Focused electron beam based direct-write fabrication of graphene and amorphous carbon from oxo-functionalized graphene on silicon dioxide†
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Controlled patterning of graphene is an important task towards device fabrication and thus is the focus of current research activities. Graphene oxide (GO) is a solution-processible precursor of graphene. It can be patterned by thermal processing. However, thermal processing of GO leads to decomposition and CO2 formation. Alternatively, focused electron beam induced processing (FEBIP) techniques can be used to pattern graphene with high spatial resolution. Based on this approach, we explore FEBIP of GO deposited on SiO2. Using oxo-functionalized graphene (oxo-G) with an in-plane lattice defect density of 1% we are able to image the electron beam-induced effects by scanning Raman microscopy for the first time. Depending on electron energy (2–30 keV) and doses (50–800 mC m−2) either reduction of GO or formation of permanent lattice defects occurs. This result reflects a step towards controlled FEBIP processing of oxo-G.

Introduction

Graphene exhibits outstanding electronic properties and potential applications ranging from electronic devices over heat spreaders and membranes to sensing applications.1–3 Graphene oxide (GO) is an oxidized derivative of graphene prepared from graphite and the properties depend on the preparation method and type of graphite:4–6 it can be processed from aqueous dispersions and converted to conductive carbon.7 However, the carbon lattice bears manifold defects due to the harsh oxidative preparation protocols.8 Nevertheless, various reduction methods such as hydrated electrons, plasmas,9,10 ultraviolet light,11 or chemical reduction agents were reported to prepare reduced GO, a carbon material with an irregular, but conductive carbon framework.7,12,13

Recently, scanning Raman microscopy (SRM) has been developed as the method of choice to visualize structures in graphene.14,15 SRM can image in-plane lattice defect densities up to an upper limit of 2–3%.16,17 For oxo-functionalized graphene (oxo-G), which can be prepared in high quality with defect densities as low as 0.05%, SRM has been applied to visualize the density of defects.18–20 Recently, the intact carbon framework of this low defect material was imaged by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy.21 Raman spectroscopy can also be used to evaluate the efficiency of the reduction of oxo-G with a relatively high defect density of about 1%, which is also relevant for conventional GO.

Patterning of graphene on the nm to μm scale is of general interest for an economic and environmentally friendly preparation of device structures.22 In particular, thermal methods, such as a laser or a hot tip of an atomic force microscope, have been used to directly pattern “graphene”.23–25 However, these thermal treatments of GO result in a loss of carbon from the graphene lattice via CO2 formation and other organic fragments.8,26,27 Thus, additional permanent lattice defects are introduced and therefore, more likely, amorphous conductive carbon is produced rather than undisturbed graphene.28,29 A possible post treatment at very high temperatures of above 1000 °C would be necessary to induce lattice rearrangement leading to graphene.30–32 As an alternative, also conductive atomic force microscopy was used to reduce GO at a specific potential.13

In this contribution, we follow a different approach for the patterning of graphene, namely focused electron beam induced processing (FEBIP) of oxo-G. FEBIP subsumes powerful techniques to lithographically pattern interfaces on the nanoscale, either by the dissociation of adsorbed precursor molecules34,35 or by the modification of the substrate itself,36 both by the impact of the...
electron beam. Herein, we use the latter approach, that is, the direct impingement of an electron beam on oxo-G/SiO$_2$ (Fig. 1). When varying the beam energy and the electron beam exposure, we find either a reduction yielding intact graphene areas or an irreversible degradation of the carbon framework yielding amorphous carbon areas. This finding allows for the positive and negative patterning of graphene structures.

**Results**

Oxo-G was prepared based on our previously described method using potassium permanganate as an oxidant in sulfuric acid at an increased (around 25 °C) temperature during the purification procedure (see the ESI† for details and analysis, chemical sketch given in Fig. 2A).$^{19,37}$ A film of oxo-G flakes was placed on a Si/300 nm SiO$_2$ substrate by a Langmuir–Blodgett technique.

The density of lattice defects was determined to be about 1% by statistical Raman spectroscopy ($\Gamma_D = 1.5 \pm 0.7$; $\Gamma_{2D} = 66 \pm 19$). Raman spectra show a broad D peak and G peak with a full-width at half-maximum ($\Gamma_D$) of the Raman D peak after e-beam irradiation of different energy and doses. Red: broad D peaks (low quality, amorphous), blue narrow D peaks (better quality). Subsequent chemical reduction of the film by HI/TFA and SRM analysis (Fig. 1C) leaves the appearance unchanged, which indicates that the defect density in the radiated area is permanent. Thus, it is concluded that under the applied conditions lattice...
defects (in-plane) are introduced rather than functionalization defects (out-of-plane). On the other hand, at 30 keV and doses between 50 and 800 mC cm$^{-2}$ Raman spectra, as shown in Fig. 2D, indicate the chemical reduction of oxo-G (Raman spectrum of oxo-G shown in Fig. 2B). The structure irradiated by the electron beam is, however, not visible in SRM (Fig. 1B, upper left corner). This is due to the fact that the patterned as well as the surrounding areas were completely reduced. This effect is also visible for regions irradiated at 20 keV. We propose that slow secondary electrons, generated by the interaction of primary (SE$_1$) or backscattered electrons (SE$_2$) with the SiO$_2$ substrate, are responsible for this reduction. The lateral extension of the observed reduction indeed corresponds well with the range of emitted backscattered electrons (BSE), as determined from Monte-Carlo simulations performed with CASINO v2.42 (cf. Table S1, ESI†). Interestingly, the applied dose at 15 keV plays a critical role, and we find that oxo-G is reduced at doses of 50 and 100 mC cm$^{-2}$ and extended defects are introduced at 400 and 800 mC cm$^{-2}$. This observation indicates that the electron beam can either produce more graphene-like material inducing defunctionalization or harm the carbon framework, introducing lattice defects, depending on the exposure conditions.

Temperature-dependent patterning experiments (from room temperature to 60 °C), with 15 keV and doses between 50 and 800 mC cm$^{-2}$, suggest that the reduction is not a thermally activated process in this temperature range. Notably, we find no significant difference in the Raman spectra of an irradiated film of oxo-G flakes and reduced oxo-G, (SRM results depicted in Fig. S1A and S1B, ESI† GI, peak maps), within the experimental error.

The structures that are produced will certainly depend on the size of the electron beam and the energy dependent BSE area. However, here we demonstrate that a structure patterned with a width of 2 μm can be resolved in Raman microscopy images that we recorded with a scanning increment of 75 nm, fairly below the maximum of optical resolution limit of roughly 335 nm. We find that for higher beam energies the material is reduced (blue) in a large distance around the irradiated structures, and that the defective structures are confined to the patterned areas (red). Therefore, the edge definition is close to, or smaller than the resolution limit of SRM, as can be seen by the red area of SRM images in Fig. 1B.

Discussion of the interaction of the electron beam with the substrate and with oxo-G

Fig. 3 shows that the electron beam interacts with the SiO$_2$ substrate, as evident from the dark contrast of the uncoated area between the flakes. As discussed above, the correspondence of the BSE exit range with the observed range of reduction of the oxo-G suggests, that mainly low energy secondary electrons are responsible for the reduction of oxo-G to graphene.

The cause for the introduction of lattice defects in oxo-G/ graphene in close vicinity to the electron beam, on the other hand, is not so clear. Since oxo-G bears only a single layer carbon lattice, the direct interaction of the electron beam and oxo-G should be negligible. We therefore propose that the interaction of the electron beam with the SiO$_2$ surface is determining the process. The resulting low energy secondary electrons (<50 eV, maximum 5–8 eV) and higher energy electrons (BSEs, Auger electrons, low loss electrons) both could be responsible for dissociative processes at higher electron density, that is, in the immediate impingement area of the primary beam. The introduction of lattice defects (red color) is apparent for low energy primary beams (Fig. 1 and 2 at 5 kV); under these conditions a high density of low energy secondary electrons in the primary beam area is found in simulations. In addition, electron stimulated desorption of oxygen from SiO$_2$ surfaces has been reported, and the oxidative decomposition of carbon frameworks is a common process. Thus, we propose that this release of reactive oxygen species from the SiO$_2$ substrate could also induce CO or CO$_2$ formation and thereby cause the degradation of the carbon lattice. Finally, defect formation could also be linked to beam-induced local heating, which could result in thermal decomposition of oxo-G and lattice rearrangement.

Conclusions

We find that electron beam treatment of oxo-G, and very likely also of conventional graphene oxide, leads to either reduction (reductive defunctionalization) to graphene, or to the introduction of in-plane lattice defects, depending on the irradiation conditions. Possible pathways for the defect formation leading to local oxo-G lattice degradation are reactions induced by slow secondary electrons at high electron densities, O-release from the SiO$_2$ substrate, or local heating of the substrate. The formation of the defective carbon structure occurs most efficiently at low energy (best at 2 keV and high dose of 800 mC cm$^{-2}$) and occurs with high spatial confinement. On the other hand, at high energies, such as 30 keV, no local patterning of graphene is observed; instead, a reduction of large areas is detected, most likely due to the generation of slow secondary electrons that facilitate the reduction of oxo-G. Overall, we demonstrate that FEBIP is a suitable tool with high potential to pattern oxo-G on very small scales.
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