Dalton Transactions

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2017, 46, 11318

Heteroleptic nickel(II)-diNHC complexes and an unusual 'reverse' carbene-transfer reaction to silver(I) †

Han Vinh Huynh, 🕩 * Christine Hui Min Koh and Van Ha Nguyen 🕩

A series of rare $[NiX_2]^{Me}CC_{prop}]$ complexes bearing the *cis*-chelating benzimidazole-derived dicarbene ligand $^{Me}CC_{prop}$ and varying anionic coligands (**2**, X = N₃; **3**, X = NCS; **4**, X = I; **5**, X = O₂CCF₃) have been prepared and coligand dependent structural and spectroscopic features have been evaluated. This study also revealed an unusual 'reverse' carbene transfer reaction from nickel to silver giving the disilver species $[Ag_2X_2(\mu-\kappa^2-{}^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (**6**, X = OAc; **7**, X = O₂CCF₃). A preliminary catalytic study of two representative Ni^{II} diNHC complexes in the aqueous and phosphine-free Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction of aryl halides is reported as well. These reactions provide good yields of coupling products, but do not require inert conditions.

Received 26th May 2017, Accepted 1st August 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7dt01912b

rsc.li/dalton

Introduction

cis-Configured Pd^{II} complexes of the type *cis*-[PdX₂(NHC)₂] and *cis*-[PdX₂(diNHC)] are common in NHC chemistry and have mostly been studied for their catalytic activities in C-C coupling reactions.¹ A comparison between direct *cis*- and *trans*-isomers of bis(NHC) Pd^{II} complexes has revealed that a *cis*-arrangement is desirable and leads to faster catalyst initiation. The crucial halido dissociation step is facilitated in *cis*-complexes due to the strong *trans* effect of NHCs in general.²

Analogous *cis*-complexes of Ni^{II}, on the other hand, are surprisingly very rare, and dihalido-bis(NHC) Ni^{II} complexes with monodentate NHCs are almost exclusively *trans*-configured.³ To the best of our knowledge, only one structurally characterised *cis*-[NiX₂(NHC)₂] complex (X = Cl) has been reported thus far.⁴ The use of simple *cis*-chelating diNHC ligands with methylene (C₁) and ethylene (C₂) spacers to enforce the formation of *cis*-[NiX₂(diNHC)] complexes failed and led instead to homoleptic bis(chelates) of the type [Ni(diNHC)₂]²⁺ due to ligand disproportionation processes.⁵ Nevertheless, a few *cis*-[NiX₂(diNHC)] complexes could be obtained by using longer C₃- or C₄-bridged diNHC ligands, which successfully circumvents the latter.^{5c,6}

In order to increase the chemical and structural diversity of *cis*-chelating dicarbene complexes of Ni^{II}, we herein report the preparation of representatives bearing various anionic coligands. In the course of this study, we discovered an unusual 'back-transfer' of NHCs from nickel to silver showing reverse reactivity compared to the ubiquitous silver–carbene transfer route pioneered by Lin and coworkers.⁷ A preliminary catalytic test of some complexes in the aqueous nickel-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction is also reported.

Results and discussion

Halido and pseudohalido complexes

Previously, we have reported that benzimidazolin-2-ylidene Ni^{II} complexes can be efficiently prepared by reaction of benzimidazolium salts with Ni(OAc)₂ in molten tetrabutylammonium salts, *e.g.* TBAB.^{3c,d} The application of this method to propylene-bridged dibenzimidazolium dibromides led to the successful isolation of the *cis*-chelating Ni^{II} complexes of the type *cis*-[NiBr₂(diNHC)], which are highly active in the Kumada-Corriu coupling of aryl bromides and chlorides.^{5c,6b} It is anticipated that this type of complex can offer access to a wide range of halido, pseudo-halido and carboxylato analogues by simple ligand exchange. Accordingly, the complex [NiBr₂(^{Me}CC_{prop})] (1) was treated with selected nucleophiles in acetonitrile at ambient temperature (Scheme 1).

The salt metathesis reactions of **1** with sodium azide, potassium thiocyanate and sodium iodide occurred rather straightforwardly, and decent yields of the desired new complexes $[Ni(N_3)_2]^{(Me}CC_{prop}]$ (2), $[Ni(NCS)_2]^{(Me}CC_{prop}]$ (3), and

Open Access Article. Published on 01 August 2017. Downloaded on 7/30/2025 2:47:03 PM



View Article Online

Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 3, 117543 Singapore, Singapore. E-mail: chmhhv@nus.edu.sg; Fax: +65 6779 1691; Tel: +65 6516 2670

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Selected crystallographic data, IR, UV-vis and selected NMR spectra, calcd and experimental isotopic patterns of **6**. CCDC 1550647–1550650. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7dt01912b



 $[NiI_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (4) were obtained mostly as yellow solids. The coloration of the complex 4 is expectedly more intense due to the electron-rich iodido ligands prone to LMCT (*vide infra*). Among these, mixed NHC/pseudohalido complexes remain relatively unexplored. Complexes 2 and 4 dissolve in most common organic solvents with the exception of non-polar ones such as diethyl ether and hexane, while complex 3 is only soluble in DMF and DMSO. Their ¹H NMR spectra are expectedly very similar, making their differentiation difficult. The presence of multiple signals arising from the diastereotopy of the propylene bridge is a common feature in the spectra of all chelates. Due to peak broadening, the ¹³C_{carbene} NMR signal could only be resolved for complex 2 at 177.2 ppm. For complex 3, an additional characteristic signal was detected at 162.7 ppm, providing evidence of the presence of isothiocyanato ligands.

Complexes 2 and 3 can be further differentiated by IR spectroscopy (see the ESI[†]). The former complex shows a strong broad band at 2041 cm⁻¹ typical for the 1st row, late transition metal azido complexes.⁸ The IR spectrum of the latter displays a broad band at 2111 cm⁻¹ for the C=N stretch and a sharper one at 1678 cm⁻¹ for the C=S stretch of the isothiocyanato ligands.⁹ Moreover, a medium band at 828 cm⁻¹ corroborates the κ -N binding of the ambidentate NCS ligand, while a κ -S coordination would be indicated by a band at ~700 cm⁻¹.¹⁰ Overall, this observation is in line with the HSAB concept.

Single crystals of $2 \cdot 0.5$ CH₂Cl₂ and $4 \cdot 0.5$ CH₂Cl₂ $\cdot 0.5$ C₇H₈ suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis could be obtained by slow evaporation of solutions in dichloromethane/hexane and dichloromethane/toluene, respectively, and their molecular structures are depicted in Fig. 1. Both complexes are expectedly square planar, where the propylene-bridge allows for the formation of a relaxed 8-membered metallacycle. Complex 2 has smaller dihedral angles of $82.5(1)^{\circ}$ and $80.3(1)^{\circ}$ between the carbene plane and the [NiC₂X₂] coordination plane. In complex 4 slightly larger values of $87.4(2)^{\circ}$ and $83.5(2)^{\circ}$ were found. The different anionic ligands do not affect the nickel-carbene distances, which are essentially equidistant in 2, 4 and their parent complex 1.^{5c}

UV-Vis spectroscopic analyses were carried out on complexes 1-4 to understand the influence of different anionic



Fig. 1 Molecular structures of 2·0.5CH₂Cl₂ and 4·0.5CH₂Cl₂·0.5C₇H₈. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°] for 2: Ni1–C1 1.866(4), Ni1–C12 1.864(4), Ni1–N5 1.908(4), Ni1–N8 1.925(4); C12–Ni1–C1 85.5(2), C1–Ni1–N5 94.1(2), C12–Ni1–N8 92.0(2), N5–Ni1–N8 88.5(2), N5–N6–N7 175.6(4), N10–N9–N8 175.4(4), N2–C1–N1 106.3(3), N3– C12–N4 106.0(3); for 4: Ni1–C1 1.859(7), Ni1–C12 1.857(8), Ni1–I1 2.236(1), Ni1–I2 2.525(1); C12–Ni1–C1 86.2(3), C12–Ni1–I2 90.9(2), C1– Ni1–I1 86.7(2), I2–Ni1–I1 96.21(4), N1–C1–N2 107.0(6), N3–C12–N4 105.5(6).

ligands on the electronic properties of nickel–diNHC complexes. Fig. 2 shows the varying shades of yellow of equimolar complex solutions $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$ in DMSO as the solvent of choice. Table 1 lists the UV-Vis absorption wavelength maxima and the absorption coefficients of **1–4**.

The λ_{max} values were tentatively assigned as LMCT transitions based on the high molar extinction coefficients, which are indicative of charge transfers. Moreover, a red-shift was observed going from the bromido (1) to the iodido complex (4), which is indicative of LMCTs.¹¹ The increasing λ_{max} values in the order 3 (*N*CS) < 2 (N₃) < 1 (Br) < 4 (I) indicate increasing ease of oxidation, which is in agreement with the electron transfer redox values for the respective ligands (I₂⁻/2I⁻ = 0.54 V; Br₂⁻/2Br⁻ = 1.07 V; N₃⁻/N₃⁻ = 1.29 V; (SCN)₂⁻⁻/2SCN⁻ = 1.32 V).¹² Previous studies have also reported that the λ_{max} values for κ -*S* thiocyanato derivatives are expected at



Fig. 2 Solutions of complexes 1-4 in DMSO (1×10^{-3} M).

Table 1 UV-Vis absorption λ_{max} of complexes 1–4 at 1 \times 10 $^{-3}$ M in DMSO

Complex	$\lambda_{\max} \left[nm \right]$	Abs.	$\varepsilon \left[M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \right]$
$\begin{array}{l} [\mathrm{NiBr}_2(^{\mathrm{Me}}\mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{prop}})] \ (1) \\ [\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{N}_3)_2(^{\mathrm{Me}}\mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{prop}})] \ (2) \\ [\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{NCS})_2(^{\mathrm{Me}}\mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{prop}})] \ (3) \\ [\mathrm{NiI}_2(^{\mathrm{Me}}\mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{prop}})] \ (4) \end{array}$	425 409 384 440	1.02 1.54 2.38 1.78	$1.02 imes 10^5 \ 1.54 imes 10^5 \ 2.38 imes 10^5 \ 1.78 imes 10^5$

much longer wavelengths than 384 nm obtained for complex **3**.¹² From these results, we can also conclude with greater certainty that complex **3** is indeed an isothiocyanato complex, where the pseudohalido ligands are bound to the metal centre through the nitrogen donors.

Carboxylato complexes and reverse carbene-transfer to silver

Heteroleptic NHC/carboxylato complexes of palladium(II) are well established¹³ and important intermediates^{2,14} in catalytic reactions. However, their nickel(II) analogues are unknown to the best of our knowledge, although acetate bases are also often used in nickel-catalyzed reactions. Salt metathesis reactions for the preparation of these proved to be less straightforward in comparison with their halido and pseudohalido analogues. The reaction of complex 1 with three equivalents of sodium trifluoroacetate did not occur at all, and only starting materials were recovered. Subsequent trials with increasing amounts of the salt were then carried out. Finally, 10 equivalents of sodium trifluoroacetate were added to a solution of 1 in acetonitrile, and the mixture was stirred at 70 °C overnight (Scheme 2, route a). Subsequently, it was heated under reflux for an additional 2 h to ensure that the reaction was driven to completion. Longer reaction times of >2 h resulted in decomposition of the product yielding a hygroscopic substance upon work-up instead.

The ditrifluoroacetato complex 5 is the first of example of a mixed NHC/carboxylato nickel complex and was isolated as an air-stable, yellow powder. As observed for Pd^{II} NHC analogues, halido–carboxylato exchange improves solubility in organic solvents, facilitating ^{13}C NMR data collection, and the $^{13}C_{carbene}$ NMR signal of 5 was detected at 180.6 ppm.



Scheme 2 Preparation of carboxylato complex 5 and disilver complexes 6 and 7.

Moreover, ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy provides evidence of equivalent trifluoroacetato ligands with a single signal at 2.36 ppm for the CF₃ group.

The IR spectrum of 5 shows a broad band at 1690 cm⁻¹ characteristic of a C=O stretch (see ESI†). Another band at 1469 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the C-O stretch, while the sharp signal at 843 cm⁻¹ was detected for the O-C=O bend of the carboxylato function of the ligand. Three bands at 1209, 1130 and 1035 cm⁻¹ are associated with the C-F stretches of the trifluoroacetato ligands, while the CF₃ deformation gives rise to a sharp signal at 794 cm⁻¹. All these are in agreement with literature values.¹⁵

X-ray diffraction analysis of single crystals of 5 grown from dichloromethane/hexane confirmed that ligand substitution was indeed successful (Fig. 3). The compound also adopts a square-planar geometry similar to that of 2 and 4, although the dihedral angles of $78(1)^{\circ}$ and $79(1)^{\circ}$ between the [NiC₂X₂] coordination plane and the NHC planes are slightly smaller. The nickel-carbene distances are in the same range as those observed for 1, 2 and 4.

Ligand substitution with the more basic sodium acetate was attempted using the same reaction conditions as those for the synthesis of 5. Surprisingly, these attempts were unsuccessful, and mainly starting materials were recovered (Scheme 2, route b). This may be due to the difference in the electron-donating ability of the two carboxylato ligands. Binding of the electron-poorer trifluoroacetato ligands to the already electron-rich nickel-diNHC complex fragment appears to be more favourable, while coordination of the more basic acetato ligands *trans* to the NHCs would lead to a pile-up of excess electron density.

In order to enforce acetato coordination by silver-halide precipitation as a strong driving force, the reaction was repeated with two equivalents of silver acetate. Notably, such a strategy proved fruitful in the preparation of Pd^{II} complexes of the type $[Pd(O_2CR)_2(NHC)_2]^{13,14}$ or $[Pd(O_2CR)_2(diNHC)]$.¹⁶ However, a



Fig. 3 Molecular structures of $5 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$. One of the CF_3CO_2 groups is disordered with 16% replaced by adventitious Cl from dichloromethane used for crystallization. Hydrogen atoms, the solvent molecule and the disordered Cl have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: Ni1–C1 1.86(3), Ni1–C12 1.86(4), Ni1–O1 1.92(2), Ni1–O3 1.90(3); C1–Ni1–C12 85(1), C1–Ni1–O1 93(1), C12–Ni1–O3 95(1), O1–Ni1–O3 87(1).

very different outcome was found in this work. Instead of a simple bromido-acetato ligand exchange, we observed a dicarbene transfer from Ni^{II} to Ag^I, which led to the formation of the disilver-dicarbene complex $[Ag_2(OAc)_2(\mu-\kappa^{2}-M^{e}CC_{prop})]$ (6) (Scheme 2, route c). This reaction is very surprising as the NHC transfer from Ag^I to other metals is undoubtedly one of the most common and general preparative routes to metal-NHC complexes.^{1b,7} The ¹H NMR spectrum of **6** is much simpler than those of the nickel-chelates and does not show diastereotopy of the propylene-spacer, suggesting that the diNHC does not coordinate in a chelating fashion. Moreover, the NCH₃ singlet shifts upfield from initially 4.59 ppm to 3.90 ppm. The ¹³C_{carbene} signal could not be detected, which is a common phenomenon in silver-NHC chemistry that points to a dynamic exchange in solution. In addition, ESI-MS showed an isotopic envelope centred at m/z 413 with 0.5 peak spacing in the positive mode, which is assigned to the dicationic $[Ag_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})_2]^{2+}$ fragment in agreement with its calculated isotopic pattern (see the ESI[†]). The formation of bis (NHC) species in the gas phase is also characteristic of Ag-NHC complexes.

In order to obtain more insights into the carbene transfer reaction from nickel to silver, NMR monitoring was attempted. Upon the addition of two equiv. of AgOAc to a NMR tube containing a solution of **1** in CD₃CN, immediate precipitation of NiBr₂ was observed already at room temperature. The ¹H NMR spectrum recorded was consistent with that of complex **6** (see the ESI†). This observation points to a fast carbene transfer driven by the poor solubility of anhydrous NiBr₂ in organic solvents that does not even require heating.

Single crystals of **6** were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated dichloromethane/hexane solution and subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 4). The results obtained confirm that the diNHC has indeed been transferred from Ni^{II} to Ag^I. The molecular structure also reveals intramolecular argentophilic interactions with an intersilver separation of 2.8962(4) Å in the solid state.¹⁷ The C–Ag–O bond angles of 172.66(9)° deviate slightly from linearity, and the silver–NHC distance is 2.070(3) Å.

The reaction was then attempted using the diiodido complex **4**, where silver iodide precipitation was expected to

provide an even greater driving force for ligand substitution at Ni^{II}. However, ¹H NMR spectroscopy and ESI mass spectrometry confirmed the formation of complex **6** also in this case. The preferred NHC transfer from the Ni^{II} to Ag^I may be explained by HSAB theory, where the softer carbene ligand prefers to bind to the softer Ag^I metal centre over the harder Ni^{II} metal centre. Additionally, the immediate precipitation of nickel(π) halides drives the formation of the silver-carbene species in accordance with Le Chatelier's principle.

In order to determine whether a similar reaction would also proceed with less basic carboxylates, complex **1** was also reacted with silver trifluoroacetate under the same conditions (Scheme 2, route d). The compound isolated from this reaction shows NMR spectroscopic features that are similar to complex **6**, supporting its identity as $[Ag_2(O_2CCF_3)_2(\mu-\kappa^2-Me}CC_{prop})]$ (7). In addition, its solution ¹³C NMR spectrum shows a downfield ¹³C_{carbene} NMR signal at 189.4 ppm that is significantly different from the same diNHC bound to a Ni^{II} metal centre (*cf.* 181.6 ppm for **5**). The chemical shift is also in the same range as that observed for the bis(benzimidazolin-2-ylidene) complex $[Ag(Et_2-bimy)_2]PF_6$ (*cf.* ~188 ppm) reported by Lin *et al.*⁷ Furthermore, the ESI mass spectrum shows the same base peak at m/z **4**13 in the positive mode for the proposed $[Ag_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})_2]^{2+}$ fragment.

These observations suggest a similar reactivity of complex **1** with both silver carboxylates. Moreover, the resulting silver-NHC complexes **6** and **7** undergo typical ligand-disproportionation reactions to give bis(NHC) complexes of the type $[Ag_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})_2][Ag(O_2CR)_2]_2$ in solution and gas phase (Scheme 3).

Most NHC transfers involving the two metals occur from silver to nickel. However, it must be noted that most of these occurred with monodentate and/or functionalized NHCs where pre-coordination of a hard donor to nickel may assist in the transfer.^{1b} The transfer of diNHCs has not been reported, and it would be interesting to explore whether the diNHC could be 'back-transferred' from silver to nickel. Thus, a solution of complex **6** in CD₃CN was mixed with [NiBr₂(PPh₃)₂] as a common nickel precursor in such reactions (Scheme 4, top). The ¹H NMR spectrum recorded did not show any evidence of nickel-diNHC complexes, but only additional broad signals due to the nickel-phosphine complex (see the ESI†).



Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **6** (front and side views). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°]: Ag(1)–C(1) 2.070(3), Ag(1)–O(1) 2.1045(19), Ag(1)–Ag(1) 2.8962(4); C(1)–Ag(1)–O(1) 172.66(9), C(1)–Ag(1)–Ag(1) 84.06(7).



Scheme 3 Reversible ligand-disproportionation of Ag–NHC complexes 6 and 7.



Scheme 4 Attempted diNHC transfer reactions involving 6 and 1.

The signals due to **6** remain essentially unchanged even after prolonged reaction time (see the ESI[†]). This observation indicates that the back-transfer to nickel does not happen easily under the given conditions.

The carbene transfer from nickel to softer metals is not without precedent,¹⁸ but a transfer to silver is unknown. The surprising reactivity of complex **1** with silver salts prompted us to explore whether it could also transfer the diNHC to other metals. Thus, complex **1** was mixed with [AuCl(tht)] in CD₃CN (Scheme 4, bottom). The initial broad signals due to **1** became sharper, but maintained their pattern indicative of a chelating coordination mode of the diNHC ligand. It appears that diNHC transfer to gold did not happen, but a new nickel complex of improved solubility was formed. The ESI mass spectra of the mixture indeed showed prominent peaks due to chlorido-nickel–diNHC species. Thus, the conclusion can be made that instead of carbene transfer only bromido–chlorido exchange took place in line with the HSAB concept, which led to the formation of the better soluble complex **1**'.

Catalytic activities of complexes 1 and 5 in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction

The Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction is arguably one of the most powerful methodologies in the formation of C-C bonds.¹⁹ While palladium complexes are well established as outstanding pre-catalysts for this transformation,²⁰ their nickel analogues have, surprisingly, not been as thoroughly examined despite the lower cost that nickel catalysts offer.²¹ Moreover, several studies of nickel catalysts in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction have reported only moderate yields, with the majority of these pre-catalysts being Ni^{II}-phosphine complexes, where the resulting catalytically active Ni⁰ species are sensitive to air and moisture, requiring an inert atmosphere for reaction. Applications of Ni⁰ and Ni^{II}-NHC complexes have also been reported. The former are highly active but also airand moisture sensitive.²² The latter, on the other hand, often require a more sophisticated pincer or multidentate ligand structure and phosphine additives for a good performance.²³ Moreover, all these Ni-NHC systems operate in classical organic solvents, while examples in pure water remain unknown to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, the search

for phosphine-free Ni^{II} complexes for the aqueous Suzuki– Miyaura coupling reaction remains attractive. Similar to their palladium analogues, the strong *trans* effect exerted by *cis*coordinating diNHCs is expected to be beneficial for substrate binding and product release, which might lead to an improved catalytic activity.¹⁴

Complexes 1 and 5 were selected for a preliminary catalytic study as representatives of Ni^{II}-halido and -carboxylato precatalysts, and the coupling of 4-bromobenzaldehyde with phenylboronic acid in air and only using pure water as the reaction medium was chosen as the standard test reaction. A control run was first carried out where no complex was added (Table 2, entry 1), since previous studies reported that trace amounts of transition metal impurities present in the arylboronic acid, base, and even water could catalyze the coupling reaction.²⁴ The results show that only trace amounts of the coupled product had formed. Therefore the substrates and reaction metal impurities, and the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction could be tested with complexes 1 and 5.

At the onset, the reaction was tested with 1 mol% catalyst loading of both complexes and at 100 °C. After 24 h, the yields were found to be relatively low at 25% (1, entry 2) and 8% (5, entry 6), respectively. Increasing the temperature from 100 °C to 120 °C led to a significant improvement in yield to 49% (1) and 27% (5, entries 3 and 7). In all the runs for the coupling reaction of 4-bromobenzaldehyde and phenylboronic acid, no homocoupling product was observed based on ¹H NMR spectroscopy and ESI-MS analyses.

For complex **1**, increasing the catalyst loading to 2 mol% led to a further improvement to 89% (entry 4), while a further increase to 5 mol% only gave a slightly better yield of 92% (entry 5). For complex **5**, a significant improvement was observed at 5 mol% compared to both 1 and 2 mol%. Notably, complex **1**

Table 2 Aqueous Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reactions a catalyzed by 1 and 5 $\,$

	$R \longrightarrow X + (HO)_2 B \longrightarrow \frac{[Ni]}{H_2 O, K_2 CO_3} R \longrightarrow K_2 CO_3$						
Entry	Cat.	mol%	R	Х	T [°C]	Yield ^b [%]	
1	_	_	CHO	Br	120	Trace	
2	1	1	CHO	Br	100	25	
3	1	1	CHO	Br	120	49	
4	1	2	CHO	Br	120	89	
5	1	5	CHO	Br	120	92	
6	5	1	CHO	Br	100	7.8	
7	5	1	CHO	Br	120	27	
8	5	2	CHO	Br	120	59	
9	5	5	CHO	Br	120	81	
10	1	2	$COCH_3$	Br	120	54	
11	1	2	OCH_3	Br	120	22	
12	1	2	CHO	Cl	120	67	
13	1	2	$COCH_3$	Cl	120	59	
14	1	2	OCH ₃	Cl	120	Trace	

^{*a*} 1 mmol aryl halide, 1.5 mmol phenylboronic acid, 2 mmol K_2CO_3 , 5 mL of deionized water as solvent, 24 h. ^{*b*} Yields were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy on an average of two runs.

Dalton Transactions

showed superior performance compared to 5. Again, this may be explained by the HSAB theory, where the harder trifluoroacetato ligands prefer to bind to the hard Ni^{II} metal centre, while the relatively softer bromido ligands are more labile, resulting in improved catalytic activity. This is not the case for palladium analogues due to the softer nature of the metal, where the reverse activity trend has often been observed.²⁵

Finally, 2 mol% of complex 1 was chosen to test some more challenging substrates at 120 °C for 24 h. Under these conditions, activated 4-bromoacetophenone gave 54% yield (entry 10). Deactivated 4-bromoanisole could only be coupled in 22% vield (entry 11), which shows the limitation of the current system. Nickel-NHC complexes are known to efficiently catalyse the coupling of aryl chlorides.^{5c,26} Thus, activated aryl chlorides such as 4-chlorobenzaldehyde and 4-chloroacetophenone were tested, which gave decent yields of 67% (entry 12) and 59% (entry 13), respectively. Deactivated aryl chlorides as very challenging substrates, such as 4-chloroanisole, however, failed to couple under the given conditions (entry 14). Nevertheless, these results are encouraging given the overall simplicity of the catalyst system, which operates in pure water and does not require precautions to exclude air. Further improvements by increasing the steric bulk and electronic properties of the NHC moieties are currently being pursued.

Conclusions

A series of new heteroleptic cis-chelating dibenzimidazolin-2ylidene Ni^{II} complexes with halido, pseudohalido and carboxylato ligands of the general formula $[NiX_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (2, X = I; 3, $X = N_3$; 4, X = NCS; 5, $X = O_2CCF_3$) has been synthesized by ligand substitution of the parent complex $[NiBr_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (1) with alkali metal salts. Notably, the ditrifluoroacetato species 5 is the first example of a mixed NHC/carboxylato nickel complex. Attempted ligand substitution with Ag^I carboxylates resulted in NHC transfer from the Ni^{II} to the Ag^I metal centre instead to give disilver complexes $[Ag_2(OAc)_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (6) and $[Ag_2(O_2CCF_3)_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (7) instead. To the best of our knowledge such a 'reverse' carbene transfer to silver is unprecedented, but in accordance with the HSAB concept. The observed transfer is likely driven by the precipitation of NiBr₂. Analysis by various techniques suggest that the ionic $[Ag_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})_2][AgX_2]_2$ structure is present in solution and gas phase, while the neutral $[Ag_2X_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ structure, stabilized by intramolecular argentophilic interactions, exists in the solid state. All complexes have been fully characterized using various spectroscopic and spectrometric techniques including single crystal X-ray diffraction in most cases.

A preliminary catalytic evaluation of complexes 1 and 5 in the aqueous Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction in air has been carried out, demonstrating relatively good catalytic activity of these *cis*-chelating Ni^{II} –diNHC complexes without the requirement for an inert atmosphere and phosphine additives.

An extension of this study to diNHC complexes with finetuned stereoelectronic properties is currently in progress to increase the structural diversity and improve the catalytic profile of this family of complexes in cross coupling reactions. Moreover, the discovery of the 'reverse' carbene transfer from nickel to silver provides additional details for a better understanding of the silver–carbene transfer reaction^{1b,7} that organometallic chemists have taken for granted. Research is also in progress to test the generality of this unusual carbene transfer reaction.

Experimental

General considerations

Unless otherwise indicated, all operations were performed without taking precautions to exclude air and moisture, and all solvents and chemicals were used as received. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm using the residual protiosolvent as an internal standard. Complex **1** was synthesised according to a previously reported method.^{5c,6b}

 $[Ni(N_3)_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (2). Complex 1 (0.262 g, 0.50 mmol) and 2.5 equiv. of NaN₃ (0.082 g, 1.25 mmol) were mixed in acetonitrile and allowed to stir at ambient temperature for 48 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the resulting yellow residue was washed with copious amounts of deionized water. The remaining solid was dissolved in dichloromethane, and filtered through Celite before removing the solvent under vacuum. The product was isolated as a bright yellow powder. Single crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated solution in dichloromethane/hexane. Yield: 0.176 g (0.392 mmol, 78%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 7.65 (br d, 2 H, Ar–H), 7.56 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.27 (br s, 4 H, Ar-H), 5.86 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 5.05 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 4.66 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 2.71 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CHHCH₂), 1.91 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CHHCH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 177.2 (NCN), 135.0, 134.7, 123.4, 123.2, 110.9, 110.4 (Ar-C), 55.3 (NCH₂), 48.8 (NCH₃), 35.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₂₀N₁₀Ni: C, 51.04; H, 4.51; N, 31.33%. Found: C, 51.11; H, 4.63; N, 31.23%. MS (ESI) calcd for $[M - 2N_3 + OCH_3]^+$, $C_{20}H_{23}N_4NiO$: m/z 393. Found: m/z 393. FT-IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ 2041 cm⁻¹ (br s, N=NN).

[Ni(NCS)₂(^{Me}CC_{prop})] (3). Complex 1 (0.262 g, 0.50 mmol) dissolved in acetonitrile was added to 2.5 equiv. of KSCN (0.125 g, 1.25 mmol) suspended in acetonitrile (15 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for 24 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and the residue was dissolved in DMF. Subsequent precipitation of the solid using diethyl ether and removal of the solvents afforded the product as a pale yellow powder after drying. Yield: 0.162 g (0.336 mmol, 67%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.65 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.56 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.26 (br s, 4 H, Ar-H), 5.87 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 5.06 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 4.66 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 2.71 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CHHCH₂), 1.91 (br s, 1 H, CH_2CHHCH_2). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 162.7 (SCN), 134.5, 134.2, 123.7, 123.5, 111.2, 110.7 (Ar-C), 55.3 (NCH₂), 36.1 (NCH₃), 31.1 (CH₂CH₂CH₂). The carbenoid signal could not be resolved despite prolonged acquisition due to

limited solubility. Anal. calc. for $C_{21}H_{20}N_6NiS_2$: C, 52.63; H, 4.21; N, 17.54%. Found: C, 51.03; H, 4.51; N, 17.96%.²⁷ MS (ESI) calcd for $[M - SCN + O]^+$, $C_{20}H_{20}N_5NiOS$: m/z 436. Found: m/z 436. FT-IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ 2111 (br s, C=N), 1678 cm⁻¹ (s, C=S).

[NiI₂(^{Me}CC_{prop})] (4). Complex 1 (0.262 g, 0.50 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (15 mL) and added to a solution of 10 equiv. of NaI (0.749 g, 5.00 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL). Immediate precipitation was observed, but the solution was allowed to continue stirring at ambient temperature for 24 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting solid was dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed from the filtrate in vacuo to afford the product as a dark brown powder. Single crystals of 4 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated solution in dichloromethane/toluene. Yield: 0.252 g (0.407 mmol, 81%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, d DMSO-d₆): δ 7.65 (br d, 2 H, Ar–H), 7.55 (br d, 2 H, Ar–H), 7.26 (br s, 4 H, Ar-H), 5.92 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 5.04 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 4.63 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 2.73 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CHHCH₂), 1.98 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CH*H*CH₂). ¹³C $\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_{6}): δ 135.1, 134.8, 123.4, 123.3, 110.9, 110.3 (Ar-C), 48.9 (NCH₂), 35.8 (NCH₃), 28.8 (CH₂CH₂CH₂) ppm. The carbenoid signal could not be detected despite prolonged acquisition. Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₂₀I₂N₄Ni: C, 36.99; H, 3.27; N, 9.08%. Found: C, 36.72; H, 3.65; N, 9.31%. MS (ESI) calcd for $[M - 2I + OCH_3]^+$, C₂₀H₂₃N₄NiO: *m*/*z* 393. Found: *m*/*z* 393.

[Ni(O₂CCF₃)₂(^{Me}CC_{prop})] (5). Complex 1 (0.262 g, 0.50 mmol) and 10 equiv. of NaO2CCF3 (0.680 g, 5.00 mmol) were added to acetonitrile (20 mL). The mixture was heated at 70 °C overnight and then subjected to reflux for 2 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting solid was dissolved in dichloromethane and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was collected, and removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded the product as a yellow powder. Single crystals of 5 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated solution in dichloromethane/hexane. Yield: 0.214 g (0.362 mmol, 72%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.67 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.56 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.26 (br s, 4 H, Ar-H), 6.05 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 5.04 (br s, 2 H, NCHHCH₂), 4.67 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 2.73 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CHHCH₂), 1.95 (br s, 1 H, CH₂CH*H*CH₂). ¹³C $\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 180.6 (NCN), 158.8 (br q, COO), 135.4, 134.8, 123.4, 123.3, 110.9, 110.4 (Ar-C), 55.2 (NCH₂), 35.4 (NCH₃), 29.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂). The CF₃ quartet could not be detected despite prolonged acquisition. ${}^{19}F_1^{1}H$ NMR (282 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 2.36 (s, O₂CCF₃). Anal. calc. for C₂₃H₂₀F₆N₄NiO₄: calcd C, 46.89; H, 3.42; N, 9.51%. Found C, 46.31; H, 3.48; N, 9.47%. MS (ESI) calcd for $[M - 2O_2CCF_3 + OCH_3]^+$, $C_{20}H_{23}N_4NiO$: m/z 393. Found: m/z 393. FT-IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ 1690 (br s), 1469 (s), 843 (s) cm⁻¹ (O-C=O); $\tilde{\nu}$ 1281 (br s), 1130 (s), 1035 (s) cm⁻¹ (C-F).

 $[Ag_2(OAc)_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (6). A solution of complex 1 (0.157 g, 0.30 mmol) or 4 (0.186 g, 0.30 mmol) in acetonitrile (15 mL) was treated with 2 equiv. of silver acetate (0.100 g, 0.60 mmol) and stirred at 70 °C overnight in the dark. The resulting reaction mixture was then cooled and filtered through Celite.

Removal of the solvent from the pale yellow filtrate in vacuo afforded the product as a pale yellow-brown powder. Single crystals of 6 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated solution in dichloromethane/hexane. Yield: 0.133 g (0.208 mmol, 68%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 7.74, 7.72 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.63, 7.61 (br d, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.36, 7.34, 7.32 (br s, 4 H, Ar-H), 4.56 (br s, 4 H, NCH₂CH₂), 3.90 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 3.25 (br s, 6 H, O_2CCH_3 , 2.66 (br s, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2$). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 134.4, 133.0, 124.2, 124.1, 112.4, 112.2 (Ar-C), 55.3 (NCH₂), 46.8 (O₂CCH₃), 35.7 (NCH₃), 28.5 $(CH_2CH_2CH_2)$. The carbonoid and carbonyl signals could not be detected despite prolonged acquisition, probably due to dynamic exchange in solution. Anal. calc. for C23H26Ag2N4O4: C, 43.28; H, 4.11; N, 8.78%. Found C, 42.73; H, 4.30; N, 8.35%. MS (ESI) calcd for $[M - 2O_2CCH_3 + {}^{Me}CC_{prop}]^{2+}$, $C_{39}H_{42}Ag_2N_8$: *m*/*z* 413. Found: *m*/*z* 413.

 $[Ag_2(O_2CCF_3)_2(^{Me}CC_{prop})]$ (7). Complex 1 (0.157 g, 0.30 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (15 mL) and 2 equiv. of silver trifluoroacetate (0.200 g, 0.60 mmol) was added to the solution. The mixture was stirred at 70 °C overnight in the dark. The resulting reaction mixture was then cooled and filtered through Celite. Removal of the solvent from the greenish filtrate in vacuo afforded the product as a pale yellow-green powder. Yield: 0.164 g (0.209 mmol, 70%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 7.60 (m, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.45 (m, 2 H, Ar-H), 4.57, 4.55, 4.53 (t, 4 H, NCH₂CH₂), 3.99 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 2.75 (quint., 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 189.4 (NCN), 159.4 (br q, COO), 134.4, 133.3, 124.1, 124.0, 112.3, 112.1 (Ar-C), 117.7 (br q, CF₃), 112.3, 112.1 (Ar-C), 49.0 (NCH₂), 35.7 (NCH₃), 28.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂). ${}^{19}F{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (282 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 2.36 (s, O₂CCF₃). Anal. calc. for C23H20Ag2F6N4O4: C, 37.02; H, 2.70; N, 7.51%. Found: C, 36.96 H, 3.15; N, 7.17%.²⁷ MS (ESI) calcd for $[M - 2O_2CCF_3 +$ $^{Me}CC_{prop}]^{2+}$, $C_{39}H_{42}Ag_2N_8$: *m*/*z* 413. Found: *m*/*z* 413.

Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions

In a typical reaction, a mixture of aryl halides (1.0 mmol), phenylboronic acid (1.5 mmol), anhydrous K_2CO_3 (2.0 mmol), and Ni^{II} complex (1–5 mol%) in deionized water (5 mL) was stirred at 100–120 °C for 24 h. In the standard work-up, the solution was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and extracted using dichloromethane (2 mL). The organic layer was washed and separated. The solution was then filtered through Celite. The solvents and any volatiles were removed completely under high vacuum to give the product, which was then analysed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and ESI-MS.

X-ray crystallography

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was carried out on a Bruker AXS SMART APEX diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The software used was as follows: SMART for collecting the frames of data, indexing the reflections, and determining the lattice parameters;²⁸ SAINT for the integration of the intensity of reflections and scaling;²⁹ SADABS for empirical absorption correction;³⁰ SHELXTL for space group determination, structure solution, and least-squares refinements on $|F|^2$.³¹ Anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for the rest of the non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were placed at their ideal positions.

Acknowledgements

The work reported in this manuscript was funded by the Singapore Ministry of Education (MOE, R-143-000-609-112) and the National University of Singapore (NUS). We are grateful to the CMMAC staff at the Department of Chemistry, NUS for technical support.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) E. A. B. Kantchev, C. J. O'Brien and M. G. Organ, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2768–2813; (b) H. V. Huynh, The organometallic chemistry of N-heterocyclic carbenes, Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2017.
- 2 H. V. Huynh, J. H. H. Ho, T. C. Neo and L. L. Koh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **690**, 3854–3860.
- 3 (a) D. S. McGuinness, W. Mueller, P. Wasserscheid,
 K. J. Cavell, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White and U. Englert, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 175–181; (b) W. A. Herrmann,
 G. Gerstberger and M. Spiegler, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 2209–2212; (c) H. V. Huynh, C. Holtgrewe, T. Pape,
 L. L. Koh and E. Hahn, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 245–249; (d) H. V. Huynh, L. R. Wong and P. S. Ng, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 2231–2237.
- 4 D. Zhang, S. Zhou, Z. Li, Q. Wang and L. Weng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 12020–12030.
- 5 (a) W. A. Herrmann, J. Schwarz, M. G. Gardiner and M. Spiegler, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1999, 575, 80–86;
 (b) R. E. Douthwaite, D. Haüssinger, M. L. H. Green and P. J. Silcock, *Organometallics*, 1999, 18, 4584–4590;
 (c) H. V. Huynh and R. Jothibasu, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 1926–1931.
- 6 (a) M. V. Baker, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White and C. C. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 111–120;
 (b) J. Berding, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek and E. Bouwman, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 1845–1854.
- 7 (a) H. M. J. Wang and I. J. B. Lin, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 972–975; (b) J. C. Y. Lin, R. T. W. Huang, C. S. Lee, A. Bhattacharyya, W. S. Hwang and I. J. B. Lin, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 3561–3598.
- 8 (a) S. Yoshikawa and W. S. Caughy, J. Biol. Chem., 1992, 267, 9757–9766; (b) K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, in *Handbook of Vibrational Spectroscopy*, ed. P. Griffiths and J. M. Chalmers, Wiley, New York, 2006.
- 9 R. H. Toeniskoetter and S. Solomon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, 7, 617–620.

- 10 (a) S. Ahrland, J. Chatt and N. R. Davies, *Quart. Rev.*, 1958, 12, 265–276; (b) S. E. Livingstone, *Quart. Rev.*, 1965, 19, 386–425.
- (a) C. K. Poon and C. M. Che, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, 20, 1640–1643;
 (b) M.-Y. Choi, M. C.-W. Chan, S. Zhang, K.-K. Cheung, C.-M. Che and K.-Y. Wong, *Organometallics*, 1999, 18, 2074–2080.
- 12 (a) R. E. Ballard, J. Jones, E. Sutherland and B. L. Chun, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1983, 97, 413–418; (b) H. A. Schwarz and B. H. J. Bielski, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 1445–1448; (c) Z. B. Alfassi, A. Hamiman, R. E. Huie, S. Mosseri and P. Neta, J. Phys. Chem., 1987, 91, 2120–2122; (d) M. R. DeFelippis, M. Faraggi and M. H. Klapper, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 94, 2420–2424.
- 13 (a) H. V. Huynh, R. Jothibasu and L. L. Koh, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 6852–6856; (b) Y. Han, H. V. Huynh and L. L. Koh, J. Organomet. Chem., 2007, 692, 3606–3613.
- 14 H. V. Huynh, T. C. Neo and G. K. Tan, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 1298–1302.
- (a) C. N. R. Rao and R. Venkataraghavan, Spectrochim. Acta, 1962, 18, 541–547; (b) G. A. Crowder and D. Jackson, Spectrochim. Acta, 1971, 27, 1873–1877.
- 16 (a) H. V. Huynh, D. LeVan, F. E. Hahn and T. S. A. Hor, J. Organomet. Chem., 2004, 689, 1766–1770; (b) H. V. Huynh and H. X. Seow, Aust. J. Chem., 2009, 62, 983–987.
- 17 The sum of van der Waals radii of two silver atoms is 3.44 Å.
- 18 B. Liu, X. Liu, C. Chen, C. Chen and W. Chen, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 282–288.
- 19 N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 2457–2483.
- 20 (a) O. Navarro, R. A. Kelly III and S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 16194–16195; (b) G. Altenhoff, R. Goddard, C. W. Lehmann and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15195–15201; (c) N. Marion, O. Navarro, J. Mei, E. D. Stevens, N. M. Scott and S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 4101–4111.
- 21 F.-S. Han, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 5270-5298.
- 22 J. Zhou, J. H. J. Berthel, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner,
 A. Friedrich, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, *J. Org. Chem.*,
 2016, 81, 5789–5794.
- 23 (a) Z. Xi, X. Zhang, W. Chen, S. Fu and D. Wang, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 6636–6642; (b) C.-C. Lee, W.-C. Ke, K.-T. Chan, C.-L. Lai, C.-H. Hu and H. M. Lee, Chem. – Eur. J., 2007, 13, 582–591; (c) K. Inamoto, J. Kuroda, E. Kwon, K. Hiroya and T. Doi, J. Organomet. Chem., 2009, 694, 389– 396; (d) Y. Zhou, Z. Xi, W. Chen and D. Wang, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 5911–5920; (e) T. Tu, H. Mao, C. Herbert, M. Xu and K. H. Dötz, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 7796–7798; (f) L. Benítez Junquera, F. E. Fernández, M. C. Puerta and P. Valerga, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2017, 2547– 2556.
- 24 (a) N. E. Leadbeater and M. Marco, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 5660–5667; (b) R. K. Arvela, N. E. Leadbeater, M. S. Sangi, V. A. Williams, P. Granados and R. D. Singer, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 161–168; (c) I. Thomé, A. Nijs and C. Bolm, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 979–987.

Paper

- 25 (a) D. R. Jensen, M. J. Schultz, J. A. Mueller and M. S. Sigman, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, 42, 3810–3813;
 (b) J. A. Mueller, C. P. Goller and M. S. Sigman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, 126, 9724–9734.
- 26 (a) J. A. Fernández-Salas, E. Marelli, D. B. Cordes,
 A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, 21, 3906–3909; (b) J. A. Fernández-Salas, E. Marelli and
 S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 4973–4977.
- 27 Although these results are outside the range viewed as establishing analytical purity, they are provided to illustrate the best values obtained to date.
- 28 SMART version 5.628, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2001.
- 29 SAINT+ version 6.22a; Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2001.
- 30 G. W. Sheldrick, *SADABS version 2.10*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 2001.
- 31 SHELXTL version 6.14, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2000.