

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 16003

Niobium carbide nanofibers as a versatile precursor for high power supercapacitor and high energy battery electrodes†

Aura Tolosa,^{ab} Benjamin Krüner,^{ab} Simon Fleischmann,^b Nicolas Jäckel,^{ab} Marco Zeiger,^{ab} Mesut Aslan,^a Ingrid Grobelsek^a and Volker Presser^{*ab}

This study presents electrospun niobium carbide/carbon (NbC/C) hybrid nanofibers, with an average diameter of 69 ± 30 nm, as a facile precursor to derive either highly nanoporous niobium carbide-derived carbon (NbC–CDC) fibers for supercapacitor applications or niobium pentoxide/carbon (Nb₂O₅/C) hybrid fibers for battery-like energy storage. In all cases, the electrodes consist of binder-free and free-standing nanofiber mats that can be used without further conductive additives. Chlorine gas treatment conformally transforms NbC nanofiber mats into NbC–CDC fibers with a specific surface area of $1508 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. These nanofibers show a maximum specific energy of 19.5 W h kg^{-1} at low power and 7.6 W h kg^{-1} at a high specific power of 30 kW kg^{-1} in an organic electrolyte. CO₂ treatment transforms NbC into T-Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofiber mats that provide a maximum capacity of 156 mA h g^{-1} . The presence of graphitic carbon in the hybrid nanofibers enabled high power handling, maintaining 50% of the initial energy storage capacity at a high rate of 10 A g^{-1} (64 C-rate). When benchmarked for an asymmetric full-cell, a maximum specific energy of 86 W h kg^{-1} was obtained. The high specific power for both systems, NbC–CDC and T-Nb₂O₅/C, resulted from the excellent charge propagation in the continuous nanofiber network and the high graphitization of the carbon structure.

Received 22nd July 2016
Accepted 15th September 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ta06224e

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

Introduction

Energy storage systems with high power and high energy ratings are in high demand for a variety of applications; ranging from mobile devices to power leveling for wind and solar energy installations.¹ In particular, electrochemical energy storage (EES) has emerged as a highly promising and efficient technology.² With EES, energy can be stored by several mechanisms, ranging from physical ion electrosorption (electrical double-layer capacitors, EDLCs, also known as supercapacitors) and fast surface redox processes to ion intercalation (batteries).^{3,4} The process of electrical double-

layer formation is a non-faradaic interface mechanism, enabling high specific power and long cycling lifetime, but rather low specific energy.⁵ Ion intercalation batteries accomplish charge storage and recovery by faradaic charge transfer between the electrolyte ions and the electrode materials.⁶ By this way, high specific energy can be obtained, while the cycling lifetime and power handling are limited by mechanical/structural degradation and diffusion processes through bulk materials.⁶ The ultimate goal is to achieve a combination of high power and high energy ratings. This is sought to be achieved by the development of hybrid technologies, such as lithium ion capacitors,⁷ and employment of rapid pseudocapacitive materials⁸ or redox-active electrolytes.^{9,10}

A particularly attractive group of electrode materials are binder-free and free standing fiber mats.^{11,12} The open-mesh percolated network and the possibility of creating a continuous active material are highly attractive to achieve an improved power performance. Commonly, different precursor materials and electrode architectures are employed when exploring supercapacitor or battery-like systems. The challenge is to satisfy the very different requirements for these two applications: (1) nanoporous carbon with a highly tailorable and controllable pore size distribution and (2) a redox-active material enabling, for example, rapid and reversible Li-ion intercalation.

Nanoporous carbon fibers have been extensively explored for supercapacitor applications, especially those derived from high

^aINM – Leibniz Institute for New Materials, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany. E-mail: volker.presser@leibniz-inm.de

^bDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Saarland University, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Comprehensive Raman data (including peak deconvolution analysis), additional high resolution transmission and scanning electron micrographs, additional fiber diameter distribution analysis, additional gas sorption data, comprehensive data analysis (SEM, Raman, XRD, gas sorption analysis, and electrochemistry) for CDC materials obtained for 1 h of chlorine gas treatment (compared to 3 h in the manuscript), complementary thermogravimetric analysis and thermodynamic calculations with FACTSAGE, and additional electrochemical galvanostatic benchmarking of a half-cell and full-cell setup for the battery-system. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ta06224e



carbon yield polymers such as polyacrylonitrile (PAN) and polymer blends such as polyvinylpyrrolidone and methylacrylate as pore formers.¹³ To achieve a large surface area, physical or chemical activation of such PAN fibers can be employed.^{13,14} An alternative approach, which allows more control over the size and size distribution of nanopores, is the selective removal of metal atoms from metal carbide fibers.¹⁵ This can be done *via* thermal treatment with chlorine gas, yielding highly nanoporous carbon (carbide-derived carbon, CDC).¹⁶ So far, this approach has only been employed with electrospun fibers of TiC–CDC^{12,17} and SiC–CDC.^{18,19} More detailed work has been carried out on the synthesis of CDC powders, including systems such as NbC,²⁰ TiC,^{21,22} or B₄C,²³ with surface areas between 1000 and 3000 m² g⁻¹ and pore volumes between 0.5 and 2 cm³ g⁻¹.

Carbon hybrid fibers containing redox-active materials have been proposed for pseudocapacitors, redox-hybrids, and battery-like energy storage.^{24,25} Different approaches have been reported, including functionalization of carbon fibers with quinones,²⁶ coating with pseudocapacitive oxides (mainly MnO₂),^{27,28} and synthesis of composite fibers with RuO₂ or NiO.^{29,30} The hybridization of carbon and redox-active materials combines two synergetic aspects: the high electrical conductivity of carbon with the high faradaic charge storage capacity of redox-active materials. The latter often lack a good electron propagation ability, which makes the presence of a conductive phase mandatory in order to achieve a high power performance. A very promising metal oxide that has not been explored so far for carbon-hybrid fibers is niobium pentoxide (Nb₂O₅). Niobium pentoxide presents a high specific energy and power capability related to fast lithium ion intercalation within (110) or (001) planes, depending on the crystal phase.^{8,31,32} There are several Nb₂O₅ crystal phases based on octahedrally coordinated niobium atoms and the most common phases are TT-Nb₂O₅ (pseudohexagonal), T-Nb₂O₅ (orthorhombic), M-Nb₂O₅ (tetragonal), and H-Nb₂O₅ (monoclinic).³³ The monoclinic phase is the most thermodynamically stable (formed above 1000 °C), while the TT-phase is the least thermodynamically stable and is formed at lower temperatures (400–500 °C).³³

First studies showed that Nb₂O₅ presents Li-ion intercalation at a potential below 2.0 V *vs.* Li/Li⁺,³⁴ and it was initially explored as a cathode material in secondary lithium batteries using a Li-metal anode.³⁵ Different polymorphs have later been investigated as electrode materials not just for Li-ion batteries,³⁶ but also for carbon/Nb₂O₅ hybrid systems.^{8,37} It has been observed that the energy storage capacity of Nb₂O₅ for lithium-ion batteries highly depends on its crystalline structure; H-Nb₂O₅ shows the highest specific energy, delivering a second cycle discharge capacity of 242 mA h g⁻¹, compared to 152 mA h g⁻¹ for TT-Nb₂O₅.^{35,36} For battery-like systems, TT-, T- and M-Nb₂O₅ have been widely studied. T-Nb₂O₅ has shown superior power handling due to fast two dimensional Li⁺ transport within the crystal structure that causes no phase transition during the electrochemical reaction.^{8,37} So far, polymer-bound electrodes have been mainly produced by mixing Nb₂O₅ fibers,³⁶ nano-sheets,³⁸ and nanoparticles^{8,31} with conductive additives. Thin electrodes without conductive additives have also been explored

by direct drop casting of Nb₂O₅ nanoparticles on the current collector³² or from thin mesoporous films.³⁹ However, this approach remains limited with regards to scalability.

Our work presents, for the first time, electrospun NbC/C hybrid nanofibers as a versatile precursor to derive either (1) NbC–CDC nanofibers for high power, capacitive energy storage or (2) Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers for high energy, battery-like energy storage. We will first establish the synthesis process and optimum processing parameters, and then provide comprehensive material characterization. Supercapacitor performance was benchmarked in an organic electrolyte (1 M TEA-BF₄ in acetonitrile), and the battery-like system is investigated in 1 M LiClO₄ in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate electrolyte. The choice of different electrolytes was motivated by enabling high ion mobility for the supercapacitor system, while a large voltage stability window and lithium intercalation were needed for the battery-like system. A key feature of our system is the ability to directly use free-standing, binder-free nanofiber mats; hence, the need for a binder (*i.e.*, dead mass) is eliminated, the possible blocking of pores by the polymer binder is avoided, and an improved electrical conductivity of the electrode can be obtained, as shown in our recent study.¹⁸

Experimental description

Materials synthesis

Niobium carbide/carbon hybrid nanofibers were synthesized following a sol–gel synthesis, as described in our previous work.⁴⁰ Niobium *n*-butoxide (NbBO) (99% metal basis) was purchased from Alfa Aesar, while acetic anhydride (Ac₂O) (≥99% purity), anhydrous *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) (99.8% purity), and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (*M*_w ≈ 1 300 000 g mol⁻¹) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The spinning dope was prepared by mixing 9 mass% NbBO and 2 mass% Ac₂O for 2 min. Then, 79 mass% of DMF (solvent) and 10 mass% of PVP (carrier polymer) were added. The spinning dope was stirred for 48 h prior to electrospinning, until a translucent solution was obtained. The fiber mats were produced by electrospinning in a MECC nanofiber system. The solution was pumped at 0.5 mL h⁻¹ through a spinneret (inner diameter: 400 μm), inductively charged at 23 kV. The fibers were collected at a distance of 165 mm from the spinneret. The humidity and the temperature were maintained between 10 and 20% and 25 and 30 °C.

Post spinning, the as-spun fibers were exposed at room humidity for 72 h, to promote further hydrolysis and condensation reactions. Annealing was performed under 99.5% argon in a graphite heated furnace, Thermal Technology. The fibers were heated at 5 °C min⁻¹ to 400 °C for 3 h, and then heated to 1500 or 1700 °C for 4 h for carbothermal reduction.

Synthesis of niobium carbide-derived carbon nanofibers. The NbC/C fibers pyrolyzed at 1500 °C (NbC-1500) were used for carbide-to-carbon conversion. Chlorine gas treatment was carried out in a quartz tube furnace (Gero HTRH) which was constantly purged with Ar (50 cm³ min⁻¹). NbC-1500 fibers were heated at 15 °C min⁻¹, until reaching the temperature of the treatment (400, 600, or 900 °C), and treated with Cl₂ for 1 or 3 h (flow rate: 10 cm³ min⁻¹). A post annealing process with



hydrogen gas ($10 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$) was applied at $600 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 3 h to remove residual chlorine species present in the sample. Then, the samples were cooled to room temperature.

Synthesis of niobium pentoxide–carbon hybrid nanofibers.

The NbC/C fibers pyrolyzed at $1700 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (NbC-1700) were used for carbide-to-oxide conversion. Two different oxidative atmospheres were studied, CO_2 and air. NbC-1700 fibers were thermally annealed between 450 and $500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in a VG Scienta GP-CVD tube furnace under synthetic air (flow rate: $50 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$) at $5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ for 3 h. Thermal annealing under CO_2 ($50 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$) was done at $850 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in a Xerion XROTATE tube furnace at $5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ for 1 h.

Materials characterization

Structural and chemical characterization. The morphology of the fibers was examined with a JEOL JSM 7500F field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM). All samples were fixed on steel sample holders with sticky carbon tape. The Nb_2O_5 containing fibers were coated prior to the imaging with a thin layer of platinum in a JEOL Autofine Coater. ImageJ software was used to process the SEM micrographs and diameters of at least 100 individual fibers were measured. For high resolution analysis of the fibers, a JEOL JEM-2100F high resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM) was employed at 200 kV. In the TEM, selected area electron diffraction (SAED) was carried out for the Nb_2O_5 containing fibers. TEM samples were prepared by sonicating the fibers in ethanol for 3 min, and drop casting them on a carbon film on a copper grid.

The composition of the fibers was determined by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) using an X-Max-150 detector from Oxford Instruments attached to the SEM chamber. The spectra of 10 fibers were measured using an accelerating voltage of 10 kV, in uncoated fibers attached to a sticky carbon tape. A silicon standard was used for standardization.

XRD diffractograms were recorded with a Bruker D8 Discover diffractometer using $\text{Cu}_{K\alpha}$ radiation (0.154 nm ; without a monochromator) with a step size of 0.02° and a measurement time of 1–3 s per step. The system was calibrated with a corundum alumina standard. The samples were pestled and placed on a sapphire single crystal for the measurement. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) was measured by using EVA software from Bruker. The values of the average coherence length (roughly corresponding with the domain size) were obtained using the Scherrer equation.⁴¹

Raman spectra were recorded with an InVia Raman system, from Renishaw, using a laser with 532 nm excitation wavelength and 0.5 mW power on the sample with a spectral resolution of $ca. 1.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, using a $50\times$ objective (numeric aperture: 0.9). Peak analysis was performed by baseline correction and assuming four Voigt peak fittings between 500 and 2000 cm^{-1} . *In situ* Raman spectra were recorded during thermal treatment under synthetic air (heating rate $5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$) by using a temperature controlled Linkam T95-HT heating stage. A laser with 532 nm excitation wavelength was used, which exposed the sample to a power of 0.5 mW at the focal point.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out in synthetic air or CO_2 with a heating rate of $5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ with a TG 209 F1

Libra system (Netzsch). Nitrogen gas sorption measurements were carried out at $-196 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with an Autosorb iQ system (Quantachrome), after outgassing at $300 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 10 h under vacuum conditions (about 10^2 Pa) to remove volatile surface functionalities present in the sample. The specific surface area (SSA) was calculated with the Quantachrome ASiQwin software using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) equation⁴² in the linear relative pressure range of 0.05–0.2. Using the quenched-solid density functional theory (QSDFT),⁴³ the SSA and pore size distribution (PSD) were calculated assuming slit-shaped pores and pore sizes between 0.56 and 37.5 nm . The values of the total pore volume correspond to $p/p_0 = 0.95$. The values of the mean pore size are volume-weighted. Thermodynamic calculations were carried out with FactSage 7.0 (GTT-technologies).

Electrochemical measurements. Electrochemical measurements were carried out with a potentiostat/galvanostat (VSP300 from Bio-Logic), using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic cycling with potential limitation (GCPL). The electrodes were tested in custom-built polyether ether ketone (PEEK) cells with spring loaded titanium pistons as a three electrode system. 8 mm diameter working electrode discs ($1\text{--}2 \text{ mg}$, $80 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ thickness) were punched out of the free-standing fiber mats. Activated carbon (type YP-50F, Kuraray Chemicals) with 5 mass% polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) films was used as a quasi-reference electrode.⁴⁴ Working and counter electrodes were separated by a 13 mm diameter glass-fiber separator (GF/D from Whatman) and placed between 12 mm diameter carbon-coated aluminum current collectors (Zflo 2653, Exopack technologies). After assembling, the cells were dried at 2 kPa , $120 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 12 h. After cooling down, the cells were placed in an argon glove box (MBraun Labmaster 130, O_2 and $\text{H}_2\text{O} < 1 \text{ ppm}$), and filled with the corresponding electrolyte.

For electrochemical characterization of electrical double-layer capacitor cells, the performance of NbC–CDC electrodes was characterized in half- and symmetric full-cell setups employing 1 M tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate (TEA-BF_4) in electrochemical grade acetonitrile (ACN) from BASF as the electrolyte. Half-cell tests were performed with an oversized activated carbon (AC) counter electrode (10 mm diameter, 15 mg mass; YP-80F from Kuraray Chemicals with 5 mass% PTFE). Symmetric full-cell tests used two NbC–CDC fiber electrodes of the same mass. CVs were recorded at 10 mV s^{-1} scan rate in the potential range from -1.0 V to $+1.0 \text{ V}$ vs. carbon in half-cells and from 0 to $+2.7 \text{ V}$ cell voltage for full-cells. Galvanostatic cycling for half-cells was carried out from -1.0 V to $+1.0 \text{ V}$ vs. carbon at 2 A g^{-1} with a holding time of 10 min. The specific capacitance (C_{sp}) was calculated by integrating the discharge current between t_0 , the discharge starting time, and t , the end time of discharge, divided by U (voltage vs. carbon or applied cell voltage, for half- and full-cells, respectively) via eqn (1).⁴⁵ m corresponds to the mass of the CDC electrode for the half-cells, and for the full-cells it corresponds to the mass of both electrodes divided by four.

$$C_{\text{sp}} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\left(\int_{t_0}^t I dt \right)}{U} \quad (1)$$



Rate handling was quantified with the *IR* drop corrected voltage measured after 5 s resting time. Electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed in the range of 100 kHz to 100 mHz at 0 V with 10 points per decade and averaged over 5 measurements. Performance stability tests were carried out in full-cells by galvanostatic cycling over 10 000 cycles to 2.5 V at 1 A g⁻¹.

For electrochemical characterization of battery-like cells, Nb₂O₅/C electrodes were characterized by using half- and asymmetric full-cell setups in 1 M lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄) in electrochemical grade ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) from Sigma-Aldrich (volume ratio 1 : 1). Half-cell tests were performed with an oversized AC counter electrode (10 mm diameter, 15 mg mass; YP-80F from Kuraray Chemicals with 5 mass% PTFE) and asymmetric full-cell tests by combining a Nb₂O₅/C hybrid electrode with an AC electrode (YP-80F with 5 mass% PTFE). Charge balancing for asymmetric full-cells was achieved by mass balancing, according to the capacity values obtained by half-cell measurements at 0.1 A g⁻¹. The lower gravimetric capacity of the AC electrode compared to the Nb₂O₅/C electrode was compensated by using electrodes with different masses. The mass of the AC electrode was between 1.5 and 2.5 times higher than the mass of the Nb₂O₅/C electrode. The cell voltage was chosen in order to achieve a maximum potential of -2.0 V vs. carbon at the negative electrode (Nb₂O₅/C). For Nb₂O₅/C fiber electrodes, CVs were recorded at 1 mV s⁻¹ scan rate in the potential range from 0 to -2.0 V vs. carbon in half-cells and from 0 to +3.6 V cell voltage in full-cells. Rate handling for half- and asymmetric full-cells was carried out by GCPL, using the same potential ranges, from 0.1 to 40 A g⁻¹ with a holding time of 10 min. Capacity (Q_{sp}) was calculated by integrating the discharge current between t_0 , the discharge starting time, and t , the end time of discharge, and normalized to the electrode mass, m , via eqn (2):

$$Q_{\text{sp}} = \frac{1}{m} \left(\int_{t_0}^t I dt \right) \quad (2)$$

Performance stability tests were carried out by galvanostatic cycling over 5000 cycles from 0 to -2.0 V vs. carbon and 3.0 V cell voltage at 1 A g⁻¹ for half- and asymmetric full-cells, respectively.

Data from the electrodes with the best electrochemical performance for electrical double-layer capacitors or battery-like systems are presented in a Ragone plot. Using GCPL data of full-cells (asymmetric and symmetric), specific energy (E_{sp}) and specific power (P_{sp}) were calculated, using eqn (3) and (4), respectively, with U and I as the voltage and current applied to the full-cell, and M corresponds to the mass of both electrodes (positive and negative electrodes).

$$E_{\text{sp}} = \frac{\left(\int_{t_0}^t U dt \cdot I \right)}{M} \quad (3)$$

$$P_{\text{sp}} = \frac{E_{\text{sp}}}{t - t_0} \quad (4)$$

Results and discussion

Niobium carbide/carbon hybrid nanofibers

We briefly outline the important aspects of NbC/C hybrid nanofiber synthesis, which can be found in more detail in our previous work.⁴⁰ Using NbBO as the sol-gel precursor and PVP as the carrier polymer, we have established a facile synthesis route for hybrid nanofibers with an average fiber diameter of 69 ± 30 nm. This approach employs electrospinning to obtain free-standing fiber mats, and thermal treatment yielding NbC/C hybrid nanofibers *via* carbothermal reduction. The fibers synthesized at 1700 °C (NbC-1700) presented a higher crystallinity when compared to the fibers synthesized at 1500 °C (NbC-1500), with an NbC average domain size (*i.e.*, XRD coherence length) of 65 nm compared to 38 nm, respectively.⁴⁰ Also, at a higher pyrolysis temperature, carbon presented a higher degree of graphitization (see ESI, Fig. S1†). NbC/C fibers were later evaluated as a versatile precursor to derive (1) NbC-CDC nanofibers and (2) Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers. To promote the carbide-to-carbon conversion, a lower degree of carbon ordering is preferred.²² The graphitic carbon engulfing the metal carbide crystals affects the reaction kinetics between Cl₂ and the NbC; therefore, longer Cl₂ treatment durations or higher synthesis temperatures will be needed for complete etching. To promote the carbide-to-oxide conversion without a complete loss of carbon, a higher degree of carbon ordering is desirable due to the higher stability in oxidizing atmospheres.²² Therefore, NbC-1500 was chosen as the precursor for NbC-CDC, and NbC-1700 was preferable as the precursor for Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers.

Niobium carbide-derived carbon nanofibers

Material characterization. NbC-1500 fibers were treated with chlorine gas at different temperatures and holding times. After Cl₂ treatment, a mass loss between 72 and 79 mass% was obtained, leaving behind mainly carbon. According to the EDX results (see ESI, Table S1†), the starting precursor (NbC-1500) contained about 74 mass% of niobium, which, after Cl₂ treatment, was almost completely removed (<1 mass%). The remaining niobium may be present as NbCl₅ trapped in the carbon structure or as very small residual NbC domains (*ca.* 2 nm), as shown by TEM micrographs at a few positions throughout the samples (see ESI, Fig. S2A†).

No influence on the morphology is observed as a function of the heat treatment parameters. The SEM micrographs of fibers treated for 3 h (Fig. 1) and 1 h (see ESI, Fig. S3†) show a mean fiber diameter of around 61 ± 22 nm (see ESI, Fig. S2B†). The conformal etching process during the CDC transformation is not expected to modify the macroscopic structure of the material, but rather the nanoporosity of the material (Fig. 1, inset).¹⁶ The TEM micrograph of the sample after 3 h holding time at 400 °C shows mesopores, which at higher temperatures become more organized, leading to a more densified fiber structure.

A better understanding of the influence of the treatment conditions on the carbon structure is achieved by the analysis of the Raman spectra and XRD patterns (Fig. 2). The data of samples treated for 1 h are presented in the ESI (see Fig. S4†).






Fig. 1 SEM and TEM micrographs (inset) of NbC–CDC fibers synthesized by chlorine gas treatment for 3 h at (A) 400 °C, (B) 600 °C, and (C) 900 °C.

To understand these data, we have to consider the presence of two different carbon species: (1) carbon formed during pyrolysis at 1500 °C and present in NbC/C hybrid nanofibers and (2) carbide-derived carbon formed between 400 and 900 °C *via* chlorine gas treatment of NbC nanocrystals. The latter co-exists in NbC–CDC nanofibers in addition to the carbon formed at 1500 °C, because the CDC synthesis process does not remove initially formed carbon.⁴⁶ All Raman spectra (Fig. 2A) present two characteristic peaks for sp^2 -hybridized carbon, the D- and G-modes at around 1340 and 1600 cm^{-1} related to sp^2 -hybridized carbon atoms in rings and the presence of defects in this structure.⁴⁶ Additionally, we see the corresponding combination and overtone modes between 2500 and 3500 cm^{-1} . The position of the G-mode is shifted from 1603 to 1597 cm^{-1} when increasing the synthesis temperature from 400 °C to 900 °C, due to the successive transformation from nanocrystalline into larger graphitic structures.⁴⁶ This graphitization correlates well

with the decrease in the intensity of the amorphous carbon phase (1500–1550 cm^{-1}) with increasing temperature.^{46,47}

For the analysis of the different carbon phases, a four Voigt peak deconvolution was applied to the Raman data (see ESI, Fig. S5†). At 400 °C the I_D/I_G ratio (*i.e.*, the intensity ratio of the D- and G-mode peaks) is similar to that of the precursor (see ESI, Table S2†). At this temperature graphitic carbon, preserved from NbC-1500, co-exists with new partially amorphous/nanocrystalline CDC. At 600 °C, the grain size of nanocrystalline CDC increases proportionally to the defect concentration in hexagonal carbon rings (higher I_D/I_G ratio).⁴⁶ By treatment at 900 °C, the domains exceed a specific size (around 2 nm),⁴⁶ leading to graphitic carbon with less defects (lower I_D/I_G ratio). The successive transformation from amorphous into graphitic carbon is further supported by the sharpening of the D-mode FWHM from 96.2 ± 0.8 cm^{-1} to 82.8 ± 3.6 cm^{-1} when increasing the synthesis temperature from 400 to 900 °C.

XRD data (Fig. 2B) corroborate the transformation of $Fm\bar{3}m$ niobium carbide after chlorine gas treatment into amorphous carbon at 400 °C. While all crystalline niobium carbide peaks vanish, we observed a sharp (002)-graphite reflection ($P6_3mc$) at around $26.5^\circ 2\theta$ at 400 °C corresponding to the graphitic carbon present in the precursor. When increasing the temperature, two broad peaks are observed at around 26.5 and $44^\circ 2\theta$ related to the (002) and (110)-graphite reflections,⁴⁸ related to the transformation of the formed amorphous or nanocrystalline phase into graphitic carbon.

The pore structure of the material was analyzed before and after Cl_2 treatment by nitrogen gas sorption analysis (Fig. 2C and D). NbC-1500 presents a type I(b) isotherm,⁴⁹ with mainly micropores with an average size of 1.2 nm. With non-porous NbC nanocrystals, this porosity is completely accomplished by microporous carbon in the hybrid nanofibers. After Cl_2 treatment, the surface area is drastically increased from 120 $m^2 g^{-1}$ to maximum 1370 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (DFT SSA) and the pore volume from 0.06 $cm^3 g^{-1}$ to 1.0 $cm^3 g^{-1}$ (Table 1). The removal of Nb from the NbC crystal lattice at 400 °C for 1 h led to the formation of micro- and meso-pores (below 3 nm; see ESI, Fig. S4D†), with a mean pore size of 1.8 nm. At 600 °C for 1 h, more micropores are formed (below 2 nm), related to a higher amount of Nb etching compared to that at 400 °C, and a mean pore size of 1.1 nm was obtained. At a higher temperature (900 °C for 1 h) the redistribution of carbon slightly increases the mean pore size (1.2 nm). The isotherms after treatment for 1 h correspond to a type I(b) isotherm, with mainly micropores (see ESI, Fig. S4C†).⁴⁹ When increasing the time to 3 h, all the isotherms become type II because of pore growth, while maintaining a type I(b) shape at low pressures. The change to the type II isotherm comes with an H4 hysteresis loop related to capillary condensation⁴⁹ and a broader distribution of mesopores. The increase in the pore size when increasing the synthesis time leads to a decrease in the surface area and an increase in the pore volume (Table 1).

Electrochemical performance. For electrochemical evaluation of CDC nanofibers (treated for 3 h), a half-cell setup was initially used. For comparison, the electrochemical performance of samples treated for 1 h is presented in the ESI (Fig. S6†). The measured cyclic voltammograms (Fig. 3A) show the overall






Fig. 2 Structural characterization of NbC-1500 fibers before and after chlorine treatment for 3 h. (A) Raman spectra, (B) XRD diffractograms and literature values of the diffraction peak positions of NbC (PDF: 38-1364) and graphite (PDF: 89-8487), (C) isotherms from nitrogen gas sorption at $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (STP: standard temperature pressure), and (D) cumulative pore volume as a function of the pore width from QSDFT deconvolution.

rectangular shape, which is characteristic of electric double-layer capacitance.⁵ We also see an increase of the capacitance when the voltage is increased. This well-known effect is related to electrochemical doping.⁵⁰ The data presented in Fig. 3B depict the difference in specific capacitance at positive or negative polarization, that is, anion or cation electrosorption. For example, it is observed that for CDC-600-1 the capacitance at negative and positive polarization corresponds to 87 and 108 F g^{-1} , respectively (see ESI, Fig. S6B[†]). By treatment at 600 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 3 h, capacitance values of 102 and 115 F g^{-1} were achieved at negative and positive polarization. The lower capacitance values at negative voltage relate to the larger size of TEA^{+} compared to BF_4^{-} .^{51,52}

Full-cell performance was evaluated by cyclic voltammetry and galvanostatic cycling. The CVs (Fig. 3C) present a typical rectangular shape, with a typical increase in the capacitance

when increasing the applied voltage. At 2.7 V, maximum values are achieved between 90 and 125 F g^{-1} . Rate handling for full-cells was characterized by galvanostatic cycling to 2.5 V cell voltage. At a specific current of 0.02 A g^{-1} , capacitance values between 64 and 83 F g^{-1} were obtained for the samples with the lowest SSA (CDC-400-3 and CDC-900-3) (Table 2). The highest capacitance was achieved for the samples synthesized at 600 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (101–108 F g^{-1} for 1–3 h of chlorine gas treatment; see Fig. 3D and Table 2). When increasing the specific current to 0.1 A g^{-1} , most of the samples present a decrease in capacitance between 10 and 20%. CDC-900-3, which presents a larger mean pore size (2.6 nm), maintained at 0.1 A g^{-1} 97% of the capacitance at 0.02 A g^{-1} . At a very high specific current of 40 A g^{-1} , all samples retained still between 44 and 56% of the initial capacitance. Compared to other CDC materials, the

Table 1 Results from the gas sorption analysis using nitrogen at $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

Sample	DFT SSA ($\text{m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$)	BET SSA ($\text{m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$)	Pore volume ($\text{cm}^3\text{ g}^{-1}$)	Mean pore size (nm)
NbC-1500	122	121	0.06	1.2
CDC-400-1	1065	1141	0.78	1.8
CDC-400-3	744	750	1.00	3.5
CDC-600-1	1369	1508	0.80	1.1
CDC-600-3	1207	1326	0.96	2.4
CDC-900-1	1092	1123	0.63	1.2
CDC-900-3	980	1122	0.87	2.6






Fig. 3 Electrochemical analysis of CDC nanofiber mats in 1 M TEA-BF₄ in acetonitrile. Half-cell measurements with (A) cyclic voltammetry at 10 mV s⁻¹ and (B) GCPL profiles at 2 A g⁻¹. Full-cell measurements using (C) cyclic voltammetry at 10 mV s⁻¹, (D) GCPL power handling between 0.02 and 40 A g⁻¹ (d), (E) electrochemical impedance spectra, and (F) galvanostatic cycling stability up to 2.5 V cell voltage at 1 A g⁻¹.

electrochemical performance and energy storage capacity of NbC-CDC-600 in an organic electrolyte are good, as seen from Table 3. Compared to commercial electrodes (AC + 5% PTFE), NbC-CDC-600 presents similar capacitance values (104 F g⁻¹), but a superior capacitance retention above 10 A g⁻¹ related to the excellent charge propagation in the continuous fiber network.

To further characterize the electrochemical behavior, impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out for full-cells (Fig. 3E). As seen from the inset in Fig. 3E, the evolving semi-circle correlates with a high contact resistance between the fibers and the current collector. Compared to free-standing polymer-bound carbon electrodes, fiber electrodes are less smooth at the interface with the current collector, which is why

an additional contact impedance arises.^{18,53} At very low frequencies, the constant phase element (CPE) is close to 1 with values from 0.89 to 0.97 indicative of a near-ideal capacitive behavior (Table 2).⁵³ Furthermore a direct correlation between the degree of carbon ordering, the pore structure, and the rate handling performance (Table 2) is observed. The samples with the highest degree of carbon ordering lead to the lowest equivalent serial resistance (ESR), namely the samples CL₂ treated at higher temperatures and times, CDC-600-3, CDC-900-1 and CDC-900-3 (43–53 Ω cm²).

The stability of the system was benchmarked by galvanostatic cycling (Table 2). Most of the samples retain more than 80% of the initial capacitance after 10 000 charge/discharge cycles. The only exception is CDC-400-3 with a capacitance loss



Table 2 Electrochemical performance of NbC-CDC fibers

Sample	ESR ($\Omega \text{ cm}^2$)	EDR ($\Omega \text{ cm}^2$)	CPE exponent	C (F g^{-1}) at 0.02 A g^{-1}	C loss (%) at 40 A g^{-1}	C/C_0 (%) after 10 000 cycles
CDC-400-1	0.89	0.16	0.95	97	68	85
CDC-400-3	0.73	0.28	0.89	64	60	76
CDC-600-1	0.78	0.18	0.93	101	80	91
CDC-600-3	0.53	0.45	0.94	108	44	85
CDC-900-1	0.47	0.21	0.96	85	56	92
CDC-900-3	0.43	0.49	0.97	83	50	90

of 24%. The highest capacitance retention was found for CDC-600-1 (91%) and CDC-900-1 or CDC-900-3 (90–92%). This enhanced stability may be related to the lower content of oxygen containing functional groups (Table S1†).

Niobium pentoxide-carbon hybrid nanofibers

Material characterization. For the synthesis of $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5/\text{C}$ hybrid nanofibers, starting from NbC-1700, first suitable synthesis conditions (atmosphere, temperature, and time) were surveyed by TGA and *in situ* Raman spectroscopy (see ESI, Fig. S7A and B†). Thermodynamic calculations (see ESI, Fig. S7C and D†) indicate that Nb_2O_5 is the stable metal oxide phase within the entire studied temperature range (*i.e.*, up to 1000 °C) in either CO_2 or O_2 atmospheres. Depending on the degree of ordering, we expect for nanoporous carbon an onset of oxidation at around 400–650 °C.²² From the literature, we would expect an initial onset of NbC oxidation at around 450 °C in O_2 .⁵⁴ Thus, the challenge is to find suitable conditions at which NbC is effectively oxidized to Nb_2O_5 without completely oxidizing solid carbon.

To identify the most suitable processing parameters, we employed thermogravimetric analysis. In CO_2 at 5 °C min^{-1} , we see an onset of mass increase at 720 °C, reaching a maximum at 950 °C (+12%). Further temperature increase resulted in a progressing mass loss associated with the burn-off of carbon. In this range of temperatures, T- Nb_2O_5 formation is expected^{36,55} as the only crystalline phase in co-existence with carbon. Therefore, 850 °C was selected as the preferred temperature for treatment under CO_2 to produce the hybrid material.

Using synthetic air, the volatilization of carbon occurs at lower temperatures, at around 500 °C (see ESI, Fig. S7A†), and at

similar temperatures to that of the carbide oxidation, at around 450 °C. At 410 °C, the mass of the system increases related to oxidation of the NbC, reaching a maximum at 460 °C (+20%). At higher temperatures, carbon burning takes place (TGA derivate peak, 590 °C) leading to mass decrease. In alignment with these data and the literature, the only expected crystalline phase in this temperature range is TT- Nb_2O_5 .^{36,55} For a better identification of an optimum temperature for the treatment in air, we carried out *in situ* Raman measurements (see ESI, Fig. S7B†). *In situ* Raman spectra show the emergence of niobia-related signals (646 to 670 cm^{-1})⁵⁶ for temperatures above 600 °C and the decrease in carbon signal intensities for the D- and G-mode peaks at 1323 and 1575 cm^{-1} , respectively. To avoid the enhanced loss of carbon, lower synthesis temperatures in air were chosen, namely 450 °C and 500 °C.

After treatment under CO_2 (850 °C) or air (450 and 500 °C), the sample mass increased between 7 and 38% (Table 4). Yet, the nanofiber morphology was fully maintained, as seen from the SEM micrographs in Fig. 4. Image analysis documents an increase in the average fiber diameter by 55% ($87 \pm 25 \text{ nm}$; see ESI, Fig. S8†), related to the difference in density between NbC and Nb_2O_5 (7.8 and 4.6 g cm^{-3} , respectively).⁵⁷ In the TEM micrographs (Fig. 4A, inset), only highly amorphous material was identified for the samples synthesized in air at 450 °C. The corresponding SAED pattern confirms the highly disordered nature of the material at 450 °C. Nanocrystalline TT- Nb_2O_5 domains emerge when using a higher synthesis temperature (500 °C) while the majority of the diffraction signal is still indicative of a highly amorphous material. Higher temperatures are required to obtain a well-developed TT- Nb_2O_5 crystal structure. However, at 500 °C, already a strong oxidation of

Table 3 Comparison among different reported carbide-derived carbon materials and commercial powder electrodes, characterized for electrical double-layer capacitors

Sample	BET-SSA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$)	Pore volume ($\text{cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$)	C (F g^{-1})	Capacitance retention	Reference
NbC-CDC fibers	1508	0.80	101	20% at 40 A g^{-1}	This work, 1 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
NbC-CDC fibers	1326	0.96	108	56% at 40 A g^{-1}	This work, 1 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
SiC-CDC particles	2430	1.50	125	85% at 20 A g^{-1}	Ref. 19, 1 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
SiC-CDC particles	2250	1.40	160	81% at 20 A g^{-1}	Ref. 19, 1 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
SiOC-CDC fibers	3089	1.78	135	63% at 50 A g^{-1}	Ref. 18, 1 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
SiOC-CDC particles	2480	1.29	110	83% at 30 A g^{-1}	Ref. 61, 1 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
TiC-CDC fibers	1390	1.50	102	50% at 5 V s^{-1}	Ref. 12, 1.5 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN
AC + 5% PTFE	1481	0.8	104	68% at 10 A g^{-1}	Ref. 62, 1.0 M TEA-BF ₄ in ACN



Table 4 EDX results of Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers, and mass change measured after thermal treatment in air or CO₂

Sample	Mass change	C (mass%)	O (mass%)	Nb (mass%)
NbC-1700	—	27.6 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.1	70.3 ± 1.1
Nb ₂ O ₅ -450	+16%	11.8 ± 0.7	27.8 ± 1.3	60.4 ± 1.2
Nb ₂ O ₅ -500	+7%	8.9 ± 0.6	28.8 ± 1.9	62.4 ± 1.9
Nb ₂ O ₅ -850	+38%	18.6 ± 0.8	25.0 ± 1.2	56.4 ± 1.0

carbon takes place as observed from the change in the carbon content according to the EDX data (Table 4). Therefore, a less oxidative environment was chosen in alignment with theoretical calculation, namely thermal treatment in CO₂ (see ESI, Fig. S7A†). At 850 °C, TEM micrographs show Nb₂O₅ nanocrystals engulfed in graphitic carbon (Fig. 4C). The corresponding SAED pattern is characteristic of a highly crystalline material, with diffraction patterns falling into almost continuous rings related to T-Nb₂O₅.

For a more detailed characterization of the crystal structure of the synthesized materials, XRD patterns and Raman spectra were recorded (Fig. 5). All Raman spectra indicate the presence of partially graphitic carbon in all samples. Yet, the carbon signal was very high for NbC-1700 and almost identical to that of Nb₂O₅-850. The apparent absence of clear signals from crystalline niobia in Nb₂O₅-850 is related to the very high sensitivity of Raman spectroscopy to detect sp²-hybridized carbon species.⁵⁸ In contrast, the TT-Nb₂O₅ signal and the fluorescence-related background were stronger after oxidation in air. Yet, there are differences also related to the carbon signal as seen after peak deconvolution (see ESI, Table S3†). For Nb₂O₅-450, we found broader D- and G-peaks compared to the precursor and an overall increase in the amount of amorphous carbon (see ESI, Fig. S9†) as a result of on-setting carbon oxidation. The high fluorescence background for Nb₂O₅-500 made peak deconvolution unreliable, but there was still a redshift of the G-mode peak from 1599 to 1584 cm⁻¹ due to the co-existing amorphous phase. According to the mass change during the process and EDX results (Table 4), the carbon content drastically decreased for this sample.

According to the XRD pattern (Fig. 5B), NbC is transformed after treatment in an oxidative atmosphere into a highly amorphous material for Nb₂O₅-450 and into nanocrystalline niobium pentoxide for Nb₂O₅-500 and Nb₂O₅-850. By applying the Scherrer equation,⁴¹ in air at 500 °C, TT-Nb₂O₅ is formed with an average domain size of *ca.* 20 nm and lattice parameters, *a* = 0.36 nm, *b* = 0.31 nm, and *c* = 0.39 nm. By treatment in CO₂ at 850 °C, orthorhombic T-Nb₂O₅ is formed with an average domain size of *ca.* 35 nm and lattice parameters, *a* = 0.62 nm, *b* = 2.93 nm, and *c* = 0.39 nm.

Electrochemical performance. Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers were evaluated for Li⁺ ion intercalation. It has been already reported that anodic and cathodic peaks related to Li⁺ ion intercalation–deintercalation are located between 1.0 and 2.0 V *vs.* Li/Li⁺ (between -2.4 and -1.4 V *vs.* carbon),³⁶ and in this potential window LiClO₄ in EC/DMC presents a high coulombic efficiency and stability,³⁷ and therefore this electrolyte was




Fig. 4 SEM micrographs, TEM micrographs (inset, lower left corner), and selected area electron diffraction pattern (inset, upper right corner) of Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers synthesized at (A) 450 °C in air, (B) 500 °C in air, and (C) 850 °C in CO₂.

selected. Electrochemical testing for half-cells was performed by CV at 1 mV s⁻¹ to first confirm the potential at which the Li⁺ ion intercalation takes place. From the CV shapes (Fig. 6A), a minor electric double-layer contribution to the energy storage is observed for all samples, since the fibers present a low SSA (around 82 m² g⁻¹ DFT SSA). Nb₂O₅-450 does not present visible redox intercalation peaks due to the amorphous character of Nb₂O₅. Nb₂O₅-500 presents a broad increase in anodic current below -0.8 V *vs.* carbon, related to the slow diffusion and intercalation process. A high specific cathodic current below -0.5 V *vs.* carbon is related to the slow deintercalation of the Li⁺ ions. This indicates that Li⁺ intercalation and deintercalation take place within a broad potential window due to kinetic effects and the co-existence of amorphous and low-order domains of TT-Nb₂O₅. In the case of Nb₂O₅-850, Li⁺ deintercalation takes place at -1.2 V, and the more pronounced peak is related to the enhanced intercalation kinetics of the T-Nb₂O₅ crystal structure. These results are also confirmed by the voltage plateau observed by GCPL (see ESI, Fig. S10A†). Li⁺ intercalation takes place in two steps, as shown by the anodic peaks at -1.3 and -1.5 V *vs.* carbon. At these potentials, peak currents are higher for T-Nb₂O₅ than for TT-Nb₂O₅, suggesting a higher lithium intercalation capacity for this crystal phase.






Fig. 5 (A) Raman spectra of Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers and the precursor (NbC-1700). (B) XRD diffractograms of Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers and literature values of diffraction peak positions of T-Nb₂O₅ (PDF: 27-1003) and TT-Nb₂O₅ (PDF: 07-0061).

The observed peaks are related to the electron transfer resulting from lithium intercalation according to the reaction in eqn (5), for redox couples Nb^{5+/4+} and Nb^{4+/3+}.³⁶



By GCPL to -2.0 V vs. carbon, the rate handling was evaluated for half-cells (Fig. 6B). The specific capacities of the third discharge cycle at 0.1 A g⁻¹ for Nb₂O₅-450, Nb₂O₅-500 and Nb₂O₅-850 (1 C-rate), were 6, 101, and 156 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. When normalizing the results to the mass of niobium pentoxide, as commonly done for battery systems, we obtained capacities of 111 mA h g⁻¹ and 191 mA h g⁻¹ for Nb₂O₅-500 and Nb₂O₅-850, respectively. At 0.1 A g⁻¹ the coulombic efficiency of Nb₂O₅-500 and Nb₂O₅-850 corresponds to 91 and 92%, respectively. As presented in Table 5, these values are comparable to those reported in the literature for TT-Nb₂O₅ (150 mA h g⁻¹) and T-Nb₂O₅ (between 142 and 190 mA h g⁻¹). At 20 A g⁻¹, 5% and 23% of the capacity were retained for Nb₂O₅-500 and Nb₂O₅-850, respectively. The higher rate handling performance of Nb₂O₅-850 is related not just to the graphitic carbon engulfing the T-Nb₂O₅ crystals, but also to the short diffusion length in the nanofibers and the faster ionic transport characteristic of T-Nb₂O₅. The latter was explained by Augustyn *et al.* by the almost empty octahedral sites between (001) planes presenting low energy barriers for Li⁺ ion transport throughout the *a*-*b* plane.⁸

In order to benchmark Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers as a cathode (electrode at negative potentials) in a device, asymmetric full-cell tests were performed (Fig. 6C and D). As an anode (electrode at positive potentials), a charge balanced AC electrode was used. This set-up has demonstrated to improve the performance of the full-cell, by limiting the polarization resistance.^{31,59} For the CVs at 1 mV s⁻¹, shown in Fig. 6C, a maximum applied cell voltage of 3.6 V was used, which was expected to lead to an appropriate operating potential range for positive and negative electrodes. For asymmetric full-cells, the

different charge-voltage-behavior of the two electrodes led to asymmetric voltage development at the anode and cathode. Therefore, the voltage in each electrode was recorded by using an activated carbon spectator reference electrode (see ESI, Fig. S10B and C†). According to the CV (Fig. 6C) of Nb₂O₅-500, a cathodic peak can be observed at 2.5 V cell voltage and broad anodic peaks are detected at 1.8 and 2.8 V cell voltages. A strong increase in current close to 3.6 V cell voltage is related to fast voltage development at the positive electrode, above the potential limit for the stability of the electrolyte, and therefore the system is not stable. The voltage at the negative electrode reaches -2.2 V vs. carbon, while for the positive electrode a voltage maximum of 1.4 V vs. carbon was obtained (see ESI, Fig. S10B†). For Nb₂O₅-850, at low voltage, a capacitive behavior was observed, related to the higher mass of AC present as the positive electrode, compared to Nb₂O₅-500. When increasing the cell voltage, Li⁺ intercalation/deintercalation takes place in the Nb₂O₅ electrode, as indicated by broad anodic peaks between 2.2 and 1.8 V cell voltages, and cathodic peaks at 1.9 and 2.6 V cell voltages. At high cell voltage, the system is more stable than Nb₂O₅-500, and no strong increase in current is observed (see ESI, Fig. S10B†).

The performance of full-cells was further characterized by GCPL to 3.6 V at 0.1 A g⁻¹ (Fig. 6D). No significant charge storage in the cell is observed below 1.2 V and 1.6 V for Nb₂O₅-850 and Nb₂O₅-500, respectively, indicating no significant faradic charge transfer to the Nb₂O₅ electrode. Above this potential, a voltage plateau is observed for both samples, indicating a transfer of charge to the electrode when Li⁺ ion intercalation takes place. From the voltage plateau during discharging and the difference in potential development for each electrode (see ESI, Fig. S10B†), it can be concluded that both samples present a high difference in charge transfer efficiency. The coulombic efficiency of Nb₂O₅-500 and Nb₂O₅-850 corresponds to 40 and 80% respectively, for asymmetric full-cells.






Fig. 6 Electrochemical characterization in the half-cell configuration of Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers in 1 M LiClO₄ in EC/DMC using (A) cyclic voltammetry at 1 mV s⁻¹ and (B) galvanostatic charge/discharge rate handling to -2 V vs. carbon. Electrochemical characterization in the full-cell configuration using (C) cyclic voltammetry up to 3.6 V cell voltage at 1 mV s⁻¹ and (D) GCPL charge/discharge profile at 0.1 A g⁻¹. (E) Capacity retention during galvanostatic charge/discharge cycling to 3.0 V cell voltage for full-cells or from 0 to -2 V vs. carbon for the half-cell configuration. (F) Ragone plot of full-cells.

Cycling stability tests were performed in half- and full-cell configurations (Fig. 6E). After 5000 cycles, Nb₂O₅-850 retained 64% and 71% of the capacity, in half- and full-cell setups, respectively. For Nb₂O₅-500, the lower stability of half-cells is related to the higher voltage (-2.0 V for half-cells; 3.0 V for full-cells) and the high stability of the positive material, AC. For Nb₂O₅-500, 51% of the capacity was retained for the half-cell, while for the full-cell, 56% was maintained. The lower stability is related to the low order of crystallinity of the TT-Nb₂O₅.

The materials with the best electrochemical performance for electrical double-layer capacitors and battery-like systems are plotted in the Ragone plot (Fig. 6F). CDC-600-3 presents high power handling, maintaining a specific energy of 7.6 W h kg⁻¹

at 30 kW kg⁻¹ and a maximum specific energy of 19.5 W h kg⁻¹ at 0.01 kW kg⁻¹. For Nb₂O₅-850 asymmetric full-cells, a specific energy of 86 W h kg⁻¹ at 0.02 kW kg⁻¹ was exhibited. At 1.5 kW kg⁻¹, the specific energy corresponds to 32 W h kg⁻¹. For comparison, a hybrid full-cell was also evaluated using as positive and negative electrodes CDC-600-3 and Nb₂O₅-850 respectively. Due to the lower capacitance of the CDC fibers compared to the AC (YP80 + 5% PTFE; 112 F g⁻¹),⁶⁰ a maximum specific energy of 71 W h kg⁻¹ was obtained. Above 1 kW kg⁻¹, the hybrid electrode system presents a better electrochemical performance maintaining 21 W h kg⁻¹ at 2.2 kW kg⁻¹. Cyclic voltammetry and GCPL of this full-cell are presented in the ESI (see Fig. S11†).



Table 5 Comparison among different Nb₂O₅ containing electrodes characterized as battery-like and redox-hybrid systems. For electrode preparation, most of the samples reported were admixed with carbon as a conductive additive (CA) or drop-casted in the current collector. The capacity values (mAh g⁻¹) are normalized by the electrode mass and by the active mass (am), that is, just niobia

Sample	Electrode	Capacity (mA h g ⁻¹)	Capacity retention	Reference
Nb ₂ O ₅ /C electrospun fibers	Free standing	TT-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 101 (am: 111)	TT-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 18% at 10 A g ⁻¹ (100 C-rate)	This work, 1 M LiClO ₄ in EC/DMC
		T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 156 (am: 191)	T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 46% at 10 A g ⁻¹ (64 C-rate); 23% at 20 A g ⁻¹ (128 C-rate)	
Nb ₂ O ₅ electrospun fibers	Mixture with CA and PTFE	TT-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 99 (am: 152) T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 123 (am: 189)	—	Ref. 36
Nb ₂ O ₅ nano-particles Nb ₂ O ₅ nanosheets	Drop cast mixture with CA	T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 71 (am: 142)	T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 31% at 1000 C-rate	Ref. 8, 1 M LiClO ₄ in PC Ref. 38, 1 M LiPF ₆ in EC/DMC/DEC
	Mixture with CA and PVdF	T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 117 (am: 147)	T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 77% at 1 A g ⁻¹ (5 C-rate)	
Nb ₂ O ₅ mesoporous films	Free-standing thin film	T-Nb ₂ O ₅ : 190	—	Ref. 39, 1 M LiClO ₄ in PC

Conclusions

We demonstrated the suitability of NbC/C hybrid nanofibers as a versatile precursor for synthesizing electrode materials for both EDLCs and battery-like systems. Optimized EDLC electrodes were fabricated by chlorine treatment of NbC/C nanofibers at 600 °C in order to obtain a maximum pore volume of 1 cm³ g⁻¹ and a DFT-SSA of 1369 m² g⁻¹. According to the electrochemical performance, due to the highly accessible pore structure, an efficient use of the surface leads to a maximum specific energy of 19.5 W h kg⁻¹ and 7.6 W h kg⁻¹ for a maximum specific power of 30 kW kg⁻¹ in a full-cell configuration. The high specific power was accomplished due to the continuous path for electron propagation and the high graphitization of the carbon structure.

By the treatment of NbC/C nanofibers in oxidative atmospheres, TT-Nb₂O₅ and T-Nb₂O₅/C hybrid nanofibers were synthesized at 500 °C and 850 °C, respectively. The synthesis in air (500 °C) does not allow for obtaining a highly crystalline TT-Nb₂O₅ phase without oxidizing the carbon phase. By employing CO₂ (850 °C), highly crystalline T-Nb₂O₅ engulfed in graphitic carbon was obtained. The higher faradic transfer of charge led to a maximum capacity of 156 mA h g⁻¹ with respect to the full electrode mass. This value is higher than the values reported in the literature for electrode capacity, since no polymer binder or conductive additive was added to the system. The rapid ion transport characteristic of the T-Nb₂O₅ and the co-existence of a continuous graphitic carbon phase led to an outstanding capacity retention of 50% at a high rate of 10 A g⁻¹ (64 C-rate) in the battery-like system. The electrochemical performance is further highlighted by the superior stability over 5000 discharge cycles (64% retention). The combination of Nb₂O₅/C and AC in an asymmetric full-cell setup led to a specific energy of 86 W h kg⁻¹, which is among the best values reported for a hybrid full-cell.

Further optimization steps of this promising system will involve enhanced engineering of the synthesis setup. For example, by use of the same furnace for pyrolysis and either chlorine gas treatment or CO₂ annealing, the synthesis process

can be simplified. Further adaptations of our work may also involve the use of niobium carbide nanopowder instead of electrospun mats. While this may come at the expense of lowered power handling due to the loss of the free-standing and binder-free feature of our electrospun mats, we see possibilities for cost reduction by the use of powder materials.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding from the German Federal Ministry for Research and Education (BMBF) in support of the nanoEES^{3D} project (award number 03EK3013) as part of the strategic funding initiative energy storage framework. This work was supported by the CREATE-Network Project, Horizon 2020 of the European Commission (RISE Project No. 644013). We thank Prof. Eduard Arzt (INM) for his continuing support, and Juhan Lee and Anna Schreiber (all at INM) for useful discussions and technical support.

References

- Z. Yang, J. Zhang, M. C. W. Kintner-Meyer, X. Lu, D. Choi, J. P. Lemmon and J. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3577–3613.
- P. Simon, Y. Gogotsi and B. Dunn, *Science*, 2014, **343**, 1210–1211.
- P. R. Bandaru, H. Yamada, R. Narayanan and M. Hofer, *Mater. Sci. Eng., R*, 2015, **96**, 1–69.
- M. Salanne, B. Rotenberg, K. Naoi, K. Kaneko, P. L. Taberna, C. P. Grey, B. Dunn and P. Simon, *Nature Energy*, 2016, **1**, 16070.
- F. Béguin, V. Presser, A. Balducci and E. Frackowiak, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2219–2251.
- B. Scrosati and J. Garche, *J. Power Sources*, 2010, **195**, 2419–2430.
- M. Schroeder, M. Winter, S. Passerini and A. Balducci, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **238**, 388–394.
- V. Augustyn, J. Come, M. A. Lowe, J. W. Kim, P.-L. Taberna, S. H. Tolbert, H. D. Abruña, P. Simon and B. Dunn, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 518–522.



- 9 J. Lee, B. Krüner, A. Tolosa, S. Sathyamoorthi, D. Kim, S. Choudhury, K.-H. Seo and V. Presser, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/c6ee00712k.
- 10 B. Krüner, J. Lee, N. Jäckel, A. Tolosa and V. Presser, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 9104–9115.
- 11 B. Zhang, F. Kang, J.-M. Tarascon and J.-K. Kim, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2016, **76**, 319–380.
- 12 V. Presser, L. F. Zhang, J. J. Niu, J. McDonough, C. Perez, H. Fong and Y. Gogotsi, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 423–430.
- 13 L. F. Zhang, A. Aboagye, A. Kelkar, C. L. Lai and H. Fong, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **49**, 463–480.
- 14 N. C. Abeykoon, J. S. Bonso and J. P. Ferraris, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 19865–19873.
- 15 M. Rose, E. Kockrick, I. Senkovska and S. Kaskel, *Carbon*, 2010, **48**, 403–407.
- 16 V. Presser, M. Heon and Y. Gogotsi, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 810–833.
- 17 J. R. Martin, L. Borchardt, M. Oschatz, G. Mondin and S. Kaskel, *Chem. Ing. Tech.*, 2013, **85**, 1742–1748.
- 18 A. Tolosa, B. Krüner, N. Jäckel, M. Aslan, C. Vakifahmetoglu and V. Presser, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **313**, 178–188.
- 19 Y. Korenblit, M. Rose, E. Kockrick, L. Borchardt, A. Kvit, S. Kaskel and G. Yushin, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 1337–1344.
- 20 J. Xu, R. Zhang, P. Chen, D. Shen, X. Ye and S. Ge, *Carbon*, 2013, **64**, 444–455.
- 21 R. Dash, J. Chmiola, G. Yushin, Y. Gogotsi, G. Laudisio, J. Singer, J. Fischer and S. Kucheyev, *Carbon*, 2006, **44**, 2489–2497.
- 22 C. R. Pérez, S.-H. Yeon, J. Ségalini, V. Presser, P.-L. Taberna, P. Simon and Y. Gogotsi, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 1081–1089.
- 23 R. K. Dash, A. Nikitin and Y. Gogotsi, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2004, **72**, 203–208.
- 24 M. Xianwen, T. A. Hatton and C. R. Gregory, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **17**, 1390–1401.
- 25 S. Cavaliere, S. Subianto, I. Savych, D. J. Jones and J. Roziere, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 4761–4785.
- 26 M. Zeiger, D. Weingarth and V. Presser, *ChemElectroChem*, 2015, **2**, 1117–1127.
- 27 M. Zhi, A. Manivannan, F. Meng and N. Wu, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **208**, 345–353.
- 28 J.-G. Wang, Y. Yang, Z.-H. Huang and F. Kang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 9240–9247.
- 29 Y.-W. Ju, G.-R. Choi, H.-R. Jung, C. Kim, K.-S. Yang and W.-J. Lee, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, **154**, A192–A197.
- 30 Y. Wu, R. Balakrishna, M. V. Reddy, A. S. Nair, B. V. R. Chowdari and S. Ramakrishna, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2012, **517**, 69–74.
- 31 J. Come, V. Augustyn, J. W. Kim, P. Rozier, P.-L. Taberna, P. Gogotsi, J. W. Long, B. Dunn and P. Simon, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **161**, A718–A725.
- 32 J. W. Kim, V. Augustyn and B. Dunn, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2012, **2**, 141–148.
- 33 R. A. Rani, A. S. Zoolfakar, A. P. O'Mullane, M. W. Austin and K. Kalantar-Zadeh, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 15683–15703.
- 34 B. Reichman and A. J. Bard, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1980, **127**, 241–242.
- 35 R. Kodama, Y. Terada, I. Nakai, S. Komaba and N. Kumagai, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2006, **153**, A583–A588.
- 36 A. L. Viet, M. V. Reddy, R. Jose, B. V. R. Chowdari and S. Ramakrishna, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 664–671.
- 37 C. Zhang, R. Maloney, M. R. Lukatskaya, M. Beidaghi, B. Dyatkin, E. Perre, D. Long, W. Qiao, B. Dunn and Y. Gogotsi, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **274**, 121–129.
- 38 M. Liu, C. Yan and Y. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 8326.
- 39 K. Brezesinski, J. Wang, J. Haetge, C. Reitz, S. O. Steinmueller, S. H. Tolbert, B. M. Smarsly, B. Dunn and T. Brezesinski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 6982–6990.
- 40 J. S. Atchison, M. Zeiger, A. Tolosa, L. M. Funke, N. Jackel and V. Presser, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 35683–35692.
- 41 P. Scherrer, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Goettingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.*, 1918, 98–100.
- 42 S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1938, **60**, 309–319.
- 43 G. Y. Gor, M. Thommes, K. A. Cychoz and A. V. Neimark, *Carbon*, 2012, **50**, 1583–1590.
- 44 P. W. Ruch, D. Cericola, M. Hahn, R. Kötz and A. Wokaun, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2009, **636**, 128–131.
- 45 R. Kötz and M. Carlen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2000, **45**, 2483–2498.
- 46 A. C. Ferrari, *Solid State Commun.*, 2007, **143**, 47–57.
- 47 A. C. Ferrari and D. M. Basko, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 235–246.
- 48 K. Faber, F. Badaczewski, M. Oschatz, G. Mondin, W. Nickel, S. Kaskel and B. M. Smarsly, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 15705–15715.
- 49 M. Thommes, K. Kaneko, A. V. Neimark, J. P. Olivier, F. Rodriguez-Reinoso, J. Rouquerol and K. S. W. Sing, *Physisorption of Gases, with Special Reference to the Evaluation of Surface Area and Pore Size Distribution (IUPAC Technical Report)*, 2015.
- 50 D. Weingarth, M. Zeiger, N. Jäckel, M. Aslan, G. Feng and V. Presser, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1400316.
- 51 J. Chmiola, C. Largeot, P.-L. Taberna, P. Simon and Y. Gogotsi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3392–3395.
- 52 J. Segalini, E. Iwama, P.-L. Taberna, Y. Gogotsi and P. Simon, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2012, **15**, 63–65.
- 53 R. Kötz, M. Hahn and R. Gallay, *J. Power Sources*, 2006, **154**, 550–555.
- 54 L. Shi, Y. Gu, L. Chen, Z. Yang, J. Ma and Y. Qian, *Solid State Ionics*, 2005, **176**, 841–843.
- 55 M. V. Reddy, R. Jose, A. Le Viet, K. I. Ozoemena, B. V. R. Chowdari and S. Ramakrishna, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **128**, 198–202.
- 56 T. Ikeya and M. Senna, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 1988, **105**, 243–250.
- 57 V. L. S. Teixeira da Silva, M. Schmal and S. T. Oyama, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1996, **123**, 168–182.
- 58 M. S. Dresselhaus, G. Dresselhaus, R. Saito and A. Jorio, *Phys. Rep.*, 2005, **409**, 47–99.
- 59 S. Fleischmann, N. Jäckel, M. Zeiger, B. Krüner, I. Grobelsek, P. Formanek, S. Choudhury, D. Weingarth and V. Presser, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2802–2813.



- 60 N. Jäckel, D. Weingarh, A. Schreiber, B. Krüner, M. Zeiger, A. Tolosa, M. Aslan and V. Presser, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **191**, 284–298.
- 61 A. Meier, M. Weinberger, K. Pinkert, M. Oschatz, S. Paasch, L. Giebeler, H. Althues, E. Brunner, J. Eckert and S. Kaskel, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2014, **188**, 140–148.
- 62 N. Jäckel, D. Weingarh, A. Schreiber, B. Krüner, M. Zeiger, A. Tolosa, M. Aslan and V. Presser, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **191**, 284–298.

