Introduction

Fulvic acid (FA) represents a major component of natural organic matter (NOM); it adversely affects the vision and taste behavior and also imparts the formation of complexes with heavy metals and adsorbed organic contaminants.1,2 The presence of FA in natural water produces disinfection by-products (DBPs) during the disinfection process. Various types of DBPs such as trihalomethanes and halo acetic acids have been reported to be carcinogenic and mutagenic and cause other toxic effects in human beings.3–5 Therefore, removal of FA from water is very important for environmental and health considerations.

Several conventional methods such as coagulation/flocculation, ion-exchange, membrane filtration, and adsorption have been reported in the literature for the removal of FA. These conventional methods that utilize activated carbon and polymers have not been considered effective for the removal of FA contaminants since the size of FA particles is a few hundred nanometers or even less.6 However, in water treatment history, carbon is one of the most adaptable materials that is widely used for water purification.7–9 In the last three decades, nanoparticles have been increasingly applied in water purification as excellent adsorbents.10–12 Graphite oxide (GO),12 ornamented with different functional groups such as hydroxyl, epoxy, and carboxylic acid, can be further functionalized to prepare nanocomposites to be coated on the layers of sand for specific contaminant removal.11–15 The functionalized or non-functionalized forms of graphene have been used as variants.16,17

Generally, a chemical oxidation method is used to functionalize graphene by –OH and –COOH groups. The functionalized GO system is highly dispersible in water compared to its primary counterpart.18 Iron complexes (Fe–Fe2O4) are the most common functionalized metal–metal oxides applied with carbon-based nanocomposites for water treatment operations.19–21 Covalent or non-covalent bonds are created on the surfaces of graphene and GO to impart various functional groups.22 The functionalization of carbonaceous nanomaterials has mainly contributed to the enhanced hydrophilicity of GO and graphene with increased dispersion to interact with chemical contaminants in aqueous media at the nanometer level.23 Anionic contaminants were removed by increasing the surface charge of different functional groups on nanomaterial surfaces.24–26 The removal mechanism has been proposed to be through π–π interactions and cationic–π bonding.27 Generally, this removal is pH-dependent and follows the Langmuir adsorption model. Removal of mercury (Hg2+) as a contaminant has been reported with the adsorption capacity of 980 mg g–1 through a synthesized, polypyrrole-reduced graphene oxide (Ppy-RGO) composite.28

Low-cost water purification filtration sand columns were developed using GO nanosheets, covalently modified with thiol groups on sp2 domains to remove heavy metals and organic dyes.29 A sand column coated with GO was reported to remove heavy metals and organic dyes at a 5-fold greater level compared to uncoated sand. Magnetite-reduced graphene (M-RGO) hybrids were used with RGO, with a particle size in the order
of 10 nm, to remove As(III) and As(V). Above 99.9% arsenic was found to be removed within 1 ppb limit from the synthetic water at the concentration of 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\). Batch mode adsorption studies were conducted for the removal of rhodamine-6G dye and chlorpyrifos pesticide by graphene prepared from an asphalt precursor followed by immobilization of graphene on sand. An adsorption mechanism was established in a batch mode on the basis of time, particle size, and the adsorbent dose.

Cu(II) and Pb(II) were removed using a fixed-bed sand column by a graphene oxide (GO) layer under a steady flow of inlet air. However, the Cu(II) and Pb(II) removal efficiency decreased from 15.3% to 10.3% and from 26.7% to 19.0%, respectively, when the injection flow rate was increased from 1 to 5 mL min\(^{-1}\). The increase in the amount of GO in the column was found to be efficient for the removal of actinides, such as Am(III), Th(IV), Pu(IV), Np(V), U(VI), and distinctive fission products, such as Sr(II), Eu(II) and Tc(VII), compared to that of traditional sorbents such as bentonite clays and activated carbon even at pH less than 2.

Methylene blue (MB) was found to be removed up to 99% by GO in an aqueous solution at the concentration of 250 mg L\(^{-1}\) with the adsorption capacity of 714 mg g\(^{-1}\). A porous polysilicate acid/graphene composite was used to remove MB from the solution via chemical adsorption. A magnetic carbonate hydroxypatite/graphene oxide (M-CHAP/GO) composite was used as a nice adsorbent to satisfactorily remove both lead (Pb) and MB. Aqueous graphene oxide (GO) removed 95% of the initial concentration of MB in a spontaneous manner. An anionic azo dye was successfully removed by activated GO by decreasing the quantity of electronegative charges on the surface of GO. Heavy metals and dyes were efficiently removed through a manganese (Mn)-doped, iron nanocomposite.

However, in reality, most of the abovementioned methods were found to be less applicable due to lack of acceptable effectiveness in the removal of FA from water. A robust and more practical process needs to be established for FA removal from natural water. In this study, RGO was prepared, functionalized with an iron complex, and coated on sand to adsorb FA from synthetic water. Hence, the main objectives of our findings were as follows: (1) to characterize iron-functionalized reduced graphene oxide (IRGO); (2) to explore FA removal by IRGO-coated sand at different FA concentrations, pH conditions, time, and (3) to evaluate the effect of dose variation of adsorbent such as IRGO coated sand (\(\sim 0.5-2.5\) mg g\(^{-1}\)); and (4) to determine the adsorption mechanisms by studying the adsorption kinetics and measuring the isotherms.

### Materials and methods

#### Chemicals

The study was conducted using a synthetic solution of fulvic acid (FA), which was a gift by SNEH Corporation, Ahmadabad, India. Graphite powder, concentrated sulfuric acid (\(H_2SO_4\)), sodium nitrate (\(NaNO_3\)), hydrogen peroxide (\(H_2O_2\)), and ferrous sulfate (\(FeSO_4\)) were purchased from Merck, Dermasdat, Germany. Hydrazine hydrate \(N_2H_4\) (50-60%) and \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany.

#### Experimental

##### Synthesis of reduced graphene oxide from graphene oxide (GO)

GO was synthesized from graphite powder via Hummers method\(^{30,31}\) (Scheme 1). For a typical batch synthesis, graphite powder (5.0 g) and \(NaNO_3\) (2.5 g) were blended, and 120 mL of \(H_2SO_4\) (95%) was poured into a 1.2 L Borosil glass beaker. Then, the contents were mixed for 30 minutes by a magnetic stirrer in an ice bath. While maintaining vigorous stirring, potassium permanganate (\(KMnO_4\)) (15.0 g) was added to the suspension. The rate of mixing of \(KMnO_4\) was in a very controlled manner to maintain the mixture temperature lower than 20 °C. The ice bath was then detached and the compound was vigorously stirred at 35 °C for 30 min. During the reaction, the compound gradually became pasty and the color changed to light brown. At the end of the reaction, 150 mL of \(H_2O\) was added dropwise to the paste under vigorous mixing. The temperature of the system was rapidly increased to 98 °C that was maintained by external heating for 15 min and effervescence was observed. After stopped the fumes from the exfoliated paste was cooled for 20 min in a water bath upon completion of fumes, and 450 mL of \(H_2O\) was added to stop the oxidation. A 15 mL aliquot of 30% \(H_2O_2\) was added to the reaction, and the color changed to yellow. For refinement, the mixture was rinsed by separately washing and centrifuging with 10% HCl and DI water several times to achieve a pH value of 7.

The gray brownish GO powder was dispersed in DI water via (250 mL \(H_2O\) and 100 mg GO) sonication such that no particulate matter was found in the solution (Scheme 1). Hydrazine hydrate \(N_2H_4\) was added into the solution and the mixture was heated for 2 h in an oil bath containing commercial sunflower oil at 100 °C under magnetic stirring to maintain a homogeneous suspension. Then, the solution was transferred to a water...
The acid-washed sand sample was then heated to 550 °C in a vacuum furnace for the completion of annealing of the compound. For coating of RGO on sand particles, 50 g of this cleaned sand was dispersed in deionized water (DI) (147 mL) by ultrasonication for 30 min. To this dispersion, FeSO₄·7H₂O (15 mg) was added. The mixture was agitated for 24 h at 27 °C. After 24 h, 5 mL of 0.1 N ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) was mixed until the pH reached 8. Upon addition of ammonium hydroxide, the color of the compound turned brownish-red. The deep brownish-red color signaled the formation of ferric hydroxide in the mixture. A hot plate was used to evaporate the water from the compound. After evaporating the water from the mixture, a solid brownish-mass was formed, which was later suspended and washed with warm water several times. This brownish-mass was then dried in a vacuum oven at 85 °C for 12 h to complete the functionalization of the RGO nanomaterial.

Functionalization of RGO

RGO (3 mL) solution was dispersed in deionized water (DI) (150 mL) by ultrasonication for 30 min. To this dispersion, FeSO₄·7H₂O (15 mg) was added. The mixture was agitated for 24 h at 27 °C. After 24 h, 5 mL of 0.1 N ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) was mixed until the pH reached 8. Upon addition of ammonium hydroxide, the color of the compound turned brownish-red. The deep brownish-red color signaled the formation of ferric hydroxide in the mixture. A hot plate was used to evaporate the water from the compound. After evaporating the water from the mixture, a solid brownish-mass was formed, which was later suspended and washed with warm water several times. This brownish-mass was then dried in a vacuum oven at 85 °C for 12 h to complete the functionalization of the RGO nanomaterial.

Coating of sand with fRGO

Natural sand with particle size that passes through 300 μm and retain in 150 μm was sieved, collected, and washed with 10% HCl solution for the removal of different impurities before use. The acid-washed sand sample was then heated to 550 °C to remove any organic impurities. It was then cooled down to room temperature and stored in a vacuum desiccator for further use. For coating of RGO on sand particles, 50 g of this cleaned sand was put into a 250 mL beaker with 3 mL of 20 mg mL⁻¹ RGO. The mixture was then homogenized with 15 mg FeSO₄ dissolved in 150 mL of deionized water and heated up to 15 °C in a vacuum oven for three hours until nanocomposite was hardly attached on the surface of sand. Then, the fRGO nanocomposite-coated sand was heated up to 450 °C in a muffle furnace for the completion of annealing of the compound.

Characterization

Surface functionalization of fulvic acid (FA) and as-prepared fRGO was verified by FTIR spectroscopy (FTIR BRUKER TENSOR 27, Germany). The surface morphology of RGO-coated sand was observed by LEICA DMi8 optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (HITACHI S-3400N) with EDAX facility (EMAX). The surface morphology of fRGO was additionally evaluated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Quanta 200 FEG, FEI Company, USA). Upon adsorption, the surface of the complex interacted with FA and the interaction was observed by atomic force microscopy (AFM, Bruker Dimension Edge AFM-Peak force tapping) in an air medium. A total organic carbon (TOC) analyzer (vario TOC cube, Germany) was used for the determination of the total organic portion available in FA used as an adsorbate in this study. The determination method was performed as per the guidelines in which the FA sample was subjected to high-temperature catalytic oxidation up to 1200 °C and a CO₂ measurement using a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensor was carried out for TOC.

Fulvic acid (FA) removal study

Effect of pH. Batch experiments were conducted at different pH values to estimate the effect of pH on FA removal. To study the effect of pH on FA removal, six different solutions with pH values ranging from 2–12 were prepared. Then, 1 g of fRGO-coated sand was added to 25 mL of 10 mg L⁻¹ of FA synthetic solution. The samples were then shaken for 15 h at 100 rpm in a shaker. The solutions were then filtered and the effluent FA was measured. The final pH values of the solutions following FA adsorption were found to achieve the nearest values of equilibrium pH. Similar batch studies were conducted to compare the effect of pH on FA removal by powdered activated carbon (PAC).

Effect of time. Batch kinetic studies were conducted with 1 gm functionalized RGO-coated sand in 25 mL of 10 mg L⁻¹ of FA synthetic solution. The contents were stirred for different times ranging from 30 min to 40 h. A total of fourteen different samples were obtained at different time intervals and filtered using a 0.45 μm filter. Similar batch kinetic studies were conducted to compare the FA removal performance of fRGO with that of powdered activated carbon (PAC).

Adsorption study

Batch adsorption studies were conducted with five different doses of fRGO-coated sand in 25 mL of 10 mg L⁻¹ of FA synthetic solution, and the temperature was maintained at 27 °C. During experimentation, the equivalent TOC content of FA was monitored in each run. The FA concentration was 10 mg L⁻¹ equivalents with the TOC concentration of 4.431 mg L⁻¹. Similar TOC concentration values in surface water (River Hooghly) are also reported by other researchers. Therefore, in the present study, the initial dose concentration of FA was kept at 10 mg L⁻¹. With the desired amount of absorbent dose, the contents were stirred for 15 h, and removal efficiencies were determined. Similar batch adsorption studies were conducted to compare the FA removal performance of fRGO with that of powdered activated carbon (PAC). Commercial fulvic acid is a highly soluble and deep brown-colored compound. Since it is difficult to obtain pure FA, the removal of FA was monitored by TOC removal. Raw FA contains 44.31% TOC, and the functional groups associated with FA were characterized by FTIR analysis. A batch study was conducted to evaluate FA adsorption by fRGO.

Then, six different runs with 100 mL of FA solution at 10 mg L⁻¹ and different absorbent doses were carried out. The contents were stirred to achieve equilibrium. The equilibrium time was obtained from the kinetic study, which was approximately 15 h (95% removal). The contents were then filtered through 0.45 μm Whatman filter paper. The removal efficiency of FA was measured in terms of TOC removal. Since sand was used only as a support material, the adsorption capacity was reported in terms of the active functional adsorbents only.
Result and discussion

Characterization of RGO and fRGO

**FTIR study.** In a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) study, a broad peak observed from 3400 to 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the CH\(^{-}\) stretching frequency of FA. For fulvic acid samples, a peak can be seen in this region at around 2940 cm\(^{-1}\). The appearance of this peak could be attributed to the asymmetrical C–H stretching of methyl (–CH\(_3\)) groups present in FA. A sharp peak located in the wavenumber range from 1618 to 1720 cm\(^{-1}\) was observed due to stretching of the carbonyl C==O group. This observation explains the higher solubility of FA in water due to presence of a higher number of carboxylic acid groups in FA\(^{31}\) (Fig. 1).

FTIR was conducted to evaluate the incorporated functional groups on RGO and fRGO. The IR peak values were compared to the standard table of characteristic IR absorptions. It is clear from Fig. 2 that several functional groups were incorporated in the synthesized nanocomposite. The appearance of this peak could be attributed to the asymmetrical CH\(_2\) stretching. A sharp peak located at 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) has been observed due to C==C stretching. The peak located at 1120 cm\(^{-1}\) represents a C–OH alcohelic group, and that at 800 cm\(^{-1}\) represents the carbonyl C==O group stretching, respectively. These results explain the highly functionalized properties present in RGO to bond with multivalent metal ions\(^{32,53}\).

To increase the organic adsorption capacity of RGO, ferrous sulfate (FeSO\(_4\)) was added for subsequent functionalization. Thus, from Fig. 2, it was concluded that a broad peak observed around 3403 cm\(^{-1}\) was due to the presence of moisture. Another sharp peak was detected at 1635 cm\(^{-1}\) that justified the presence of C==C, and a peak at 823 cm\(^{-1}\) signified C==O stretching. The most important conclusion was drawn from the peak at 1134 cm\(^{-1}\) (1123–1173 cm\(^{-1}\)), which is due to the presence of a sulfate (SO\(_4^{2-}\)) ion. This was due to the fact that functionalization occurred through FeSO\(_4\)^{34}. The presence of SO\(_4^{2-}\) indirectly corroborates the presence of Fe in functionalized RGO.

The inner double bond oxygen (–O–) and hydroxyl (–OH–) bond were greatly reduced during the conversion of GO to RGO.\(^{35}\) An electrostatic bond was developed between the functionalized iron and a double bond oxygen in the fRGO structure (Scheme 2(a-i)),\(^{36}\) and the electrostatic bonds are proposed in Scheme 2(a-ii).\(^{37}\) The outer radicals of FA formed the electrostatic bond with a free electron of fRGO, and this bond and π–π interaction bonds were responsible for the adsorption (Scheme 2(b)).\(^{37}\)

**Optical microscopy study.** A uniform dispersion of RGO nanoparticles or flakes was observed in the optical microscopy images at 40× magnification. This indicates the formation of RGO nanoparticles, and hence the successful formation of RGO (Fig. 3). A magnified image for RGO nanoflakes is presented at the right side of the figure. An internal network within RGO nanoflakes can be easily observed in this specific image. Upon addition of FeSO\(_4\), the images became opaque, as observed through the optical microscope, and these images were further evaluated using SEM and TEM.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used for characterizing the morphology of the fRGO composite-coated sand. Sand samples before fRGO coating had a bright morphology (Fig. 4). After coating with an fRGO sheet-like structure, the morphology changed to a dull, glossy look due to the sticky covering over the surface and formation of aggregated clusters (Fig. 4). To understand the elemental composition of the material, the composite was analyzed using EDAX (Fig. 4), where it was shown that the major elements present were carbon, silicon, and oxygen. The inset in Fig. 4 shows the SEM image of a dry sand particle and the corresponding elemental maps. The observed Si and O peaks were resulted from the presence sand (SiO\(_2\)) particles. Whereas the appearance of carbon (C) and aluminum (Al) peaks indicates the presence of reduced graphene oxide, and small amount of impurity subsequently before...

---

**Fig. 1** Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrum of fulvic acid (FA).

**Fig. 2** Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of RGO and fRGO.
heat treatment. However, as observed from Fig. 4, it was expected that after mixing the fRGO compound with sand particles, followed by a heat treatment at 105 °C and 450 °C, the carbon amount was found increased. Similarly, the EDX graph in the Fig. 4(f) indicates the presence of Fe that confirms the successful coating of sand surface with fRGO. The elemental composition of the material was analyzed using EDAX to determine the nature of the composite, revealing that true functionalization occurred (Fig. 4).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
The morphological structure of fRGO was observed using TEM. The layered structure (Fig. 5(a)) and flake-like orientation (Fig. 5(b)) of fRGO are shown in Fig. 5. It shows successful transformation of RGO from GO upon chemical reduction followed by subsequent functionalization with an iron complex. RGO flakes, with a curtain-like structure with nanodimensions,
clearly indicate very good exfoliation of graphite during the oxidation process.\textsuperscript{38,39} However, the fRGO solution may impart a higher concentration in solution, and therefore, the layered sheet-like structure may appear (Fig. 5(a)). The surface morphology of the layered orientation strongly resembles an overlapped structure rather than an aggregated structure.\textsuperscript{60}

Atomic force microscopy (AFM)

To ascertain the adsorption of FA on fRGO, a microtopography study was performed via atomic force microscopy (AFM). A fulvic acid (FA) sample was dissolved in DI water and adsorbed on fRGO at neutral pH. A silicon wafer was taken as a substrate for spin casting of a dried FA-fRGO sample at 27 °C for 30 min. Fig. 6(a) and (b) shows a plan and sectional profile of FA-adsorbed fRGO flakes. Due to adsorption, the molecules formed in the FA-fRGO interaction featured a particle or bulb-shaped structure (Fig. 6(a)). The dimensions for white spots or knots, with a horizontal length of 10–40 nm and a vertical height of 2–12 nm, were tightly dispersed on the silicon wafer top surface. It can be clearly observed in Fig. 6(a) that smaller particles of complex formed by FA adsorbed fRGO remain in the height of ~2 nm,\textsuperscript{61} and larger particles of FA-fRGO complex show the height of ~12 nm. Interestingly the larger particles were found to aggregate at the outer region due to the existing centrifugal force.\textsuperscript{62}

Removal study

Effect of pH. Fig. 7 represents the removal of FA for both adsorbents. It is clear that FA removal increased at low pH and decreased at high pH for both adsorbents. Reduced graphene oxide-iron nanocomposites are reported to have a pH\textsubscript{pzc} value about 4.5.\textsuperscript{13} At low pH, the FA molecule remained undissociated and became hydrophobic. At low pH, fRGO became positively charged and could attract undissociated FA by induction. The
hydrophobicity of FA at low pH and simultaneous development of positive charges on fRGO enhanced the FA removal. At higher pH, the intra- and intermolecular electrostatic repulsive forces in the FA molecule increased. Moreover, the fRGO surface became negatively charged and subsequently the removal decreased. Similar results of a higher removal of FA at low pH by an iron-functionalized nanocarbon composite have been reported by other researchers.

Effect of contact time. A kinetics study was performed to determine the time-dependent removal of the adsorbate. It is clear from Fig. 8 that the kinetic performance of the three adsorbents fRGO, PAC, sand were in the order of fRGO > PAC > sand. Within the initial 5 h of contact time, all the adsorbents attained adsorption equilibrium. After increasing the contact time beyond 5 h, no significant increase in removal was observed. It is clear from Fig. 8 (t vs. q_t) that within the initial 1.5 h, more than 90% of TOC was removed by fRGO. However, PAC and sand removed 45.5 and 3.62% TOC, respectively. At equilibrium, fRGO achieved an adsorption capacity of 0.11 mg g^{-1}, whereas the adsorption capacity of PAC and sand was found to be 0.055 mg g^{-1} and 0.002 mg g^{-1}, respectively. fRGO has a 2 and 55 times higher adsorption capacity compared to PAC and clean sand, respectively. The higher adsorption of TOC by fRGO was due to the higher surface area of fRGO compared to that of PAC and sand. The higher removal was also attributed to the presence of active functional groups attached on the fRGO.

The FA removal data was also plotted according to four different kinetic models: pseudo-1st order, pseudo-2nd order, the Elovich model, and the intraparticle-diffusion kinetic model. The four types of models are described as follows.

1. Pseudo-1st order model:
   \[ \log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - k_{s1}t \]

2. Pseudo-2nd order model:
   \[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{q_e^2k} + \frac{t}{q_e} \]

3. Elovich model:
   \[ q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln (\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t \]

4. Intraparticle diffusion kinetic model:
   \[ q_t = k_{\text{diff}} t^\frac{1}{2} + C \]

where \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) (mg g^{-1}) are the adsorbed amounts of FA on the adsorbent at equilibrium and at time \( t \) (h), respectively. The variables \( k_{s1} \) and \( k_{s2} \) (mg h^{-1}) are the pseudo-1st-order and
pseudo-2nd-order rate constants. The variable $a$ (mmol g$^{-1}$ C$_0$ h$^{-1}$) is the initial adsorption rate, and $b$ (g mmol$^{-1}$ C$_0$) is the desorption constant. The variable $k_{diff}$ (mg g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1/2}$) is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant, and $C$ is a constant (mg g$^{-1}$).

Only a pseudo-2nd-order model with respect to $t/q_t$ vs. $t$ showed a straight line fit of the experimental data points, as observed by other researchers.\textsuperscript{37,40,64} The removal of TOC (FA) by the three adsorbents is plotted in Fig. 9(a)–(d). It is clear from the figures that all three adsorbent materials showed an excellent fit to the pseudo-second order kinetic model. Correlation coefficients and constants for the kinetic models are given in Table 1 for FA adsorption by fRGO, PAC, and sand. The kinetic coefficients calculated for the three adsorbents fRGO, PAC, and sand are given in Table 1 for FA adsorption by fRGO, PAC, and sand. The kinetic coefficients calculated for the three adsorbents fRGO, PAC, and sand are 8.1596 h$^{-1}$, 44.763 h$^{-1}$, and 15881.56 h$^{-1}$, respectively. The equilibrium adsorption capacity for TOC removal by fRGO, PAC, and sand were calculated from the model and found to be 0.399 mg g$^{-1}$, 0.1196 mg g$^{-1}$, and 0.00853 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively. The equilibrium adsorption capacity of fRGO was found to be 3.34 and 46.78 times higher compared to that of PAC and sand, respectively. A similar removal performance of FA by different carbonaceous adsorbents has been reported elsewhere.\textsuperscript{13,48,49,63,65–68} From these results, it was concluded that fRGO and PAC had a preferable adsorption capability, whereas sand had no such ability to remove FA from the solution. Because EDAX (Fig. 4) analysis of sand particles revealed the presence of aluminum, the small amount of TOC removal by sand particles may be attributed to the presence of aluminum as a mineralogical composition with the sand particles. The presence of carboxylic and phenolic functional groups in FA helped in its adsorption by coordinative interaction with aluminum oxide or hydroxide and thereby showed a small amount of TOC removal by sand. Fulvic acid was adsorbed at the solid–water interface due to their hydrophobic interaction,\textsuperscript{69} and the presence of acidic oxides (SiO$_2$) enhanced the uptake of humic substances via lowering the pH.\textsuperscript{70}

Table 1    Correlation coefficients and constants for the kinetic models are given for FA adsorption by fRGO, PAC, and sand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection of adsorbent</th>
<th>Pseudo-first order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second order</th>
<th>Elovich</th>
<th>Intraparticle diffusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_{s1}$ (mg h g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$k_{s2}$ (mg h g$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fRGO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 Effect of contact time on the removal efficiency: pseudo-1st-order kinetics (a), pseudo-2nd-order kinetics (b), Elovich kinetics (c) and intraparticle diffusion kinetics (d) for adsorption of FA onto fRGO, PAC, and sand ($C_0 = 10$ mg L$^{-1}$, $C_0$ as per TOC = 4.431 mg L$^{-1}$, $V = 25$ mL, $t = 40$ h, temperature of 300 K).
Adsorption isotherms

Two basic adsorption isotherms, namely Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherms, were investigated for FA removal by fRGO, and the adsorption performance was compared with that of PAC.

**Langmuir adsorption isotherm**

The Langmuir adsorption isotherm is applicable to characterize monolayer adsorption morphology, covering a limited number of identical locations. The model was adopted for a constant intensity of adsorption morphology and restricted the movement of adsorbate through the surface. The Langmuir adsorption isotherm may be represented in a linear form by the following equation:

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}} C_e k_L} + \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}}}
\]

where \(q_e\) is the equilibrium sorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(q_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum sorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), and \(k_L\) is the Langmuir constant related to the intensity of adsorption (L mg\(^{-1}\)). The Langmuir isotherm model for the removal of FA by fRGO and PAC is presented in Fig. 10(a). The adsorption isotherm constants for both materials and the isotherms are shown in Table 2. It is clear from Fig. 10(a) that both materials obeyed the Langmuir isotherm. However, the maximum adsorption capacity \(q_{\text{max}}\) of fRGO was found to be 222.72 mg g\(^{-1}\), whereas PAC had the maximum adsorption capacity equal to 7.12 mg g\(^{-1}\). Although both materials fit well \(R^2\) of fRGO was 0.995 and for PAC = 0.974 for FA removal, fRGO was about 31 times more efficient than PAC. The values of \(q_e\) and \(k_L\) were computed from the slope and intercept from the Langmuir isotherm plot, and the \(R^2\) values were 0.971 and 0.974, verifying that the sorption data matched well with the Langmuir isotherm model for fRGO and PAC, respectively. The values of \(k_L\) for fRGO and PAC were found to be 1.17 L mg\(^{-1}\) and 0.00275 L mg\(^{-1}\), respectively.

**Freundlich adsorption isotherm**

The adsorption characteristics of a heterogeneous surface with low and intermediary concentrations can be described by a Freundlich adsorption isotherm. The experimental adsorption data were tested via a Freundlich isotherm. The Freundlich isotherm may be expressed in the following linear form:

\[
\log q_e = \log k_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]

where \(q_e\) is the equilibrium sorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(k_F\) is the adsorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(n\) is the adsorption intensity (L mg\(^{-1}\)), and \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)).

The Freundlich adsorption isotherm for two adsorbents is shown in Fig. 10(b). It is clear from Fig. 10(b) that both adsorbents showed a good fit to the model. The sorption capacity of fRGO was found to be 142.19 mg g\(^{-1}\), whereas PAC showed an FA adsorption capacity of about 0.02 mg g\(^{-1}\), which was significantly low. The low removal of FA by PAC may be attributed to the fact that high molecular weight, large sized FA does not get adsorbed on PAC due to its small pore size. Due to functionalization of reduced graphene oxide, the adsorption capacity of FA significantly increased. The adsorption isotherm constants for both materials and the isotherms are shown in Table 2.

The correlation coefficients \(R^2\) for the Freundlich isotherm model for both the adsorbents (fRGO and PAC) were higher than those for the Langmuir isotherm model. The results demonstrated that heterogeneous surface adsorptions occurred at low and intermediate concentrations of adsorbate. Hence,
the primary mechanism of adsorption in this case is driven by the heterogeneous surfaces of the adsorbent.\textsuperscript{28,34}

The thermodynamic parameter of free energy change $\Delta G^\circ$ was calculated from the temperature-dependent sorption isotherms.\textsuperscript{13} The equilibrium constant $K$ and $\Delta G^\circ$ were derived from the relationship:

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The results of free energy change $\Delta G^\circ$ are shown in Table 3. The negative values of $\Delta G^\circ$ signified that both the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption processes were spontaneous.\textsuperscript{23,38}

### Conclusions

The present study indicates that iron-functionalized reduced graphene oxide (fRGO) can be used as an effective adsorbent material for the removal of contaminants such as fulvic acid. The removal of FA is pH-dependent, and higher removal takes place at low pH. The kinetic study of FA removal revealed that it followed a second-order kinetics model better compared to first-order kinetics. Functionalization of RGO by an iron salt enhanced the FA adsorption capacity. Due to the large specific surface area and functionalization of RGO, adsorption of FA greatly increased compared to that by powder activated carbon (PAC). Our results suggest that fRGO is an effective adsorbent for removing fulvic acid (FA) molecules in surface water environments. Both the electrostatic bond with a free electron of fRGO and the $\pi-\pi$ interaction bonds were responsible for the adsorption. This also suggests a new way to improve the adsorption capacity of RGO for the removal of natural organic materials in water.
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