Non-fluorinated pre-irradiation-grafted (peroxidated) LDPE-based anion-exchange membranes with high performance and stability†
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Radiation-grafted anion-exchange membrane (RG-AEM) research has predominantly focused on the chemical stability of the polymer-bound positively-charged head-groups that enable anion conduction. The effect of the backbone polymer chemistry, of the precursor film, on RG-AEM stability has been studied to a lesser extent and not for RG-AEMs made from pre-irradiation grafting of polymer films in air (peroxidation). The mechanical strength of polymer films is generally weakened by exposure to high radiation doses (e.g. from a high-energy e−-beam) and this is mediated by chemical degradation of the main chains: fluorinated films mechanically weaken at lower absorbed doses compared to non-fluorinated films. This study systematically compares the performance difference between RG-AEMs synthesised from a non-fluorinated polymer film (low-density polyethylene – LDPE) and a partially-fluorinated polymer film (poly(ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene) – ETFE) using the peroxidation method (pre-irradiation in air using an e−-beam). Both the LDPE and ETFE precursor films used were 25 µm in thickness, which led to RG-AEMs of hydrated thicknesses in the range 52–60 µm. The RG-AEMs (designated LDPE-AEM and ETFE-AEM, respectively) all contained identical covalently-bound benzyltrimethylammonium (BTMA) cation head-groups. An LDPE-AEM achieved a OH− anion conductivity of 145 mS cm−1 at 80 °C in a 95% relative humidity environment and a Cl− anion conductivity of 76 mS cm−1 at 80 °C when fully hydrated. Alkali stability testing showed that the LDPE-AEM mechanically weakened to a much lower extent when treated in aqueous alkaline solution compared to the ETFE-AEM. This LDPE-AEM outperformed the ETFE-AEM in H2/O2 anion-exchange membrane fuel cell (AEMFC) tests due to high anion conductivity and enhanced in situ water transport (due to the lower density of the LDPE precursor): a maximum power density of 145 W cm−2 at 80 °C was achieved with an LDPE-AEM alongside a Pt-based anode and cathode (cf. 1.21 mW cm−2 for the benchmark ETFE-AEM). The development of more mechanically robust RG-AEMs has, for the first time, led to the ability to routinely test them in fuel cells at 80 °C (cf. 60 °C was the prior maximum temperature that could be routinely used with ETFE-based RG-AEMs). This development facilitates the application of non-Pt catalysts: 931 mW cm−2 was obtained with the use of a Ag/C cathode at 80 °C and a Ag loading of 0.8 mg cm−2 (only 711 mW cm−2 was obtained at 60 °C). This first report on the synthesis of large batch size LDPE-based RG-AEMs, using the commercially amenable peroxidation-type radiation-grafting process, concludes that the resulting LDPE-AEMs are superior to ETFE-AEMs (for the intended applications).

Broader context

Apart from the discussed application in anion-exchange membrane fuel cells, these stable, high-performance radiation-grafted anion-exchange membranes (RG-AEM) could also find application in other electrochemical devices (that require solid-state anion-conductive electrolytes) including reverse electrodialysis, diffusion dialysis, and alkaline water electrolyser (AWE). AWEs that contain alkali-stable, mechanically strong AEMs are being developed to generate H2 from aqueous solutions that contain lower concentrations of caustic KOH (or potentially the use of aqueous Na2CO3) compared to traditional AWEs that contain high concentration KOH solutions that are used alongside porous separators. An envisaged future scenario is the use of cheap, non-precious-metal containing, AEM-based AWEs (e.g. generating H2 from solar electricity during the daytime) that will work alongside cheap AEM-based fuel cells to provide cheap electricity (e.g. at night) for off-grid communities in developing countries.
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Introduction

Anion-exchange membranes (AEMs) are increasingly being developed for use in a variety of electrochemical technologies. These include fuel cells (AEMFC) and alkaline water electrolyser. These AEM-based devices permit a wider range of non-Pt metal catalysts to be used, while AEMFCs have the added flexibility to utilise various N-based fuels, such as hydrazine hydrate. High conductivity, permselective AEMs may also be applicable in other electrochemical energy technologies, such as redox flow batteries and reverse electrodialysis.

A useful tool for the production of high-performance AEMs is radiation graft polymerisation. A wide selection of polymer films, including fully-fluorinated, partially-fluorinated, and pure hydrocarbon types, have been used as precursor polymers for the preparation of radiation-grafted (RG) polymer electrolyte membranes, such as proton-exchange membranes (PEM), for use in fuel cells. A major challenge in the development of RG membranes has generally been overcoming their poor mechanical properties as the high radiation doses required lead to damage of the polymer main chains (e.g. C-C bond scission) in the radiation treated precursor films. This is especially true for precursor polymer films containing C-F bonds such as partially-fluorinated poly(ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene) (ETFE). In many instances, tests on fuel cells containing RG polymer electrolytes were terminated not because of loss of functionality (originating from chemical degradation) but due to swelling stresses and membrane rupture, a problem of particular importance in larger cells and stacks. Gubler et al. found that RG ETFE-based PEMs, with graft levels > 30%, were excessively brittle and showed rapid mechanical failure at 80 °C, even in single-cell fuel cells. A RG FEP-based PEM also underwent mechanical failure and pinhole formation after ca. 2500 h of fuel cell testing.

Fluorinated precursor polymers are, therefore, generally less suitable for radiation-induced grafting modification as it can be hard to maintain long-term fuel cell application due to the mechanical limitations of the resulting polymer electrolytes. On the other hand, the use of non-fluorinated hydrocarbon-based polymer precursors such as polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP), for synthesising RG membranes such as RG-AEMs, offer several advantages including: lower costs, enhanced commercial availability of the precursor, and more possibilities for recycling the final products (no C–F content).

Recently, low-density polyethylene (LDPE) and high-density polyethylene (HDPE) films were used to prepare RG-AEMs that allowed for high-performance in AEMFCs; an LDPE-derived RG-AEM achieved a peak power density of 823 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 60 °C. This prior work involved the mutual (simultaneous) radiation induced grafting method (MIG) where the precursor polymers are immersed in the monomer solution prior to treatment with radiation (e.g. using γ-rays).

Pre-irradiation induced grafting (PIG), especially with irradiation of the polymer precursors in air, is potentially more amenable for future commercial production of RG membranes compared to MIG. MIG can result in a high level of “homopolymer” where the monomer is polymerised, by the radiation, but the resulting polymer chains are not covalently attached to the precursor films. Such homopolymer side-products are hard to separate from the desired RG materials and may leech out over time causing in situ loss of performance. However, the radiation-grafting of vinyl monomers onto either LDPE or HDPE base polymers results in lower grafting yields using the PIG method compared to MIG. For example, one study showed that 10% degree of grafting (DoG) was obtained with PIG compared to 50% for MIG (with all other conditions identical). For another example, Sherazi et al. utilised γ-ray PIG (in N$_2$) of ultra-high molecular weight PE powder followed by grafting of vinylbenzyl chloride (VBC) monomer and then amination: with this process, the DoG was restricted to a maximum of 25%, which led to the resulting quaternary ammonium AEM exhibiting a low ion-exchange capacity (IEC) of only 0.91 mmol g$^{-1}$. Such low grafting yields and IECs in this class of polymer electrolyte are not sufficient to provide adequate anion (e.g. OH$^-$) conductivities for AEMFC application. To successfully use PIG for reacting monomers such as VBC with PE-based precursor materials, further process development is clearly required.

Moreover, the use of e$^-$-beams is more amenable for commercialisation compared to more hazardous and regulated γ-ray sources: commercial e$^-$-beam facilities are available worldwide and are used to sterilise baby milk bottles and medical instruments as well as to cross-link polymers to form products such as heat-shrink tubing. However, the radiation-grafting of monomers onto PE films yields lower grafting yields with the use of e$^-$-beam irradiation than with γ-irradiation.

To make matters worse, grafting yields are lower, and higher absorbed doses are required, when the PIG of polymer precursors is conducted in air. This is called peroxidation grafting where the radicals formed from the radiation-induced bond scission react with the O$_2$ molecules present in air to form hydroperoxide species. This compares to PIG conducted under inert atmospheres such as N$_2$ (an additional procedural step being required to exclude air), which results in polymers containing free-radicals that are harder to store due to the tendency of the radicals to react together to form cross-links.

Despite many attempts, we historically have not been able to achieve peroxidation of VBC onto LDPE with the use of e$^-$-beams [unpublished work]. This situation changed in 2016 after the development of a new grafting protocol, involving an emulsion method for peroxidation graft polymerisation of VBC onto ETFE film using water as a diluent, which significantly enhanced the DoG compared to the previous use of propan-2-ol as an organic diluent. This had many benefits: (1) lower radiation absorbed doses could be used, which was important when partially-fluorinated precursor films were being used; (2) lower amounts of VBC monomer could be used (lowering costs and the amount of hazardous waste); and (3) more homogeneous grafting, throughout the thickness of the films, was achieved (leading to enhanced anion conduction).

Herein, this study presents the RG-AEM development breakthrough we have been seeking and shows that the emulsion-based peroxidation protocol can be used to radiation-graft VBC onto LDPE that has been e$^-$-beam treated in air. The results will
show that the LDPE-based RG-AEMs (LDPE-AEM) have enhanced 
ex situ and in situ (AEMFC) properties and characteristics compared to a benchmark ETFE-AEM, even when the LDPE-AEMs have been synthesised using high radiation absorbed doses of up to 100 kGy (an absorbed dose that ETFE would never be able to mechanically withstand). We will show that an AEMFC containing an LDPE-AEM exhibits one of the highest H2/O2 performances reported to date.

### Experimental

#### Chemicals and materials

LDPE film (ET311126, 25 μm thickness, ca. 50% crystallinity, 
\(T_g = -125 \degree C\)) was purchased from Goodfellow (UK). ETFE film 
(ET-6235Z, 25 μm thickness, ca. 35% crystallinity, \(T_g = 55-60 \degree C\)) 
was supplied by Nowofol Kunststoffprodukte GmbH (Germany). 
Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) for the precursor 
films (LDPE and ETFE) and the resulting radiation-grafted 
membranes are presented in Fig. S1 in the ESI. Vinylbenzyl 
chloride monomer (VBC, 97%, mixture of 3- and 4-isomers) was 
used without removal of inhibitors (50–100 ppm 4-tert-butyl-
catechol and 700–1100 ppm nitromethane) and was supplied by 
Sigma-Aldrich (caution – potential mutagen). 1-Octyl-2-pyrrolidone 
and aqueous trimethylamine solution (TMA, 45% wt) were also 
purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Reagent grade toluene and propan-
2-ol were supplied by Fisher Scientific (UK). All chemicals were used 
as received and the ultra-pure water (UPW) used was of 18.2 MΩ cm 
resistivity.

#### Radiation grafting (peroxidation) of VBC onto LDPE or ETFE

A schematic summary of the preparation of the LDPE-AEMs is 
shown in Scheme 1. The RG-AEMs were prepared from 
pre-formed LDPE and ETFE films using the peroxidation (PIG 
in air) method that has been previously reported.\(^\text{24}\) In summary, 
the LDPE and ETFE films were subjected to high dose rate 
e\(^{-}\)-beam irradiation in air using a 4.5 MeV Dynamatron 
Continuous Electron Beam Unit (STERIS Synergy Health, South 
Marston, UK) with absorbed doses controlled by the number 
passes (10 kGy per pass). The ETFE films were exposed to a 
30 kGy absorbed dose, whilst the LDPE films were exposed to 
either 50 or 100 kGy absorbed doses. As the irradiation step is 
performed in air, immediate reaction of the radicals, that are formed 
on radiolysis, with O2 molecules in the air leads to the creation of 
peroxide groups on the polymer chains in the films. The peroxidised 
LDPE and ETFE films can then act as a solid-state free-radical 
initiator for the subsequent graft polymerisations (see below). 
After irradiation, the films were transported back to the 
laboratory in dry ice and they were then stored in a freezer at 
\(-40 \degree C\).

For the grafting step, the e\(^{-}\)-beam-treated films (15 × 15 cm in 
area) were immersed in aqueous mixtures containing VBC 
(5% w/v) and 1-octyl-2-pyrrolidone dispersant (1% w/v) in glass 
vessels. The grafting mixtures were then purged with N\(_2\) for 2 h 
before the vessels were sealed and heated at the required 
temperature and length of time (optimised at 55 °C for 16 h 
for LDPE and 70 °C for 16 h for ETFE – see later). After the 
grafting reactions were complete, the films were removed from 
the solutions and washed with toluene: this process is 
employed to remove excess unreacted VBC and any traces of 
surface-bound VBC homopolymer that may be present. The 
resulting intermediate VBC-grafted films were subsequently 
dried at 70 °C for 5 h in a vacuum oven to remove all traces 
of solvent. The degree of grafting (DoG, %) of each intermediate 
membrane was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{DoG(\%)} = \frac{m_i - m_f}{m_i} \times 100
\]

where \(m_i\) is the mass of the grafted membrane and \(m_f\) is the 
initial mass of the peroxidated film used.

#### Amination to form the AEMs (in the Cl\(^{-}\) anion forms)

The intermediate grafted films were then submerged in the 
aqueous TMA (45% wt) at ambient temperature for 24 h, 
before being washed with UPW and subsequently heated in fresh 
UPW: this procedure was adopted to remove any excess TMA. 
Final assurance of the Cl\(^{-}\) anion forms before they have 
been exposed to any high or low pH environments that may 
subtly change their properties (e.g. minor degradations) and to 
eliminate any CO\(_2\) adsorption interference processes (that may 
occur with HCO\(_3\)^{-}/CO\(_3^{2-}\)/OH\(^{-}\) form RG-AEMs). This aids routine
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Scheme 1 An outline of the synthetic process used to synthesise the low-
density polyethylene-based anion-exchange membranes (LDPE-AEM). The 
benchmark ETFE-AEM is synthesised using the same protocol but starting 
with polyethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene), ETFE, films rather than LDPE.
determinations of WU, TPS, IEC, and anion conductivities that are significantly more repeatable and reliable.

**Water uptakes (WU) and through-plane dimensional swelling (TPS).** RG-AEMs samples were removed from the storage water and excess surface water was removed by dabbing with filter paper. The hydrated masses \( m_{\text{hydr}} \) and thicknesses \( T_{\text{hydr}} \), measured using a digital micrometer, were speedily recorded to avoid dehydration on prolonged exposure to the atmosphere. The AEM samples were subsequently dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C for 15 h before the dry masses \( m_{\text{dry}} \) and thicknesses \( T_{\text{dry}} \) were speedily recorded (AEMs are hygroscopic). All measurements were repeated on \( n = 3 \) samples of each RG-AEM(\( \text{Cl}^- \)). The WU and TPS values were then calculated:

\[
\text{WU}(\%) = \frac{m_{\text{hydr}} - m_{\text{dry}}}{m_{\text{dry}}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{TPS}(\%) = \frac{T_{\text{hydr}} - T_{\text{dry}}}{T_{\text{dry}}} \times 100
\]

**Ion-exchange capacity (IEC).** The dehydrated RG-AEM samples \( m_{\text{dry}}/g \), collected straight from the WU measurements above, were individually immersed into aqueous NaNO\(_3\) (2.4 mol dm\(^{-3}\), 20 cm\(^3\)) solutions for 5 h. The solutions, still containing the AEM samples, were then acidified with aqueous HNO\(_3\) (2 mol dm\(^{-3}\), 2 cm\(^3\)) and titrated using aqueous AgNO\(_3\) (20.00 ± 0.06 mmol dm\(^{-3}\)) solution. A Metrohm 848 TitroinoPlus autotitrator equipped with an Ag-Titrode was used for the titrations. The IEC (mmol g\(^{-1}\)) of each sample was calculated from the end-point \( E_p/cm^3\):

\[
\text{IEC} = \frac{E_p \times 0.02}{m_{\text{dry}}}
\]

**Ion-conductivity for the fully hydrated RG-AEM(\( \text{Cl}^- \)).** Samples \( n = 3 \) of each RG-AEM(\( \text{Cl}^- \)) were taken directly from the RG-AEM(\( \text{Cl}^- \))s that were stored in UPW after synthesis. The \( \text{Cl}^- \) anion conductivities of the fully hydrated samples were measured using a Solartron 1260/1287 instrument combination controlled by ZPlot (Scribner Associates, USA). Impedance spectra were collected over the frequency range 0.3 Hz–100 kHz (10 mV a.c. amplitude) with the samples mounted in a 4-probe BekkTech BT-112 test cell (supplied by Alvatek, UK) that was submerged in UPW at controlled temperatures. Ionic resistance values \( R/\Omega \) were extracted from the low frequency \( x \)-axis intercepts. The in-plane conductivity \( \sigma/(\text{S cm}^{-1}) \) of each sample was then calculated:

\[
\sigma = \frac{d}{R \times w \times t}
\]

where \( d \) is the distance between the Pt voltage sense wires (0.425 cm), and \( w \) and \( t \) are the width and thickness of the hydrated AEM samples, respectively.

**Raman spectroscopy and microscopy.** The DXR Raman microscope used (Thermo Fisher Scientific) contained a \( \lambda = 532 \) nm (8 mW) excitation laser focused through a confocal microscope. In addition to recording the Raman spectra of the various films and membranes, the microscope mode was used to map the different chemical components through cross-sectional samples of the RG-AEMs (desiccator dried). A 50× objective was used yielding a theoretical minimum (Airy disk) laser spot diameter = 1 \( \mu \)m. Spectra were collected using the OMNIC™ software with the use of the Array Automation function. The cross-sectional area maps of the RG-AEM samples were recorded with sample-stage step sizes of 1 \( \mu \)m in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions (with the \( z \) direction being the through thickness direction in this study); the vertical \( z \) displacement was fixed. A single spectrum at each sampling point was recorded with a spectral range of 3350–350 cm\(^{-1}\) with averaging of 4 acquisitions per spectrum (10 s per acquisition).

**Solid-state NMR.** Solid-state NMR spectra were collected at the University of Durham. The \( ^{13} \)C and \( ^{15} \)N magic-angle spinning spectra were obtained on a Varian VNMRS spectrometer \( (^{1} \text{H resonance} = 400 \text{ MHz}) \) To record spectra, the RG-AEM samples were dried in a relative humidity RH = 0% desiccator to remove excess water. Chemical shifts \( \delta \) are reported in ppm vs. the relevant shift references (tetramethylsilane and nitromethane for 13C and 15N, respectively). Other collection parameters are given in the relevant figure captions.

**Mechanical testing.** The room temperature tensile mechanical properties of samples of the precursor films and the final RG-AEMs were measured using a mechanical testing instrument (Instron® 5500 series single column testing system). The RG-AEM samples were dried in a relative humidity RH = 0% desiccator, to remove excess water, before the mechanical testing was undertaken. Membrane specimens \( 6 \text{ cm} \times 1.5 \text{ cm} \) were stretched at a constant rate \( (2 \text{ mm min}^{-1}) \) until failure. The tensile stress vs. strain data was used to estimate the ultimate tensile strength and the elongation (strain) at break for each sample. The Young's moduli (measure of stiffness) were estimated from the slopes of the initial linear regions (at low strains).

**Ion-conductivity for the LDPE-AEM(\( \text{OH}^- \))s at RH = 95%**

All the following procedures were carefully carried out such that the \( n = 3 \) samples of each LDPE-AEM(\( \text{OH}^- \)) were never in contact with any atmosphere containing \( \text{CO}_2\). Ion-exchange of the LDPE-AEM(\( \text{Cl}^- \))s into the LDPE-AEM(\( \text{OH}^- \))s was conducted in a \( \text{CO}_2\)-free glovebox by submersion of the RG-AEM(\( \text{Cl}^- \))s in aqueous KOH (1 mol dm\(^{-3}\)) for 24 h followed by 5 washings in UPW for the subsequent 24 h. The in-plane OH\(^-\) conductivities of the LDPE-AEMs were recording using a sealed 4-probe BekkTech test cell containing a RH = 95%, \( \text{CO}_2\)-free \( \text{N}_2 \) atmosphere. More details on the test set-up can be found in ref. 7.

**Alkali degradation testing**

To measure the relative ranking of alkali stability, samples of the optimised LDPE-AEMs and ETFE-AEM were soaked in aqueous NaOH (1 mol dm\(^{-3}\)) solution and heated at both 60 °C and 80 °C for 7 d and 28 d. The alkali-aged RG-AEM samples were then analysed (after conversion back to the \( \text{Cl}^- \) anion forms) using the ion-exchange titrations, spectroscopy, and mechanical testing procedures discussed above.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).** The surface morphology of RG-AEM samples before and after alkali degradation were also measured using SEM (Jeol JMS 7100F microscope). The surfaces
of the samples were thoroughly cleaned with acetone before testing. A 4 nm Au coating (prepared as 2 × 2 nm layers) was applied to the surface of each sample.

Fuel cell testing

Membrane-electrode assembly (MEA) preparation. The catalysed gas diffusion electrode (GDE) method was used for fabricating the AEMFC electrodes. Prior to formulation of the electrocatalyst ink, a previously synthesised ETFE-based RG anion-exchange ionomer (AEI) powder, containing benzyltrimethylammonium functional groups and with an IEC = 1.26 ± 0.06 mmol g⁻¹, was ground with a pestle and mortar for 10 min. This was the AEI powder used in previous studies and was synthesised via the radiation-grafting of VBC onto an ETFE powder (Fluon Z8820X, supplied by AGC Europe) with subsequent amination using TMA. For each cathode GDE, Pt/C (Alfa Aesar, Johnson Matthey HiSpec 4000, 40% wt Pt) and AEI powder (20% wt of the total solid mass) were mixed together with 1 cm³ water and 9 cm³ propan-2-ol. This cathode catalyst ink was homogenised with ultrasound for 30 min, sprayed onto a Toray TGP-H60 carbon paper gas diffusion substrate (Alfa Aesar, non-teflonated), and then dried in air. For the anode GDEs, PtRu/C (Alfa Aesar, Johnson Matthey HiSpec 12100, 50% wt Pt and 25% wt Ru) catalyst was used as catalyst instead. The geometric surface areas of all GDEs were 5.0 cm² and the Pt loadings for all anodes and cathodes were 0.40 ± 0.02 mgPt cm⁻² (geometric). For the Ag-based cathode GDE, Ag/C (BASF Fuel Cell Inc., 40% wt Ag on Vulcan XC-72) was used as the electrocatalyst: the Ag loading was 0.8 mg cm⁻².

All electrodes and RG-AEMs were immersed in aqueous KOH solution (1 mol dm⁻³) for 1 h and then washed in water (to remove all excess KOH ions) before assembly between two graphite bipolar flow field plates using 5 N m torque (the 5 cm³ fuel cell fixture was supplied by Scribner, USA). No prior hot-pressing of the membrane electrode assembly (MEA) was used: the lamination of the electrodes to the AEM occurs in situ (disassembly, the catalyst layers were often observed to be attached to the RG-AEM rather than the GDE). Each MEA consisted of an anode, a cathode and the RG-AEM under test.

Fuel cell performance data collection. An 850e fuel cell test station (Scribner Associates, USA) was used for testing. The fuel cell temperature was controlled at either 60 °C or 80 °C. H₂ and O₂ gas feeds were supplied to the anode and cathode, respectively, with flow rates of 1 dm³ min⁻¹ (SLPM) with no back-pressurisation: both gas feeds contained 10 ppm CO₂ by the time they had been piped to the fuel cell test chamber. Testing was also conducted with a 1 SLPM purified air (<1 ppm CO₂) gas supply to the cathode. The dew-points for the anode/cathode gas supplies were 52 °C/52 °C for the 60 °C cell testing and 80 °C/80 °C for the 80 °C cell testing (all relative humidities, RH, reported were calculated from the gas dew points and cell temperatures). All followers (heated lines between the fuel cell test chamber and the fuel cell fixture) were set at the same temperatures as the gas dew points. The MEAs were activated by discharging the cell at a constant voltage of 0.5 V during cell heating, with retention of this cell voltage until a steady current density was observed. Beginning-of-life AEMFC performance data were collected under controlled galvanostatic discharge steps where data (at each current density) was only recorded once the potentials had stabilised. The internal ohmic resistances were estimated using the 850e instrument’s internal current interrupt method.

Results and discussion

Effect of grafting temperature and duration

Our traditional method of radiation-grafting of VBC monomers onto ETFE films involved the dispersion of VBC (20% v/v) in propan-2-ol using the peroxidation method. Despite many attempts, this peroxidation method would not allow the radiation-grafting of VBC onto non-fluorinated films such as LDPE. Recently, a water-based radiation-grafting (peroxidation) method has been developed to produce ETFE-based RG-AEMs: this involves grafting mixtures consisting of 94% v/v water, 1% v/v dispersant, and 5% v/v VBC and grafting temperatures of 70 °C. This new protocol was therefore investigated to see if it would permit synthesis of LDPE-AEMs using the peroxidation method.

It is known that the optimal grafting temperature can vary with the nature of the precursor polymers. Grafting is controlled by numerous, simultaneously occurring processes such as monomer diffusion into the base polymer, initiation and termination of the growing polymer chains, and the deactivation of the primary radicals in non-grafting events. The DoG and IEC values for LDPE-based RG-AEMs synthesised using grafting temperatures between 50 and 65 °C are presented in Fig. 1(a). The maximum DoG was obtained using a grafting temperature of 55 °C; this is only slightly lower than the optimised 60 °C used by Horsfall et al. for the radiation-grafting of styrene onto 125 μm thick LDPE films using the PIG method (to produce sulfonated RG-PEMs). The ability to use lower temperatures for the synthesis of LDPE-AEMs, compared to ETFE-AEMs, is an initial indicator of more rapid diffusion of VBC into the (lower density) LDPE films, and therefore more efficient grafting before the radicals are terminated.

Fig. 1(b) shows the variation of DoG and IEC as a function of the grafting reaction duration at 55 °C. The grafting yield rapidly increases at low grafting times and then plateaus after ca. 6 h. This indicates that the peroxide groups, and their derived radicals formed on homolytic fission of the O–O bonds, have been used up by 6 h and that longer grafting times are not required in the future.

Fig. 1 also shows the relationship between DoG and the experimentally measured IEC as well as the theoretically expected IEC calculated from the DoG values (assuming no cross-linking side reactions and 100% amination). The deviation between the calculated and experimental IEC values, which increases with DoG, indicates that either amination was not complete or that some of the grafted VBC units have lost their –CH₂Cl groups (i.e. VBC units have either reacted together via their –CH₂Cl groups to form cross-links or hydrolysed to form benzyl alcohol groups).

Comparison of the basic properties between select RG-AEMs

The key properties of the specific RG-AEMs that are discussed in detail in this article are summarised in Table 1. High DoGs
and IECs lead to higher WU values. Since the two LDPE-AEMs exhibited similar IECs, the comparable WU values observed were expected. The ETFE-AEM exhibited a lower WU value than the LDPE-AEMs due to the lower IEC obtained and the higher mass of the precursor ETFE films (compared to the less dense LDPE films). Despite the higher WUs, the LDPE-AEMs exhibited similar \( \lambda \) values (number of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules per Cl\(^-\) anion) and lower levels of through-plane dimensional swelling compared to the ETFE-AEM. The latter is desirable as TPS values that are too high can lead to AEMs with lower in situ mechanical robustness (especially when cycled through various hydration states).

The in-plane conductivities of the fully hydrated AEM(Cl\(^-\))s were measured up to 80 °C (Fig. 2(a)). Both LDPE-AEMs showed higher Cl\(^-\) anion conductivities than the benchmark ETFE-AEM. The LDPE-AEM synthesised using 100 kGy total absorbed dose (with the highest IEC = 2.87 mmol g\(^{-1}\)) showed the highest Cl\(^-\) conductivity in water at 80 °C \( (76 \pm 4 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}) \); halving the dose to 50 kGy led to only a small-scale drop in conductivity under the same conditions \( (64 \pm 4 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}) \).

As expected, the OH\(^-\) anion conductivities are higher than the Cl\(^-\) anion conductivities for the LDPE-AEMs with LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) > LDPE-AEM (50 kGy), even when the LDPE-AEMs were tested under the less hydrated (more fuel cell-relevant) condition of using 95% relative humidity (RH) environment (cf. the Cl\(^-\) anion conductivities were conducted with the RG-AEMs submerged in water). This is because OH\(^-\) anion transport occurs via the Grotthuss mechanism as well as other ion-transport processes (e.g. the hopping mechanism). LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) achieved a OH\(^-\) anion conductivity of 145 mS cm\(^{-1}\) at 80 °C in a RH = 95% CO\(_2\)-free atmosphere.

### Raman spectroscopy and microscopy

Raman spectroscopy was used to analyse the chemical composition of the untreated LDPE precursor film (before radiation exposure), the VBC-grafted (pre-aminated) intermediate membranes, and the final LDPE-AEMs (Fig. 3). The Raman spectra of the ETFE-type RG-AEMs have been reported previously,\(^{2,24}\) while Fig. 52 in the ESI\(^{\dagger}\) compares the spectra of the pristine ETFE and LDPE precursor films.

The spectrum of the LDPE precursor film showed a CH\(_2\) bending mode at 1440 cm\(^{-1}\), CH\(_2\) twisting at 1295 cm\(^{-1}\), and C–C stretching modes at 1130 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1062 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{27}\) The reaction of VBC monomer with the irradiated LDPE film introduced new peaks into the film: an aromatic ring quadrant stretch at 1610 cm\(^{-1}\) (useful for Raman mapping – see below), an aromatic meta stretch at 1001 cm\(^{-1}\), C–Cl stretches between 600–800 cm\(^{-1}\), and the CH\(_2\) wagging mode of the –CH\(_2\)Cl group at 1268 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{28}\) This latter peak is highly diagnostic of the level of amination as it is not present when all of the –CH\(_2\)Cl groups have been converted to ammonium groups such as –CH\(_3\)N\(^+\)Me\(_3\) (grafted 4-VBC groups). In this study, the intensity of this peak is not visible after reaction with TMA showing near full amination (as was seen with the ETFE-AEM). Several new peaks at 755 cm\(^{-1}\) \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{N}^-\) sym. str.), 891, and 976 cm\(^{-1}\) \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{N}^-\) asym. str.) were observed on quaternisation, which is indicative of the presence of benzyltrimethylammonium groups.\(^{28,29}\)

| Table 1 | A summary of the key properties of the RG-AEMs discussed in detail in this article. The LDPE-AEMs were made from the PIG (in air) of VBC monomer onto LDPE at 55 °C (grafting times of 16 h), while the ETFE-AEM was made from grafting at 70 °C. Errors are standard deviations calculated from measurements on \( n = 3 \) samples of each RG-AEM |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| AEM (absorbed dose) | LDPE-AEM (50 kGy) | LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) | ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) |
| DoG (%) | 102 | 143 | 79 |
| IEC/mmol g\(^{-1}\) | 2.63 ± 0.03 | 2.87 ± 0.05 | 2.05 ± 0.05 |
| WU (%) | 97 ± 5 | 104 ± 9 | 67 ± 7 |
| \( \lambda \) | 20 ± 1 | 20 ± 2 | 18 ± 2 |
| \( T_{\text{dry}} \) μm | 42 ± 1 | 45 ± 1 | 45 ± 2 |
| \( T_{\text{wet}} \) μm | 52 ± 1 | 55 ± 1 | 60 ± 1 |
| TPS (%) | 23 ± 2 | 22 ± 2 | 33 ± 4 |
| \( \sigma_{\text{Cl}} \) mS cm\(^{-1}\) | 64 ± 4 | 76 ± 4 | 63 ± 2 |

\(^{a}\) Number of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules per Cl\(^-\) anion, for the fully hydrated Cl\(^-\) anion forms, calculated as: \( \lambda = \text{WU} (\%) / (100 \times 18.02 \times \text{IEC}) \), where IEC is in mol g\(^{-1}\).

\(^{b}\) The \( \text{Cl}^- \) anion conductivities at 80 °C of the fully hydrated RG-AEMs (4-probe in-plane experiments conducted with the RG-AEM submerged in water).
The distribution of functionality through the thickness of a sample of each of the LDPE-AEMs and ETFE-AEM was investigated using Raman microscopy (Fig. 4 and 5). To generate meaningful maps, spectra were recorded at different points (1 \( \mu \text{m} \) step width with ca. 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) laser spot diameters) on each RG-AEM cross-section and the ratio between the integrated areas of the following pairs of Raman peaks were analysed (chosen due to their diagnostic value):

- \( /\text{C}_1\text{H}_6/ 1610 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (related to the benzene ring content) vs. \( /\text{C}_1\text{H}_2/ 1130 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (relates to the LDPE backbone): gives an indication of the level of grafting at different points through the cross-sections of the samples of LDPE-AEMs;

- \( /\text{C}_1\text{H}_6/ 1610 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) vs. \( /\text{C}_1\text{H}_2/ 833 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (relates to the ETFE backbone): gives an indication of the level of grafting at different points through the cross-sections of the ETFE-AEMs;

- \( /\text{C}_1\text{H}_3/ 753 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (relates to the trimethylammonium group) vs. 1610 \( \text{ cm}^{-1} \): gives an indication of the homogeneity of amination of the \(-\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}\) groups on the poly(VBC) graft chains when synthesising the RG-AEMs (both for LDPE- and ETFE-AEMs).

Contrary to the ETFE-AEMs (where uniform grafting is observed with the water grafting method and 25 \( \mu \text{m} \) thick ETFE precursor films),\(^{24}\) the LDPE-AEMs exhibited higher levels of grafting in the centre of the film cross-sections than on the surfaces of the membranes (Fig. 4). This is supported by the observation that the distribution of ammonium groups in LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) also follows this trend (Fig. S5 in the ESI). Our current hypothesis is that this is due to rapid diffusion of the monomer into the lower density LDPE films.
Mechanical integrity is one of the most important pre-requisites for polymer electrolyte fuel cell membranes in terms of the fabrication, handling, and durability of MEAs. Robust fuel cell membranes are required because of the presence of mechanical and swelling stresses and the proximity of the H₂ and oxidant gas flows. Moreover, the membranes must possess a degree of elasticity (elongation) to prevent crack formation. It should be kept in mind that the resistance to alkali etching (e.g. with exposure to NaOH) is higher for non-fluorinated polymers compared to partially-fluorinated polymers, which undergo dehydrofluorination (PVDF is especially susceptible to degradation in high pH environments). This is an important consideration with application in alkaline environments such as found in AEMFCs (see later results on the ex situ alkali testing of the RG-AEMs).

Firstly, the room temperature tensile mechanical properties of precursor LDPE and ETFE films were investigated (Fig. 7(a)). The pristine ETFE films are stronger than the pristine LDPE films, while the LDPE films can stretch more before breaking. The semi-crystalline ETFE film contains more linear chains and is in the glassy state at room temperature, which leads to the higher breaking stress. The LDPE film contains branched polymer chains (hence the low density) and is above the Tg at room temperature.

It is well known that organic polymers degrade if they are irradiated with a sufficiently high dose of high energy radiation, which can affect many physical properties (e.g. electrical, optical, and mechanical). In practice, it has been found that the elongation at break is (in most cases) the most sensitive property. Rosenberg et al. compared five fluoropolymers and found a marked decline in the mechanical properties of low H/F ratio polymers from C–C chain scission. Partially-fluorinated ETFE films are sensitive to absorbed doses of radiation above ca. 10 kGy, where the films are weakened during radiolysis (the ETFE film in this study was exposed to 30 kGy absorbed dose). On the contrary, LDPE (with more C–H bonds) is known to have a higher resistance to radiation damage and can be exposed to 100 kGy, an absorbed dose that would destroy ETFE films. Radiation can also induce cross-linking, which results in stiffer polymers. However, there was no obvious change in stress–strain behaviour after the pristine LDPE films were irradiated with the e⁻-beam (Fig. S6 in the ESI†).
Similarly, the tensile properties of the final RG-AEMs were tested (Fig. 7(b)). The ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) showed a reduced tensile strength and elasticity compared to the pristine precursor ETFE film. Interestingly, the LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) has a higher tensile strengths compared to the pristine LDPE film (albeit with a reduced level of elastic elongation). This is evidence that the grafted (ionic) component contributes towards the mechanical properties of the RG-AEM. The result of this is that the LDPE-AEM exhibited a similar tensile strength to the ETFE-AEM with a slightly better retention of strain at break. The use of LDPE appears to have an advantage over ETFE when used as a base polymer film for the fabrication of peroxidated RG-AEMs but the real test will be evidence of retention of mechanical properties on exposure to alkali (see below).

**Alkali stability testing**

So far, the poor stability of RG-AEMs, particularly under high temperature and pH operating conditions, has been one of the major obstacles for successful application in AEMFCs, especially at $>60^\circ$C. Herein, LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) and ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) were aged in aqueous alkaline conditions to evaluate their *ex situ* stabilities in hydrated, high pH environments.

The Raman spectra for the 80 °C alkali degraded AEMs are shown in Fig. 8. Minor changes to the aromatic peaks (1610, 1268, and 1001 cm$^{-1}$) were observed, compared to pristine AEMs.

Although the ammonium peak at 755 cm$^{-1}$ exhibited lower intensity, the intensity ratio between this peak and the aromatic 1610 cm$^{-1}$ peak remained similar for all six samples in Fig. 8 (ratios remained in the range 0.66–0.71). This suggests that loss of cationic head group, due to OH$^-$ nucleophilic attack, results from both loss of whole benzyltrimethyammonium groups as well as loss of trimethylamine from attack at the benzylic position (–CH$_2$N+Me$_3$) leading to formation of benzyl alcohol groups, confirming prior observations$^7,35ab$ for reference, these degradation pathways are schematically shown in Fig. 6 in ref. 7. Marino and Kreuer found that when a methyl group in tetramethylammonium salts is replaced by a benzyl group (to give benzyltrimethylammonium salts), the alkali-degradation half-life decreases by about an order of magnitude, suggesting that nucleophilic attack occurs primarily at the benzyl group.$^{35b}$ Additionally, aromatic

**Fig. 7** Tensile stress–strain curves for: (a) the pristine LDPE (solid) and ETFE (dashed) precursor films; (b) LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) (solid) and ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) (dashed), where the RG-AEMs were in the Cl$^-$ anion forms (dehydrated). The x- and y-axes were left at the same scale to demonstrate the change in tensile properties after grafting and amination (the expanded data is presented in Fig. S7 in the ESI†).

**Fig. 8** The Raman spectra of: (a) LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) before (bottom spectrum) and after ageing in aqueous NaOH (1 mol dm$^{-3}$) at 80 °C for 7 d (middle spectrum) and 28 d (top spectrum); (b) the corresponding data for ETFE-AEM (30 kGy). All LDPE-AEM spectra were normalised to the intensity of the 1062 cm$^{-1}$ peak and all ETFE-AEM spectra were normalised to the intensity of the 833 cm$^{-1}$ peak to aid visual comparison. The photographs show the visual appearance of the RG-AEMs before and after alkali ageing (located adjacent to the corresponding spectra).
π-systems stabilise radicals and carbanions at the benzyl site, promoting additional degradation pathways.

Apart from the Raman spectral differences, the visual appearance of the AEMs also changed on alkali ageing (Fig. 8). We observed that the ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) was brittle after being soaked in aqueous NaOH at 80 °C for 7 d. Moreover, ETFE-AEM breakage was severe after degradation for 28 d and was accompanied by a colour change from light to dark yellow. In comparison, the LDPE-AEMs retained appearance (transparency and colouration) and handling properties after 28 d alkali ageing at 80 °C.

The 13C solid-state NMR spectra of the degraded LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) is shown in Fig. 9. Upon alkali treatment, the spectrum of the LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) showed a slight decrease in intensity of the peak corresponding to the quaternary ammonium carbons (δ = 69 and 53 ppm), as well as the peaks related to the polymer bound benzene rings (δ = 146 and 136 ppm). As with the Raman results, the area intensity ratio between the ammonium carbons and the benzene ring peaks remains similar. Overall, the solid-state NMR observations indicate small levels of AEM degradation, in accord with the Raman data discussed above.

Fig. 10 shows the stress–strain behaviours of the RG-AEMs after alkali treatment at both 60 and 80 °C for 7 d. It was not possible to test the ETFE-AEM after 28 d alkali treatment due to its brittleness. For the ETFE-AEM, both the stress and strain at break deteriorated significantly after aqueous NaOH (1 mol dm⁻³) treatment at 80 °C (16 MPa ultimate tensile stress with <20% strain). The stress–strain behaviour of LDPE-AEMs showed a different trend where alkali treatment caused a reduction in ultimate tensile stress and an increase in strain. Benchmark values of >10 MPa stress at break, >100% elongation at break, and a Young’s modulus between 75–400 MPa are proposed as being essential for such membranes. The LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) retains values within these benchmarks when aged in aqueous alkali, unlike the ETFE-AEM (see Table S1 in the ESI†). Reduced mechanical properties are attributed to the deterioration of the main chains of the base polymer film components, especially on irradiation, and this appears to be less severe with the RG-AEMs made from the non-fluorinated precursor. This is supported by the changes in thickness of the RG-AEMs (Table 2) on alkali ageing.

The surface morphologies of the AEMs are presented in Fig. 11a. The surface of the pre-degraded LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) showed unidirectional stripes. The ETFE-AEM dis-played a rougher surface with no obvious stripes. SEM was used to probe the surface morphology of the post-degraded AEMs (80 °C, 7 d). Fig. 11b shows the roughening of the surface of the aged LDPE-AEM. The surface of the ETFE-AEM showed not only

![Fig. 9](image_url)

**Fig. 9** The 13C solid-state NMR of the LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) before (bottom spectrum) and after (top spectrum) ageing in aqueous NaOH (1 mol dm⁻³) 80 °C for 7 d. Tetramethylsilane was used as the shift reference. Magic angle spinning rotation rate = 10 kHz. The NMR spectra were normalised to the δ = 33 ppm peak to aid visual comparison. The equivalent data for the ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) can be found in Fig. S8 in the ESI†.
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**Fig. 10** (a) The tensile stress–strain curves before (solid) and after (dashed) the LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) (black) and the ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) (red) were aged in aqueous NaOH (1 mol dm⁻³) for 7 d at 80 °C. (b) The corresponding data where the RG-AEMs were aged in alkali at 60 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IEC loss 60 °C</th>
<th>IEC loss 80 °C</th>
<th>Thickness loss 60 °C</th>
<th>Thickness loss 80 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDPE-AEM (100 kGy)</td>
<td>&lt;2%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETFE-AEM (30 kGy)</td>
<td>&lt;2%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** The loss of IEC and dry thickness after two of the RG-AEMs were aged in aqueous NaOH (1 mol dm⁻³) for 7 d.
H₂/O₂ AEMFC benchmark testing with Pt-catalysts

The aim of high-performance and stable AEM development is the successful application of the AEMs as solid alkaline electrolytes in electrochemical devices such as fuel cells or alkaline water electrolyzers. Herein, we report initial performances of the LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) and the ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) in single-cell AEMFCs under identical conditions (Fig. 12). As this study is focused on the relative performances of the different AEMs themselves in AEMFCs (and not the electrocatalysts), this initial testing used H₂ and O₂ gases and Pt-based catalysts: note, the incorporation of Ru into the anode leads to higher performances. The other test conditions (including the ionomer used in the electrodes) were kept constant and were as previously reported, the only major exception to this was that AEMFC fuel cell testing was additionally conducted at 80 °C as the use of LDPE-based AEMs now allows (for the first time) routine testing of RG-AEMs alongside the RG-AEI powder ionomers at this desirable operating temperature.

In the lower current density regime (< 1.4 A cm⁻²), the cells containing the two test AEMs generally coincide as performance at low current is mostly dictated by catalyst activity (identical for the MEAs containing both RG-AEMs) and ohmic resistances: the latter were similar for both RG-AEMs, with in situ area resistances at 1 A cm⁻² of 57 mΩ cm² for ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) and 61 mΩ cm² for LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) at 60 °C, which lower to 47 and 45 mΩ cm² at 80 °C, respectively. However, the AEMFCs performances at high currents start to diverge. The AEMFC with the ETFE-AEM were limited to peak power densities of 0.91 W cm⁻² at 60 °C and 1.21 W cm⁻² at 80 °C by mass transport losses. The AEMFC with the LDPE-AEM possessed the ability to access higher current densities before the initiation of mass transport losses and so peak power densities were increased to 0.96 W cm⁻² at 60 °C and 1.45 W cm⁻² at 80 °C: area resistances at peak power densities were 55 mΩ cm² for ETFE-AEM (30 kGy) and 56 mΩ cm² for LDPE-AEM (100 kGy) at 60 °C, which lowered to 45 mΩ cm² for both RG-AEMs at 80 °C.

In the AEMFCs, water is electrochemically produced at the anode and electrochemically consumed at the cathode (note the predominant cathode reactions will be different on the Pt...
nanoparticles and the nanocarbon-support, where the latter will produce reactive peroxide anions:

- **Anode:** \(2\text{H}_2 + 4\text{OH}^- \rightarrow 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{e}^- \) (6)
- **Cathode (Pt):** \(\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow 4\text{OH}^- \) (7)
- **Cathode (C):** \(\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{OH}^- \) (8)

The LDPE-AEM appears to be beneficial for high AEMFC performances. Our current hypothesis is that the LDPE-AEMs allow for enhanced \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) back-transport from the anode to the cathode: this lowers the level of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) flooding at the anode (so compared to the use of humidified pure \(\text{O}_2\), the mass transport at the cathode is replaced with \(\text{CO}_2\)-free air as can be seen in the effect of \(\text{O}_2\) dilution (to 21%) on the performance of the AEMFC at 60 °C (Fig. S10 in the ESI†)). This effect, that appears to be more significant at 80 °C, means that the cathode and anode can sustain higher current densities before reactant diffusion limitations become too significant.

**AEMFC testing (80 °C): \(\text{O}_2\) vs. air (\(\text{CO}_2\)-free) cathode gas supplies**

Other mass transport phenomena occur when the \(\text{O}_2\) supply to the cathode is replaced with \(\text{CO}_2\)-free air as can be seen in Fig. 13. Purified air is used in this study to specifically look at the effect of \(\text{O}_2\) dilution (to 21%) on the cathode reaction sites (lowering mass transport losses of reactant \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) at the cathode). This effect, that appears to be more significant at 80 °C, means that the cathode and anode can sustain higher current densities before reactant diffusion limitations become too significant.

**H\(_2\)/O\(_2\) AEMFC testing (80 °C): Pt/C vs. Ag/C cathode catalysts**

It is always advised to use widely available Pt-based commercial fuel cell catalysts when initially testing new polymer electrolytes in fuel cells. However, the ultimate ambition is to operate AEMFCs with non-Pt (preferably non-precious metal) electrocatalysts. Therefore, for an initial investigation of the feasibility of utilising a non-Pt catalyst in a AEMFC containing the LDPE-AEM (100 kGy), a commercial Ag/C electrocatalyst was used in the cathode (Fig. 14). This study demonstrated that a respectable power density of >900 mW cm\(^{-2}\) at 80 °C could be obtained: this was higher than the 711 mW cm\(^{-2}\) obtained in an LDPE-AEM-based AEMFC at 60 °C (Fig. S9 in the ESI†) and much higher than the peak powers obtained with ETFE-based RG-AEMs at 60 °C (Fig. S10 in the ESI†). This result demonstrates the importance of the LDPE-AEM development reported in this article, where the ability to operate AEMFCs at 80 °C enhances the likelihood of developing non-Pt-containing systems.

**Conclusions**

This study provides data that shows that highly-conductive radiation-grafted anion-exchange membranes (RG-AEM) made from the peroxidation of (non-fluorinated) low-density polyethylene (LDPE) are more mechanically robust, both before and after...
exposure to alkali at 80 °C, than (partially-fluorinated) poly(ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene)-(ETFE)-based AEMs. They also yield higher in situ performances when applied in single-cell AEM-based fuel cells (AEMFCs) at both 60 and 80 °C. We acknowledge that the alkali stability of the cationic head-group chemistry of the RG-AEMs still needs improvement (when fully hydrated they are alkali stable at 60 °C but less so at 80 °C). However, the enhanced mechanical robustness of the LDPE-AEMs allows for routine AEMFC testing at 80 °C (the ETFE-AEMs could not be consistently tested at 80 °C and were generally limited to operation at 60 °C due to weaker mechanical properties). This ability to operate LDPE-AEM-based AEMFCs at 80 °C is important as it will allow for facilitated development of AEMFCs that do not contain Pt-based electrocatalysts (a key rationale for using AEMs in low temperature fuel cells rather than proton-exchange membranes). It also facilitates the future evaluation of the long-term durabilities of different cationic head-group chemistries on the RG-AEMs in more application-relevant in situ AEMFC tests (especially at elevated temperatures).
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