Shape of a sessile drop on a flat surface covered with a liquid film
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Motivated by the development of lubricant-infused slippery surfaces, we study a sessile drop of a nonvolatile (ionic) liquid which is embedded in a slowly evaporating lubricant film (n-decane) on a horizontal, planar solid substrate. Using laser scanning confocal microscopy we imaged the evolution of the shape of the liquid/liquid and liquid/air interfaces, including the angles between them. Results are compared to solutions of the generalized Laplace equations describing the drop profile and the annular wetting ridge. For all film thicknesses, experimental results agree quantitatively with the calculated drop and film shapes. With the verified theory we can predict height and volume of the wetting ridge. Two regimes can be distinguished: for macroscopically thick films (excess lubrication) the meniscus size is insensitive to changes in film thickness. Once the film is thin enough that surface forces between the lubricant/air and solid/lubricant interfaces become significant the meniscus changes significantly with varying film thickness (starved lubrication). The size of the meniscus is particularly relevant because it affects sliding angles of drops on lubricant-infused surfaces.

Introduction

Understanding the wetting of surfaces is not only of fundamental importance but is relevant for many applications that range from protective coatings to heat transfer. One way of changing the wetting behavior between a solid surface and a liquid is to coat the surface with a thin lubricating film of a second liquid that is immiscible with the first one. Typical examples are metals coated with an oil film for lubrication or to prevent corrosion. The question we address here is: how does a drop of a liquid wet a thin film of an immiscible liquid on a planar solid support? Studying this four-phase system was also motivated by the recently developed lubricant-infused surfaces, also called slippery liquid infused porous surfaces (SLIPS). Lubricant-infused surfaces consist of a horizontal, porous surface, which is infused with a liquid, the lubricant. The porous layer hinders the lubricant from flowing off when the surface is tilted. A drop of a second, immiscible liquid is placed on top. Lubricant-infused surfaces have attracted attention because the drop easily slides over the surface. When tilting the surface by only few degrees the drop slides downhill. This low static friction leads to a number of potential applications, such as improved heat transfer due to enhanced condensation, suppressed biofouling, tunable transparency, or containers for residue-free storage of food.

Different morphologies of drop and lubricant are possible, depending on whether the lubricant fully wets and cloaks the drop or whether it forms an intervening film between drop and solid substrate. Aiming for a quantitative understanding of the shape of the drop and the wetting ridge we focus on the underlying prototype: a liquid drop in direct contact with a smooth planar solid substrate surrounded by a lubricant film (Fig. 1). For this model system we present experimental data and compare it to numerical and analytical calculations which coincide quantitatively in the relationship between film thickness, drop shape, meniscus height and the angles formed between interfaces.

Materials and methods

As a model system we imaged sessile drops of the non-volatile ionic liquid (IL) 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (Sigma, purity > 97%) in a film of n-decane (Sigma, purity > 99%) as a lubricant. Although posing an untypical drop-lubricant-system, this choice provides several advantages: both
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Fig. 1 Scheme of a sessile drop surrounded by a lubricant film on a planar solid substrate. The lubricant fully wets the substrate but forms a defined angle with the drop; it does not fully cloak the drop. The left inset shows the drop/lubricant/air three-phase contact line and the respective contact angles. The right inset sketches vectors with lengths corresponding to the interfacial tensions and directed tangential to the respective interfaces (black solid vectors). Stringing these three vectors tip to end together forms the Neumann triangle (red dash-dotted vectors). The fluid contact line is the line at which lubricant, IL, and air meet. The solid contact line is the line at which the solid substrate, lubricant and IL meet.

In each experiment, a drop of IL of \(\approx 0.2\) nL was placed on a dry microscope cover slide with a thin syringe needle. The drop forms a static contact angle of \(\approx 50^\circ - 80^\circ\) with the cover slide. Here we restrict ourselves to drops which are much smaller than their capillary length, \(\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_d}{\rho g}} = 1.55\) mm (\(g = 9.81\) m s\(^{-2}\) is the gravitational acceleration), so that the effect of gravitation on the drop shape remains negligible. Rather than the drop/air interface one can also consider the capillary length related to the drop/lubricant interface: \(\kappa_d = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{dl}}{(\rho_d - \rho)}}g = 1.26\) mm.

After depositing the drop, decane was added. It spreads and fully wets the cover slide, but does not underspread the drop of IL. We always started with films of more than 200 \(\mu\)m thickness so that initially the drop was fully covered by decane (Fig. 2). During the gradual evaporation of decane (vapor pressure 195 Pa at 20 \(^\circ\)C) the whole range of film thicknesses could be explored down to zero.

Fig. 2 Nonvolatile drop embedded in a volatile lubricant. (a) Confocal microscopy image of a drop of ionic liquid placed on a film of the lubricant \(n\)-decane. (b) Fits of the contour of the interfaces at \(t = 209\) s. Dark yellow: drop/air interface; red: drop/lubricant interface; blue: air/lubricant interface; green: substrate surface. The solid lines represent the curves fitted to the data while the dashed and dotted lines represent the radii of curvature of drop/liquidant and the drop/air interface, respectively. (c) Confocal microscopy images of the right hand side of the drop combined with the numerically calculated phase boundaries (same colour code as in (b)) shown on the left.

Drop and lubricant were imaged with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica SP8, HCX PL APO 40\(\times\) water objective), in the following termed confocal microscopy. The resolution was < 400 nm in horizontal and < 1 \(\mu\)m in vertical direction. The dye PMI is soluble in both decane and IL. The emission spectra of the dye PMI in decane and IL are sufficiently different, so that we could detect both liquids in two channels simultaneously (Fig. S1, ESI†). During the evaporation of decane, vertical cross sections (xz-plane) through the drop center were recorded. Images were processed to extract the contours of the drop and the lubricant (open source image analysis software FIJI,
As decane gradually evaporated, at some point the top of the drop pierced through the decane/air interface. A drop/lubricant/air three-phase contact line (fluid contact line) formed. We define $t = 0$ s as the point where the lubricant film ruptured on top of the droplet and a drop/air interface formed. As the evaporation continued, the radius $r_m$ of the fluid contact line defined in Fig. 1 increased, exposing more and more free surface of the drop (Fig. 2c). During the whole evaporation process, the drop remained pinned to the substrate; i.e. the contact radius $r_c$ of the drop/lubricant/solid three phase line (solid contact line) remained constant, while the contact angle $\Theta_S$ with the solid, changed. Here, $\Theta_S$ is the angle of the drop/lubricant interface with the solid/drop interface inside the drop.

The quantitative evaluation of a representative experiment is shown in Fig. 3. The film thickness $h_{\infty}$ (height far away from the droplet) decreased linearly with time as expected for film evaporation (Fig. 3a, blue circles). In contrast to the linear decrease of $h_{\infty}$, the maximum height of the wetting ridge of lubricant $h_m$ (Fig. 3a) decreased by less than 10 $\mu$m within the first 490 s. Only during the final phase of evaporation $h_m$ decreased rapidly.

**Contact angles**

The boundary conditions at the fluid contact line are expected to fix the angles between the interfaces as given by the Neumann triangle:

$$
\cos \Theta_d = \frac{Y_r^2 - Y_d^2 - Y_l^2}{2Y_d Y_l}, \quad \cos \Theta_l = \frac{Y_r^2 - Y_l^2 - Y_d^2}{2Y_d Y_l}, \quad \cos \Theta_a = \frac{Y_d^2 - Y_r^2 - Y_l^2}{2Y_d Y_l}
$$

(1)

Here, $\Theta_d$, $\Theta_l$, and $\Theta_a$ denote the angles inside the droplet, the lubricant and the surrounding vapor phase, respectively (Fig. 1).

Indeed, we observed that during the whole process of lubricant evaporation, the Neumann angles remained constant (Fig. 3b). The solid lines in Fig. 3b are the Neumann angles as calculated from the measured surface tensions according to eqn (1). The deviations between measured and calculated angles reflect the experimental error in measuring the angles at the fluid contact line.

Although the Neumann angles remain constant, the whole Neumann triangle rotates. We quantify the rotation of the triangle by the angle $\Theta$ between the drop/air interface and the horizontal (Fig. 1). Just before decane had fully evaporated ($t \approx 500$ s) the Neumann triangle rotated from $12^\circ$ to $43^\circ$. While $r_c$ remained constant, the capillary action of the lubricant meniscus reduced the overall aspect ratio of the drop.

In addition to the rotation of the Neumann triangle, $\Theta_S$ weakly increased during the first 490 s, followed by a fast increase in the last seconds of evaporation. This is in contrast to the “inverse” situation of a volatile drop and a non-volatile liquid; here the drop evaporates keeping the solid contact angle $\Theta_S$ constant.

**Shapes of the interfaces**

The shapes of the drop/lubricant and drop/air interfaces are determined by Laplace equations and boundary conditions at the three-phase contact lines. As long as the lubricant film fully covers the drop and still has a planar free surface, the Laplace pressure inside the drop is $P(t = 0) = 2Y_d / r_0$, where $r_0$ is the initial radius of curvature of the drop. For the example shown in Fig. 2 and $r_0 = 47$ $\mu$m and $P(t = 0) = 519$ Pa.
After a fluid contact line was formed, the drop/lubricant interface at the top of the drop is shaped like a spherical cap with a radius of curvature \( r_d \). The Laplace pressure inside the drop is

\[
P = \frac{2\gamma_d}{r_d} \tag{2}
\]

The drop/lubricant interface is described by a circular arc according to

\[
h_d(r) = h_0 - \left( r_d - \sqrt{r^2 - r_d^2} \right) \tag{3}
\]

Here, \( h_0 \) is the height at the center of the drop.

The drop/lubricant interface is shaped similar to a part of a torus. Due to symmetry, the radii of the two principal curvatures, \( r_{d1} \) and \( r_{d2} \), represent the radii of curvature in the \( xy \)-plane and the corresponding orthogonal direction, respectively. The former of these curvatures is directly imaged in the cuts of the \( xy \)-plane while the latter is determined at the same spot but in perpendicular direction. Since in equilibrium the Laplace pressure in the drop is the same throughout the whole drop, these radii are related by\(^{26\text{-}29}\)

\[
P - P_l = \frac{\gamma_{dl}}{r_{d1}} + \frac{\gamma_{dl}}{r_{d2}} \tag{4}
\]

Here, \( P_l \) is the pressure inside the lubricant. Hydrostatic effects can be neglected since the drop is small. The contours of the drop/lubricant interfaces could be well approximated by circular arcs (Fig. 2b); the dotted and dashed lines mark the different radii of curvature.

During the evaporation of the lubricant, the Laplace pressure inside the drop gradually increases (Fig. 3d). The pressure increases by approximately 200 Pa during the first 490 s. The subsequent increase by 500 Pa reflects the strong deformation of the drop during the last stages of evaporation. Eventually, all lubricant has evaporated and the final radius of the drop is equal to the initial radius; both radii are equal because the drop is shaped like a spherical cap and the solid contact line is pinned. The final Laplace pressure is \( P = 2\gamma_d/r_0 = 1523 \) Pa, while the hydrostatic pressure in the droplet remained below 1 Pa and can safely be neglected.

To describe the shape of the lubricant film we consider the Young–Laplace equation in radial symmetry. The thickness of the lubricant film is described as a function of the radial coordinate \( r \) by \( h(r) \). In this axisymmetric case, additional pressure terms need to be taken into account to obtain the desired shape at large distance, namely \( h(r \to \infty) = h_\infty \). For relatively thick lubricant layers this will be provided by gravity. However, for the case where the lubricant film is thinner than \( \approx 100 \) nm, the complete wetting is ensured by the disjoining pressure. Taking disjoining pressure into account, the extended Young–Laplace equation is\(^{27\text{-}29}\)

\[
\frac{h_l}{\kappa_l} = \frac{h'_l}{(1 + h'_l^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{h_l'}{r(1 + h_l^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{\delta^2}{H_l^1} + \frac{P_\infty}{\gamma_l} \tag{5}
\]

\( \kappa_l = \sqrt{\gamma_l/\rho g} = 1.82 \) mm is the capillary length of the lubricant. The first two terms on the right hand side, \( h''_l(1 + h'_l^2)^{-3/2} + h'_l r^{-1}(1 + h_l^2)^{-1/2} \), represent the curvature of the axisymmetric meniscus shape. The primes denote differentiation with respect to \( r \). The third term on the right hand side takes van der Waals forces into account. The van der Waals length \( a \) is related by \( a^2 = A_H/6\pi \gamma_l \) to the Hamaker constant \( A_h \) for air interacting with the solid support across lubricant. With an estimated Hamaker constant of \( A_h \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-20} \) J\(^3\) we obtain a van der Waals length \( a = 0.2 \) nm. \( P_\infty \) is the effective pressure in the film at substrate level. Because absolute hydrostatic pressures are small, \( P_\infty \approx P_l \).

**Numerical solutions**

General analytical solutions of eqn (4) and (5) are unavailable. To test their predictive power and to forecast the shape of the wetting ridge we solved both equations numerically. For the drop/lubricant interface (eqn (4)) a parametric representation, \( r_{dl}(s) \), \( h_{dl}(s) \) was chosen, where \( s \) is the contour length along the profile measured from the fluid contact line. The corresponding Laplace equation is

\[
P - P_l = - \frac{\gamma_{dl}}{r_{d1}} \frac{r_{d1}'}{r_{d1}''} + \frac{\gamma_{dl}}{r_{d2}} \frac{r_{d2}'}{r_{d2}''} - \frac{\gamma_{dl}}{r_{dl}'} \tag{6}
\]

Here, the primes denote differentiation with respect to \( s \). The three contour profiles meet in the fluid contact line which has the radius \( r_m \) and the height \( h_m \). Further, we define \( s = 0 \) at the fluid contact line (increasing toward the substrate). Hence

\[
h_m = h_d(r = r_m) = h_l(r = r_m) = h_{dl}(s = 0), r_{dl}(s = 0) = r_m \tag{7}
\]

The slopes of the three contours with respect to each other at the fluid contact line are given by the Neumann balance of surface tensions at the fluid contact line:

\[
\gamma_d \cos \arctan h_d'(r = r_m) - \gamma_{dl} r_{d1}''(s = 0) = \gamma_l \cos \arctan h_l'(r = r_m) \tag{8a}
\]

\[
\gamma_d \sin \arctan h_d'(r = r_m) + \gamma_{dl} r_{d1}'(s = 0) = -\gamma_l \sin \arctan h_l'(r = r_m) \tag{8b}
\]

Eqs (8a) results from a balance of forces in horizontal direction. Eqn (8b) balances vertical forces. These equations are fully equivalent to eqn (1). The orientation of the Neumann triangle is parametrized by the angle \( \Theta_s = \Theta + \Theta_a - \pi \) between the film surface and the horizontal at the fluid contact line:

\[
-\arctan h_l'(r = r_m) = \Theta_s \tag{9}
\]

The slopes of the other profiles follow from eqn (8). Further, the slopes of both the drop contour at the center of symmetry as well as the lubricant contour in infinite distance from the drop shall vanish, \( h_d'(r = 0) = 0 \) and \( h_l'(r \to \infty) = 0 \). Finally, the boundary condition of the drop/lubricant interface at the solid substrate is, in case of a drop pinned to the substrate, given by the corresponding pinning radius, \( r_{dl}(s = s_{\text{max}}) = r_s \) and \( h_{dl}(s = s_{\text{max}}) = 0 \). Together with these relations and boundary conditions the equations for the pressure drop across the interfaces between drop/lubricant (eqn (2) and (3)), drop/lubricant (eqn (6)) and lubricant/air (eqn (5)) are solved numerically to determine the film profile. For that, the initial values of \( \Theta_s = 0 \) and \( P_l \) are selected such that the remaining boundary conditions
are obeyed for an entirely flat lubricant film. Then, one or more parameters are changed, and new values of $\Theta$ and $P_l$ are obtained by a variable-order predictor–corrector algorithm (‘‘shooting’’).

**Comparison with experimental results**

The scaled experimental results coincide with the simulation results (Fig. 2). To further quantify the agreement between the model and experiments we scaled the height of the lubricant film at the fluid contact line $h_m$ and the meniscus height $h_m - h_\infty$ by $r_s$ (Fig. 4). The height of the lubricant at the fluid contact line $h_m$ decreases monotonically with the film thickness $h_\infty$ (Fig. 4a). For almost the whole range of film thicknesses, the height varies weakly. Only for very thin films, that is for $h_\infty$ of the order of 200 nm, the height decreases steeply. Please note that, if we follow the evaporation process in time, we go from right to left in the plots in Fig. 4a–c. Correspondingly, for that, if we follow the evaporation process in time, we go from right to left in the plots in Fig. 4a–c. Correspondingly, for macroscopically thick films, $h_m - h_\infty$ and $\Theta$ increase up to a maximum with decreasing film thickness. We shall call this regime “excess lubrication”. Hereafter, the second regime starts: as lubricant continues to evaporate, the meniscus size decreases more steeply. To the precision of the confocal measurement, the lubricant appears to completely wet the substrate. $\Theta$ increases while changes in $h_\infty$ are below the resolution limit and cannot be used as a reliable independent variable. We will refer to this as “starved lubrication”. The transition is at a film thickness where surface forces, due to van der Waals interactions, and the hydrostatic pressure difference between meniscus and film become equally important for leveling the lubricant at large $r$:

$$A_H \rho g (h_m - h_\infty)$$

With $h_\infty \ll h_m$ the transition is at a film thickness of

$$h_\infty \approx \left(\frac{A_H}{6\pi \rho g h_m}\right)^{1/3}$$

In our case with $h_m$ of the order of 30 $\mu$m (Fig. 3a) and $A_H = 2.5 \times 10^{-20}$ J this is at $h_\infty \approx 200$ nm.

**Analytical approximation for the shape of the lubricant film**

We analyze the regimes of excess and starved lubrication independently. For excess lubrication, the lubricant film is macroscopically thick everywhere, and the disjoining pressure in eqn (5) can be neglected. $P_\infty$ defines the reference pressure, i.e. $P_\infty = 0$. For the reduced equation, a general analytical solution is still not available. However, the asymptotic regimes $r \ll k_1$ and $r \gg k_1$ can be solved separately. Then, a quantitative relation between $h_m - h_\infty$ and $\Theta$, can be obtained by the technique of matched asymptotes. Our case is analogous to the meniscus around a vertical rigid cylinder in a liquid pool, as discussed by Derjaguin$^{31}$ and James.$^{32}$ However, the radius $r_m$ of the “virtual cylinder” needs to be found for a given drop volume. James$^{32}$ solves the problem by matching the asymptotic expansions between solutions of two distinct regimes. Following the convention of James$^{32}$ we define an “outer” regime which is dominated by capillarity and profile slopes may be large. Therefore, full nonlinear curvature terms are considered. This regime is valid for small $r_i$ near the liquid contact line. Conversely, the “inner” regime with only small slopes is dominated by the balance of gravity and capillarity, which is valid far from the liquid contact line. For the range of experimental observations ($r \ll k_1$), the shape of the lubricant meniscus is described by the outer solution, which is a catenoid.$^{32}$

$$h(r) = r_m \sin \Theta_c \left\{ \ln \left[ \frac{4k_1}{r + \sqrt{r^2 - r_m^2 \sin^2 \Theta_c}} \right] - 0.577 \right\} + h_\infty$$

![Fig. 4](https://example.com/fig4.png)  
**Fig. 4** Comparison of experimental (black open squares) and numerical results (red solid lines) for different parameters. (a) Height of the fluid contact line $h_m$ vs. film thickness $h_\infty$, both scaled with respect to the pinned radius of the drop $r_s$. (b) Difference between $h_m$ and $h_\infty$ vs. $h_\infty$, both scaled to $r_s$. (c) Contact angle of the drop at the solid TPLC vs. $h_\infty$, scaled to $r_s$. (d) Angle between the drop-air boundary and the horizontal $\Theta$ vs. $(h_m - h_\infty)/r_s$. Panel (d) also depicts analytical solutions for the asymptotic cases of macroscopic (blue dashed line),$^{32}$ and microscopic film heights $h_\infty$ (green dotted).$^{28}$
Here, 0.577 approximates the Euler–Mascheroni constant. $h_\infty$ was added since in our case, the substrate serves as origin (instead of the liquid level at $r \to \infty$, as in ref. 32). Note that $k_1$ appears only as a consequence of the matching to the inner solution (see James^{32} for details). Within the experimentally accessible range of radii, eqn (12) serves as an excellent approximation to experimental and numerical profiles. Note that eqn (12) is valid only for $r \ll k_1$ and diverges logarithmically for large $r$. For $r$ comparable to or larger than $k_1$, one would have to use the corresponding inner solution which follows from the balance of gravity and the linearized capillarity terms:

$$h_i(r) = r_m \sin \theta_r K_0(r/k_1)$$

(13)

Here, $K_0$ is the modified Bessel function of zeroth order. The blue dashed line in Fig. 4d shows the approximation obtained by solving eqn (1)–(4) together with the condition $h_m = h_i(r_m)$, using eqn (12) to evaluate $h_i(r_m)$.

In the regime of starved lubrication, the apparent radius of the macroscopic part of the liquid meniscus is smaller than the capillary length and the hydrostatic term in eqn (5) can be neglected. An analytical solution of the remaining equation is again unavailable. Once more we use matched asymptotic expansions to obtain a functional relation between $h_m - h_\infty$ and $\Theta_r$. Here, we follow the approach of Renk et al.^{28} the outer regime is again dominated by capillarity, while the inner regime is dominated by the disjoining pressure. In the starved regime, the pressure gauge is

$$P_\infty - \frac{a^2}{h_\infty^3} = \frac{\pi}{\gamma_1}$$

(14)

such that the curvature vanishes at large $r$ and $P_\infty$ is given by the disjoining pressure. Then, for $h_l > a$, $P_\infty$ is equal to the capillary pressure in the lubricant film. $h_\infty$ is microscopically small and difficult to determine in experiments. For practical reasons, we use $P_\infty$ as an independent parameter rather than $h_\infty$. While in ref. 28 the authors have used the small slope approximation to obtain the outer solution, the experimental angle $\Theta_r$ reaches values as high as $40^\circ$ and quantitative predictions require full nonlinear curvature terms. The inner solution is identical to the one of Renk et al.^{28} To leading order, it is simply a constant, $h_l = h_\infty$. The matching condition on the outer solution, as found by ref. 28, corresponds to a smooth transition between outer and inner solution at some finite radius $r^*$,

$$h_i(r^*) = 0, \quad h_i'(r^*) = 0$$

(15)

where we approximated $h_\infty \sim 0$ on the scale of the outer solution. The radius $r^*$ does not define a physical three phase contact line, but the location of the transition region between outer and inner zones. According to ref. 28, the width of this transition zone is of order $(\gamma_1/P_\infty)^{1/3}a^{1/3} = h_\infty^{1/3}a$, which is typically in the range of only a few microns or less. Note that $r^*$ is not a free parameter, but follows from $r_m$, $\Theta_r$, and $P_\infty$. The natural length scale for the outer problem is set by $|P_\infty|/\gamma_1$, so that we scale

$$R = \frac{|P_\infty|}{\gamma_1} \quad \text{and} \quad H = h_i \frac{|P_\infty|}{\gamma_1}$$

(16)

In dimensionless form, the equation for the outer problem defines a surface of constant nonzero mean curvature (a "nodoid"):

$$H'' = \left(1 + H'^2\right)^{3/2} - \frac{H'\left(1 + H^2\right)}{R}$$

(17)

$$H'(R_m) = -\tan \Theta_r$$

(18)

Here, $H' = dH/dR$, $H'' = d^2H/dR^2$, $R_m = r_m|P_\infty|/\gamma_1$. The analytical solution to eqn (17), satisfying boundary conditions (15) and (18), is

$$H(R) = (b - 1) \left(\frac{E(k)}{2} - F(\arcsin \phi, k)\right)$$

$$+ (1 + b) \left(\frac{K(k)}{2} - E(\arcsin \phi, k)\right)$$

(19)

$$+ 1 - \sqrt{2(b^2 + 1) - R^2 + \left(\frac{b - 1}{R}\right)^2}$$

$$b = \sqrt{1 + R_m^2 - 2R_m \sin \Theta_r}, \quad k = \frac{2\sqrt{b}}{1 + b}$$

and

$$\phi = \frac{1}{k} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{b - 1}{R}\right)^2}$$

(20)

Here, $F(\phi, k)$ and $E(\phi, k)$ are the elliptic integrals of first and second kind, respectively, and $K(k)$ and $E(k)$ are the corresponding complete elliptical integrals. The condition $h_m = H(R_m)|P_\infty|/\gamma_1$, together with eqn (1), (2) and (4) and the drop volume, define a closed set of equations that can be solved for $\Theta_\infty$ and $h_m|P_\infty|$. The green dotted line in Fig. 4d shows the solution obtained from this approximation. The apparent radius at which the meniscus height becomes microscopically small evaluates to

$$r^* = r_m \sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{R_m} \sin \Theta_r}$$

Consequences for sliding

The striking feature of lubricant-infused surfaces is the low sliding angle of sessile drops. In that respect, the formation of a meniscus around the central drop has an important consequence: it decreases the sliding angle of the drop due to an addition of effective mass and the lower interfacial tension, $\gamma_\text{sl} < \gamma_d$. Therefore, we estimate the additional mass caused by the meniscus. In general, a sessile drop slides off an inclined surface when the tilt angle $\alpha$ exceeds a value given by

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{k \omega \gamma_{\text{ad}}}{mg} (\cos \Theta_{\text{rec}} - \cos \Theta_{\text{adv}})$$

(21)

Here, $m = V_d \rho_d + V_0 \rho_0$ is the mass of the drop plus wetting ridge, $w \approx 2r_s$ is the width of the apparent contact area between drop and solid support measured perpendicular to the sliding direction, $V_d$ is the volume of the drop, $V_0$ is the volume of the wetting ridge, and $k \approx 1$ is a geometry factor. When tilting the support, the contact angle $\Theta_{\phi}$ at the front increases and at the rear it decreases. Just before the drop starts to slide,
these two angles, $\Theta_{adv}$ and $\Theta_{rec}$, are the advancing and receding contact angles of the drop/lubricant interfaces at the front and rear of a drop. Eqn (21) is the result of a force balance between gravitation pulling the drop downhill and the capillary force, i.e. the integral of interfacial tension around the fluid contact line. The volume of the meniscus can be calculated from

$$V_1 \approx 2\pi \int_{r_m}^{\infty} r(h(r) - h_{\infty}) \, dr \quad (22)$$

As an example, for the drop shown in Fig. 2 after 449 s with $\kappa = 1.82$ mm, $h_m = 27$ $\mu$m and $r_m = 37$ $\mu$m the volume of the meniscus is $V_1 = 1.5$ nL. Thus the wetting ridge rather than the drop itself dominates sliding for small drops and high wetting ridges.

**Drainage of the lubricant**

Another important consequence of the presence of a meniscus is the increased drainage of lubricant when drops slide downhill. A sliding drop will drag the lubricant meniscus downhill. Drainage and depletion of lubricant by sliding drops is one implication of meniscus formation. The above results show that with decreasing lubricant film thickness $h_{\infty}$, the extra height of the meniscus $h_m - h_{\infty}$ increases. Thus, depletion of the lubricant film becomes stronger for thinning films.

**Conclusions**

We studied the shape of a liquid drop on a solid support that is (partially) immersed in a thin lubricant film wetting the solid. We solve the extended Young–Laplace equations for the drop/air, drop/lubricant, and lubricant/air interfaces numerically. To verify theoretical results we imaged the three interfaces by laser scanning confocal microscopy with a resolution better than 1 $\mu$m. Experiments and predictions cover a range of lubricant film thicknesses from virtually zero to fully embedding the drop.

Two regimes can be distinguished. In the excess lubrication regime ($h_{\infty} \gg 200$ nm) the height of the wetting ridge $h_m$ is relatively insensitive to changes in film thickness. The meniscus height $h_m - h_{\infty}$ decreases roughly linearly with increasing film thickness $h_{\infty}$. In the starved lubrication regime, i.e. for thin lubricant films, the height of the wetting ridge $h_m - h_{\infty}$ increases with increasing film thickness and most lubricant is localized near the edge of the droplet.

The presence of the meniscus is expected to reduce the sliding angle of small drops because the effective mass of the drop is increased ($r_s \ll \kappa_d$). These results can have practical consequences regarding the drainage and depletion of lubricant by sliding drops. Likely, lubricant in the wetting ridge is taken along if a drop slides down-hill.
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