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Step-growth radical copolymerization between \( \alpha,\omega \)-diiodoperfluoroalkanes (A) and \( \alpha,\omega \)-unconjugated dienes (B) proceeds efficiently through a photo-induced Step Transfer-Addition & Radical-Termination (START) strategy in aqueous/organic biphasic system. The addition of water in our polymerization strategy enhanced the overall polymerization efficiency and inhibited the function loss (C–I) significantly, which has been illustrated through UV-vis tests. Therefore, most of the functional groups (C–I) in the polymer chain end have been preserved in the final polymer product \((AB)_n\) based on \(^{19}F\) NMR analysis. After polymerization, we could erase the iodine atoms in the main chain of \((AB)_n\) which generates semifluorinated polyolefins with enhanced thermal stability.

1. Introduction

Since the foundation of macromolecular engineering, olefins have always been an important kind of polymerizable mono- nomers and the corresponding polyolefin materials have been applied worldwide. The appearance of ionic polymerization realized the living polymerization of not only olefin monomers (e.g., ethylene, propylene), but also active conjugated ones (e.g., methyl methacrylate (MMA) and styrene (St)). Although the ionic polymerization strategy is a powerful polymerization tool, it is highly sensitive to impurities existing in the polymerization system. Contrasted to ionic ones, the polymerization conditions in a radical process were rather mild, which greatly eased the practical operation difficulties. Recently, reversible deactivation radical polymerizations (RDRPs) have progressed greatly, such as initiator-transfer agent-terminator (iniferter) polymerization, atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), atom transfer radical addition (ATRA) reaction for the construction of perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymers \((AB)_n\) under irradiation of blue LED at room temperature (25 °C). At the same time, the newly-developed polymerization method could also be deemed as a new sequence-controlled radical polymerization strategy for \( \alpha,\omega \)-unconjugated dienes. In most
photo-mediated RDRPs involved with iodine-containing agent, loss of functional groups (C–I) in the polymer chain end was common with the generation of $I_2$, and inhibited the further proceeding of the polymerization.\(^{23}\) Similar circumstance has also occurred in our polymerization system due to the presence of methanol (MeOH). So we tried to suppress the functional loss (C–I) to ensure the smooth proceeding of our polymerization strategy in this work.

Water has long been a popular solvent for free radical polymerization, especially of $\text{C}^\text{–}\text{I}$ in the polymer chain end has been highly preserved through $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR analysis, which was a great progress of water effect on our polymerization strategy. Herein, we carried out the copolymerization between $\text{C}^\text{–}\text{I}$ and fluorinated primary alkyl radicals ($R^\text{f}_\text{C})$.\(^{24}\) So we speculated water also had some similar positive effect on our polymerization strategy.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

Diallyl adipate (TCl, 98%) and diallyl 1,4-cyclohexanedi-carboxylate (cis- and trans-mixture) (TCl, 98%), 1,6-diodoperfluorohexane (TCl, 98%), 1,4-diodoperfluorobutane (TCl, 98%), 1,8-diodoperfluorooctane (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%) and Ru(bpy)$_3$$\text{Cl}_2$ (Adamas-beta, +99%) were used as received and stored in light-resistant container at 0°C. The polar solvent stabilized the transition state of per dimerization, especially of $\text{C}^\text{–}\text{I}$ in the polymer chain end was highly preserved through $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR analysis, which was a great progress compared with our previous report. After the polymerization, we erased the iodine atoms in the main chain of (AB)$_n$, generating semifluorinated polyethylenes with enhanced thermal stability.

2.2. Methods

Both the number-average molecular weight ($M_n$) and molecular weight distribution ($M_d/M_n$) of all the polymers were determined by TOSOH HLC-8320 gel permeation chromatograph (GPC) equipped with a refractive-index detector (Waters 2414), using TSK gel guardcolumn Super AWM-H columns (4.6 mm I.D. x 15 cm x 2) with measurable molecular weights ranging from $1 \times 10^3$ to $10 \times 10^5$ g mol$^{-1}$. THF was used as the eluent at a flow rate of 0.35 mL min$^{-1}$ at 40°C. All the GPC samples were injected using a TOSOH plus autosampler and calibrated with PMMA standards purchased from TOSOH. $^1\text{H}$ NMR and $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra of 1,4-bis(allylxy)benzene (B1) were recorded on a Bruker 300 MHz nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) instrument using CDCl$_3$ as the solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard at room temperature (25°C). All the $^1\text{H}$ NMR, $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR and $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR data of the semifluorinated polyolefins were recorded on a Bruker 600 MHz Advance III instrument using CDCl$_3$ as the solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard for $^1\text{H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$ chemical shifts at room temperature (25°C). The sampling for the in situ NMR spectra was as follows: After the predetermined period of time, the ampoule was opened, then 100 µL mixture was sucked to the NMR tube and dissolved with 0.5 mL of CDCl$_3$ for the NMR test instantly. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a TG/DTA 6300 Instruments with a heating rate of 10°C min$^{-1}$ from the room temperature to 650°C under nitrogen atmosphere. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra of the samples were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer at room temperature.

2.3. Reaction apparatus

The photoredox polymerizations were carried out at room temperature under visible light irradiation provided by blue LED Flex strip ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 458$ nm, 0.85 mW cm$^{-2}$) which was fixed around the reaction vessel. The polymerization temperature (25°C) was maintained by control of fanning.

2.4. Synthesis and characterization of $\text{C}^\text{–}\text{I}$-unconjugated diene 1,4-bis(allylxy)benzene (B1)

In an inert atmosphere, magnesium chips (2.4 g, 0.1 mol) was added to the solution of Et$_2$O (75 mL) with continuing stirring, then a solution of 3-bromopropene (12.2 g, 0.1 mol) in Et$_2$O (75 mL). The whole mixture was refluxed for 2 hours at room temperature with careful exclusion of light. Then 1,4-bis(bromomethyl) benzene (12.2 g, 0.1 mol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), which was slowly dropped to the mixture. After the completion of dropping, the mixture was refluxed for another 4 hours, then hydrolyzed by water (15 mL) and aq. NH$_4$Cl (25 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried by sodium sulfate. Then it was vacuum filtered to remove the sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by means of column chromatography (silica gel; EtOAc : hexane = 1 : 20) to obtain a colorless liquid product (3.5 g, 0.02 mol, 64.0%). $^1\text{H}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz), $^1$H ppm: 7.09 (s, 4H, –C$_6$H$_4$–), 5.86 (m, 2H, –CH$_2$–CH=–CH$_2$), 5.07 (m, 4H, –CH$_2$–CH=–CH$_2$), 2.67 (t, 4H, –C$_6$H$_4$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–), 2.36 (m, 4H, –C$_6$H$_4$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz), δ ppm: 139.4 (s, –C$_6$H$_4$–), 138.3 (s, CH$_2$=–CH=–), 129.3 (s, –C$_6$H$_4$–), 114.9 (s, CH$_2$=–CH–), 35.6 (s, C$_6$H$_4$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–), 35.0 (s, C$_6$H$_4$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–).
2.5. General procedure for the polymerization

A typical polymerization procedure with the molar ratio of $[A_0]_0 : [B_0]_0 : [Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 : [AsAc-Na]_0 = 1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5$ was described as follows. Mixture of $\alpha, \omega$-diiodoperfluoroalkane (A) (0.5 mmol), $\alpha, \omega$-unconjugated diene (B), Ru(bpy)$_3$Cl$_2$ and AsAc-Na were added to a dried ampoule with a stir bar. Then 7.0 mL of solution mixture of 1,4-dioxane, MeOH and water with the feed ratio of 3 : 1 : 3 (v/v/v) was added for the thorough dissolution of the mixture. The reaction mixture was degassed by at least four freeze–pump–thaw cycles to totally eliminate the dissolved oxygen, and then the ampoule was flame-sealed and placed in the presence of blue LED light with stirring. The whole system was fanned for the maintenance of temperature 25 °C. After the predetermined period of time, the ampoule was opened and then the mixture was precipitated into a large amount of methanol (250 mL) to remove the unreacted monomer and Ru(bpy)$_3$Cl$_2$. The polymers obtained by filtration were dried under vacuum at 35 °C overnight until a constant weight. The polymer yield was determined gravimetrically.

2.6. General post-polymerization modification process for the perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymers (A2B3)$_n$

General procedure for the reduction of the iodine atom along the polymer chain (Fig. S3(a) in ESI†): a dried 10 mL ampoule was equipped with a magnetic stir bar and was charged with 0.02 mmol of macromolecule P$_1$ (the structure shown in Fig. S3 in ESI†), 0.2 mmol of AIBN and 6.0 mmol of Bu$_3$SnH. Then 5.0 mL of toluene was added. The reaction mixture was degassed by at least four freeze–pump–thaw cycles to totally eliminate the dissolved oxygen, and then the ampoule was flame-sealed and placed in oil bath with the temperature at 70 °C. After the predetermined period of time, the ampoule was opened and then the mixture was precipitated into a large amount of methanol (250 mL) to remove the unreacted components and solvent. The polymers obtained by filtration were dried under vacuum at 35 °C overnight until a constant weight. The polymer yield was determined gravimetrically. And the polymer generated P$_2$ (the structure shown in Fig. S3 in ESI†) was examined thoroughly by NMR ($^1$H and $^{19}$F) and GPC tests.

Table 1 Optimization of the solvent system for the construction of perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymer (AB)$_n$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Water added (mL)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>$M_{n,GPC}$ (g mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_n/M_w$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>9500</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>14 900</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polymerization conditions: $[A_2]_0 : [B_3]_0 : [Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 : [AsAc-Na]_0 = 1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5$, $n(AIBN) = 0.5$ mmol, $V_{(1,4-dioxane)} : V_{(MeOH)} = 3 : 1$, $V_{(organic solvent)} = 4.0$ mL, time = 24 h, irradiation under blue LED at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

The initial construction of photo-induced Step Transfer-Addition & Radical-Termination (START) strategy was carried out in the mixed solvent system (1,4-dioxane/MeOH). MeOH was essential for the thorough dissolution of the catalysis system and ensured the polymerization proceeding. However, with a deep insight into the polymerization mechanism, we came to realise MeOH caused chain transfer reaction in the polymerization system. As a result, part of the functional group (C–I) has been lost with the generation of I$_2$. Considering the positive effect of water on the radical addition reaction between alkenes (C=C) and fluoroalkyl radicals (RF$^-$), we carried out the polymerization in aqueous/organic biphasic system in this work. According to Table 1, the addition of 3.0 mL water (entry 7, Table 1) could generate (AB)$_n$ with both relative high polymer yield and molecular weight ($M_{n,GPC}$). Further increase of water volume (entry 8, Table 1) would only reduce the total polymerization efficiency. The high tolerance of the whole polymerization process to water also well illustrated the radical character of the polymerization mechanism.

Through comparison of the polymerization behaviour in different conditions (pure organic solvent system and aqueous/organic biphasic one) through UV-vis tests have been presented in Fig. 1. Based on the comparison of curves A, B, and C in Fig. 1(a), the absorption peak around 365.0 nm was attributed to I$_2$, which was generated during the polymerization process in pure organic solvent system. When the polymerization was carried out in aqueous/organic biphasic system, the characteristic signal for I$_2$ didn’t appear throughout the polymerization process (Fig. 1(b)). Combined with the literature report, the aqueous/organic biphasic system created a strong polar environment to stabilize the transition state of perfluoroalkyl radicals (RF$^-$) in the polymerization system, which preferred to react with alkene (C=C) rather than chain transfer from MeOH. As a result, the loss of functional group (C–I) in the polymerization system has been greatly reduced with no generation of I$_2$ in the presence of water.

After the successful construction of our polymerization system in aqueous/organic biphasic system, we wondered whether we could further enhance the polymerization efficiency through addition of phase transfer catalyst (PTC). It is well known that 15-crown-5 was a high efficient PTC for the complexation with Na$^+$. However the addition of 15-crown-5 (entry 1, Table S1 in ESI†) did not enhance the reducing catalysis function of AsAc-Na. Although tetrabutyl ammonium bromide (TBABr) was another common PTC in chemical reaction, the addition of TBABr didn’t have positive effect on the whole polymerization process, either (entry 2, Table S1 in ESI†). Some other attempts [entries 3 and 4, Table S1 in ESI†] to convert the polymerization process into emulsion polymerization also failed. These failed trials meant we could only utilize the positive polar effect of water on our polymerization system and realize the polymerization in aqueous/organic biphasic system, rather than as emulsion polymerization.
of AsAc-Na, it was very necessary to evaluate the roles of the three components (light, Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2, and AsAc-Na) played in the polymerization system. No polymers were generated in the absence of light and Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2 (entries 8 and 9, Table 2), which precluded the possibility of radical coupling between A and chain growth between B. Based on the discussion above, we could conclude the polymerization process was catalyzed by the photocatalyst Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2 with the aid of AsAc-Na and controlled by visible light, consistent with our previous report.

In order to further estimate the stability of the newly-generated C–I bonds in our polymerization system, we assumed the new C–I bond was active, and utilized (A2B3)_n [M_{GPC} = 6200 g mol^{-1}, M_w/M_n = 1.72, M_{NMR} = 5900 g mol^{-1}] as the macromolecular initiator. The macromolecular initiator (A2B3)_n was chain ended by –CF_3H, detailed structure analysis of which were provided in Fig. S2 in ESL†. The polymerization for MMA was carried out under irradiation of blue LED at room temperature through the utilization of catalyst system Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2/AsAc-Na. No polymers were generated (entries 1 to 4, Table 3), even when we prolonged the polymerization process to 72 h. On the contrary, when we utilized IC_{PMA}(A2) as initiator for the polymerization of MMA in the same conditions, PMMA with high molecular weight (M_{GPC} = 32 300 g mol^{-1}, M_w/M_n = 1.30) was successfully generated in 24 h (entry 5, Table 3). The results well illustrated the significant activity difference between the original C–I bonds in A2 and the newly-generated ones along the polymer chain. The inertness of the newly-generated C–I bonds in our catalysis system was the prerequisite for the step growth polymerization strategy with no branching or chain growth.

With the inertness of newly-generated C–I bonds guaranteed, polymerization kinetics experiments have also been carried out to further investigate the polymerization behaviors. As could be seen from Fig. 2(a), the whole polymerization corresponded with step-growth radical process.^28 By the efficient catalysis of the photo-redox agent Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2, most of the C–I bonds in monomer A2 were opened up and the reaction was

### Table 2 Investigation of the role of each component played in the polymerization process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Feed ratio</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>M_{n,GPC} (g mol^{-1})</th>
<th>M_w/M_n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.01 : 0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.005 : 0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.2 : 0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0 : 0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.2 : 0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 : 0 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Polymerization conditions: the feed ratio was fixed as: [A2]_0 : [B3]_0 : [Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2]_0 : [AsAc-Na]_0, V_{[1,4-dioxane]} : V_{[MeOH]} : V_{[water]} = 3 : 1 : 3, V_{[total solvent]} = 7.0 mL, [Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2]_0 = 0.5 mmol, irradiation under blue LED at room temperature. \( \eta_{[B3]} = 0.5 \text{ mmol}. \)

*Polymerization conditions: the feed ratio was fixed as: \( \eta_{[B3]} = 0.5 \text{ mmol}. \)
Table 3  Estimation of the chemical reactivity of the newly-generated C–I bonds in our catalysis system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Feed ratio</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;n,GPC&lt;/sub&gt; (g mol&lt;sup&gt;–1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt;/M&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500 : 1 : 0.02 : 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500 : 1 : 0.02 : 0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>500 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
<td><em>b</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>500 : 1 : 0.01 : 1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>32 300</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymerization conditions: feed ratio was fixed as: [MMA]: [initiator]: [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]: [AsAc-Na] = 500 : 1 : 0.01 : 1.24 74.0 32 300 1.30

Table 3

---

initiated, thus oligomers with relatively high yield were generated quickly (~10 min). Then the molecular weights of the oligomers were doubled or tripled through further addition between functional groups (Fig. 2(b) and (c)). The resultant polymers were alternating copolymers (A2B3)n with no branching, which has been discussed vide infra.

Due to the specificity of the resultant polymer structure, only based on the combined analysis of both <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig. 3(a)) and <sup>19</sup>F NMR (Fig. 3(b)) spectra could exact structure identification be available. The integration ratio between the peak area of CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub> (a + b) and CH<sub>3</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub> (c) was exactly 2 : 1, which perfectly elucidated the existence of C=C bond in the polymer chain end and could be deemed as the characteristic peak for the calculation of the polymerization degree. When we set the integration of signal c as 1.0 for the estimation of the molecular weight, the corresponding integration of signal (a + b) was 2.0. The

triplet of triplets centered at 6.1 was the characteristic peak assigned to HCF<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub> (j) caused by chain transfer from MeOH, the generation of which was unavoidable due to the presence of MeOH in the polymerization system. The integration of signal –CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub> (h) was 16.4. And once a pair of monomer A2 and B3 was polyadded, a new C–I bond would be generated, which corresponded to the “n – 1” law. So the total incorporation number of monomer was 17.4, generating an alternating copolymer (A2B3)n. The polymerization degree n of the resultant (A2B3)n was 8.7 for the time being as the molecular weight calculation based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR was only the average one. If we calculate the degree of polymerization based on the integration of the signal i + i’ according to eqn (1), the same results could be obtained, which also manifested that all the newly generated C–I bond was stable enough along the polymer chain in the present catalysis condition and the repeat unit was in the middle of (A2B3)n.

Calculation for (AB)n based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR:

\[
\frac{(I_1 + I_2)}{I_c} = \frac{1}{n}
\]

Calculation for (AB)n based on <sup>19</sup>F NMR:

\[
\frac{(I_1 - 4)}{I_2} = \frac{1}{n}
\]

---

Fig. 2  Polymerization kinetics analysis for the polymerization of A2 and B3 catalyzed by Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AsAc-Na in aqueous/organic biphasic under irradiation of blue LED at room temperature. (a) Time–monomer conversion curve; (b) number-average molecular weight (M<sub>n,GPC</sub>) and molecular weight distribution (M<sub>n</sub>/M<sub>p</sub>) versus monomer conversion; (c) corresponding gel permeation chromatogram (GPC) curves; (d) proposed polymerization pathway. Polymerization conditions: [A2Cl<sub>3</sub>]: [B3Cl<sub>3</sub>]: [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]: [AsAc-Na] = 1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5, <i>n</i><sub>MeOH</sub> = 0.5 mmol, V<sub>(1,4-dioxane)</sub>: V<sub>(MeOH)</sub>: V<sub>(water)</sub> = 3 : 1 : 3, V<sub>total solvent</sub> = 7.0 mL, irradiation under blue LED light at room temperature.

---

Fig. 3  <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of the resultant alternating copolymer (A2B3)n in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. (a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the resultant alternating copolymer (A2B3)n; (b) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of the resultant alternating copolymer (A2B3)n; (c) Magnification of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum from 4.70 ppm to 6.40 ppm (inserted in (b)). Sample: M<sub>n,GPC</sub> = 6100 g mol<sup>–1</sup>, M<sub>n</sub>/M<sub>p</sub> = 1.41, yield% = 59.7; the alternating copolymer (A2B3)n was obtained through the polymerization process below: [A2Cl<sub>3</sub>]: [B3Cl<sub>3</sub>]: [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]: [AsAc-Na] = 1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5, <i>n</i><sub>MeOH</sub> = 0.5 mmol, V<sub>(1,4-dioxane)</sub>: V<sub>(MeOH)</sub>: V<sub>(water)</sub> = 3 : 1 : 3, V<sub>total solvent</sub> = 7.0 mL, time = 1 h, irradiation under blue LED at room temperature.

---
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\[
\frac{x + 2y}{x} = \frac{20}{1};
\]
\[
x + y = 1.
\]

As for the perfluorocarbon part of the polymers, analysis of the \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR was necessary. As mentioned above, the chemical shift at \(-60.8 \text{ ppm} \) was attributed to HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) \(-n\) in the polymer chain end, part of which has undergone chain transfer reaction and the corresponding chemical shift HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) \(-p\) has been shifted to the high field \(\delta = -137.5 \text{ ppm}\). So there existed two different perfluorocarbon chain end, ICF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) - and HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) - . If we set the integration of HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) \(-p\) as 2.0, the corresponding chemical shifts for HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) \(-o\) and ICF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) \(-n\) were 2.0 and 18.3, respectively. Accordingly to eqn (2), the exact incorporation number of \(A_2\) was 8.1, consulted from the \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectrum of \(A_2\) [Fig. S4 in ESI\(^\dagger\)]. As mentioned above, when only one kind of monomer (\(A\) or \(B\)) was added into the polymerization system (entries 13 and 14, Table 2), no polymers could be generated. So the final polymers were consisted of \((A_2B_3)_{n_1}\), \((B_3A_2B_3)_{n_2}\) and \((A_2B_3)_{n_3}A_2\) \((n_1 \text{ was not necessarily equal to } n_2 \text{ or } n_3)\). There existed three possibilities for the functional groups in the polymer chain end, CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\), HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) - , and ICF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) - , and the ratio was \(1 : 2 : 18\). So the corresponding ratio between the two different chain end, \(A\) and \(B\), was \(20 : 1\), no matter \(A\) was in the form of HCF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) - , or ICF\(_2\)CF\(_2\) - . Considering the low content of \(B\) in the polymer chain end, we assumed there only existed \((A_2B_3)_{n_1}\) and \((A_2B_3)_{n_3}A_2\) to simplify the calculation process. According to eqn (3) and (4), we could know the molar percent of \((A_2B_3)_{n_1}\), and \((A_2B_3)_{n_3}A_2\) were 9.5% and 90.5%, respectively. The low molar percent of \((A_2B_3)_{n_1}\) in the final polymer matched well with our assumption above. And the final polymer was constructed in strict alternating manner of \(A\) and \(B\). What’s more, most part of the functional group (C–I) in the polymer chain end has been preserved after the polymerization based on the analysis of \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectrum, which was a great progress compared with our previous investigation. So the alternating copolymer \((AB)_n\) generated here was potential macromolecular initiator for the construction of more complex functional polymers, which are under investigation in our laboratory.

In most cases, the polymerization was terminated with the final polymer yield up to 80.0%, we wondered what remained in the precipitant (MeOH). So we studied one polymer sample thoroughly through NMR \((^1\text{H} \text{ and } ^{19}\text{F})\) tests (Fig. S2 in ESI\(^\dagger\)), including \textit{in situ} NMR for the calculation of function consumption \((\text{C–I})\) polymerization conditions: feed ratio was \(\text{[MMA]}_0 : \text{[initiator]}_0 : \text{[Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2]}_0 : \text{[AsAc-Na]}_0\), irradiation under blue LED at room temperature. \(V_{\text{MMA}} = 1.0 \text{ mL}\), and \(C=\text{C} (\text{B})\), polymer structure analysis, and residue analysis. In order to ensure the homogeneity of sampling for \textit{in situ} NMR experiment, we carried out the polymerization in organic solvent system (1,4-dioxiane/Methanol). Based on the analysis of \textit{in situ} NMR spectra \((^1\text{H} \text{ (Fig. S2(a) in ESI\dagger), and } ^{19}\text{F (Fig. S2(b) in ESI\dagger))}, we could know all the functional groups in the polymerization system have been consumed up when the polymerization was terminated. After the completion of the polymerization, we also analyzed the purified polymer sample \((A_2B_3)_n\). As there existed no signals for CH\(_2\)

\((\text{CH})\) in \(^1\text{H} \text{ NMR}\) (Fig. S2(c) in ESI\(^\dagger\)), the polymer was chain-ended by HCF\(_2\) \(-\) in both ends. We could firstly calculate the exact incorporation number of \(A_2\) based on \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR. The chemical shifts \(i\) and \(j\) in \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR (Fig. S2(d) in ESI\(^\dagger\)) were attributed to HCF\(_2\) \(-\) in the polymer chain end and could be deemed as the characteristic signals. If we set the integration of chemical shift of \(j\) as 1.0, the corresponding integration for chemical shift \(g\) was 23.9. Based on eqn (5), the exact number of \(A_2\) incorporated into the polymer chain was 10.0, which meant the polymer sample was in the form of \((A_2B_3)_n\) \(A_2\) \((n = 9)\). As for the analysis of \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum (Fig. S2(c) in ESI\(^\dagger\)), the chemical shift \((\text{HCF}_2\) \(-\)) could be deemed as the characteristic signal for the calculation of the polymerization degree, and we set the integration of a as 2.0. Then the integration for signal –CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) (I)CH\(_2\) – \((c)\) was 17.3. Based on eqn (6), the total incorporation number of monomer was 18.3, the analysis result of which corresponded with \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR one. The chemical shifts \(k\) and \(l\) were structure faults caused by the elimination of HF, which is a common phenomenon in fluorine-containing polymers.\(^{29}\) As for the residues in the precipitant (MeOH), we firstly assumed the residues were consisting of oligomers, and calculated the polymerization degree. Based on eqn (5), the exact number of \(A_2\) incorporated in the polymer was 0.5 (Fig. S2(f) in ESI\(^\dagger\)). In the analysis of \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum (Fig. S2(e) in ESI\(^\dagger\)), the polymerization degree \(n\) of \((A_2B_3)_n\) was 1.0 based on eqn (6). The analysis results above meant the average polymerization degree for the residues was less than 1, so there only existed dimers \(A_2B_3\), \(A_2\), and \(B_3\) in residues, instead of polymers. So no oligomers remained in the precipitant, and all the polymers have been collected successfully.

\[
\text{Calculation for } (AB)_n \text{ based on } ^{19}\text{F} \text{ NMR} : \frac{(I_k - 4)/4}{I_l/2};
\]
\[
\text{Calculation for } (AB)_n \text{ based on } ^1\text{H} \text{ NMR} : \frac{I_l/2}{I_k/2};
\]

More experiments have also been carried out to inspect the monomer scope applicable in the novel polymerization process (Table 4). Satisfied results have been achieved for all the applied \(\text{z}_{\omega\omega}\)-diiodoperfluoralkanes \(A\) and \(\text{z}_{\omega\omega}\)-unconjugated dienes \(B\). As long as we ensured the inertness of \(C=\text{C} \) bonds in \(\text{z}_{\omega\omega}\)-unconjugated dienes \(B\), various functional perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymers \((AB)_n\) could be efficiently prepared through the utilization of different pairs of \(A\) and \(B\). In addition, some post-polymerization experiments have been carried out to obtain pure semifluorinated polylefins and the detailed modification procedures are presented in the experimental part above. Based on the comparison of NMR analysis (Fig. S3 in ESI\(^\dagger\)), the signal attributed to –CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) (I) CH\(_2\) – has disappeared thoroughly after the reduction of iodine atoms in the polymer chains. Therefore, the final polymer \(P_2\).
The semi-per tests well illustrated the thermal stability properties of both raw functional per alkane chain and per (the temperature reached 400.0°C containing polyole). The second stage stood for the autocatalytic chain excision and to the loss of HI, which began to degrade at 223.9°C. The improved thermal stability was due to the thermal stability for the pure semi-per polymer materials. To be mentioned the thermal stability for the pure semi-per polymer materials, the residual char for \( R_{CH} \) was about 27.6% when the temperature reached 485.5°C. The improved thermal stability was due to the fluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymer at 482.1°C. And the second stage for the autocalytic chain excision and decomposition of the polymers. The common halogen-containing polylefins almost decomposed completely when the temperature reached 400.0°C. On the contrary, the final residual char for \( R_{CH} \) was 20.7%, when the temperature reached 482.1°C. The improved thermal stability was due to the presence of perfluorocarbon segment in \( R_{CH} \). It was worthy to be mentioned the thermal stability for the pure semi-fluorinated polylefin sample \( P_4 \) \( M_n^{GPC} = 5200 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_n/M_w = 1.47 \) has been further improved, which did not decompose until the temperature reached 350.0°C, comparable with flame-retardant polymer materials. And the final residual char was about 27.6% when the temperature reached 485.5°C. TGA tests well illustrated the thermal stability properties of both raw perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymer \( R_{CH} \), and the semifluorinated polylefin sample \( P_4 \).

**Table 4** Investigation of the monomer scope applicable in our polymerization system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>( M_n^{GPC} ) (g mol (^{-1}))</th>
<th>( M_n/M_w )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>8200</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>17 200</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polymerization conditions: \([A]_0 : [B]_0 : [Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2]_0 : [AsAc-Na]_0 = 1 : 1 : 0.02 : 0.5, n(B) = 0.5 \text{ mmol, } V_{(1,4-dioxane)} : V_{(MeOH)} : V_{(water)} = 3 : 1 : 3, V_{(total solvent)} = 7.6 \text{ mL, irradiation under blue LED at room temperature.}*

(M_{n}^{GPC} = 2700 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_{n}^{NMR} = 4200 \text{ g mol}^{-1}) was composed of alkane chain and perfluorocarbon chain in strict alternating manner. Considering the successful generation of different functional perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymers \( AB \) shown in Table 3, we could also obtain various kinds of functional semifluorinated polylefins in high efficiency, not restricted to simple semifluorinated polylefins. And the corresponding different functional semifluorinated polylefins could be applied in different occasions.

TGA experiments were carried out to investigate the thermal stability of resultant polymers (Fig. 4). The decomposition process for raw perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymer \( \text{A2B3}_n \) \( P_3, M_n^{GPC} = 6100 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_n/M_w = 1.41 \) could be divided into two stages, similar with literature report.\( ^{30} \) The first stage in decomposition process for \( \text{A2B3}_n \) corresponded to the loss of HI, which began to degrade at 223.9°C. And the second stage stood for the autocalytic chain excision and decomposition of the polymers. The common halogen-containing polylefins almost decomposed completely when the temperature reached 400.0°C. On the contrary, the final residual char for \( \text{A2B3}_n \) was 20.7%, when the temperature reached 482.1°C. The improved thermal stability was due to the presence of perfluorocarbon segment in \( \text{A2B3}_n \). It was worthy to be mentioned the thermal stability for the pure semifluorinated polylefin sample \( P_4 \) \( M_n^{GPC} = 5200 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_n/M_w = 1.47 \) has been further improved, which did not decompose until the temperature reached 350.0°C, comparable with flame-retardant polymer materials.\( ^{32} \) And the final residual char was about 27.6% when the temperature reached 485.5°C. TGA tests well illustrated the thermal stability properties of both raw perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymer \( \text{A2B3}_n \), and the semifluorinated polylefin sample \( P_4 \).

Based on the combined analyses of polymerization kinetics and NMR (\(^1\)H and \(^{19}\)F) tests, the whole polymerization mechanism has been revealed, which is presented in Scheme 1. Firstly, in the presence of visible light, the photo-redox catalyst Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2 was irradiated to the excited state *Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2*. In the presence of AsAc-Na, the excited photocatalyst *Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2* was reductively quenched to [Ru(bpy)_3]^+, which was highly reductive to open up the C–C bond of \( \text{A2B3}_n \). Then the initial carbon radicals (–CF_2) were generated, to which \( \text{A2B3}_n \)-unconjugated diene B was added at both carbon radicals. Since the \( \text{A2B3}_n \)-unconjugated diene B was also symmetrical, the probability of the opening up for the two C=C bonds was same. The single-addition step was completed by the addition of iodine atom to the newly-generated carbon radical. The mixed solvent system (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/water) provided a strong polar environment and promoted the radical addition between perfluoralkyl radicals (RF) and alkenes (C=C) and greatly inhibited the chain transfer reaction of –RF from MeOH. As a result, the copolymerization between \( \text{A2B3}_n \)-diiodoperfluoroalkanes A and \( \text{A2B3}_n \)-unconjugated...
dienes B progressed smoothly and efficiently for the generation of perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymers (AB)n with both high polymer yield and molecular weight in aqueous/organic biphase.

4. Conclusions

In summary, in aqueous/organic biphasic system, the step-growth radical polymerization between 1,1-diodoperfluoroalkanes A and 1,1-unconjugated dienes B proceeded efficiently, generating perfluorocarbon-containing alternating copolymers (AB)n with both high polymer yield and molecular weight. The mixed solvent system (1,4-dioxiane/MeOH/water) provided a strong polar environment, which promoted the radical reaction between perfluoroalkyl radicals (RF•) and alkenes (C=C). The polymerization proceeded efficiently and smoothly with no generation of I2 based on the analysis of UV-vis tests. Most of the functional group (C=O) has been preserved through 19F NMR analysis, which was a great progress compared with our previous investigation. In addition, some post-polymerization modification about the polymers have been carried out for the generation of semi-fluorinated polymers with enhanced thermal stability. Further investigations are underway for the property exploration of the resultant polymers and their practical applications.
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