## Journal of Materials Chemistry C



## CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016. **4** 1914

## Correction: Radiative and non-radiative decay rate of K<sub>2</sub>SiF<sub>6</sub>:Mn<sup>4+</sup> phosphors

Minseuk Kim,<sup>a</sup> Woon Bae Park,<sup>a</sup> Bokeuk Bang,<sup>b</sup> Chang Hae Kim<sup>b</sup> and Kee-Sun Sohn\*<sup>a</sup>

Correction for 'Radiative and non-radiative decay rate of  $K_2SiF_6$ : $Mn^{4+}$  phosphors' by Minseuk Kim *et al.*, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 5484–5489.

www.rsc.org/MaterialsC

DOI: 10.1039/c6tc90037b

There are several instances where the term wt% incorrectly appears instead of at%. These occur in the first two paragraphs of the experimental results and discussion section on page 5485 (corrected terms are indicated by bold text below):

"Two  $K_2SiF_6$ :Mn<sup>4+</sup> phosphors were prepared with starting Mn<sup>4+</sup> concentrations of 0.01 and 10 at%, which hereafter are referred to as samples A and B, respectively. However, Mn<sup>4+</sup> concentration was not easily controlled during the precipitation process in the solution. Thus, the desired processing compositions, 0.01 and 10 at%, were not realized in the final samples. The ICP-MS analyses identified the actual Mn concentration to be 'nondetectable' for sample A and 4–5 wt% for sample B. The average Mn concentration obtained from the ICP measurements (4.695 wt% or 2.46 at%) will hereafter be referred to as the standard. Considering the sensitivity limit of 0.001 wt% for the ICP measurement of Mn ion, the actual Mn concentration should be lower than 0.001 wt% for sample A.

It was more accurate to estimate  $Mn^{4+}$  concentration based on the total concentration of Mn ions in various valence states, which was obtained from the ICP-MS measurement. XPS was used to accomplish this for sample B. Fig. 1 shows the Mn 2p core-level X-ray photoelectron spectrum together with fitted lines. The spectrum consists of  $2p_{1/2}$  and  $2p_{3/2}$  of  $Mn^{3+}$  and  $Mn^{4+}$ . The relative ratio between the different valence states (+3 and +4) of Mn ions for sample B was evaluated from the XPS data using a simple regression-fitting process, as shown in Fig. 1. It was obvious that  $Mn^{3+}$  ions were present along with  $Mn^{4+}$  ions in sample B. A rough estimate of the relative portion of  $Mn^{4+}$  was established to be 24% from the simple regression fitting of XPS spectra for both  $2p_{1/2}$  and  $2p_{3/2}$  peaks. The ICP-MS measurement of Mn content (2.46 at%) was reduced to 0.59 at% when considering only the activator ( $Mn^{4+}$ ) concentration. This meant that only about 5% of the starting Mn content (10 at%) was incorporated as  $Mn^{4+}$  activators in the  $K_2SiF_6$  host, and the other 95% might have been dissolved in the solution and wasted during the synthesis or is incorporated as  $Mn^{3+}$  in the  $K_2SiF_6$  host. As seen in the XPS results, a considerable number of  $Mn^{3+}$  was present in the  $K_2SiF_6$  host. In fact, the number of  $Mn^{3+}$  was much higher than the number of  $Mn^{4+}$  activators.  $Mn^{3+}$  has a  $3d^4$  configuration consisting of one spin-quintet ( $^5D$ ), five spintriplets ( $^3D$ ,  $^3F_{1,2}$ ,  $^3G$ ,  $^3H$ ), and eight spin-singlets (1S1,2, 1D1,2, 1F, 1G1,2, 1I). The crystal field splitting of these term symbols constitutes a much more complicated energy level, but there was no energy transition leading to visible light emission in any of the host materials at room temperature."

Also in the first sentence of text below eqn (1) on page 5487 (corrected term indicated by bold text below):

"The radiative decay time was assumed to be 13 ms, which was measured by Kasa and Adachi for a sufficiently diluted  $K_2SiF_6:Mn^{4+}$  (Mn < 1 at%) at low temperatures from 20 K up to about 100 K."

And in the text immediately after eqn (3) also on page 5487 (corrected terms indicated by bold text below):

"where V is the unit cell volume of the  $K_2SiF_6$  host and N is the number of Si sites in the  $K_2SiF_6$  unit cell.  $x_c$  was evaluated to be 1.26 **at%**, which was greater than that of sample B (0.59 **at%**)."

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Faculty of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials Engineering, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, Korea. E-mail: kssohn@sejong.ac.kr; Fax: +82 2 3408 4477; Tel: +82 2 3408 4477

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Daejon 305-600, Korea. Fax: +82 42860 7508; Tel: +82 42 860 7374