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A superhydrophobic coating is an outstanding barrier layer isolating the substrate from the corrosive medium, thus enhancing corrosion resistance. In this paper, a superhydrophobic film on the electroless Ni–P plated AZ61 magnesium alloy was fabricated via hydrothermal method and subsequently immersed in stearic acid solution to improve its anti-corrosion properties and self-cleaning performance. The surface morphology, structure, chemical composition and properties were investigated using scanning electron microscope (SEM), X-ray diffractometer (XRD), Fourier-transform infrared spectrophotometer (FTIR), micro-Raman spectrometer, optical contact angle meter and electrochemical workstation. The results showed that the plated Ni–P surface was hydrophilic, but it turned superhydrophobic after modification by hydrothermal reaction and stearic acid. We found the temperature and time of hydrothermal reaction could influence the morphology and wettability of the superhydrophobic nature deeply, and the petal-shaped nanosheets resulting at higher temperature had a higher hydrophobicity than the lemongrass-like nanostructures at the lower temperature. The maximum contact angle of 155.6 ± 0.3° and a sliding angle about 2° were obtained under conditions of reaction temperature of 120 °C and reaction time of 15 h. Meanwhile, the chemical stability, mechanical durability and self-cleaning performance of the formed superhydrophobic film were examined. And the potentielodynamic polarization and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results indicated the corrosion resistance of the AZ61 substrate was improved a lot in 3.5% NaCl.

1. Introduction

Magnesium and magnesium alloys as important engineering materials are potentially applied in automobile, aerospace, electronic communication and recreational industries due to low density, high strength and excellent machinability.1,2 Nevertheless, their chemical character is very active and they are susceptible to corrosion in seawater or humid atmosphere with organic/inorganic acids, which limits large-scale application of magnesium and its alloys.3,4 Therefore, various methods are used to improve their corrosion resistance, such as chemical conversion, micro-arc oxidation, electroplating and electroless plating.3–7

The superhydrophobic surfaces with water contact angle larger than 150° and sliding angles smaller than 10° have attracted much attention of scholars in recent years because of their potential application in self-cleaning, anti-icing, anti-fouling and anticorrosion.8–11 The reason for anticorrosion mechanism of superhydrophobic surfaces is that a large amount of air is trapped in the valleys between the rough structure of superhydrophobic surface thus the migration of corrosion ions is inhibited by these air cushion. Liu et al. fabricated biomimetic CeO2 hydrophobic films on AZ91D magnesium alloy by an immersion process and then modified with DTS, the maximum water contact angle reached to 146.7 ± 2° and the hydrophobic coating possessed better corrosion resistance than magnesium alloy matrix.12 Cui et al. fabricated a hydrophobic surface through the micro-arc oxidation and subsequent modified with stearic acid on AZ31 Mg alloy, achieving a maximum water contact angle of 151.5° and the hydrophobic MAO coatings effectively inhibits pitting corrosion of the Mg alloy.13 Therefore, hydrophobic modification was a great way to improve the corrosion resistance of metal surfaces.

Hydrothermal method is a low cost, reproducibility and environmental friendly method to in situ synthesise nano-structure on the metal substrates, and the nanostructures growing on the metal surface could increase the surface roughness and benefit to trapping air.14,15 Ou et al. fabricated a superhydrophobic surface on AZ91D magnesium alloy via hydrothermal reaction in pure water, followed by modification with 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyltriethoxysilane (PFOTES), and the surface exhibited more stable and effective qualities in corrosion protection as compared with the superhydrophobic
surface fabricated using chemical etching. Wan et al. obtained a superhydrophobic surface on ZK60 magnesium alloy via hydrothermal reaction in a mixture of pure water, ethanol and stearic acid, and the water contact angle is about 158.5°. Zhang et al. constructed a superhydrophobic surface on AZ80 magnesium alloy via hydrothermal reaction in pure water with different pH values, and then modified with triethoxysilane (PTES). These results all proved that hydrothermal method could form superhydrophobic surface with high quality. However, there still exist two drawbacks for this method. One is the improvement of corrosion resistance for Mg alloys is limited only by a thin superhydrophobic film, and the other is generally Al-enriched Mg alloys fabricating superhydrophobic film via this way, because the main components of the superhydrophobic film is Mg-Al layered double hydroxide. 

Herein, we first fabricated a superhydrophobic film on the surface of electroless Ni-P coating of AZ61 magnesium substrate using hydrothermal method. The influence of hydrothermal reaction conditions, such as the temperatures and the reaction times on the superhydrophobic film morphology and properties were investigated deeply. The formed superhydrophobic film has excellent stability in various pH, salinity conditions and abrasion test, as well as the outstanding self-cleaning performance. Both the superhydrophobic layer and the Ni-P coating can protect the AZ61 substrate and improve corrosion resistance significantly. Moreover, electroless Ni-P plating is widely used in industrial field on various substrates, so our paper could provide an efficient method to fabricate superhydrophobic surface with robust corrosion resistance on other metals.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

The chemical composition of the AZ61 magnesium alloy was 5.92 wt% Al, 0.49 wt% Zn, 0.15 wt% Mn, 0.037 wt% Si, 0.003 wt% Cu, 0.007 wt% Fe and the remaining proportion was Mg. The dimensions of all samples were 10 mm × 10 mm × 4 mm. All of the reagents were analytical grade and deionized water with a resistivity of 18.5 MΩ cm was used in all of experiments. The substrates were mechanically grounded with SiC papers of successively finer grit down to 2000 grit, ultraconsionally degreased 10 min in acetone and dried in air. Pretreatment steps of AZ61 magnesium alloy should be shown below. The substrate was degreased in an alkaline solution containing 10 g L^{-1} Na_{2}PO_{4}·12H_{2}O and 50 g L^{-1} NaOH at 65 °C for 10 min. To remove the oxide film, the pretreated magnesium alloy was immersed in acid solution containing 60 mL L^{-1} H_{2}PO_{4}, 30 g L^{-1} H_{2}BO_{3} and 40 g L^{-1} NH_{4}HF_{2} for 40 s at room temperature. At last, the pretreated magnesium alloy was activated in HF (385 mL L^{-1}) solution for 8 min at room temperature. The sample thoroughly cleaned with deionized water between each step.

2.2 Fabrication of superhydrophobic film

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of our fabrication method, which is made up of three steps, details were follows:

2.2.1 Electroless nickel plating. The electroless plating bath used was composed by 20 g L^{-1} NiSO_{4}·H_{2}O, 20 g L^{-1} NaH_{2}PO_{4}·H_{2}O, 5 g L^{-1} H_{3}C_{6}H_{5}O_{7}·H_{2}O, 10 g L^{-1} NH_{4}HF_{2}, 12 mL L^{-1} HF, 15 g L^{-1} CH_{3}COONH_{4} and 1 mg L^{-1} CH_{3}N_{2}S under pH value of 5.5, which was adjusted by the addition of NH_{3}·H_{2}O. The temperature of plating bath was 85 °C which was fulfilled by thermostatic water bath, and the plating time was 180 min. After plating, the sample was taken from the bath, and then cleaned with deionized water and dried with electric drier.

2.2.2 Hydrothermal method. First, 0.1422 g Ni(NO_{3})_{2}·6H_{2}O was dissolved into 50 mL pure ethanol under vigorous stirring. After stirring for 20 min, the homogeneous solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with a volume of 100 mL, and then a piece of cleaned magnesium alloy with Ni-P coating was immersed into it. The autoclave was tightly sealed and heated at 100–160 °C for 9–24 h in an oven, then cooled down to room temperature naturally. The sample with ordered nanostructure was fetched out and rinsed with deionized water several times.

2.2.3 Modification. The sample with ordered nanostructure was immersed into an ethanol stearic acid solution (0.01 mol L^{-1}) at room temperature for 5 h. Finally, the sample was rinsed with deionized water and dried using a dryer.

2.3 Characterization

The surface morphology was observed by Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) operated at 5.0 kV. The structure of coating was measured by D/MA2500 X-ray diffractometer (XRD). The functional groups on the film were identified by BIO-RAD3000 Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopic analysis and DXR Microscope micro-Raman spectrometer (with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm).

2.4 Wettability

The water contact angle and the sliding angle were measured with a water drop volume of 7 μL using JC2000D optical contact angle meter at ambient temperature. In addition, the contact angle of superhydrophobic film in solutions with different pH values ranging from 1–14 and concentrations of NaCl changing from 1–5 mol L^{-1} were measured after submerged into the solutions for 3 h. The pH value of solution was adjusted by hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide. The values reported are averages of five measurements made on different positions of the sample surface.

2.5 Corrosion resistance

The polarization curve and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of AZ61 substrate, Ni-P coating and superhydrophobic film were measured in 3.5% NaCl solution at room temperature by using Autolab 302 electrochemical workstation. A three-electrode system with the sample by an exposed area of 1 cm² as working electrode, a platinum electrode as counter electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE), which standard potential is 0.2415 V at 25 °C as reference electrode was employed in the test.
The potentiodynamic polarization experiments were performed at a scan rate of 0.1667 mV s^{-1}. And EIS measurements were carried out at the open circuit potential with a 10 mV amplitude AC signal and the applied frequency ranged from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz. The electrochemical parameters for the EIS data were calculated by applying ZsimpWin software under the given equivalent circuits.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows the FESEM images electroless Ni–P coating after plating 180 min. The surface roughness enhanced after plating because Ni–P coating consists of a nodular structure with nodules size about several micrometers. However, some pores occurred on the surface due to the hydrogen release. Electroless Ni–P coating on magnesium alloy is cathodic coating, and pores are inevitable, so it provided little protection. The water contact angle of the surface after electroless plating is 67° and was be hydrophilic. It is well known that a surface with a micro/nanostructured roughness is the key step in fabricating a superhydrophobic film,23,24 nickel nodules provide microstructure, and hydrothermal reaction would produce nano-meter structure on the surface.

3.1 Hydrothermal reaction

The reaction temperature and reaction time can influence the nanostructure morphology seriously.

3.1.1 Temperature dependence. Fig. 3 displays FESEM images of the surface of samples after hydrothermal reaction with different temperatures. During hydrothermal reaction, the nanostructures grew directly on the Ni–P coating in a large area. Clearly the growth habits depend on the temperature and the morphologies of nanostructures change a lot when the reaction temperature gets higher than 100 °C. At lower temperature (100 °C) the larger particles are synthesized and the particle forms on the substrate alternately and almost covers the defects of Ni–P coating, signifying that defects provide places for the nucleation of the coating because of its higher free energy. The fewer nucleation sites formed and lower supersaturation favor growth by diffusion on steps, and larger particles formed.25,26 Finally, the surface was covered by the big lemongrass-like nanostructures. As the reaction temperature increases, the dielectric constant of aqueous solution decreases, as well as a higher degree of supersaturation, more nucleation sites occurred and formed petal-shaped nanosheets with a typical thickness of ~20 nm and from 120 °C to 160 °C, the “petals” gradually grew large and loosened. The cross-section morphology of samples of 120 to 160 °C are shown in Fig. S1,† the nanostructure distribution is layered, and the layer number increasing linearly as the
temperature rises. The thickness of layered nanostructure up to sub-micro when the temperature higher than 140 °C.

The corresponding static water contact and sliding angles of samples with different hydrothermal temperatures after modification with stearic acid were measured and shown in Fig. 4. At 100 °C, the contact angle and the sliding angle have reached 145.2 ± 1.7° and 20°. When the temperature increased to 120 °C, the contact angle further enhanced to 155.6 ± 0.3° and the sliding angle decreased to 2°, the surface possessed good superhydrophobicity. But from 140 °C to 160 °C, the contact angle declined slightly to 146.7 ± 2.2° and 134.0 ± 2.8°, respectively. After hydrothermal reaction, some small hills and valleys are presented on the coating surface. A lot of air could be trapped between the hills or within the coating itself. The hydrophobicity of the surface largely depended on its capacity for trapping air. Compared with large lemongrass-like surface, the small petal-shaped nanosheets may be more advantageous. Moreover, the surface prepared under 120 °C with smaller and compact nanosheets shows excellent superhydrophobicity properties.

3.1.2 Effect of hydrothermal time. The as-prepared surfaces with different hydrothermal reaction times were shown in Fig. 5 (reaction temperature 120 °C). From 9 h to 18 h, the petal-shaped nanosheets have covered the whole surface and gradually grew up and became closer and vertically growing on the top surface. When the reaction time was prolonged to 21 h and 24 h, the size of nanosheets increased too much and its growth direction looks disordered. The surface all show good superhydrophobic property with the static contact angles above 150°, and the sliding angles less than 10° (see Fig. S2†). But at 15 h, the static contact angle reached 155.6 ± 0.3° and the sliding angle decreased to 2°, the surface exhibited the optimal superhydrophobicity.

In the search above we found a hydrothermal temperature of 120 °C and hydrothermal reaction time of 15 h were the optimal parameters to fabricate superhydrophobic film which was carried out in the following experiments.

3.2 Chemical composition

In this section XRD, FT-IR and Raman spectra were utilized to analyze the structure and the formation mechanism of coating.

In order to simplify representations, the AZ61 substrate, electroless Ni–P plating, hydrothermal reaction and stearic acid modification were remarked as S1, S2, S3 and S4. The XRD patterns of S1–S4 were shown in Fig. 6a. For the S1, only the typical peaks of α-Mg and β-Mg17Al12 were detected (the details are given in ESI†). The XRD pattern of S2 has only a broaden diffraction peak around 44.5°, which belonged to (111) plane of nanocrystalline Ni phase. After hydrothermal reaction, for the S3, there are diffraction peaks at 13°, 26°, 34°, 36° and 59° in accordance with the (001), (002), (100), (101) and (110) planes of Ni3(NO3)2(OH)6 (JCPDS no. 22-0752), obviously for S3 there exists no other secondary phase. So the generation process of Ni3(NO3)2(OH)6 nanosheets can be expressed as follows:27

$$3\text{Ni(NO}_3)_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ni}_3\text{(NO}_3)_2\text{(OH)}_6 + 4\text{HNO}_3$$ (1)

Ni3(NO3)2(OH)6 is derived from the metal hydroxide and has a layered CdI2 structure.28 The XRD pattern of S4 were identical with S3, which indicated that the process of stearic acid modification can not change the coating structure.

Fig. 6b reveals the FT-IR spectra of pure stearic acid, S3 and S4. For the stearic acid, the peaks at 2844 cm⁻¹ and 2923 cm⁻¹ are attributed to C–H asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations. The peak at 1708 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the carboxyl groups. Note that the difference between S3 and S4 is two small peaks at 2844 cm⁻¹ and 2923 cm⁻¹ which were attributed to –CH3 or –CH2 functional group on the surface of S4. It indicates that the surface of S4 has been modified by stearic acid. Other peaks appeared in S3 and S4 are attributed to the exist of Ni3(NO3)2(OH)6, and the details are described in ESI†.

Fig. 6c displays the Raman spectra of samples S3 and S4, for S3, two peaks at 988 cm⁻¹ and 1298 cm⁻¹ are attributed to –NO3 bending vibrations, two other peaks at 2848 cm⁻¹ and 2874 cm⁻¹ are attributed to –C≡H vibration. For the S4, in addition to the above peaks, new peaks at 1708 cm⁻¹ and 2923 cm⁻¹ are attributed to –COO and –CH2 functional groups.
cm$^{-1}$ of S4 are attributed to CH$_2$ symmetrical stretching and CH$_3$ symmetrical stretching. These results also state that stearic acid exists on the sample. As a conclusion, the superhydrophobic film mainly consists of layered CdI$_2$ structure of Ni$_3$(NO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_4$ nanosheets, and stearic acid with low surface energy also existed on the film surface. So the AZ61 alloy, Ni–P coating are hydrophilic ($\theta < 90^\circ$), but the surface turns into superhydrophobic after modification by hydrothermal reaction and stearic acid.

3.3 Stability

Fig. 7 shows the relationship between the contact angle and the pH value, NaCl concentration and exposure time of the liquid droplets for the superhydrophobic film. From pH = 2 to pH = 12, the contact angles range from 150$^\circ$ to 156$^\circ$. It was obvious that the contact angles were still higher than 145$^\circ$ under strongly acidic and strongly alkali environment. For the various ionic strength NaCl solutions (1 mol L$^{-1}$ to 5 mol L$^{-1}$), the contact angles were all larger than 150$^\circ$. Therefore, the superhydrophobicity film can endure a strongly acidic, strongly alkali and high salinity environment. Meanwhile all contact angle of samples was maintained higher than 150$^\circ$ during air exposure for 180 days. And water was bounded out without any water adhering to the surface after superhydrophobic surface exposed for 180 days (Fig. 7d). These results confirms that the surface has a fine durability in air.

The mechanical stability was tested by an abrasion test as shown in Fig. 8. The superhydrophobic surface was pushed to move on 400 grit sandpaper under a pressure of 9.8 kPa, and
changes in the contact angle were recorded. The results show that the surface still maintained a contact angle above 150° after abrasion for 120 cm. However, its value dropped to 145° after abrasion for 192 cm. Even so, the superhydrophobic surface has good mechanical durability to some extent.

3.4 Self-cleaning performance
The self-cleaning performance of the superhydrophobic surface was investigated by applying alumina powder as contaminant. The self-cleaning evolution process is shown in Fig. 9. The water droplet started to roll down immediately with removing the...
contaminant due to weak adhesion of the particle to the super-hydrophobic surface and the joint action of capillary forces caused by water droplet. Obviously, the contaminated surface turned clean after three droplets washing. So the as-prepared superhydrophobic surface can protect the substrate from surface contamination.

3.5 Corrosion resistance characteristics

Potentiodynamic polarization and impedance spectroscopy (EIS) are used to explain the corrosion behavior of the superhydrophobic film.

3.5.1 Potentiodynamic polarization curves. Fig. 10 is the polarization curves of AZ61 substrate, Ni–P coating and superhydrophobic film in 3.5% NaCl solution, and the corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$), current density ($i_{corr}$) and corrosion inhibition efficiency ($\eta_p$) of samples are shown in Table 1. $\eta_p$ which is related to $i_{corr}$, is calculated with using following equation:26,31

$$\eta_p (\%) = \frac{\rho_{corr} - i_{corr}}{\rho_{corr}} \times 100$$ (2)

where $\rho_{corr}$ and $i_{corr}$ are the corrosion current density values without and with the coating, respectively. Compared with AZ61 substrate, the corrosion potential and the corrosion inhibition efficiency is increased, and current density decreased because of Ni–P coating, which indicates that the corrosion resistance of AZ61 alloy is enhanced by the deposition of Ni–P coating.

And, more remarkably, the superhydrophobic film has the highest $E_{corr}$ (−139.3 mV) and $\eta_p$ (99.8%), also has the lowest $i_{corr}$ ($7.2 \times 10^{-2}$ μA cm$^{-2}$). This result indicates that the superhydrophobic film exhibits excellent protective ability to AZ61
substrate, and magnesium dissolution and Cl− transports are strongly restrained by the superhydrophobic film.

3.5.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Fig. 11 is the EIS spectrum of AZ61 alloy, Ni−P coating and superhydrophobic film after immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution for 0.5 h. It is well known that a large Nyquist loop means a lower corrosion rate. The diameter of the Nyquist loop of the superhydrophobic film is significantly larger than that of AZ61 alloy and Ni−P coating (Fig. 11a−c), indicating the corrosion resistance has been greatly enhanced with the presence of superhydrophobic film. In addition, the corrosion rate can also be estimated from the value of |Z| at the modulus Bode plots (Fig. 11d), in that a higher value of |Z| is indicative of a lower corrosion rate. The value of |Z| for AZ61 alloy increases from ~2.1 × 107 Ω cm2 to ~8.5 × 107 Ω cm2 with Ni−P coating, and further increases to ~2.8 × 108 Ω cm2 with superhydrophobic film. For EIS spectrum in Fig. 11, the equivalent circuits in Fig. S3† were applied to analyse the experimental date, in which Rct is the solution resistance, CPEcoat and Rcoat are the double electric layer capacitance and charge transfer resistance of Ni−P coating (oxide layer for AZ61 substrate), CPEsuper and Rsuper are the double electric layer capacitance and charge transfer resistance of superhydrophobic film and CEQ and Rct are the double electric layer capacitance and charge transfer resistance of AZ61 substrate. The fitting curves are displayed as solid lines in Fig. 11, and the fitting parameters is shown in Table S1.† It can be seen that AZ61 substrate has a lower Rct about 1.6 × 105 Ω cm2, and Rct reaches to 3.4 × 105 Ω cm2 after plating Ni−P coating. However, the superhydrophobic coating has the highest of Rct about 1.1 × 107 Ω cm2, especially the resistance of superhydrophobic film reaches to 5.8 × 107 Ω cm2, a powerful force to block the entry of electrolyte. Above all, the EIS results indicate the superhydrophobic surface is a robust blocking layer for preventing the rapid corrosion on substrate in contact with NaCl solution, which agrees well with the results of Tafel.

4. Conclusions

The petal-shaped Ni3(NO3)2(OH)4 nanostructure grows on electroless Ni−P coating surface of AZ61 magnesium alloy using hydrothermal method, and the surface changes from a hydrophilic one to superhydrophobic after modification by hydrothermal reaction and stearic acid. The superhydrophobic film exhibits high chemical stability, mechanical durability and self-cleaning performance. The corrosion resistance of the superhydrophobic coating improved nearly 3 orders than AZ61 magnesium alloy and electroless Ni−P coating in 3.5% NaCl solution. It is believed that fabricating a superhydrophobic surface may be a novel approach to enhance the corrosion resistance and expand the application of magnesium alloys. At the same time, this study provided an efficient method to fabricate superhydrophobic surface with robust corrosion resistance on other metals.
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