Journal of Materials Chemistry C



CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016.

Correction: Evaluating the role of energetic disorder and thermal activation in exciton transport

Thomas R. Fielitz,† S. Matthew Menke‡ and Russell J. Holmes*

DOI: 10.1039/c6tc90131j

Correction for 'Evaluating the role of energetic disorder and thermal activation in exciton transport' by S. Matthew Menke et al., J. Mater. Chem. C, 2016, 4, 3437–3442.

www.rsc.org/MaterialsC

There is a mistake in the distance dependence of eqn (4), which should read:

$$\Gamma[E, E', d] = \frac{R_{0,C}^{6}}{d^{6}\tau_{\text{rad}}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{A}}{kT}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{E' - E}{kT}\right)$$

There are also errors in Table 1 on page 3440, which affect four callouts from the main text. In Table 1, the second column, " $R_{0,C}^6/\tau_{\rm rad}$ [nm⁶ ns⁻¹]" is actually " $R_{0,C}/\tau_{\rm rad}^{1/6}$ [nm ns^{-1/6}]". This correction only changes the second column title and the seventh column values (" $R_{0,C}$ ") and the corrected table is given below:

Table 1 Model parameters for the KMC simulations predicting the temperature dependence of $L_{\rm D}$. Here, $R_{\rm 0,C}/\tau_{\rm rad}^{1/6}$, $E_{\rm A}$, and σ are fitting parameters for the KMC simulations. The $\eta_{\rm PL}$ is separately measured allowing for the tabulation of $\tau_{\rm rad}$ and extraction of $R_{\rm 0,C}$ from the fit parameters. Shown for comparison is the average molecular separation (d) determined from the thin-film density (ρ) as $d=\sqrt[3]{3/(4\pi\rho)}$

Material	$R_{0,C}/\tau_{\rm rad}^{1/6} [{\rm nm \ ns}^{-1/6}]$	$E_{\rm A} \left[{ m meV} \right]$	$\sigma [{ m meV}]$	$\eta_{ m PL}\left[\% ight]$	$ au_{ m rad} [m ns]$	$R_{0,\mathrm{C}}$ [nm]	d [nm]
Alq ₃	2.3	< 10	150	16.2	99	5.0	0.53
DCV3T	1.7	80	33	8.0	17	2.7	0.54
SubPc	1.4	<10	35	1.0	55	2.7	0.48

For consistency, all references to the fitting parameter $R_{0,C}^{6}/\tau_{\rm rad}$ must also be changed to $R_{0,C}/\tau_{\rm rad}^{1/6}$. This occurs on page 3439, column 1, line 13; page 3439, column 2, line 18; and page 3440 in the caption of Fig. 4, line 6. The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

[†] This author identified the errors in the original paper and checked the consistency of the correction with the rest of the paper as published.

[‡] Current address: Department of Physics, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, J.J. Thompson Ave, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK.