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Introduction

Converting the energy in sunlight into chemical fuels is a potential solution to meet our need for sustainable energy storage.1-3 Many synthetic systems have been developed with the hope of emulating natural photosynthesis to produce renewable fuels such as H2. Such artificial photosynthetic systems typically consist of a photosensitiser – such as a molecular dye or a semiconductor (nano)particle – coupled to an inorganic, organometallic or enzymatic catalyst, which carries out the fuel-producing redox reaction.4-7

Semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) are well suited as light absorbers for photocatalysis due to their high light absorption coefficient, high surface area, tuneable optical and electronic properties, and relatively high photostability compared to molecular dyes.8-9 Although photocatalytic H2 generation systems using bulk and nanostructured metal chalcogenides have been studied for many years,10-12 systems using QDs have been pioneered only relatively recently, employing CdX (X = S, Se, Te) QDs coupled to a wide range of co-catalysts, including noble metals,13-17 enzymes,18-21 and molecular complexes or salts of iron,22-24 cobalt,25-27 and nickel.28-29

The most active QD-based photocatalytic systems to date operate under either acidic (pH < 5),22-29 or highly alkaline conditions (pH ≥ 11),30 with greatly reduced activity around neutral pH. However, activity close to pH 7 is important for developing sustainable technologies in which, ultimately, river water or seawater could be employed. In addition, enzymes and some synthetic electrocatalysts such as the cobaloxime CoP (Fig. 1) and semiconductor light absorbers such as BiVO4 (for use in tandem with a QD for full water splitting) display optimal activity around pH 7.18,31-32 Therefore, extending the utility of QDs to neutral conditions is an important goal.

QDs used in photocatalytic systems have previously been functionalised with hydrophilic, thiol-based ligands, such as thioglycolic acid (TGA), 3-mercaptopyrrolic acid (MPA), or dihydropyridic acid (DHLA), in order to prevent aggregation and to induce solubility in aqueous solution. However, these capping ligands are not innocent and have been proposed to have secondary roles such as acting as a physical or electronic barrier.33 They have also been shown to interfere with co-catalysts by denaturing enzymes,34 and by altering metal-based
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Fig. 1 (a) Schematic representation of the preparation and relative activity of the CdS QDs used in this study; (b) (i) structure of the molecular catalyst CoP, and (ii and iii) the two cobalt salts used as co-catalysts.
molecular catalysts to form complexes that are either more active (for Ni(II)) or inactive (for Co(II)). Moreover, the affinity of thiol ligands for CdX surfaces decreases with decreasing pH, such that the ligands desorb more readily under commonly-used acidic photocatalytic conditions.

Whereas previous work has sought to improve QD photactivity at low pH by increasing the binding affinity of the capping ligands, here we take the opposite approach and demonstrate that QD activity at neutral pH is dramatically improved by complete removal of the surface ligands. We propose that desorption of surface capping groups is integral to QD/catalyst activity and show that the use of bare QDs results in a two orders of magnitude increase in catalytic rate compared to the equivalent MPA-capped QD/catalyst system.

**Experimental section**

**General materials and methods**

Unless otherwise stated, reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received with the following purities: CdO (99.998%), sulfur (99.998%), oleic acid (OA, 90%), octadecene (ODE, 90%), 3-mercaptopropionic acid (MPA, 99%), tetramethylammonium hydroxide, pentahydrate (TMAOH, 99%), trimethylolpropanetriol tetrafluoroborate (96%), sodium sulfite (98%), cobalt chloride hexahydrate (98%). Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Acros Organics with the following purities: CHCl₃ (99.9%), dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%), acetonitrile (ACN, 99.9%). All other organic solvents used were HPLC grade. All aqueous solutions were prepared with distilled water. [Et₄NH][Co₃OCl₃(dmgH)₃(pyridyl-4-hydrophosphonate)] (CoP) was prepared according to a literature procedure. Unless otherwise stated, all experiments were carried out in air. Na₂SO₃ buffer solutions were titrated with dilute HCl to the desired pH value at 25 °C.

**Synthetic procedures**

**QD-OA.** CdS QDs capped with oleic acid ligands (QD-OA) were prepared according to a previously reported procedure. Briefly, a mixture of CdO (0.64 g) and OA (26 g) in ODE (70 g) was heated under Ar atmosphere to 280 °C. Sulfur (0.08 g) in ODE (30 g) was added rapidly and the solution was allowed to cool to 250 °C. This temperature was maintained for 120 s before quenching by rapid cooling. The particles were precipitated from 1 : 1 hexane : methanol using excess acetone, centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 3 min, and redispersed in hexane. Two further washing steps were carried out using hexane and acetone as solvent and non-solvent, respectively, before finally dispersing in hexane (20 mL).

**QD-MPA.** Ligand exchange with MPA was carried out according to a literature procedure. MPA (0.5 mL) was dispersed in 1 : 1 chloroform : methanol (10 mL) and the pH was adjusted to 11 with TMAOH. QD-OA solution (2 mL) was added to this mixture and stirred in the dark for two days. The QDs were precipitated with excess acetone and centrifuged (7000 rpm, 3 min). The isolated particles were washed with acetone before being dispersed in water (1 mL).

**QD-BF₄.** The reactive ligand stripping was carried out using a modified literature procedure. QD-OA solution in hexane (1 mL) was evaporated to dryness and, under N₂ atmosphere, re-dispersed in a mixture of anhydrous CHCl₃ (3 mL) and anhydrous DMF (0.2 mL). Aliquots of stripping agent (Me₃OBF₄, 1.0 M in ACN) were added slowly until the particles precipitated (typically ~0.9 mL). The stripped particles were centrifuged (7000 rpm, 3 min), dried in air for 1 min, and redispersed in DMF (1–2 mL).

**QC-BF₄ “re-capping” procedure.** An MPA solution (0.5 mL, in methanol) was prepared and adjusted to pH 11 with TMAOH. Aliquots of this solution (600 µL total) were added to a solution of QC-BF₄ in DMF (1 mL, 43 µM), forming an opaque suspension. Methanol (300 µL) was added to form a yellow, transparent solution, which was stirred, protected from light, for a further 1 h. The particles were precipitated with excess acetone and isolated by centrifugation (7000 rpm, 3 min). The particles were washed with acetone before dispersing in water (150 µL).

**Photocatalysis experiments**

**Assembly of photocatalysis system.** In general, CdS QD and co-catalyst solutions were added sequentially to a Na₂SO₃ solution at the required concentration and pH to give a final volume of 2.5 mL. Photocatalysis was carried out in Pyrex pressure vessels with a headspace of either 2.1 or 5.2 mL, sealed tightly with a rubber septum; the volume of the headspace did not affect the measured volume of H₂. A typical procedure is as follows: QD-BF₄ (48 µL, 104 µM in DMF) and CoCl₂ (50 µL, 0.2 mM in H₂O) were added to Na₂SO₃ (2.4 mL, 0.1 M, pH 7). The vessel was sealed, protected from light, and purged for at least 10 min with 2% CH₄ in N₂ before photocatalysis experiments began. CH₄ provided an internal standard for H₂ measurement (see below). The DMF content of the solution was typically between 1–3% v/v. For experiments with commercial CdS, the same preparation as above but with 0.6 mg CdS was used in each sample, in line with the calculated mass of CdS employed for the QDs.

**Photocatalytic studies.** Samples were irradiated using a calibrated solar light simulator (Newport Oriel, 100 mW cm⁻²) equipped with an air mass 1.5 global filter. Infrared and ultraviolet irradiation were filtered using a water filter (10 cm path length) and a 420 nm long-pass filter (UQG Optics), respectively. The reaction vessel temperature was kept constant at 25 °C with a water jacket connected to a temperature-controlled circulator. Evolved H₂ was measured by taking aliquots (20 µL) of the headspace gas and analysing them using an Agilent 7890A Series Gas Chromatograph (GC) equipped with a 5 Å molecular sieve column (N₂ carrier gas at a flow rate of approximately 3 mL min⁻¹). The column temperature was kept at 45 °C, and a thermal conductivity detector was used. The GC was calibrated for H₂ regularly using gas titration of known H₂/CH₄/N₂ mixtures. Unless otherwise stated, data presented is given as the mean of three independent experiments, with errors given as ±1 standard deviation (σ) or ±10% of the mean, whichever is greater.
Long-term irradiation study. Photocatalysis solutions ([QD-BF₄] = 2 μM, [CoCl₂] = 4 μM, 1.0 M Na₂SO₃, pH 7) were irradiated for 44 h, with purging after each 12 h interval to prevent excessive pressure build-up. After this time, the vessels were opened and the pH adjusted in situ using 1.0 M HCl. The solutions were purged and irradiated for a further 22 h. After this time, the vessels were opened and fresh Na₂SO₃ (113 mg) added. The pH was adjusted using concentrated HCl, the solutions purged, and irradiated for a further 22 h.

Re-suspension experiments. Photocatalysis solutions ([QD-BF₄] = 2 μM, [CoCl₂] = 4 μM, 0.1 M Na₂SO₃, pH 7) were irradiated for 4 h then isolated by centrifugation (10 000 rpm, 10 min). The supernatant was separated and the precipitate washed by re-suspending in water (2 mL) followed by centrifugation (10 min). The precipitate was then suspended in fresh Na₂SO₃ (0.1 M, pH 7), purged with 2% CH₄ in N₂ and irradiated for a further 4 h. To avoid any deleterious effects of exposure to air, the isolation and re-suspension procedure was carried out in a nitrogen-filled glovebox.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and surface modification of CdS QDs

As outlined in Fig. 1, oleic acid-capped CdS QDs (QD-OA) were synthesised by a hot injection method, and used to prepare both MPA-capped particles (QD-MPA) and ligand-free, charge-stabilised QDs (QD-BF₄). QD-MPA were prepared following a standard ligand exchange procedure by treating QD-OA with MPA in basic solution. QD-BF₄ were prepared following a modified reactive ligand stripping procedure, using [Me₃O]BF₄ in the presence of dimethylformamide (DMF) to remove the oleic acid groups. The ligand stripping process yields well-dispersed individual particles when suspended in polar solvents such as DMF and dimethyl sulfoxide (Fig. S1† for transmission electron microscopy, TEM, image).

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) of dried QD-BF₄ revealed resonances from DMF and (BF₄)³⁻, which are the expected surface groups following ligand stripping (Fig. S2†). Ligand stripping did not affect the CdS crystal phase (Fig. S3† for powder X-ray diffraction, XRD), but the absorption maximum changed from λₘₐₓ = 443 nm to 427 nm (Fig. S4† for UV-vis spectra). This blue-shift corresponds to a decrease in the CdS QD particle size from 5.0 nm to 4.2 nm, which is in agreement with TEM measurements (4.5 ± 0.5 nm for QD-OA and 4.1 ± 0.6 nm for QD-BF₄). The (BF₄)³⁻ anion is known to etch CdTe QDs, and therefore a similar etching process is a likely reason for the reduced size of QD-BF₄.

Photocatalysis experiments

Photocatalysis solutions were prepared by sequentially adding stock solutions of QDs and either CoP, CoCl₂ or Co(NO₃)₂ to a stirred, aqueous solution of the sacrificial electron donor (0.1 M Na₂SO₃, pH 7). Whereas QD-MPA formed a stable suspension that did not precipitate over the course of the photocatalysis experiment, QD-BF₄ rapidly formed a visibly hazy suspension that precipitated if not stirred. FT-IR of QD-BF₄ precipitated from aqueous solution shows significantly reduced (BF₄)³⁻ resonances (Fig. S2†), indicating that most of the counter ions are dissolved in water. Under visible light irradiation (air mass 1.5 G filter, 100 mW cm⁻², λ > 420 nm), QD-MPA produced negligible amounts of H₂ in the presence and absence of cobalt co-catalysts (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and S1†). In contrast, QD-BF₄ was over 60 times more active than QD-MPA, and its activity was further enhanced tenfold by the addition of cobalt species.

To control for the reduced particle size of QD-BF₄ compared to QD-MPA, we capped QD-BF₄ with MPA (‘re-capped’ QDs) and compared the activity. The H₂ evolution yield of the ‘re-capped’ dots with CoCl₂ remained significantly lower than that of the bare QD-BF₄ (1.53 ± 0.42 and 32.8 ± 4.9 μmol after 4 h irradiation, respectively; Table S1†), confirming that the primary activity enhancing effect of ligand stripping stems from the lack of MPA surface groups in QD-BF₄ and not the decrease in QD size. Furthermore, the presence of small amounts of DMF in the QD-BF₄ solutions is not a contributing factor to the difference in activity between QD-BF₄ and QD-MPA, since adding an equivalent quantity of DMF to QD-MPA solutions did not influence the activity. Equivalent experiments with commercial CdS (Sigma-Aldrich, 0.6 mg) yielded activity on the same order of magnitude as that of QD-MPA (Table S1† entry 10). From these results we infer that both the small particle size and the bare CdS surface are necessary for high activity.

The observed photocatalytic activity was independent of the Co species used as co-catalyst (Fig. 1, 2 and Table S1†), which suggests that the same active species is formed in each case. Although CoP has been shown to act as a molecular catalyst on dye-sensitised TiO₂, cobaloximes are known to partially dissociate from a surface or light absorber, and to fully decompose under highly reducing conditions. The presence of an induction period followed by linear photostability over hours is in contrast to the behaviour of CoP on dye-sensitised TiO₂, and is consistent with decomposition to form a catalytically active deposit.

We therefore propose that CoP is photodecomposed during an initial activation period, which may result from the high driving force provided by the CdS
Table 1  Visible light-driven H₂ evolution with QD-MPA and QD-BF₄ in the presence and absence of CoCl₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>H₂/μmol</th>
<th>TOF_QD</th>
<th>TOF_Co</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QD-MPA</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.88</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-MPA/CoCl₂</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td>9.36 ± 1.42</td>
<td>4.68 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-BF₄</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.95</td>
<td>161 ± 48</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-BF₄/CoCl₂</td>
<td>32.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>1639 ± 246</td>
<td>819 ± 123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values reported for H₂ produced after 4 h irradiation at 25 °C (λ > 420 nm, 100 mW cm⁻²). [QD] = 2 μM, [Co] = 4 μM, 0.1 M Na₂SO₃, pH 7, 2.5 mL. 

† Turnover frequency per QD, TOF_QD, in mol H₂ per mol QD per h. 

‡ Turnover frequency per Co, TOF_Co, in mol H₂ per mol Co per h.

conduction band (values reported range from −1.7 V to −0.7 V vs. NHE, depending on surface properties and QD diameter).cite{ref}

The photocatalytic QD-BF₄ system was optimised using CoCl₂ as the co-catalyst. A [QD] : [Co] ratio of 1 : 2, with [QD] = 2 μM, and [Na₂SO₃] of 1.0 M was found to give optimal H₂ evolution activity (Fig. S5–S7 and Table S2† entry 12). A five-fold higher loading of Co did not increase the activity with respect to the QDs. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) of the QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ system at λ = 420 nm was 7.7 ± 1.4% and remained approximately constant over 3 h (see ESI for details†).

The photocatalytic activity is highly sensitive to the solution pH with a clear maximum in activity at pH ~ 7 (Fig. S8†). The optimum pH correlates with the pKa of the sacrificial electron donor (7.2 for HSO₃⁻) but may also be related to other factors such as the degree of protonation of the particle surfaces and the corresponding changes in the QD conduction and valence band energies or a pH-dependent quantum yield of generated photo-reduced species. This pH sensitivity of the QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ hybrid system was found to be the main factor limiting the long-term stability of the system (Fig. 3). Under continuous solar light illumination (λ > 420 nm), the H₂ evolution ceased after approximately 44 h, where a pH of 11.3 ± 0.1 was measured. Adjusting the solution pH again to pH 7 with aqueous HCl restored much of the initial rate of H₂ evolution. The activity was not fully restored to the initial rate, which may be due to degradation of the QDs by repeated exposure to air, alkaline solution and/or HCl (for pH adjustment). In contrast, adding Na₂SO₃ did not cause an increase in activity. After 88 h irradiation, 581 ± 58 μmol H₂ was produced, corresponding to a TON_Co of 58 000 ± 5800 and TON_QD of 29 000 ± 2900. QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ is the most active QD/catalyst system at pH 7 to date, with activity on par with – or higher than – the related photocatalytic microstructured nanoparticle/co-catalyst aggregates, formed from MPA-capped CdTe QDs and CoCl₂ at pH 4.65 (Table S3†).

Characterisation of the active catalyst

Inductively-coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) of both the particles and supernatant isolated from a QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ solution after 4 h irradiation showed that 87% of the detected Co is attached to the QDs, with a calculated Co loading of ~0.1 wt% (Table S4†). It is notable that the measured Co content on QD-BF₄ is similar to that of the related CdTe/CoCl₂ system (0.15 wt%), but that the required amount of CoCl₂ in solution for our system is much lower, presumably due to the ligand-free CdS surface (2 equivalents per QD in this work compared to 1000 equivalents for CdTe/CoCl₂). The TON_Co based on total added Co is therefore much higher for our system (TON_Co 30 000 after 21 h cf. 86 for CdTe/CoCl₂, Table S3†).

Particles isolated from a QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ solution were found to have a similar Co loading to that of QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ (Table S4†), suggesting the hypothesis that the nature of the active catalyst is independent of the Co species. Additionally, Co was not detected in particles isolated from either QD-MPA/CoCl₂ or ‘re-capped’ QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ solutions, indicating that integration of Co into the particles is necessary for high photocatalytic activity.

Particles isolated from the photocatalysis solution and re-suspended in fresh Na₂SO₃ retained 90% of their activity (no additional Co, Fig. S9†), confirming that the CdS/Cd particles are the active species. An induction period was observed within the first 30 min of irradiation (Fig. 2), indicating that the formation of the active species is a photoactivated process. Stirring the photocatalysis mixture in the dark for 4 h prior to irradiation did not result in a shorter induction period.

TEM of the particles after photocatalysis revealed aggregates of ~100 nm that retained a nanocrystalline morphology (Fig. 4), but no additional features due to Co species (e.g. Co₉ nanoparticles) were detected. Neither changes in the crystal phase of the CdS nor formation of additional phases were observable by XRD (Fig. S3†). We were unable to determine the oxidation state of the active Co species since the loading of Co was below the detection limit of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

The oxidation state of bulk and surface CdS may also play an important role in catalysis. A comparison of XPS data for QD-OA, QD-BF₄ and QD-BF₄/CoCl₂ indicated changes in the QD surface chemistry during both ligand stripping and photocatalysis. Firstly, there is a change in the stoichiometry of the particles during ligand-stripping, with QD-OA found to be Cd-rich (Cd : S = 0.63 : 0.37), whereas QD-BF₄ was almost stoichiometric (Cd : S = 0.53 : 0.47) and remained so during photocatalysis (Table 2). The Cd(3d) peaks of QD-OA were
The activity-enhancing role of the cobalt in this system is not entirely clear. Since no Cd was detected in the supernatant of the centrifuged photocatalysis reaction solution, it is unlikely that a significant proportion of the Co is integrated within the CdS particles by cation exchange. Although we cannot rule out that Co may be incorporated into interstitial Cd vacancies, the primary site for Co attachment is likely to be at the surface, consistent with previous studies of the photooxidative behaviour of CdS in the presence of Co ions, and the sulfate displacement observed by XPS. The Co may either be deposited on the surface as an active catalyst species (e.g. CoS₄ or Co₄O₉) or, alternatively, be adsorbed to the surface as Co ions (e.g. at surface hydroxyl or SO₃⁻ sites), behaving as charge-trapping sites to increase charge-separation and/or promote catalysis on the CdS surface.

Since the required loading of Co for optimal activity is only two Co ions per QD, the formation of appreciably large domains of an active catalyst species on individual particles is unlikely. However, since the particles are agglomerated, one or more regions of such species might form on the surfaces of particle agglomerates. In this case, there may be many individual QDs with no Co physically attached but which form a conductive network and act as antennae, generating and conducting electrons towards remote active catalyst sites. This mechanism may be one reason why increasing the loading of Co does not increase the activity, since the surface area of the agglomerates is lower than of the individual particles. A corollary of this antenna hypothesis is that agglomeration of the QDs is in fact beneficial for H₂ production activity by facilitating charge transfer.

The activity-enhancing role of the cobalt in this system is not entirely clear. Since no Cd was detected in the supernatant of the centrifuged photocatalysis reaction solution, it is unlikely that a significant proportion of the Co is integrated within the CdS particles by cation exchange. Although we cannot rule out that Co may be incorporated into interstitial Cd vacancies, the primary site for Co attachment is likely to be at the surface, consistent with previous studies of the photooxidative behaviour of CdS in the presence of Co ions, and the sulfate displacement observed by XPS. The Co may either be deposited on the surface as an active catalyst species (e.g. CoS₄ or Co₄O₉) or, alternatively, be adsorbed to the surface as Co ions (e.g. at surface hydroxyl or SO₃⁻ sites), behaving as charge-trapping sites to increase charge-separation and/or promote catalysis on the CdS surface.

Since the required loading of Co for optimal activity is only two Co ions per QD, the formation of appreciably large domains of an active catalyst species on individual particles is unlikely. However, since the particles are agglomerated, one or more regions of such species might form on the surfaces of particle agglomerates. In this case, there may be many individual QDs with no Co physically attached but which form a conductive network and act as antennae, generating and conducting electrons towards remote active catalyst sites. This mechanism may be one reason why increasing the loading of Co does not increase the activity, since the surface area of the agglomerates is lower than of the individual particles. A corollary of this antenna hypothesis is that agglomeration of the QDs is in fact beneficial for H₂ production activity by facilitating charge transfer.

Table 2  Quantitative XPS analysis of Cd and S and relative sulfate and sulfide content of CdS QD before and after ligand stripping and after photocatalysis in the presence and absence of CoCl₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Cd/at%</th>
<th>S/at%</th>
<th>Sulfide/% of S</th>
<th>Sulfate/% of S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QD-OA</td>
<td>63.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>36.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-BF₄</td>
<td>53.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>46.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>67.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>32.7 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-BF₄, 12 h</td>
<td>51.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>48.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>69.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>30.3 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-BF₄/CoCl₂, 12 h</td>
<td>52.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>47.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>82.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>17.9 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-BF₄/CoCl₂, 107 h</td>
<td>54.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>45.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>89.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
separation and retarding recombination, as has been shown for H₂ production on dye-sensitised, platinitised TiO₂ nanoparticle powders. Since agglomeration has also been reported with the highly active CdTe/CoCl₂ (microsphere) and CdSe/Ni(DHLA)₂ systems at pH 4–5,¹⁵,²⁶ we view this mechanism as an important consideration.

Based on our analysis of the active photocatalyst, we propose that the primary detrimental role of MPA in the QD-MPA/Co system is as a physical barrier. Since thiols do not dissociate from the particles as readily at pH 7 compared to pH 5 and below,¹⁵,²⁶ few surface sites on QD-MPA become available during the photoreaction, restricting incorporation of Co onto the particles and limiting substrate/product diffusion to and from the QD surface. The presence of MPA also prevents the particles from aggregating, possibly hindering efficient charge-separation. Finally, we avoid sequestration of Co by MPA, a secondary factor limiting the activity of thiol-capped QD systems.²⁷

**Conclusions**

We have established ligand stripping as a facile and highly effective method to activate QDs in photocatalytic schemes, which allowed us to construct a QD/Co hybrid system with an unprecedented photocactivity in pH neutral solution. The active system consists of aggregates of stripped – and therefore surface-exposed – CdS particles with an attached cobalt species to enhance catalytic turnover. The photocatalyst system is active for several days, with the buffering ability of the electron donor as the main limiting factor. We anticipate that this approach can also be applied to a wide range of QDs, catalysts and redox transformations.

**Acknowledgements**

We gratefully acknowledge the following funding sources: The Marshall Aid Commemoration Commission (CMC), the Advanced Institute for Materials Research-Cambridge Joint Research Centre (KLO), the Ernest Oppenheimer Fund, Cambridge (BCMM), and the EPSRC (EP/H00338X/2; ER). We would like to thank Dr Fezile Lakadamyali for providing CoP and Dr Anna Reynal-Verdú and Dr Mahmoud Ardakani at Imperial College London for assistance with collecting TEM images of the particles after photocatalysis. We also acknowledge helpful discussions with Dr Moritz F. Kuehnel and Dr Micaela Crespo Quesada.

**Notes and references**

30 T. Simon, N. Bouchonville, M. J. Barr, A. Vaneski, A. Adrovic, D. Volbers, R. Wyrwich, M. Döblinger, A. S. Susha,