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Doping is a crucial way of tuning the properties of semiconductor quantum dots (QDs). As one type of important semiconductor QDs, germanium (Ge) QDs have been recently doped with boron (B) and phosphorus (P) through a gas-phase synthesis approach successfully. However, theoretical understanding about the structural and electronic properties of doped Ge QDs remains rather limited. Here we investigate the doping of Ge QDs with B and P in the framework of density functional theory. The formation energies and electronic structures of singularly B- or P-doped Ge QDs and B/P-codoped Ge QDs are systematically studied. It is found that both B and P prefer the near-surface region of Ge QDs. The electronic structures of Ge QDs can be effectively tuned by B and P doping. The B/P codoping may facilitate the incorporation of B and P into Ge QDs, resulting in the further modification of the electronic structures of Ge QDs.

Introduction

Semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) have exhibited great potential in the applications of various fields including electronics, photovoltaics, optoelectronics and bioimaging. Novel localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) has been demonstrated in all kinds of doped semiconductor QDs. The conductivity of semiconductor-QD films is clearly improved after doping QDs are doped to obtain desirable properties. For example, doping has extended the wavelength of the light emission from silicon (Si) QDs beyond 1.1 μm. Novel localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) has been demonstrated in all kinds of doped semiconductor QDs. The conductivity of semiconductor-QD films is clearly improved after doping QDs are doped. Compared with the doping of other semiconductor QDs, the doping of germanium (Ge) QDs has not been systematically investigated despite the fact that Ge QDs are a type of important elemental semiconductor QDs. Up to now, most of the research have been focused on the Ge-based-alloy nanostructures (e.g., germanium tin (GeSn) nanocrystals). It is only recently that Ge QDs have been doped with boron (B) and phosphorus (P) by using a gas-phase synthesis approach. It is found that both B and P atoms prefer occupying the near-surface region of Ge QDs, rather than the core of the QDs. The conductivity of Ge-QuD films may be effectively modulated by the doping of Ge QDs with B and P.

It is well known that theoretical simulation is critical to understanding the doping mechanism of semiconductor QDs. As a powerful theory for the investigation on the structural and electronic properties of materials, density functional theory (DFT) has been extensively employed to study the doping of a variety of semiconductor QDs such as the group IV counterpart of Ge QDs—silicon (Si) QDs. With the development of the experimental study on the doping of Ge QDs, it is now imperative that theoretical work such as DFT investigation ought to be carried out to explain the experimental findings on the doping of Ge QDs and predict the properties of doped Ge QDs.

In this work, we construct hydrogen (H)-passivated Ge-QD models with a diameter of 1.4 nm to investigate the B and P doping of Ge QDs within the framework of DFT. The formation energies of dopants in Ge QDs are calculated. The electronic structures of singularly B- or P-doped Ge QDs and B/P-codoped Ge QDs are systematically studied. It is found that B or P is the most likely incorporated in the near-surface region of Ge QDs. B is more likely doped than P. B introduces deep energy levels in the bandgap of a Ge QD whether it is inside or in the near-surface region of the QD. In contrast, P gives rise to deep energy levels only when it is incorporated inside a Ge QD. It is interesting that the deep energy levels induced by P are deeper than those induced by B. The B/P codoping may promote the incorporation of B and P into Ge QDs. The Ge-QD bandgap is effectively reduced by the B/P codoping.

Method

The optimization of structures and the calculation of total energies are performed at 0 K by using the all-electron DFT modeling package DMol3. The Becke–Lee–Yang–Parr (BLYP) exchange–correlation functional at the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) level is used. Double numerical basis sets augmented with p-polarization functions (DNP basis sets) are used. The Becke–Lee–Yang–Parr (BLYP) exchange–correlation functional at the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) level is used.
sets) are employed as the atomic orbital basis functions. To ensure accurate calculation, a high self-consistent field (SCF) convergence threshold of $10^{-6}$ and a large orbital cutoff of 4.6 Å are employed. The maximum energy change and forces on all of the atoms in the optimized structures are less than $10^{-5}$ Ha and 0.002 Ha Å$^{-1}$, respectively. To prevent the optimizer from taking unreasonable steps, the maximum allowed change of any cartesian coordinate during the structural relaxation is less than 0.3 Å. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are sampled on a grid with a spacing of 0.2 Å.

Results and discussion

B or P doping

Fig. 1 shows the model of a 1.4 nm Ge QD, which is constructed by cutting out a spherical portion in an optimized bulk Ge model. H atoms are used to passivate the dangling bonds of Ge atoms at the surface of the QD. Three types of hydrides (GeH, GeH$_2$, and GeH$_3$) are at the QD surface, consistent with experimental observation. The obtained Ge QD is in the form of Ge$_{71}$H$_{84}$. When a substitutional B (P) atom moves along the path of 1 → 2 → 3 → 4 in a Ge QD (from the center toward the surface of a Ge QD), it is denoted as B$_1$ (P$_1$), B$_2$ (P$_2$), B$_3$ (P$_3$) or B$_4$ (P$_4$). When a B (P) atom replaces a Ge atom that is originally connected to one, two or three H atoms at the surface, it is denoted as B$_a$ (P$_a$), B$_b$ (P$_b$) or B$_r$ (P$_r$), respectively. B$_a$ (P$_a$), B$_b$ (P$_b$) and B$_r$ (P$_r$) are passivated by zero (zero), one (one) and zero (two) H atoms, respectively. Therefore, no dangling bonds are associated with B or P. We should point out that defects like dangling bonds often exist at the surface of as-synthesized QD-materials including Ge QDs. By carrying out appropriate surface modification and annealing processes, we may obtain defect-free Ge QDs, akin to that for Si QDs and two-dimensional materials.

We calculated the formation energy ($E_f$) of a B or P atom in Ge QD by using

$$E_f = E(\text{Ge}_{x}H_{y}X) - E(\text{Ge},H_{x}) - \mu_X - (x' - x)\mu_{\text{Ge}} - (y' - y)\mu_{\text{H}},$$

where X represents B or P. $E(\text{Ge}_{x}H_{y}X)$ and $E(\text{Ge},H_{x})$ are the total energy of a Ge QD before and after the incorporation of a B (P) atom, respectively. $x$ ($x'$) and $y$ ($y'$) are the numbers of Ge and H atoms, respectively. $\mu_{\text{Ge}}$, $\mu_{\text{H}}$, $\mu_B$ and $\mu_P$ are set equal to the total energies of atomic Ge in bulk Ge, atomic H in hydrogen gas, atomic B in B$_{12}$ and atomic P in black phosphorus, respectively.

The calculated $E_f$ for B or P in a Ge QD is shown in Fig. 2. It is seen that the values of $E_f$ for B doping are smaller than those for P doping in all the configurations, indicating that B is more likely to be incorporated into a Ge QD than P in term of thermodynamics. This is in contrast with the doping of B and P in H-passivated Si QDs where on average P exhibits a lower $E_f$ than B. For both B and P doping, the values of $E_f$ at the surface of the QD ($x$, $y$) are smaller than those inside the QD (1, 2, 3 and 4), validating a preference of the near-surface region for B and P. The calculated results are in good agreement with experimental observations carried out on the doping of Ge QDs with B and P. As a B or P atom moves from the center to the sub-surface of the Ge QD (i.e., from 1 → 4), the $E_f$ shows a trend of decrease. Similar results have been obtained by B- or P-doped Si QD. This is mainly due to the self-purification nature of QDs for impurities. We should mention that the lattice constant of the B- or P-doped Ge QD is basically equal to that of undoped Ge QD. This may be because that the current value of the dopant concentration of 1.4% is too small to significantly affect the
lattice constant of the Ge QD. With the increase of the concentration of the dopant, we may expect a decrease of the lattice constant of the Ge QD according to the Vegard’s law, similar to what has been observed in B-doped Si QDs\textsuperscript{60} and carbon- and germanium-doped silicene.\textsuperscript{61}

Fig. 3 presents the energy-level diagrams for undoped, B- and P-doped Ge QDs. It is seen that an undoped Ge QD with a diameter of 1.4 nm shows a bandgap of 2.39 eV, which is larger than that of bulk Ge, consistent with the quantum confinement effect.\textsuperscript{62} Similar to carbon- and germanium-doped silicene,\textsuperscript{64} Ge QDs doped with B or P in different configurations show different band structures. For B-doped Ge QDs, the bandgaps of the QDs are hardly affected (<5%) while deep energy levels are introduced in the bandgap (Fig. 3(a)). This is akin to H-passivated Si QDs when they are doped with B via first-principles calculations.\textsuperscript{65}

When a B atom is in the configurations of B1, B2, B3 or B4, deep energy levels are close to the valence band with a small spin splitting, verifying a p-type characteristic of B-doped Ge QD. This is mainly due to the B-doping-induced extra hole in a Ge QD. In these cases, the electron densities of the HOMO and LUMO (here the HOMO and LUMO are deep energy levels) are mainly located at the B and neighboring Ge atoms with similar distributions (representatively shown for B4-doped Ge QD in Fig. 4). Since the spin splitting of the deep energy levels is associated with the polarizability of bonds,\textsuperscript{43} as the B atom moves from the isotropic configuration of B1 to the anisotropic configuration of B4, the spin splitting of the deep energy levels slightly increases from 78 to 107 meV. When a B atom is incorporated in the configuration of $B_a$, $B_b$ or $B_g$, a deep energy level with empty electronic states is introduced near the conduction band of the Ge QD. The electron density of this energy level (LUMO) is mainly located at the B atom, while the electron density of the HOMO is dislocated among the Ge atoms.

Fig. 3 Energy-level diagrams for undoped, (a) B-doped and (b) P-doped Ge QDs at the ground state. Filled (empty) circles indicate that energy levels are occupied (unoccupied) by electrons. Spin-up (spin-down) states of defect energy levels induced by a B or P atom are indicated by up (down) arrows.

Fig. 4 Distribution of the isosurface for the electron density of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) for undoped, B4-, $B_a$-, P4- and $P_a$-doped Ge QDs.
(representatively shown for B₄-doped Ge QD in Fig. 4). Similar to B-doped H-passivated Si QDs with B atoms at the QD surface, these deep energy levels are induced by the empty 2p$_z$ orbitals of B. Since an empty 2p$_z$ orbital is a good electron-acceptor, the electron cloud of an unconnected nearest-neighboring atom may shift to the empty 2p$_z$ orbital of the B atom. This makes B-doping-induced energy levels to move close to the conduction band of a Ge QD.

For P-doped Ge QDs, deep energy levels are introduced into the bandgap when P is in the configurations of P1, P2, P3 and P4. The P-doping-induced deep energy levels are close to the conduction band with a spin-splitting, proving the n-type characteristic of P-doped Ge QDs. This is caused by an extra electron induced by P doping in a Ge QD. In these cases, the electron densities of the HOMO and LUMO (deep energy levels) are mainly located at the P and neighboring Ge atoms with similar distributions (representatively shown for P4-doped Ge QD in Fig. 4). As a P atom moves from the center (P1) to the subsurface (P4) of the Ge QD, the deep energy levels step deeper into the middle of the bandgap while the spin splitting gradually increases from 88 to 280 meV. The former is due to the enhanced structural distortion induced by P doping from P1 to P4 (e.g., the bond length of a Ge–P bond is compressed by 15.9% and that of the adjacent Ge–P bond is stretched by 4.6%) that causes a more localized electron density distribution of the deep energy levels, while the latter is due to the anisotropic transition of the polarizability of the Ge–P bonds from P1 to P4.

We observe that the P-doping-induced energy levels are deeper than those induced by B doping, which is analogous to the results obtained on the doping of B and P in both hydrogen-passivated Si QDs and Si QDs that embedded in a SiO₂ matrix. This infers the similarity of the electronic structures between Ge and Si QDs after B and P doping. When a P atom is incorporated in the configuration of P$_a$, P$_b$ or B$_a$, no deep energy levels are introduced into the bandgap due to the threefold coordination of the electrons. The electron densities of both the HOMO and LUMO are located around P and neighboring Ge atoms. Again, this is similar to P-doped Si QDs.

**B/P codoping**

We further investigate the effect of B/P codoping for Ge QDs. As discussed above, a B (P) atom at the surface of a Ge QD does not act as an effective acceptor (donor). Thus, we only focus on the codoping of B and P when they are both incorporated inside a Ge QD. Under this condition, the configurations in which B and P are both incorporated in their energetically favored locations of 4 at the sub-surface of the QD are first considered. Depending on the distance between the B and P atoms, there exist three types of B/P-codoping configurations when both of them are doped at the locations of 4. As the B–P distance increases, these three types of B/P-codoping configurations are denoted as B₄–P₄’, B₄–P₄” and B₄–P₄”’. To figure out the influence of a dipole [i.e., a B–P pair] on the electronic properties of a Ge QD, we have considered the configurations in which B and P form a B–P pair, namely B₃–P₄ and B₄–P₃. Fig. 5 shows the models of (a) B₄–P₄” and (b) B₄–P₃ codoped Ge QDs.

![Fig. 5 Model of a Ge QD codoped with (a) B₄–P₄” or (b) B₄–P₃. Ge, H, B and P atoms are denoted by green, grey, orange and pink balls, respectively.](image)

The $E_f$ of the dopants in a Ge QD is calculated by using:

$$E_f = E(\text{Ge}_x H_y BP) - E(\text{Ge}_x H_y) - \mu_B - \mu_P - (x' - x)\mu_{\text{Ge}} - (y' - y)\mu_{\text{H}},$$

where $E(\text{Ge}_x H_y BP)$ is the total energy of a Ge QD after the codoping of B and P. The calculated $E_f$ of B/P dopants in a Ge QD is shown in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6 Formation energy of B/P dopants in a Ge QD.](image)

**Fig. 6** Formation energy of B/P dopants in a Ge QD.

![Fig. 7 Energy-level diagrams for undoped and B/P-codoped Ge QDs at the ground state.](image)

**Fig. 7** Energy-level diagrams for undoped and B/P-codoped Ge QDs at the ground state.
QD is shown in Fig. 6. It is seen that the values of $E_f$ for B/P dopants is in the range of $-8.1$ to $-7.8$ eV, which is much smaller than that for a singular B or P atom in a Ge QD. This indicates that the codoping of B and P may actually facilitate the incorporation of B and P into Ge QDs. In contrast to a B/P codoped Si QD embedded in a SiO$_2$ matrix where a B–P pair is the most likely to be formed in the QD,\textsuperscript{46} the $E_f$ of a B–P pair is basically the same as that of unpaired B or P atom in a Ge QD. This implies that a B atom and a P atom would be randomly distributed at the sub-surface of a Ge QD when they are codoped into the QD.

The energy-level diagrams for undoped and B/P codoped Ge QDs are shown in Fig. 7. Similar to B/P codoped H-passivated Si QDs,\textsuperscript{63} B/P codoping reduces the bandgaps of Ge QDs by 0.11–0.37 eV. This highlights the tunability of band structures of Ge QDs upon B/P codoping. The reduction of the bandgaps of Ge QDs is mainly due to the rise of the HOMO levels towards the middle of the bandgaps (Fig. 7). For B/P codoped Ge QDs, the electron densities of the HOMO are localized at the B and neighboring Ge atoms, while those of the LUMO are distributed around B, P and Ge atoms (Fig. 8). It is the localization of the electron density of the HOMO on B that contributes to the uplift of the HOMO level. It is interesting that the formation of a B–P pair does not distinctly affect the band structures of B/P codoped Ge QDs. This is again quite different from Si QDs embedded in a SiO$_2$ matrix, in which a B–P pair alters the band structure of codoped Si QDs by forming a static electric dipole that radially points toward the QD core.\textsuperscript{46}

Conclusions

We have studied the doping of Ge QDs with B and P by means of density functional theory. The current results show that B or P is the most likely incorporated at the near-surface region of Ge QDs. B doping introduces deep energy levels in the bandgap of a Ge QD whether it is inside or at the surface of the QD. In contrast, P doping causes deep energy levels only when it is incorporated inside the Ge QD. The codoping of B and P may promote the incorporation of B and P into Ge QDs, given a lower formation energy of B/P dopants. After B/P codoping, the bandgap of a Ge QD is reduced. Considering the moderate bandgaps (∼2.02 to 2.52 eV) and suitable band alignment with water, B- and P-doped Ge QDs may have the potential applications in photocatalytic water splitting.\textsuperscript{44} Our calculated results inspire the modulation of the band structures of Ge QDs for desirable applications by B and P doping in the future.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Shanghai Supercomputer Center is acknowledged for providing computation resources. This study is mainly supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFA0205700), Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 61774133 and 6147409), NSFC-FWO program (Grant No. 61411130229 and 61511130264) and Program for Innovative Research Team in University of Ministry of Education of China (IRT13R54).

References