play an important role in their speciation, transport, reactivity, and bioavailability.7,14,16,21 Previous works suggest that the biotic uptake of Hg22,23 and Zn24,25 are significantly promoted in the presence of thiol ligands. Furthermore, binding with thiols also significantly enhances the abiotic photodegradation of methylmercury in natural waters.26,27 In addition, thiols excreted by microbes act as the direct precursor to carbon disulfide and carbonyl sulfide,28,29 and thus bridge the biological and geochemical sulfur pools and play a key role in the global sulfur cycle.

Although extracellular thiols are of great geochemical importance, the removal processes of extracellular thiols are currently not well understood. Biotic uptake by microbes has long been known as an important depletion pathway of thiols. For instance, PC underwent microbial accumulation with half-lives ranging from hours to days.15 By contrast, until now little is known on the abiotic transformation of thiols in natural waters. We recently demonstrated that aquatic Cys underwent rapid photochemical transformations in the presence of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (DOM) and light that were 1–2 orders of magnitude faster than abiotic dark transformations.30 Cys was rapidly oxidized by the reaction with photochemically produced reactive intermediates (PPRI) generated by sensitization reactions involving the chromophoric fraction of DOM (CDOM).30 By analogy, it is reasonable to hypothesize that other thiol species will be susceptible to phototransformation processes.31 The reactivity of thiols may vary greatly depending on the surrounding solution conditions. For instance, the photochemical transformation rates of Cys were highly dependent on solution pH because of the higher electron density of the anionic thiolate (RS⁻) compared to the protonated thiol moiety (RSH).30 The pH-dependency of Cys reactivity may also apply to other biogenic thiols.

### Table 1 Naturally abundant thiols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thiol Structures</th>
<th>pKₐ (thiol)</th>
<th>Biological functions</th>
<th>Natural abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cysteine (Cys)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Protein-building block</td>
<td>10–1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30–2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginylcysteine (RC)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>Nitrogen storage (proposed)</td>
<td>~2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04–0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamylcysteine (γEC)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>GSH synthesis precursor</td>
<td>40–800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05–15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluthathione (GSH)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Antioxidant detoxifier PC</td>
<td>780–3300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Synthesis Precursor</td>
<td>0.01–0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytochelatin (PC)</td>
<td>9.4 (left) 9.0 (right)</td>
<td>Metal detoxifier</td>
<td>2.7–79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01–0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
demonstrated that complexation with metals, particularly with copper, may alter the chemical reactivity of thiols.\textsuperscript{22} In seawater, the phototransformation rates of thiols may be affected by the presence of salts, which either alter the physicochemical properties of DOM\textsuperscript{33} or facilitate generation of additional oxidative species.\textsuperscript{24}

The goal of this study was to systematically assess the photochemical transformations of thiols in DOM solutions, focusing on CDOM-sensitized transformations. The transformations of RC, γEC, GSH, and PC in UVA-irradiated DOM solutions were investigated at pH 5.7–9.9. The photo-transformation of GSSG was also determined under similar conditions. Furthermore, the contribution of each pathway to the photochemical transformation of thiols was estimated based on the pH-dependent reaction rate constants of thiols with PPRI and PPRI concentrations in CDOM solutions. Finally, the indirect photochemical transformation of thiols was examined in the presence of metal ions or in solutions with varying salinities. Overall, this study advances our understanding on the abiotic removal process of thiols and identifies environmental factors that affect thiol indirect photochemical transformations in surface waters.

Materials and methods

Materials and sample preparation

Information on sources of chemicals is detailed in the (ESI, Section S1†). Pahokee Peat Humic Acid Standard (PPHA, Lot Nr. 15103H) was chosen as the model DOM for most experiments. The salinity variation experiments were conducted through addition of various amounts of seawater salts to solutions containing Suwannee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM, Lot Nr. 1R101N). DOM solutions were prepared following a previously published method.\textsuperscript{35,36} Notably, all glassware used in this study was acid-washed prior to use to prevent contamination of trace metals, which might catalyze the oxidation of thiols.\textsuperscript{22}

RC, γEC, and PC were purchased from PepMic Co. (China) in >95% purity. GSH and GSSG were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Thiol stocks were prepared and stored in a N$_2$-filled glovebox to avoid oxidation by O$_2$. Notably, we observed slight formation of cyclic dimer for γEC (proposed structure shown in Section S3†) over a storage period of weeks. Nevertheless, this is not expected to impact the disappearance kinetics of γEC, as its photochemical transformations were clearly first-order (see below).

Determination of thiol pK$_a$ values

The pK$_a$ values of thiol groups in RC, γEC, and GSH were determined by UV-vis spectrophotometric titrations using an Agilent UV-vis spectrophotometer ( Cary 100, US). The pK$_a$ values were obtained by fit of thiol absorbance at selected wavelength ($\text{Abs}_{\text{thiol}}$, 219 nm for γEC, 235 nm for RC, and 251 nm for GSH) at pH 7.0–11.0 to eqn (1) using least squares minimization.

$$\text{Abs}_{\text{thiol}} = \text{Abs}_{\text{RS}} \frac{10^{\text{H}+\cdot pK_a}}{1 + 10^{\text{H}+\cdot pK_a}} + \text{Abs}_{\text{RSH}} \frac{1}{1 + 10^{\text{H}+\cdot pK_a}}$$

(1)

where $\text{Abs}_{\text{RS}^-}$ and $\text{Abs}_{\text{RSH}}$ were the absorbance values of RS$^-$ and RSH, respectively.

Steady state photolysis setup

All photolysis experiments were conducted using a photochemical reactor (Rayonet, PRP-100) in a temperature-controlled room set at 15 °C. The reactor was equipped with two or twelve 365 nm bulbs (Southern New England Ultraviolet Co., PRP-3500 A). Reaction solutions were irradiated in acid-washed borosilicate glass tubes. The temperature inside the reactor chamber gradually increased up to 20 °C under irradiation.

Photochemical transformation of thiols and PPRI productions in CDOM solutions

The photoreactions of thiols were conducted in separate setups at pH 5.9–8.8. The solutions contained a thiol species (initial concentration 40 μM), a buffer species (5 mM; acetate (pH 4.0–6.0), phosphate (pH 6.0–7.5), tris (pH 7.5–9.5), carbonate (above pH 9.5)), and PPHA (11.4 mg L$^{-1}$). Aliquots were removed at designated photolysis time points for thiol analysis. Dark control experiments were carried out using the same solutions at 15 °C. CDOM-free solutions were prepared at pH 9.9 and served as controls to assess any direct photochemical transformation of thiols. In the metal-complexation experiments, the photoreactions of GSH were conducted using identical solutions at pH 7.3 in the presence of 100 μM Fe$^{3+}$, 10 μM Ag$^+$, 10 μM Zn$^{2+}$, 10 μM Cd$^{2+}$, 10 μM Hg$^{2+}$, or 1 μM Cu$^{2+}$. In the experiments with various salinities, the photolyses of GSH were conducted at pH 7.3 with salinity set at 0.1%, 0.5% or 1.5% (m m$^{-3}$) using artificial seawater. The artificial seawater stock was prepared by dissolving 60.1 g L$^{-1}$ NaCl, 10.1 g L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$SO$_4$, 1.7 g L$^{-1}$ KCl, 0.25 g L$^{-1}$ KBr, 27.1 g L$^{-1}$ MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O, 2.8 g L$^{-1}$ CaCl$_2$, 0.06 g L$^{-1}$ SrCl$_2$, 0.3 g L$^{-1}$ borax. The photochemical productions of PPRI solutions were probed in thiol-free CDOM-free solutions following previously published methods.\textsuperscript{39}

Singlet oxygen production. Furfuryl alcohol (FFA, initial concentration at 40 μM) was used to determine the steady state concentration of singlet oxygen ([$^1$O$_2$]$^\text{ss}$) in DOM solutions containing Suwannee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM, Lot Nr. 1R101N). DOM solutions were prepared following a previously published method.\textsuperscript{35,36} Notably, all glassware used in this study was acid-washed prior to use to prevent contamination of trace metals, which might catalyze the oxidation of thiols.\textsuperscript{22}

$$[^1O_2]^\text{ss} = \frac{k_{\text{FFA}}^\text{ss}}{k_{\text{FFA}}^\text{RX}}$$

(2)

Hydroxyl radical production. Potassium terephthalic acid (TPA) was used to probe the steady state concentration of hydroxyl radical ([‘OH]$^\text{ss}$). TPA reacts with hydroxyl radical (‘OH) to produce hydroxyterephthalic acid (hTPA, conversion yield = 0.35).\textsuperscript{39} The conversion yield from the hydroxyl radical adduct to hTPA was assumed to be invariant to the solution compositions used in this study. Over the course of CDOM irradiation,
alkylation were removed at various photolysis time points for analysis of hTPA formation. $[\text{OH}]_{\text{ss}}$ was determined according to eqn (3), where $k_{\text{oxn}}^{\text{TPA}}$ corresponded to the bimolecular reaction rate constant of TPA with $^1\text{O}_2$ (4.4 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}),$\textsuperscript{40} TPA corresponded to TPA concentration (10 \text{ mM}), and $k_{\text{TPA}}^{\text{oxn}}$ corresponded to the formation rate of hTPA (M s$^{-1}$).

$$[\text{OH}]_{\text{ss}} = \frac{k_{\text{TPA}}^{\text{oxn}}}{k_{\text{oxn}}^{\text{TPA}}} \times [\text{TPA}] \times 0.35 \tag{3}$$

**Hydrogen peroxide production.** Hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) production in irradiated CDOM solution was probed with amplitufied red (AR). AR reacts with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in the presence of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) to form the fluorescent product resorufin.\textsuperscript{43} Along with CDOM irradiation, aliquots were removed at designated time points and mixed with solutions containing AR and HRP. The concentrations of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ($[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$) at different time points were calculated using calibration curve obtained with standard $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ solution.

**Reaction rate constant** of thiols with singlet oxygen

The reaction rate constants of thiols with $^1\text{O}_2$ were assessed following our recently published method.\textsuperscript{44} Briefly, the steady state photolysis experiments were conducted at pH 5.0 and 10.0, where thiols were in their protonated form (RSH) and deprotonated form (RS$^-$), respectively. The reaction solutions contained a thiol species (initial concentration of 40 \text{ mM}), a buffer (5 \text{ mM}), and perinaphthenone as $^1\text{O}_2$ sensitizer (2.5 \text{ mM}). The contribution of the $^1\text{O}_2$-mediated pathway was assessed by using D$_2$O as solvent in place of H$_2$O due to the well-known solvent isotope effect.\textsuperscript{45} The $^1\text{O}_2$-bimolecular reaction rate constants (units of M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) of RSH ($k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS}}$) and RS$^-$ ($k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS}}$) were calculated according to eqn (4) and (5), respectively.

$$k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS}} = \frac{k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{OSS}}}{[\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH5}} - [\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH5}}^\text{[H2O]}} \tag{4}$$

$$k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{OSS}} = \frac{k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{OSS}}}{[\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH10}} - [\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH10}}^\text{[H2O]}} \tag{5}$$

where $k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{OSS}}$, $k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS}}$, and $k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{OSS}}$ were the first-order transformation rate constants of RSH and RS$^-$ in D$_2$O and H$_2$O. $[\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH5}}$, $[\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH5}}^\text{[H2O]}$, $[\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH10}}$, and $[\text{RS}]_{\text{SSphH10}}^\text{[H2O]}$ were the steady state concentrations of $^1\text{O}_2$ at pH 5.0 and 10.0 in D$_2$O and H$_2$O. The concentrations of $^1\text{O}_2$ were assessed using FFA (100 \text{ mM}) as $^1\text{O}_2$ probe. The reaction rate constants between FFA and $^1\text{O}_2$ were presumed to be the same in H$_2$O and D$_2$O solutions.

**Reaction rate constant** of thiols with hydrogen peroxide

The bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiols with H$_2$O$_2$ were assessed at various solution pH (6.0–10.0) under dark conditions. The reaction solutions contained a thiol species (initial concentration of 20 \text{ mM}), a buffer species (5 \text{ mM}), and H$_2$O$_2$ (100 or 500 \text{ mM}). H$_2$O$_2$ was added in excessive amount such that its concentration change during the reaction was ignorable. Aliquots were sampled at designated time points for thiol analysis. The bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiol with H$_2$O$_2$ ($k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{H2O2}}$) were calculated by dividing the first-order transformation rate constant of thiol ($k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{SS}}$) by the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ ($[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$) (eqn (6)).

$$k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{H2O2}} = k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{SS}} \times \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]} \tag{6}$$

The intrinsic H$_2$O$_2$-reaction rate constants of RSH ($k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS,obs}}$) and RS$^-$ ($k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS,obs}}$) were obtained by fitting experimental $k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{H2O2}}$ with eqn (7).

$$k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{H2O2}} = k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS,obs}} \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]} + k_{\text{RS}}^{\text{SS,obs}} \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]} \frac{1}{1 + [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]} \tag{7}$$

**Contribution of each reaction pathway to thiol phototransformation**

The contributions of thiol transformation pathways mediated by $^1\text{O}_2$, $^1\text{O}_2$, and H$_2$O$_2$ as well as non-photochemical pathways in irradiated CDOM solutions were estimated using eqn (8)–(11), respectively.

$$^1\text{O}_2 \text{ contribution} = \frac{k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{SS}}}{k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS}} \text{ thiol,obs}} \tag{8}$$

$$^1\text{O}_2 \text{ contribution} = \frac{k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{SS}}}{k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS}} \text{ thiol,obs}} \tag{9}$$

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ contribution} = \frac{k_{\text{thiol,obs}}^{\text{SS,obs}}}{k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS,obs}} \text{ thiol,obs}} \tag{10}$$

$$\text{non-photochemical contribution} = \frac{k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS}}}{k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS}} \text{ thiol,obs}} \tag{11}$$

where $k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS}}$ and $k_{\text{CDOM}}^{\text{SS,obs}}$ were the first-order transformation rates of thiol in CDOM solution under irradiation or dark conditions. Unlike $^1\text{O}_2$ or $^1\text{O}_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ was relatively long-lived upon formation.\textsuperscript{46} The contribution of H$_2$O$_2$-mediated pathway increased linearly with irradiation time. Thus, an averaged $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ over sampling period was adapted to assess the contribution of H$_2$O$_2$-mediated pathway.

**Analysis of thiols, FFA, resorufin, and hTPA**

Thiols were derivatized with a selective derivatization agent, monobromobimane (mBBr). mBBr not only reacted with thiols to produce fluorescent product, but also protected thiols from oxidation before analysis.\textsuperscript{38,44} Derivatized thiols, FFA, resorufin, and hTPA were quantified using a Waters ACQUITY ultra high pressure liquid chromatograph (UPLC) coupled to a photodiode array detector (PDA) and a fluorescence detector (FLD). Detailed information on UPLC separation and detection were provided in the ESI (Section S2).
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Results and discussion

pKₐ values of thiols

The pKₐ values of thiol groups were determined by UV-vis spectrophotometric titration. Similar pKₐ values of thiol groups were obtained for RC (9.44 ± 0.03), γEC (9.70 ± 0.04), and GSH (9.23 ± 0.02). The measured GSH pKₐ value was in good agreement with literature value measured by NMR titration. An average pKₐ value (9.20) of the previously reported pKₐ values (9.39 and 9.01) of thiol groups in PC was adapted in this study. Notably, the pKₐ values of thiol groups in these investigated Cys-containing peptides were all around one pH unit higher than the thiol pKₐ in free Cys (8.42).³⁹

pH-dependent reaction rate constants of thiols with PPRI

Following the pKₐ value determination, the pH-dependent reaction rate constants (kₚPPrI) of thiols with ¹O₂, H₂O₂, or ‘OH were assessed accounting for the respective fractions and PPRI-reaction rate constants and of RSH (kₚPPrI) and RS⁻ (kₚPPrI).

\[
k_{\text{PPrI}} = k_{\text{PPrI}} \frac{[\text{pH}]}{[\text{pH}] + [\text{OH}]} + k_{\text{PPrI}} \frac{1}{1 + [\text{OH}]/[\text{pK}_{a}]} (12)
\]

The investigated thiols were assumed to have the same diffusion-controlled and pH-independent reaction rate constant with ‘OH (1.8 x 10^10 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) as free Cys.³⁶ The reaction rate constants of RSH and RS⁻ with ¹O₂ and H₂O₂ were assessed below.

Bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiols with singlet oxygen. The bimolecular reaction rate constants of the thiols with ¹O₂ were assessed at pH 5.0 and 10.0. The phototransformation of thiols follow pseudo-first order kinetics. At pH 10.0, the ¹O₂-reaction rate constant of RC, γEC, and GSH were similar to free Cys (2.3 x 10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹). In comparison, the PC rate constant with ¹O₂ (5.4 x 10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) was around 2-fold higher compared to other thiols (Table 2). The high ¹O₂-reactivity of PC can be rationalized by the two reactive thiol sites in PC. At pH 5.0, all thiols show negligible transformations, which were consistent with the lower ¹O₂-reactivity of thiols in the electron-poor protonated form.⁴⁶

Bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiols with hydrogen peroxide. The experimental reaction rate constants of RC, γEC, GSH, and PC with H₂O₂ were highly pH-dependent and increased from pH 5.9 to 9.8, suggesting higher reactivity of RS⁻ with H₂O₂ than RSH. The bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiols with H₂O₂ in protonated and deprotonated forms were obtained from fitting of the experimental rate constants using eqn (7). The H₂O₂-reactivity of RC and GSH in the deprotonated form was close to Cys and higher than γEC and PC (Table 2). The protonated thiol group in γEC, GSH, and PC show negligible reactivity with H₂O₂. Comparatively, RC in the protonated form shows low but non-negligible reactivity with H₂O₂. The causes of low H₂O₂-reactivity of γEC and PC in the deprotonated form and RC in the protonated form are currently unclear.

Photochemical transformations of thiols in CDOM solutions

The reactivity of thiols with each individual PPRI was discussed in the previous section. This section addressed the transformation kinetics of thiols in irradiated CDOM solutions, which contained multiple PPRI species. The photochemical transformations of RC, γEC, GSH, and PC in CDOM solutions followed pseudo-first-order kinetics at all investigated solution pH (Fig. 1, showing GSH as example). The transformation rates were highly pH-dependent and increased with solution pH increasing from 5.7 to 9.9 (Fig. 1), suggesting higher reactivity of RS⁻ than RSH. No transformations of investigated thiols were observed in control experiments conducted in CDOM-free solutions, indicating no direct photochemical transformation of thiols under UVA irradiation. This observation is consistent with lack of overlap between thiol absorption and light spectrum. Among the investigated thiol species, γEC and GSH showed similar transformation rate constants over all solution pH. The transformation rate constants of PC were around 2-fold higher than γEC and GSH, which was reasonable giving the two reactive thiol groups contained in PC molecule (Fig. 1b). While normalized by the number of thiol groups, the transformation rate constants of the thiol groups in γEC, GSH, and PC were similar or lower than free Cys.

Effect of pKₐ shifts on thiol reactivity. The lower reactivity of the thiol groups in γEC, GSH, and PC compared to free Cys (Fig. 1b) indicates that the neighboring stable amino acid residues in the peptides modulate the reactivity of the thiol group. We attribute the effect of neighboring stable residues on thiol reactivity to shifts in the thiol pKₐ value. The pKₐ values of thiol groups in γEC, GSH, and PC were around one pH unit higher then in free Cys (Table 1), which substantially alters the.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thiol</th>
<th>¹O₂ (10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>H₂O₂ (M⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>‘OH (10¹⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSH</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.00</td>
<td>27.0 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS⁻</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.09</td>
<td>29.4 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γEC</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.07</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05</td>
<td>28.0 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiols with PPRI. Cys values were adapted from Chu et al.³⁹ for comparison.
ratio of more reactive RS$^-$ to less reactive RSH in the investigated solution pH range. For instance, 24% of the thiol groups in Cys were present in the more reactive RS$^-$ form at pH 7.9, compared to only 2–5% of γEC, GSH, and PC in the RS$^-$ form at the same pH. The effect of neighboring amino acids on Cys side chain reactivity corresponded to our previous study on histidine, whose reactivity was greatly varied by $pK_a$ values induced by neighboring peptide residues, suggesting that this effect might be a general phenomenon for all ionizable reactive residues with environmentally relevant $pK_a$ values.

**Effect of sorption to DOM on thiol reactivity.** The photochemical transformation rate constants of RC in CDOM solutions were remarkably higher than other investigated thiols, despite their comparable $pK_a$ values of thiol groups (Fig. 1b). For instance, the transformation rate constant of RC ($6.4 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$) at pH 7.9 was around one order of magnitude higher than γEC ($4.9 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$), GSH ($7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$), and PC ($1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$).

We attribute the higher reactivity of RC in CDOM solutions to the association of RC with DOM macromolecules. Previous studies suggest that the PPRI distributions in CDOM are microheterogeneous, with much higher PPRI concentrations within and around the DOM macromolecules. Aquatic biomolecules associated with CDOM are exposed to high PPRI concentrations and undergo enhanced phototransformation. The guanidinium group in RC contains a delocalized positive charge, and might facilitate the formation of strong electrostatic bonds with the negatively charged moieties in DOM (e.g., carboxylic and phenolic groups), thus enhancing RC photochemical transformation rates in CDOM solutions. In order to examine this hypothesis, a sorption experiment was conducted to test the sorption affinity of RC to DOM using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM, refer to Section S4 for more details†). The proposed sorption affinity of RC to negatively charged DOM was strongly supported by the reversible association (35 ng cm$^{-2}$) of RC to carboxylate-terminated self-assembled monolayers (Fig. S1†). A similar association-enhanced effect was previously observed for histidine-containing peptides.

**Phototransformation of disulfide.** In addition to the thiols discussed above, the photochemical transformation of GSSG was examined in irradiated CDOM solutions. The result shows no clear GSSG transformation over an 8-hour irradiation at pH 5.7–9.9. The negligible transformation of GSSG were in good agreement with a previous study and suggests that the disulfide bond is relatively photostable.

**Contribution of individual pathways to thiol transformation in irradiated CDOM solutions.** In the prior sections, the pH-dependent transformation rate constants of thiols in CDOM solutions and bimolecular reaction rate constants of thiols with individual PPRI were established. In this section, we addressed the contribution of each transformation pathway, including $^1O_2$, $H_2O_2^*$, and $'OH$-mediated oxidation and non-photochemical pathway, to the transformation of γEC, GSH, and PC in irradiated CDOM solutions. The rate of uncatalyzed reactions between superoxide and thiols were estimated to be too low to be important and were not assessed experimentally in this study. For instance, given the slow reaction rate constant of GSH with superoxide ($1.0 \times 10^7$ to $6.7 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and low expected steady state superoxide concentration in natural waters, the estimated pseudo-first-order rate constant of superoxide-mediated GSH transformation was several orders of magnitude lower than the GSH transformation observed in this study. Furthermore, RC was not included in this assessment because its sorption-enhanced phototransformation interferes with the pathway allocations.

**Singlet oxygen.** The formation rates of $^1O_2$ in UVA-irradiated CDOM solution slightly decreased with increasing solution pH and the steady state concentrations were around 1.0 pM. The contributions of the $^1O_2$-mediated pathways to the overall transformation rates of thiols varied greatly among the investigated solution pH because of the pH-dependent reactivity of thiols with $^1O_2$ (Fig. 2). For instance, the contributions of $^1O_2$-mediated oxidation to GSH transformation remarkably increased from 0.6% at pH 5.7 to 35% at pH 9.9. Among the studied thiols, PC exhibited highest $^1O_2$ contribution, which in average accounted for 35% of PC oxidation. Overall, the $^1O_2$-
mediated oxidation pathway, especially at high solution pH, was a major contributor to thiol transformation in irradiated CDOM solutions.

**Hydroxyl radical.** The steady state concentrations of 'OH were relatively low compared with other PPRI and increased from 0.68 fM at pH 5.8 to 1.0 fM at pH 9.9. Despite its low concentrations, the 'OH-mediated transformation pathway was not ignorable due to the high reactivity of both protonated and deprotonated thiols with 'OH. The contribution of 'OH-mediated thiol oxidation decreased with increasing solution pH (Fig. 2). For instance, only 5.2% of GSH transformation was attributed to 'OH-mediated pathway at pH 9.9, compared to ca. 100% contribution at pH 5.7 (the calculated value was 118%). The studied thiols had similar contributions of 'OH-mediated oxidation, with γEC showing slightly higher contributions (44% in average). These results suggest that 'OH-mediated oxidation had high importance for thiol transformation in irradiated CDOM solutions, especially at low solution pH.

**Hydrogen peroxide.** The formation rates of H$_2$O$_2$ increased with increasing solution pH (e.g., from 1.6 nM s$^{-1}$ at pH 5.7 to 3.4 nM s$^{-1}$ at pH 9.9). The H$_2$O$_2$ formation rates were comparable with previous studies. The contributions of H$_2$O$_2$-mediated thiol oxidation thus increased rapidly with increasing solution pH, owing to both high H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations and bimolecular transformation rate constants of thiols with H$_2$O$_2$ at high solution pH. For instance, the contributions of H$_2$O$_2$-mediated oxidation to thiol transformations increased by 1–2 orders of magnitude with pH increasing from 5.7 to 9.9. In addition, H$_2$O$_2$-mediated oxidation contributions varied among thiol species, which in average ranged from 2.4% for PC to 19.7% for GSH. Overall, the contributions of H$_2$O$_2$-mediated oxidation to thiol transformation in irradiated CDOM solutions were highly dependent on both thiol species and solution pH, with negligible contributions at low solution pH and moderate importance at high solution pH.

**Non-photochemical pathway.** The non-photochemical transformations of thiols with CDOM were assessed in the absence of light. The results show no detectable (at pH ≤ 7.9) or slow (at pH ≥ 8.7) transformations of thiols under dark conditions. The transformations of thiols at pH ≥ 8.7 followed pseudo-first-order kinetics and the rates were found to be highly pH-dependent, indicating higher reactivity of RS$^-$ with CDOM than RSH. Control experiments conducted with CDOM-free solutions show no transformation of thiols at all investigated solution pH. While the mechanistic investigation of thiol non-photochemical reaction pathway was beyond the scope of this study, it is plausible that thiols reacted with CDOM in same manner as hydrogen sulfide, either through electron transfer to

Fig. 2 Contribution of each pathway to transformation of γEC, GSH, and PC in irradiated CDOM solution.
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Fig. 3 Effects of (a) metal complexation and (b) solution salinity on GSH transformations in irradiated CDOM solutions at pH 7.3.
CDOM or conjugate ("Michael") addition reactions with electrophiles in CDOM macromolecules. The contributions of non-photochemical pathways to thiol transformation in irradiated CDOM solutions were negligible below pH 7.9, giving no detectable thiol transformation under dark conditions. The non-photochemical pathway slightly contributed to thiol transformation above pH 8.7. For instance, 3.0–8.2% of thiol transformations were attributed to non-photochemical pathway at pH 9.9. Overall, non-photochemical pathway had minor importance on thiol transformations in irradiated CDOM solutions.

**Effect of metal complexation and solution salinity on thiol transformation**

The foregoing sections established the pH-dependent photochemical transformation of thiols in CDOM solutions. Following this, we sought to assess the effect of metal complexation and solution salinity on thiol transformation rates in irradiated CDOM solutions using GSH as representative thiol species.

**Metal ion effects.** The photochemical transformation of GSH in CDOM solutions was examined at pH 7.3 in the presence of 100 µM Fe(III), 10 µM Ag⁺, 10 µM Zn²⁺, 10 µM Cd²⁺, 10 µM Hg²⁺, or 1 µM Cu²⁺. The results show that GSH transformation followed first-order kinetics in the presence of metal ions (Fig. 3a). No significant effect on GSH transformation rate constants was observed in the presence of Fe(III) or Ag⁺ as compared to metal-free solutions. Addition of equimolar concentrations of Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, or Hg²⁺ slightly enhanced photochemical transformations of GSH to approximately the same extent, indicating higher reactivity of GSH upon complexation with those metal ions. Copper ions, by contrast, showed a strong enhancing effect. Addition of low concentration of Cu²⁺ (1 μM) enhanced the GSH transformation rate by over one order of magnitude, suggesting that Cu²⁺ could effectively catalyze GSH photooxidation. The remarkable catalytic effect by Cu²⁺ on oxidation of GSH was in good agreement with previous studies. Besides, accelerated GSH transformation might be also caused by red shift of GSH–Cu²⁺ absorption, which subsequently facilitated the direct photolysis of GSH. Mechanistic assessment of metal complexation on thiol phototransformation remains a need for future work.

**Solution salinity.** The photochemical transformation of GSH was examined at pH 7.3 in CDOM solutions prepared with artificial seawater. The results show that GSH transformation followed first-order kinetics in seawater. The transformation rate constants of GSH increased with increasing solution salinity. For instance, the rate constants of GSH transformation were enhanced by over 5-fold in 1.5% salinity solution compared to freshwater. The transformations of GSH may be affected by solution salinity either through altering the physicochemical properties of CDOM or through generation of additional oxidative species. Future study is needed for a mechanistic understanding of influence of solution salinity on thiol phototransformation.

**Conclusions**

This study surveyed the abiotic transformation of four environmentally abundant thiols, including RC, γEC, GSH, and PC, in irradiated CDOM solutions. The results show that the reactivity of thiols was greatly affected by their intrinsic physicochemical properties such as number of reactive thiol groups, pKₐ values of thiol groups, and sorption affinity of thiols to CDOM macromolecules. Furthermore, the transformation rates of thiols were highly dependent on surrounding aquatic environments such as solution pH, solution salinity, and complexation with metals.

The stability of thiols in surface water could be affected by their intrinsic properties and environmental conditions.

Fig. 4  Half-lives of thiols in irradiated CDOM solutions affected by solution pH (showing GSH half-lives at pH 5.7–9.9 as example), metal complexation (showing GSH half-lives in the presence of different metals at pH 7.3 as example), salinity (showing GSH half-lives at different salinity at pH 7.3 as example), and thiol species (showing half-lives of thiols at pH 7.3 as example). The half-life of GSH at pH 7.3 was highlighted (black hollow squares on the dashed line). Error bars represent the half-life errors calculated based on the errors of experimental reaction rate constants.
discussed above to different extents. In order to visualize these effects on thiol stability, we examined the half-lives of GSH in various aqueous conditions and compared the GSH half-lives with other thiols (Fig. 4). The half-lives of GSH varied up to 2-orders of magnitude under different environmental conditions, ranging from minutes to days. All three categories of environmental factors significantly affect GSH half-lives. GSH half-lives dramatically declined with increasing solution pH and salinity. The effect of metal ions on GSH half-lives was highly dependent on the metal species. Particularly, addition of Cu$^{2+}$ remarkably reduced the GSH half-lives by approximately 14-fold. In addition, the half-lives varied among different thiol species. At pH 7.3, γEC, GSH, and PC had comparable half-lives of hours, which were much higher than half-lives of Cys and RC which showed half-lives of minutes.

We expect the abiotic transformation to play an important role on modulating thiol concentrations in surface waters. The observed photochemical transformation rates of thiols in this study, PC for example, were 1–3 orders of magnitude higher than the biotic uptake rates.$^{15}$ Thus, abiotic photochemical transformations may act as major contributor to removal processes of thiols in sunlit waters during the daytime. In the meantime, we expect that thiol concentrations may increase at night, when photochemical transformation is shut down and slower biotic uptake acts as the major removal process. Further field studies need to be conducted to investigate the temporal and spatial distributions of thiols as well as to examine the depth-dependent photochemical transformation of thiols in aquatic environments.
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