



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 3854

Received 2nd February 2017,  
Accepted 10th March 2017


DOI: 10.1039/c7cc00865a

rsc.li/chemcomm

**A new palladium mediated “one pot” synthesis of thioamides from aromatic carboxylic acids ( $\text{ArCO}_2\text{H} + \text{RNCS} \rightarrow \text{ArC(S)NHR} + \text{CO}_2$ ) was discovered by gas-phase experiments and theoretical studies. Palladium-mediated decarboxylation of aromatic carboxylic acids followed by addition of substituted isothiocyanates leads to the corresponding thioamide derivatives.**

A desire to prepare new and known materials by efficient chemical synthesis using methods that are as environmentally benign as possible stimulates continued efforts to discover new reactions.<sup>1–3</sup> Computational chemistry is often used to probe the mechanisms of new reactions<sup>4</sup> and mass spectrometry (MS) is often used to characterise products<sup>5</sup> but rarely have these techniques been used together as tools for the discovery of new reactions.<sup>6</sup> Here, we report their use to direct the hypothesis driven discovery of a new method to synthesise thioamides, an important class of compounds with applications in medicinal chemistry.<sup>7</sup>

The method involves ‘swapping’ the carboxylate functional group of readily available aromatic carboxylic acids (with the loss of  $\text{CO}_2$ ) for a heterocumulene (eqn (1)). This new method could prove to be an attractive alternative to conventional methods that require multiple steps and/or harsh reaction conditions.<sup>8</sup>



Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations suggested reactions represented by (1) to be exothermic for typical substrates,<sup>9</sup> and this led us to investigate palladium mediated decarboxylative transformation of aromatic carboxylic acids into thioamides (Scheme 1).

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.  
E-mail: pauld@unimelb.edu.au, rohair@unimelb.edu.au

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrak Gharb, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: ariafard@yahoo.com

<sup>c</sup> School of Physical Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia




† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1468845–1468850. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7cc00865a

## A one-pot route to thioamides discovered by gas-phase studies: palladium-mediated $\text{CO}_2$ extrusion followed by insertion of isothiocyanates†

Asif Noor,<sup>a</sup> Jiawei Li,<sup>a</sup> George N. Khairallah,<sup>a</sup> Zhen Li,<sup>a</sup> Hossein Ghari,<sup>b</sup> Allan J. Canty,<sup>c</sup> Alireza Ariafard,<sup>\*b</sup> Paul S. Donnelly<sup>\*a</sup> and Richard A. J. O’Hair<sup>\*a</sup>


Many of the individual steps of the reaction scheme have precedence but they have not been used together to achieve a ‘one pot’ synthesis of thioamides from carboxylic acids.<sup>10–15</sup>

To model the key decarboxylation and insertion steps associated with transformation of coordinated benzoate to coordinated thiobenzamides shown in Scheme 1, the two DMSO ligands were replaced by the phen ligand and loss of the anionic group X provided the requisite charge for the MS studies. Thus eqn (3) and (4) below were investigated using multistage mass spectrometry (MS) experiments and DFT calculations (Fig. 1).



The complex  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{O}_2\text{CC}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$  (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) can be generated by electrospray ionization (ESI) and decarboxylated upon collision-induced dissociation (CID) to yield  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$ . Decarboxylation proceeds *via* **TS**<sub>7–8</sub>, transforming the chelating benzoate, **7**, to reactive conformation **8**, that yields  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)(\text{OCO})]^+$  **9** through **TS**<sub>8–9</sub> (Fig. 1B). Loss of  $\text{CO}_2$  gives the putative three-coordinate complex  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$  **10**,<sup>16</sup> which readily reacts with MeNCS (Fig. 1C and eqn (4)) to form  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{SC}(\text{NMe})\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$  at a rate of  $2.03 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The identity of the C–C bond coupled product was confirmed as  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{SC}(\text{NMe})\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$ , rather than  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)(\text{MeNCS})]^+$ , by comparing the CID spectra of the ion–molecule reaction product with the CID spectra of an authentic sample of the  $\text{Pd}^{2+}$  complex of *N*-methylbenzothioamide prepared by a standard Grignard reaction (Fig. S1, ESI†). A similar reaction (rate of  $2.99 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) is observed for PhNCS. Both reactions are highly efficient, proceeding at the collision rate. DFT calculations predict that these reactions are highly exothermic, and occur through isothiocyanate insertion into the Pd–C bond to give the coordinated thioamides,  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{SC}(\text{NR})\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$  (Fig. 1D and Fig. S2, ESI†). DFT calculations also predict these reactions could be considered the reverse of decarboxylation (Fig. 1B), initially forming  $[(\text{phen})\text{Pd}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)(\text{SCNR})]^+$ , **11**, which then undergoes insertion







**Scheme 1** Key reactions of relevance to palladium catalysed decarboxylative transformation of aromatic carboxylic acids into thioamides (eqn (1)): the decarboxylative Heck reaction  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$  (Myers),<sup>12</sup> protodecarboxylation  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5$  (Kozlowski),<sup>13</sup> and thioamide synthesis  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6$  (this work) share the same steps for the formation of the organopalladium intermediate (**3**), but differ in its subsequent reactions.

via **TS**<sub>11–12</sub> to give S-coordinated thioamide, **12**, which isomerises to **13** via **TS**<sub>12–13</sub> (Fig. 1D).

Stimulated by the proof of concept gas-phase studies, we explored the conversion of aromatic carboxylic acids into thioamides using a stoichiometric amount of palladium acetate. Individual reaction steps shown in Scheme 1 (eqn (2)–(5)) were examined via <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Successful conversion relies on the insertion reaction (eqn (4)) being faster than protonation of the organometallic (eqn (6)) that leads to protodecarboxylation (eqn (7)).



Guided by Kozlowski's detailed mechanistic study on protodecarboxylation,<sup>13</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR was used to monitor the transformation of 2,6-dimethoxybenzoic acid to *N*-alkyl-2,6-dimethoxythiobenzamide using Pd(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in *d*<sub>6</sub>-DMSO (Fig. S3–S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Formation of [(DMSO)<sub>*n*</sub>Pd(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)(O<sub>2</sub>CAR)] results in an upfield shift of the resonances attributed to the *para*-proton from  $\delta$  7.30 to 7.18 ppm (Fig. S4b, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Heating the reaction mixture at 65 °C for 4 hours results in decarboxylation and the formation of the organometallic complex [(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>Pd(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)(Ar)] (Fig. S4c (ESI<sup>†</sup>) and eqn (3)), and results in a further upfield shift of H<sub>*para*</sub> to 7.01 ppm with concomitant peak broadening. Continued heating for 24 hours leads to formation of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene (Fig. S4d (ESI<sup>†</sup>) and eqn (6)), corresponding to the last step of the undesired protodecarboxylation reaction (eqn (7)). In contrast, if RNCS is added at room temperature to [(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>Pd(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)(Ar)], the coordinated thioamidate is formed (Fig. S4e (ESI<sup>†</sup>) and eqn (4)). The resultant NMR spectrum is complex, likely due to different coordination modes of the thioamidate to the Pd centre. The free thioamide is formed following reaction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> (Fig. S4f (ESI<sup>†</sup>) and eqn (5)). The overall process of insertion followed by reaction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> results in a downfield shift of the H<sub>*para*</sub> proton (7.01 to 7.24 ppm,  $\Delta\delta = 0.23$  ppm) indicating the transformation to the thioamide. The identity of the thioamide was also confirmed by ESI-MS analysis of the NMR sample. Insertion is sensitive to the nature of the alkyl group of the isothiocyanate, RNCS (Fig. S4–S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Conversion to the thioamide occurs at 1 hour for R = Me, Et and *i*Pr, but requires 4 hours for R = *t*Bu. Relative yields for thioamide formation *versus* protodecarboxylation were:  $\approx 80\%$  for R = Me, Et and *i*Pr and  $\approx 50\%$  for R = *t*Bu, consistent with the longer reaction time required when R = *t*Bu.

The effect of replacing the phen ligand used in the MS experiments with coordinated DMSO was investigated by DFT calculations.<sup>17</sup> It is possible for the [Pd(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>] complexes to exist as either *cis* or *trans* isomers (Fig. S41 and 44, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The presence of a ‘reservoir’ of acetic acid in solution (absent in the gas-phase) can lead to the formation of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene so competition between insertion and protonation of the organopalladium was considered. The solvation effect of DMSO on the optimised structures required the use of a conductor polarizable continuum model (CPCM). The reactivity of **14** for insertion of MeNCS and protonation was considered by DFT (Fig. 2). Insertion starts with a simple associative substitution reaction in which one of the coordinating O atoms of the bidentate acetate ligand is replaced by a sulfur atom of the isothiocyanate, **TS**<sub>14–15</sub>, to give  $\kappa^1$ -acetate complex **15** followed by the insertion of MeNCS into the Pd–Ar bond to afford **16**. An alternative orientation of MeNCS in **TS**<sub>15–16'</sub> is higher in energy. For protonation, **14** is substituted by acetic acid to form  $\kappa^1$ -acetate intermediate **17**. Proton transfer forms **18**. The transition structures for insertion and protonation steps (**TS**<sub>15–16</sub> and **TS**<sub>17–18</sub>, respectively) both lie lower in energy than those for substitution. **TS**<sub>14–15</sub> is more stable than **TS**<sub>14–17</sub> by 1.1 kcal mol<sup>–1</sup>, suggesting that the insertion reaction would be faster than protonation, a result substantiated by the NMR studies. DFT calculations revealed how the nature of the R groups of RNCS affects the ease of the insertion process (Scheme S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The **TS**<sub>15–16</sub> is always more stable than **TS**<sub>15–16'</sub> and the






Fig. 1 Gas-phase experiments (LTQ ion trap) and DFT calculations (B3LYP-gd3bj/SDD6-31+G(d)//M06/SDD6-31+G(d)) on: (A and B) decarboxylation (eqn (3),  $\Delta G = 10.8$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta H = 23.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, MS<sup>2</sup> CID, normalised collision energy of 14% and reaction time of 10 ms); (C and D) insertion of MeNCS into the Pd–C bond (eqn (4), MS<sup>3</sup> IMR, concentration of MeNCS is  $2.8 \times 10^9$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> and reaction time is 250 ms). DFT calculated species are orientated to highlight the direct relationship between carbon dioxide extrusion and isothiocyanate insertion for isoelectronic CO<sub>2</sub> and SCNR. Relative Gibbs and enthalpy energies (in parentheses, in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). For (B) and (D) carbon = grey, nitrogen = blue, oxygen = red, palladium = turquoise, sulphur = yellow.




Fig. 2 Energy profile showing competition between protonation and insertion of MeNCS for  $[(DMSO)Pd(O_2CCH_3)(Ar)]$ , **14**. Relative Gibbs and enthalpy energies (in parentheses) are given in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, calculated at the B3LYP-D3BJ/BS3//M06/BS1 level of theory in DMSO using the CPCM approach.

energy barrier dependence of the RNCS substitution (**TS<sub>14-15</sub>**) on the R group is insignificant. The insertion transition structure (**TS<sub>15-16</sub>**) is lower in energy than **TS<sub>14-15</sub>**, with the exception of R = *t*Bu, so the chemoselectivity is dictated by the substitution. For R = *t*Bu, the steric repulsion between the *t*Bu substituent and

the aryl ligand in **TS<sub>15-16</sub>** results in this transition structure being destabilised considerably and lies above **TS<sub>14-15</sub>**. Thus, for R = *t*Bu, the insertion step, and not substitution, is likely to play the crucial role in determining the chemoselectivity. Thus the insertion and protonation pathways become more competitive,



Table 1 Isolated yields for the synthesis of thioamides **6a–h**

Entry	Product, R	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (equiv.)	Yield (%)
1	<b>6a</b> , Me	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5) <sup>a</sup>	47
2	<b>6b</b> , Et	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5) <sup>a</sup>	50
3	<b>6c</b> , iPr	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5) <sup>a</sup>	57
4	<b>6d</b> , <i>t</i> Bu	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5) <sup>a</sup>	12
5	<b>6e</b> , Ph	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5)	60
6	<b>6f</b> , <i>p</i> ClPh	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5)	53
7	<b>6g</b> , <i>p</i> CF <sub>3</sub> Ph	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (5)	40
8	<b>6h</b> , <i>p</i> NO <sub>2</sub> Ph	None	22

<sup>a</sup> Reaction in the absence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

which explains the slow reaction of **14** with *t*BuNCS observed experimentally.

The reaction scope was investigated with different RNCS substrates (Table 1). The resulting *N*-aryl(alkyl)-2,6-dimethoxythiobenzamide products were characterised by HRMS, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S8 and S25, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and, where possible X-ray crystallography (Fig. S26 and S40, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The acetic acid formed in eqn (2) can promote protonation (eqn (6)) or other side-reactions so for the reactions with aryl isothiocyanates, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added after decarboxylation. The faster insertion of alkyl isothiocyanates meant that addition of base was not required. Following addition of NaBH<sub>4</sub> products **6a–c** could be isolated in modest yields (47–57%, Table 1, entries 1–3). The reaction with sterically demanding *t*BuNCS required a longer reaction time, resulting in more protodecarboxylated and amide products as compared to thioamide **6d**. Addition of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> after decarboxylation is essential for reactions with arylisothiocyanates to prevent partial conversion of the thioamides to amides (identified by ESI-MS and isolated and characterised by <sup>1</sup>H NMR for 2,6-dimethoxy-*N*-phenylbenzamide, Fig. S13 and S22, ESI<sup>†</sup>). While the exact mechanism of this transformation is unknown, soft metal ions such as Pd<sup>2+</sup> promote hydrolysis of thioamides to amides<sup>18</sup> and amides have been noted as unwanted side products in palladium catalysed transformations of thioamides.<sup>19</sup> In the case of PhNCS, the yield of **6e** improved with the use of both K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (Table 1). The yield of isolated thioamide was found to depend on the “H” source added. Addition of 5 equiv. of NaBH<sub>4</sub> gave the optimum yield of **6f** and **6g**. The potential reduction of the nitro functional group in **6h** was avoided by not including a “H” source.

In summary, a mechanism-based approach using gas-phase ion chemistry was used to uncover a new transformation of aromatic carboxylic acids to substituted thioamides. This same transformation can be readily achieved as a “one-pot” method in solution using stoichiometric amounts of organic precursors and palladium acetate. Preliminary studies suggest that a range of other aryl carboxylates can be used as substrates.<sup>20</sup> The two crucial steps of decarboxylation of the coordinated carboxylate in [(phen)Pd(O<sub>2</sub>CC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)]<sup>+</sup> (eqn (3)) and insertion of the isothiocyanate into the Pd–C bond of [(phen)Pd(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)]<sup>+</sup> (eqn (4)) are directly related to each other by the isoelectronic nature of CO<sub>2</sub> and RNCS. MacMillan has recently classified decarboxylation reactions in which two fragments recombine as CO<sub>2</sub>ExR (ExR = Extrusion–Recombination).<sup>21</sup> By analogy, the chemistry described

here is part of group of CO<sub>2</sub>ExIn (ExIn = Extrusion–Insertion) reactions.<sup>22</sup> It is possible that this approach is compatible with other small molecules that are isoelectronic with CO<sub>2</sub> and this is currently under investigation.

We acknowledge: Financial support from Australian Research Council (DP1096134 (GNK), DP120101540 (AJC), DP150101388 (AJC, RAJO), DP160100288 and FT130100204 (PSD), computing time from the University of Tasmania and National Computing Infrastructure, Profs. Marisa Kozłowski, Craig Hutton and Jon Tunge for useful discussions and Mr Yang Yang for experimental assistance.

## References

- R. M. Roberts, Serendipity: Accidental Discoveries, *Science*, Wiley, 1989.
- (a) A. McNally, C. K. Prier and D. W. MacMillan, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 1114; (b) K. D. Collins, T. Gensch and F. Glorius, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 859.
- (a) *Inventing Reactions*, ed. L. J. Gooßen, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013; (b) K. N. Houk and P. H.-Y. Cheong, *Nature*, 2008, **455**, 309–313; (c) Q. N. N. Nguyen and D. J. Tantillo, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2013, **9**, 674–680; (d) Y. Wang and Z. X. Yu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **18**, 2288–2296; (e) S. Mitsumori, H. Zhang, P. H.-Y. Cheong, K. N. Houk, F. Tanaka and C. F. Barbas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 1040–1041.
- G.-J. Cheng, X. Zhang, L. W. Chung, L. Xu and Y.-D. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 1706.
- C. Iacobucci, S. Reale and F. De Angelis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2980.
- S. A. Kunzi, J. M. S. Toro, T. den Hartog and P. Chen, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2016, **56**, 53.
- (a) F. Wang, R. Langley, G. Gulsten, L. G. Dover, G. S. Besra, W. R. Jacobs, Jr and J. C. Sacchettini, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2007, **204**, 73; (b) M. Baumann, I. R. Baxendale, S. V. Ley and N. Nikbin, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **7**, 442.
- (a) E. Schaumann, Synthesis of Thioamides and Thiolactams, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II*, ed. P. Knochel and G. A. Molander, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2014, vol. 6, p. 411; (b) B. Kaboudin, V. Yarahmadi, J.-Y. Kato and T. Yokomatsu, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 6435.
- The formation of CO<sub>2</sub>(g) is likely to be a driving force. DFT calculations estimate the energetics as: ΔG = –15.5 kcal mol<sup>–1</sup> to form Z-PhC(S)NHCH<sub>3</sub>; ΔG = –13.3 kcal mol<sup>–1</sup> Z-PhC(S)NHPh. See ESI<sup>†</sup> Scheme S1.
- R. A. J. O’Hair and N. J. Rijs, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 329.
- (a) J. Cornella and I. Larrosa, *Synthesis*, 2012, 653; (b) L. J. Gooßen and K. Gooßen, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, **44**, 121–142.
- D. Tanaka, S. P. Romeril and A. G. Myers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 10323.
- J. S. Dickstein, J. M. Curto, O. Gutierrez, C. A. Mulrooney and M. C. Kozłowski, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 4744.
- J. Louie, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **9**, 605.
- J. Vicente, J.-A. Abad, R. Bergs, M. C. Ramirez de Arellano, E. Martínez-Viviente and P. G. Jones, *Organometallics*, 2000, **19**, 5597.
- (a) M. A. Ortuño, S. Conejero and A. Lledós, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **9**, 1352; (b) M. Woolley, A. Ariafard, G. N. Khairallah, K. H.-J. Kwan, P. S. Donnelly, J. M. White, A. J. Canty, B. F. Yates and R. A. J. O’Hair, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 12056.
- G. Sipos, E. E. Drinkel and R. Dorta, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 3834.
- D. P. N. Satchell and R. S. Satchell, in *The Chemistry of Sulphur-Containing Functional Groups*, ed. S. Patai, Z. Rappoport, Wiley, Chichester, 1993, ch 12, p. 599.
- (a) K. Inamoto, C. Hasegawa, K. Hiroya and T. Doi, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 5147; (b) T. Yamauchi, F. Shibahara and T. Murai, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 5392.
- Substituted coordinated benzoates [(phen)Pd(O<sub>2</sub>CC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X)]<sup>+</sup> (e.g. X = *o*-OMe, *p*-OMe and *o*-NO<sub>2</sub>) also undergo decarboxylation followed by insertion in both the gas and condensed phase consistent with N. Rameau, S. Cadot, A. Paquet, C. Pinel and L. Djakovitch, *Top. Catal.*, 2014, **57**, 1430.
- C. C. Le and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11938.
- For “intercepted decarboxylative allylation” see: J. D. Weaver, A. Recio, A. J. Grenning and J. A. Tunge, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1846.

