ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 10062

Received 22nd June 2017, Accepted 26th July 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7cc04821a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Determining rotational dynamics of the guanidino group of arginine side chains in proteins by carbon-detected NMR[†]

Karola Gerecht, 🗈 Angelo Miguel Figueiredo 🗈 and D. Flemming Hansen 🗈 *

Arginine residues are imperative for many active sites and proteininteraction interfaces. A new NMR-based method is presented to determine the rotational dynamics around the $N_{\epsilon}-C_{\zeta}$ bond of arginine side chains. An application to a 19 kDa protein shows that the strengths of interactions involving arginine side chains can be characterised.

Internal motions of proteins and their side chains allow a perpetual sampling of various conformations that have been shown to be important for both protein–ligand interactions and enzyme catalysis.^{1–3} Internal motions occur on a broad range of timescales, ranging from picoseconds to seconds, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy has proven a powerful experimental technique to quantify such dynamics with atomic resolution.⁴

Arginine side chains and their motions are particularly important for protein function because of the high pK_a^5 of the terminal guanidino group and its five possible hydrogen bond formation sites (Fig. S1, ESI⁺). These features drive the formation of bidentate salt bridges,⁶ cation– π interactions⁷ and hydrogen bonding networks.8 Hence, arginine residues feature in DNA- and RNA-binding proteins,9-11 phosphate binding modules such as the SH2 domain,⁹ receptors such as glutamate receptors,¹⁰ and in many enzymatic active sites.¹² Arginine residues that form interactions via their guanidino group generally experience a restriction of the rotational motion around the N_e-C_r bond; with the exception of end-on interactions with glutamate or aspartate.⁶ Therefore, a method to quantify the N_{ϵ} -C_{ζ} rotational dynamics of arginine side chains will allow insights into the diverse range of processes that arginine side chains are involved in and in many cases allow for a quantification of the interactions formed. Below we present an NMR method, based on $^{13}\text{C}\text{-detection,}$ to quantify the $N_\epsilon\text{--}C_\zeta$ rotational dynamics and thus to allow a quantification of interactions formed by the arginine guanidino group under physiological conditions.

University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK. E-mail: d.hansen@ucl.ac.uk

Carbon-13 detection inherently suffers from a lower signal intensity in NMR spectra due to the fourfold lower gyromagnetic ratio of ¹³C compared to ¹H. However, ¹³C-detection becomes advantageous, when the NMR signals of the protons at the site of interest are severely broadened, for example by rapid exchange with the bulk solvent.¹²⁻¹⁴ At ambient temperature and at neutral pH, the H_n amine protons of the arginine side chain exchange rapidly with the solvent,15 which renders conventional ¹H-¹⁵N proton-detected NMR experiments ill-suited to detect them. To circumvent this problem, ¹³C-detection is used here to probe the ¹⁵N_n. The NMR pulse scheme developed is shown in Fig. 1 and it is based on a recently developed method for characterising the ${}^{13}C_{\zeta}{}^{-15}N_{\epsilon}$ spin pairs.¹⁶ Briefly, magnetisation is initially transferred from ${}^{13}C_{\zeta}$ to the two ${}^{15}N_{\eta}$ via the onebond ${}^{13}C_{\zeta}$ - ${}^{15}N_{\eta}$ scalar couplings. The considerable difference in the chemical shift ranges of ${}^{15}N_n$ (~71 ppm) and ${}^{15}N_{\epsilon}$ (~85 ppm) 17 allows a selective transfer to the two terminal ¹⁵N_n without a transfer to ${}^{15}N_{\epsilon}$ using a selective pulse. Subsequent ${}^{15}N$ chemical shift evolution followed by a second INEPT allows for ¹³C_C-¹⁵N_n correlation spectra.

View Article Online

The 19 kDa protein T4 lysozyme with the cavity forming mutation L99A^{18,19} (T4L99A) serves as a good and realistic model protein for arginine studies because it is well-characterised and contains 13 arginine residues. A two dimensional ${}^{13}C_{-}^{15}N_{n}$ correlation spectrum obtained using the pulse scheme in Fig. 1 is shown in Fig. 2, where cross peaks were assigned based on the $^{13}C_{\zeta}$ chemical shifts (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). Five of the 13 arginine $^{13}C_{\zeta}$ are well resolved and an obvious difference in the dynamics of the ¹⁵N_n groups is immediately apparent. For example, two separate peaks are observed for the two ¹⁵N_n of R95 and R145, showing a slower exchange for these residues. By contrast, the two ¹⁵N_n resonances of R14 only give rise to one broad peak, thereby indicating that the two amine groups are exchanging faster. Thus, the ${}^{13}C_{\zeta}-{}^{15}N_{\eta}$ spectrum is sensitive to the exchange between the two $^{15}N_{\eta}$, that is, the rotational dynamics around the N_{ϵ} -C_{ζ} bond. As detailed below a quantification of this exchange reports on the strength of interactions formed by the arginine side chain.

Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, Division of Biosciences,

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7cc04821a



Fig. 1 (a) Pulse schemes for characterising the N_{ϵ} -C_{ζ} rotational dynamics of arginine side chains in proteins. The carrier positions are: ¹H 7 ppm, ¹³C: 156 ppm, ¹⁵N 71 ppm. Narrow bars represent 90° pulses and wide bars represent 180° pulses. The delay Δ is $1/(8J_{C_r-N_u}) = 6.3$ ms, and $\delta = 1/SW$, where SW is the sweep width in the ¹⁵N dimension. Shaped pulses are represented by bell-shapes with letters specifying the shape (R: RE-BURP, E: E-BURP²⁹). Phases are x unless stated otherwise. The phase cycle used is ϕ 1: 4(y), 4(-y); ϕ 2: x, -x; ϕ 3: 2(x), 2(-x); ϕ_{rec} : x, 2(-x), x, -x, 2(x), -x. Decoupling sequences are represented by striped boxes indicating the type of decoupling: WALTZ16 (4 kHz), GARP4 (0.7 kHz). Gradients of 1 ms are applied with strength of g1: 9.5 G cm⁻¹, g2: 3.9 G cm⁻¹, g3: 26.2 G cm⁻¹, g4: 18.4 G cm⁻¹, g5: 7.2 G cm⁻¹. The first 15 N pulse and gradient g1 are applied to purge longitudinal ¹⁵N magnetisation. (b) D-Evolution element. The phase ψ follows an XY16³⁰ phase cycle and is incremented for each 180° pulse and the τ delay is in the range from 70 μ s to 1 ms (see text). δ' is to compensate for chemical shift evolution during the 90° ¹⁵N pulses and the 180° ¹³C pulse, $N = t_1/\delta$. D-Evolution experiments performed with a high-power ${}^{\dot{1}}H$ CW³¹ decoupling or with WALTZ decoupling lead to identical exchange rates. We therefore prefer to perform the D-evolution experiments with a WALTZ decoupling, which can be applied at a lower power to reduce heating effects. (c) Longitudinal exchange element. The shaped pulse R' is 10 ms and made selective for only one of the two ${}^{15}N_n$ resonance (see text).



Fig. 2 Carbon-detected $^{13}\text{C}_{\zeta}-^{15}\text{N}_{\eta}$ spectrum of T4L99A. The spectrum was recorded without D-evolution (without the grey box in Fig. 1b) at 18.8 T and at a temperature of 298 K. The chemical shift assignment was obtained *via* the $^{13}\text{C}_{\zeta}$ chemical shifts and the previous assignment of the $^{13}\text{C}_{\zeta}-^{15}\text{N}_{e}$ correlation spectrum.¹⁶

Various techniques have been developed to study chemical exchange processes on different time scales using NMR spectroscopy. Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG)^{20,21} relaxation dispersion spectroscopy is applicable for exchange processes in the ms-µs regime²² whereas longitudinal exchange spectroscopy (EXSY) is widely applied to investigate processes with rates in the seconds range. We decided here to implement two variants of these experiments to determine the rotational dynamics of arginine guanidino groups, that is, (i) divided-evolution (D-evolution)²³ within the ¹⁵N chemical shift evolution period of the ${}^{13}C_{\zeta}$ experiment (Fig. 1b) and (ii) a longitudinal exchange experiment based on selective pulses²⁴ (Fig. 1c). D-Evolution has previously been used to improve the signal intensity of exchange-broadened resonances²³ as well as in combination with CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments to obtain accurate exchange rates and populations of low-populated states.²⁵ Briefly, each time increment in the chemical shift evolution period is separated by a CPMG element. This results in an apparent shift of the exchange process towards the fast-exchange regime and consequently a different peak shape.

Free [¹⁵N₄,¹³C₆]-arginine dissolved in 50%/50% MeOH/H₂O under acid conditions was used to evaluate under which conditions reliable exchange rates for the rotational dynamics of arginine guanidino groups, k_{ex} , can be obtained using D-evolution. D-evolution experiments were recorded over a range of temperatures and using six different τ delays in the range from 70 µs to 1 ms. The D-evolution spectra obtained at 273 K are shown in Fig. 3. At 273 K the exchange between the two ¹⁵N_n for free arginine is near the slow exchange regime, such that two separate peaks for the two ${}^{15}N_{\eta}$ nuclei are observed both without D-evolution and for small τ . For larger τ delays these two peaks coalesce into the intermediate exchange regime and further into a single peak for larger τ delays. It should be noted that the extent of apparent relaxation and line broadening increases when τ is increased, which counteracts the peak sharpening effect; Fig. 3a and b. However, our motivation here is to use D-evolution to determine the exchange rate constant and not that of improving signal-to-noise.

The evolution of the magnetisations during the D-evolution experiment can be described using the standard Bloch–McConnell equations,²⁶ which by integration and Fourier transform give a set of theoretically derived spectra as a function of the exchange rate constant k_{ex} , the intrinsic transverse relaxation constant R_2 , and the difference in chemical shift between the two ¹⁵N_η; $\Delta\omega$. One-dimensional experimental ¹⁵N slices were extracted from each of the D-evolution planes with different τ (Fig. 3a) and fitted to the theoretical spectra in order to obtain the exchange rate for the rotation around the N_{ϵ}-C_{ζ} bond (Fig. 3b and ESI†).

To cross-validate the D-evolution approach, the exchange rate constant, k_{ex} , was also extracted from two-dimensional ¹H–¹⁵N zz-EXSY²⁷ experiments at temperatures from 258 K to 278 K, where two separate ¹⁵N_{η} cross-peaks are observed (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). Above 278 K separate peaks were not observed for the two ¹⁵N_{η} nuclei, thus preventing a determination of k_{ex} from the zz-EXSY experiment. Nonetheless, the D-evolution experiment is still applicable as long as a change in the ¹⁵N spectrum is observed for different τ delays. A comparison of the exchange rate constants k_{ex} obtained from zz-EXSY and from D-evolution experiments shows an excellent agreement (Fig. 3c). It should



Fig. 3 (a) ${}^{13}C_{\zeta}-{}^{15}N_{\eta}$ D-evolution spectra of free arginine showing that the peaks are moving closer and coalesce upon increasing τ . Buffer contained 50% MeOH, 50% H₂O and spectra were recorded at 273 K and at 14.1 T. (b) Least-squares analysis of the spectra in (a) (dotted lines); with experimental spectra in black and theoretical back-calculated spectra in red. The exchange rate constant k_{ex} was extracted from the experimental spectra simultaneously. For the data shown, $k_{ex} = 206.7 \pm 1.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$. (c) Correlation of k_{ex} in free arginine extracted from D-evolution experiments (*x*-axis) and zz-EXSY experiments (*y*-axis) for temperatures of 258 K, 263 K, 268 K, 273 K, and 278 K.

be noted that it is important to keep the amount of D_2O as low as possible (<1%) for the extraction of exchange constants using D-evolution-based experiments, since addition of D_2O artificially increases the obtained k_{ex} from D-evolution.

In a second verification of the D-evolution experiment k_{ex} rates were obtained from 275 K to 293 K for free arginine in 99%/1% H₂O/D₂O at pH 5.5. Here the obtained rates obey a linear Arrhenius relationship (Fig. S4, ESI†) with a transition state enthalpy, $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 48.7 \pm 0.6$ kJ mol⁻¹, for the N_e-C_{ζ} bond rotation of free arginine, which is in good agreement with previous determinations.²⁸ The rate for free arginine serves as a point of reference for the rotational dynamics of arginine in an unhindered state. Lower exchange rates for arginine side chains in proteins indicate a hindered rotation around the N_e-C_{ζ} bond and hence the presence of interactions formed by the guanidino group.

After having established D-evolution as a method to determine the rate of the $^{13}C_{\zeta}-^{15}N_{\epsilon}$ rotational dynamics we turned our attention back to T4L99A and focussed on residues that are well-resolved in the $^{13}C_{\zeta}-^{15}N_{\eta}$ spectrum, *i.e.* R14, R52, R95, R96 and R145 (Fig. 2). The remaining arginine side chains show exchange-averaged $^{15}N_{\eta}$ resonances and are thus expected to experience fast $^{13}C_{\zeta}-^{15}N_{\epsilon}$ rotation and consequently not to form significant interactions. A reference spectrum and D-evolution spectra with six different τ delays were recorded at two static magnetic fields (11.7 T and 18.8 T).

Three of the arginine side chains of T4L99A were amenable to analysis with D-evolution, that is, R14, R52 and R96. R145

did not offer sufficient signal-to-noise, while R95 exchanged too slowly for accurate k_{ex} to be obtained. For the three arginine side chains amenable to analysis, the exchange rates extracted from the two fields individually were in good agreement (see Fig. S5-S7, ESI[†]). The precision of the analysis improved when data at both magnetic fields were used, since the correlation between the model parameters decreased. It is noted that the three residues display distinct exchange dynamics. The ${}^{13}C_{7}$ rotation is rapid for R14 ($k_{ex} = 2000 \pm 65 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K, Fig. S5, ESI[†]) indicating an arginine side chain that does not form interactions, which agrees with the crystal structure of T4L99A (Fig. 4a). This rate is even slightly faster than the rate obtained for free arginine ($k_{ex} = 1730 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K). The increase in the rate of R14 by roughly 15% corresponds to a decrease in activation energy for the rotation by approximately 0.4 kJ mol⁻¹ compared to the free arginine side chain. A characterisation of another surface exposed arginine side chain, R54 in the protein ubiquitin (Table S2, ESI⁺), shows a similar trend to that observed for R14 in T4L99A, i.e., a slightly faster rate than that observed for free arginine. This could indicate a difference in the protein environment compared to the solvent that results in a slight reduction of the partial double bond character of the N_{ϵ} - C_{ζ} bond or a decreased entropic cost for rearrangement of the solvent shell.

The exchange rates for R52 ($k_{ex} = 57 \pm 3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K, Fig. S6, ESI†) and R96 ($k_{ex} = 242 \pm 8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K, Fig. S7, ESI†) clearly display a hindered N_{ε}-C_{ζ} bond rotation compared to the free



Fig. 4 (a) The local environments of R14, R52, R95, R96, and R145 in the crystal structure of T4L99A (pdb: 3dmv). Hydrogen bonds are depicted as dotted lines. (b) $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger} = \Delta G^{\ddagger}(Arg) - \Delta G^{\ddagger}(free) = -RT \ln[k_{ex}(Arg)/k_{ex}(free)]$ as a function of temperature for the five arginine side chains in (a). $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for R14, R52 and R96 were obtained using D-evolution (circles), while $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for R95 and R145 were obtained from longitudinal exchange experiments (squares).

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

arginine and thus show that R52 and R96 are involved in interactions. The crystal structure confirms that both residues are involved in hydrogen bonding networks, see Fig. 4a. The strength of these interactions, which are to be broken upon rotation about the N_{ϵ} - C_{ζ} bond, contribute to an increase of the activation energy for the rotation and explains the reduced exchange rates. The observed rates for $N_\epsilon\text{-}C_\zeta$ bond rotation follow a linear Arrhenius behaviour, however, the accuracy is not at a level where reliable values of both ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} can be obtained and $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ relative to the free arginine are therefore reported in Fig. 4b. The $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for R96 of 4.95 \pm 0.06 kJ mol⁻¹ corresponds approximately to the breakage of one weak hydrogen bond. The $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for R52 of 8.2 \pm 0.3 kJ mol⁻¹ shows a stronger stabilisation compared to R96 and agrees with the crystal structure, which shows that R52 is forming ionic bidentate hydrogen bonds that are considerably stronger than the hydrogen bonds formed between R96 and the backbone.

A longitudinal exchange method reported previously²⁴ using selective inversions was used to probe the rotational dynamics of R95 and R145. For these side chains the two $^{15}N_{\eta}$ resonances are separated by several ppm and consequently one of the $^{15}N_{\eta}$ resonances can be inverted selectively. Two longitudinal relaxation experiments were recorded: in the first experiment one of the $^{15}N_{\eta}$ resonances was inverted, while in the second experiment none of the resonances were inverted. An exchange of the two $^{15}N_{\eta}$ will lead to an increased longitudinal relaxation in the experiment where one resonance has been inverted.

An analysis of the relaxation decay for R95 gives an exchange rate of 0.4 \pm 0.3 s⁻¹ (Fig. S8a and b, ESI[†]) and a stabilisation of 20.7 \pm 1.9 kJ mol⁻¹ compared to the free arginine. The strong interaction network together with a tryptophan side chain that obstructs the rotation explains this slow rotation around the N_{\varepsilon}-C_{\zeta} bond. For R145 a $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ of 9.5 \pm 0.5 kJ mol⁻¹ was obtained over the temperature range from 283 K to 298 K. This indicates a stabilisation similar to that of R52 and agrees well with the crystal structure, where R145 is also forming ionic bidentate hydrogen bonds. Overall, the obtained $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ correlates well with the interactions observed in the crystal structure, which indicates a one-step breakage of the interactions upon rotation around the N_{\varepsilon}-C_{\zeta} bond.

To summarise, we developed an NMR-based approach to quantify the rotational dynamics of the guanidino group of arginine side chains. The method relies on ¹³C-detection and either D-evolution or longitudinal exchange depending on the rate and it is suitable for arginine amine groups whose amine protons exchange rapidly with the solvent. The D-evolution and the longitudinal exchange experiment are complementary and together cover the broad range of exchange rates observed for N_{ϵ}-C_{ζ} bond rotations of arginine side chains. An application to the 19 kDa T4L99A and the agreement of the obtained exchange rates with arginine interaction networks in the crystal structure demonstrates the applicability of this method to monitor interactions such as hydrogen bonding (R52, R95, R96, R145) and cation- π interactions (R95). It is envisaged that the new

method serves as a particularly valuable tool to characterise active sites in enzymes, protein–protein or protein–nucleic acid interactions, where arginine residues are expected to play a crucial role.

The Wellcome Trust is acknowledged for supporting the ISMB NMR facility and the Francis Crick Biomedical NMR centre is acknowledged for access to high-field NMR spectrometers. Lucas Siemons is acknowledged for producing the ubiquitin sample. KG acknowledges King's College University and the LiDO programme for a PhD studentship. This research was supported by BBSRC and the Leverhulme Trust.

Notes and references

- 1 K. A. Henzler-Wildman, M. Lei, V. Thai, S. J. Kerns, M. Karplus and D. Kern, *Nature*, 2007, **450**, 913–916.
- 2 K. Henzler-Wildman and D. Kern, Nature, 2007, 450, 964-972.
- 3 P. J. Sapienza and A. L. Lee, Curr. Opin. Pharmacol., 2010, 10, 723-730.
- 4 A. G. Palmer, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 3623-3640.
- 5 C. A. Fitch, G. Platzer, M. Okon, B. E. Garcia-Moreno and L. P. McIntosh, *Protein Sci.*, 2015, 24, 752–761.
- 6 P. M. Nieto, B. Birdsall, W. D. Morgan, T. A. Frenkiel, A. R. Gargaro and J. Feeney, *FEBS Lett.*, 1997, **405**, 16–20.
- 7 P. B. Crowley and A. Golovin, Proteins, 2005, 59, 231-239.
- 8 C. L. Borders, J. A. Broadwater, P. A. Bekeny, J. E. Salmon, A. S. Lee, A. M. Eldridge and V. B. Pett, *Protein Sci.*, 1994, **3**, 541–548.
- 9 S. M. Pascal, T. Yamazaki, A. U. Singer, L. E. Kay and J. D. Forman-Kay, *Biochemistry*, 1995, 34, 11353–11362.
- 10 T. Lynagh, V. V. Komnatnyy and S. A. Pless, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2017, **292**, 3940–3946.
- 11 A. Esadze, C. Chen, L. Zandarashvili, S. Roy, B. M. Pettitt and J. Iwahara, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2016, 44, 6961–6970.
- 12 C. Zeymer, N. D. Werbeck, S. Zimmermann, J. Reinstein and D. F. Hansen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 11533–11537.
- 13 Y. Yoshimura, N. A. Oktaviani, K. Yonezawa, H. Kamikubo and F. A. A. Mulder, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 239–242.
- 14 I. C. Felli, L. Gonnelli and R. Pierattelli, Nat. Protoc., 2014, 9, 2005–2016.
- 15 G. D. Henry and B. D. Sykes, J. Biomol. NMR, 1995, 6, 59-66.
- 16 N. D. Werbeck, J. Kirkpatrick and D. F. Hansen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2013, 52, 3145–3147.
- 17 E. L. Ulrich, H. Akutsu, J. F. Doreleijers, Y. Harano, Y. E. Ioannidis, J. Lin, M. Livny, S. Mading, D. Maziuk, Z. Miller, E. Nakatani, C. F. Schulte, D. E. Tolmie, R. Kent Wenger, H. Yao and J. L. Markley, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2008, **36**, D402–D408.
- A. E. Eriksson, W. A. Baase, X. J. Zhang, D. W. Heinz, M. Blaber,
 E. P. Baldwin and B. W. Matthews, *Science*, 1992, 255, 178–183.
- 19 V. A. Feher, E. P. Baldwin and F. W. Dahlquist, *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 1996, 3, 516–521.
- 20 H. Carr and E. Purcell, Phys. Rev., 1954, 94, 630-638.
- 21 S. Meiboom and D. Gill, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 1958, 29, 688-691.
- 22 I. R. Kleckner and M. P. Foster, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics*, 2011, **1814**, 942–968.
- 23 A. Zhuravleva and V. Y. Orekhov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 3260–3261.
- 24 J. J. Led and H. Gesmar, J. Magn. Reson., 1982, 49, 444-463.
- 25 G. Bouvignies, D. F. Hansen, P. Vallurupalli and L. E. Kay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1935–1945.
- 26 H. M. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys., 1958, 28, 430-431.
- 27 N. A. Farrow, O. Zhang, J. D. Forman-Kay and L. E. Kay, J. Biomol. NMR, 1994, 4, 727–734.
- 28 L. Klavan and D. M. Crothers, Biopolymers, 1979, 18, 1029-1044.
- 29 H. Geen and R. Freeman, J. Magn. Reson., 1991, 93, 93-141.
- 30 T. Gullion, D. B. Baker and M. S. Conradi, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1990, **89**, 479–484.
- 31 B. Jiang, B. Yu, X. Zhang, M. Liu and D. Yang, J. Magn. Reson., 2015, 257, 1–7.