Multi-scale strain-stiffening of semiflexible bundle networks‡
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Bundles of polymer filaments are responsible for the rich and unique mechanical behaviors of many biomaterials, including cells and extracellular matrices. In fibrin biopolymers, whose nonlinear elastic properties are crucial for normal blood clotting, protofibrils self-assemble and bundle to form networks of semiflexible fibers. Here we show that the extraordinary strain-stiffening response of fibrin networks is a direct reflection of the hierarchical architecture of the fibrin fibers. We measure the rheology of networks of unbundled protofibrils and find excellent agreement with an affine model of extensible wormlike polymers. By direct comparison with these data, we show that physiological fibrin networks composed of thick fibers can be modeled as networks of tight protofibril bundles. We demonstrate that the tightness of coupling between protofibrils in the fibers can be tuned by the degree of enzymatic intermolecular crosslinking by the coagulation factor XIII. Furthermore, at high stress, the protofibrils contribute independently to the network elasticity, which may reflect a decoupling of the tight bundle structure. The hierarchical architecture of fibrin fibers can thus account for the nonlinearity and enormous elastic resilience characteristic of blood clots.

Introduction

Polymer bundles are found everywhere in Nature. Inside cells, polymer bundles are present as part of the cytoskeleton, which is a space-spanning composite network made up of actin filaments, microtubules and intermediate filaments.1,2 Actin and intermediate filaments can be classified as semiflexible polymers, meaning that their thermal persistence length is comparable to their contour length,2,3 whereas microtubules are often considered as rigid rods.4 A large number of accessory proteins such as molecular motors and crosslink proteins organize these polymers into higher-order structures tailored for specific tasks, including bundles that act as reinforcing or force-generating elements.5–7 Polymer bundles also form the main structural element of the extracellular matrix in connective tissues. However, contrary to cytoskeletal proteins, extracellular matrix proteins can spontaneously form bundled fibers without the need for accessory cross-linker proteins. Collagen I for instance self-assembles into rope-like, axially ordered bundles that endow tissues with a large tensile strength,8 whereas the plasma protein fibrin forms axially ordered bundles that reinforce blood clots.9

Semiflexible polymer bundles have recently started to raise a lot of theoretical attention because their hierarchical structure endows them with unique mechanical properties. The molecular packing geometry of biopolymer bundles is generally governed by an energetic trade-off between filament twisting and inter-fibril adhesion.10–12 The bending stiffness of these bundles is highly tunable, being sensitive to the number of constituent polymers, their intrinsic mechanical properties and the strength of coupling among them.13 These bundle properties have begun to be exploited in materials science, as exemplified by fibers made of carbon nanotubes14,15 and responsive gels from designer supramolecular polymers.16,17

Theoretical models have been developed specifically to address the molecular basis of the structure and linear elasticity of bundles of actin filaments bridged at discrete binding sites by crosslinking proteins.18–22 By contrast, much less is known about the molecular mechanisms governing the mechanical properties of fibrin and collagen fibers. They tend to be much larger in size
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compared to actin bundles, involving hundreds or even thousands of subunits, compared to tens of subunits per cross-section in case of actin. They have a more complex molecular packing structure and it is less clear how the subunits are held together in the bundle than in the case of actin bundles. Moreover, fibrin and collagen are less well-ordered than actin bundles. Actin bundles generally involve a well-ordered hexagonal packing, while both collagen and fibrin bundles are paracrystalline with long-range molecular packing order along the fiber axis but only short-range order in cross-section. Moreover, the nonlinear elastic properties of these bundles and their networks remain poorly understood.

In this work we focus on the mechanical properties of fibrin bundles. The soluble precursor of fibrin bundles is the protein fibrinogen, which circulates in plasma at a concentration of 2–3 mg ml$^{-1}$. Fibrinogen is an S-shaped hexamer comprising two sets of threepolypeptide chains, referred to as A, B, and $\gamma$. Polymerization is initiated by the enzyme thrombin, which cleaves off two protective fibrinopeptides (FpA and FpB), exposing so-called A- and B-knobs. The activated fibrin monomers spontaneously assemble into polymer bundles by a two-step process. In the first step, cleavage of FpA initiates the formation of double-stranded protofibrils. This is encoded in non-covalent interactions of the A- and B-knobs with adjacent fibrin molecules. In the second step, cleavage of FpB promotes lateral association of the protofibrils into fibers comprising tens to hundreds of protofibrils. This lateral association is promoted through B-b knob–hole interactions as well as through interactions of the long and flexible $\alpha$C-regions that project out from the surface of adjacent protofibrils. The enzyme factor XIII (FXIII) catalyzes the formation of covalent crosslinks between the $\alpha$- and $\gamma$-chains of the fibrin molecules, thus inducing a closer packing of the protofibrils in the fibers.

Single-fiber stretching experiments by atomic force microscopy (AFM) have revealed that fibrin fibers have a low elastic modulus at small strain but stiffen when strained. Moreover, fibrin fibers are elastomeric, exhibiting a remarkably large breakage strain that exceeds 200%. Mechanical measurements on networks of fibrin fibers have revealed that fibrin also stiffens at the network level when subjected to a shear or tensile deformation. This strain-stiffening response protects fibrin networks against damage from the shear stresses exerted by flowing blood and traction forces exerted by cells. Given the complex hierarchical structure of fibrin, it has been difficult to dissect the contribution of the molecular, fiber and network structure to the overall mechanical response.

Recently, we proposed that by modeling fibrin fibers as bundles of semiflexible polymers, it does become possible to systematically trace the contribution of each hierarchical level of structure to the mechanical properties of fibrin. However, an experimental difficulty in validating this model is that, unlike actin bundles, fibrin fibers cannot be taken apart into their constituent protofibrils and linkers, since bundling is an intrinsic property of the protofibrils. Here we show that the properties of the bundles can nevertheless be dissected by comparing the mechanical properties of fibrin networks prepared with different levels of bundling. To modulate the degree of bundling, we exploit the known sensitivity of fibrin polymerization to salt and pH conditions, resulting in networks with bundle numbers that range over more than two orders of magnitude (2–370 protofibrils per bundle). We demonstrate that the nonlinear rheology of networks close to the protofibril (unbundled) limit is in excellent quantitative agreement with theoretical predictions for networks of semiflexible polymers, allowing us to extract the thermal persistence length and enthalpic stretch modulus of protofibrils. We next show that the mechanics of networks of fibers can be quantitatively explained by modeling the fibers as protofibril bundles. Furthermore, we find that the coupling strength between the protofibrils can be tuned by FXIII-mediated molecular crosslinking. Our findings show that fibrin fibers can be modeled as networks of bundles of semiflexible protofibrils. This is an important conceptual step, because it facilitates making a quantitative link between the stiffness of a fiber and its molecular packing structure. This framework should be more generally applicable to other natural protein fibers such as collagen as well as bio-inspired fibrous materials.

### Theoretical background

We have previously shown by optical tweezers microrheology that fibrin fibers exhibit transverse thermal fluctuations with a dependence on frequency, which shows an $\omega^{3/4}$ power-law scaling regime above 1 kHz, which is characteristic of semiflexible polymers. This observation implies that the elasticity of fibrin networks is entropic in origin and can be described by entropic models for semiflexible polymers. These models approximate a polymer by a smooth linear contour that resists bending with a quantity $\kappa$ called the bending modulus. The rigidity of semiflexible polymers can be quantified by the persistence length $l_p = \kappa/k_B T$, which represents the decay length of angular correlations along the polymer contour. Here $k_B$ is Boltzmann's constant and $T$ is temperature. A polymer is called semiflexible when $l_p$ is comparable to its contour length. Because semiflexible polymers bend in response to thermal forces, their response to an applied pulling force is entropic in origin: pulling straightens out the thermally-induced bends and thereby causes a reduction in the conformational entropy of the polymer.

The elastic modulus of a network of crosslinked semiflexible polymers depends on network connectivity. When the network is well-connected, it deforms in an affine (i.e. uniform) manner. In this case, all filaments experience the same deformation and are predominantly stretched. The network elasticity can then be calculated analytically from an orientational average over the force–extension response of each filament. In contrast, when network connectivity is low, it can be more energetically favorable for the filaments to bend, rather than stretch, in response to an applied shear stress, resulting in nonaffine deformations. An analytical prediction of the elastic modulus is challenging in this case, and thus the nonaffine regime has mostly been explored by computer simulations.

Here we will compare our experimental data to analytical predictions assuming an affine network response. If nonaffinity
is present, we expect it generically to decrease network stiffness compared to the affine limit, since nonaffinity increases the number of degrees of freedom in the system. In the affine limit, the network elastic modulus in the linear elastic regime, $G_0$, can be expressed in terms of the total fiber length per unit volume, $\rho$, and two length scales, namely $l_p$ and the distance between crosslinks, $l_c$:

$$G_0 = 6\rho k_B T l_p^2 / l_c^3. \quad (1)$$

The crosslink distance can be estimated using scaling theories for semiflexible polymers. Crosslinking is expected to occur either at the scale of the mesh size, $\xi \propto \rho^{-1/2}$, or at the scale of the somewhat larger entanglement length, $l_e$, which scales as $l_e \propto \rho^{-2/5}$.\(^{47,53,54}\) Once the shear stress exceeds a critical value, networks of semiflexible polymers will strain-stiffen as a consequence of the entropic resistance of the filaments to stretching. The shear stress characterizing the onset of nonlinearity, $\sigma_\text{on}$, can be expressed as:\(^{47}\)

$$\sigma_\text{on} = \rho k_B T l_p / l_c^2. \quad (2)$$

For fibers with a stretch modulus $\kappa_s$, the elastic modulus is expected to saturate at a plateau value $K_s = f_0 \kappa_s$, where $f$ is a geometrical prefactor that lies between $f = 1/15$ in the limit of isotropic network and $f \approx 1/8$ for highly aligned network.\(^9,55\) Full expressions for the stress-dependent modulus can be calculated numerically.\(^{29}\)

When the filaments comprising the network are themselves bundles of semiflexible polymers, the elasticity of the network becomes a function of the degree of bundling. In the case of fibrin, the fibers are bundles of $N_p$ protofibrils. Henceforth we take the superscript ‘F’ to denote bundles of protofibrils (i.e. fibers) and ‘pf’ to denote single protofibrils. Thus $\rho^\text{pf}$ is the length density of protofibrils, and the corresponding length density of fibers can be expressed as: $\rho^\text{F} = \rho^\text{pf} N_p$. The persistence length of a bundle of wormlike chains ($l^\text{pf}$) can be predicted from the number of constituent chains and the effectiveness of cross-links in mechanically coupling adjacent filaments.\(^{13}\) In particular, the bundle stiffness is bounded by two limits. In the fully coupled bending limit, which occurs when the shear stiffness of the cross-links is large, the bundle behaves like a homogeneous elastic beam with $\kappa^\text{F} = N_p^2 \kappa^\text{pf}$. In the fully decoupled bending limit, which occurs when the cross-link shear stiffness is small such that protofibrils can easily slide relative to each other, the bending stiffness is linear in $N_p$ according to $\kappa^\text{F} = N_p \kappa^\text{pf}$. These behaviors can be summarized by the simplified expression,

$$l^\text{F} = N_p x^\text{pf} l^\text{pf}, \quad (3)$$

where $x$ is a coupling exponent that describes the strength of the linkage between the protofibrils in a bundle.\(^3\) Note that this expression neglects any length-scale dependence of the bundle stiffness.\(^{13}\) The coupling exponent can range from 1, corresponding to loose coupling, to 2, corresponding to tight coupling. Combining eqn (1) with eqn (3), and assuming $l_c \sim l_p$, we obtain for the plateau modulus of a network of bundles:

$$G_0 \sim 6\rho k_B T (l^\text{pf})^{7/5} (\rho^\text{F})^{11/5} N_p^{-7x/5}. \quad (4)$$

We will show that the coupling exponent $x$ for networks of fibrin bundles can be directly calculated from measured $G_0$ values using eqn (4) with the bundle size $N_p$ and protofibril persistence length $l^\text{pf}$ as inputs.

**Results**

**Varying bundle size**

Under physiological conditions, fibrinogen self-assembles in a hierarchical manner, first forming double-stranded protofibrils, which then bundle into thicker fibers. Confocal microscopy of these so-called ‘coarse’ fibrin networks shows an open meshwork made up of thick fibers, with a pore size of several microns (Fig. 1A). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of fibrin networks deposited and dried on grids reveal that the fibers have a diameter in the range of 50–100 nm (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1A in the ESI†). Similar results were obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of fixed 3D fibrin networks (Fig. S2 in the ESI†).

The goal of this work is to elucidate the contribution of each hierarchical structural level to the mechanical properties of fibrin networks. Even though fibrin fibers cannot be taken apart into their constituent protofibrils and linkers, since bundling is an intrinsic property of the protofibrils, we can exploit the known sensitivity of fibrin polymerization to salt and pH to vary the bundle size.\(^{44–46}\) We assembled fibrin networks under conditions where lateral assembly of protofibrils is almost completely inhibited. This so-called ‘fine’ fibrin limit is favored in a buffer with high pH (8.5) and high ionic strength (0.45). Confocal imaging reveals that fine fibrin indeed forms a dense network with a pore size too small to visualize by optical microscopy (Fig. 1B). This is consistent with estimates of the pore size based on protofibril length density according to $\xi = \rho^{-1/2}$, which predicts a mesh size of $\sim 150$ nm at 1 mg ml$^{-1}$. TEM and SEM images confirm that the fine fibrin networks are composed of
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thin filaments (Fig. 1D and Fig. S2 of ESI†). The diameters of the fine fibers as determined from TEM images range from 15 to 30 nm (Fig. S1B of ESI†). Structural models based on prior electron microscopy (EM)45,56,57 and AFM58,59 imaging indicate a diameter of single protofibrils in the range of 10–20 nm. Thus, the TEM data suggest that fine fibrin networks contain single protofibrils as well as bundles of 2 or maybe 3 protofibrils. However, the diameter measurements by themselves are not entirely conclusive, since drying, surface immobilization and observation in vacuum may influence the apparent diameter. Nevertheless, some fibers in the TEM images show clear evidence of \( N_p = 2 \), since fiber twisting can be distinguished.

Given the uncertainties involved with EM analysis of fiber diameters, we also measured \( N_p \) based on wavelength-dependent light scattering (turbidimetry; see Experimental section) from fibrin networks in their hydrated state. For fine fibrin networks, we find an average \( N_p \) value close to 2, independent of protein concentration, \( c_p \) (Fig. S3 of ESI†). This observation confirms that minimal protofibril bundling occurs under fine fibrin conditions. By contrast, turbidimetry reveals that the average bundle size in coarse fibrin networks prepared under near-physiological conditions is close to 87 when the networks are formed at \( c_p \) between 0.1–3 mg ml\(^{-1}\), and thereafter decreases to reach a value of 20 at 8 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (Fig. S3 of ESI†).

**Rheology of fine fibrin networks**

To enable a quantitative interpretation of the mechanics of physiological (coarse) fibrin networks, which consist of protofibril bundles, we first study fine fibrin networks, which show minimal bundling. We probed the nonlinear elastic response of fibrin networks in their hydrated state. For fine fibrin networks, we fitted the stiffening curves to the full theoretical model for extensible wormlike chains (lines). (A) Plateau modulus, \( G_0 \), as a function of fibrin concentration, \( c_p \), compared with the predicted 11/5 power-law. (B) Cross-link distance, \( l_c \), as a function of \( c_p \) compared with the theoretical prediction for \( l_c = l_0 \). (C) \( c_p^{1/2} G_0 \) shows a power-law dependence on \( \sigma_0 \) with an exponent of 3/2, consistent with the notion that \( G_0 \) and \( \sigma_0 \) are both governed by the entropic force–extension behavior of the filaments.

Given the uncertainties involved with EM analysis of fiber diameters, we also measured \( N_p \) based on wavelength-dependent light scattering (turbidimetry; see Experimental section) from fibrin networks in their hydrated state. For fine fibrin networks, we find an average \( N_p \) value close to 2, independent of protein concentration, \( c_p \) (Fig. S3 of ESI†). This observation confirms that minimal protofibril bundling occurs under fine fibrin conditions. By contrast, turbidimetry reveals that the average bundle size in coarse fibrin networks prepared under near-physiological conditions is close to 87 when the networks are formed at \( c_p \) between 0.1–3 mg ml\(^{-1}\), and thereafter decreases to reach a value of 20 at 8 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (Fig. S3 of ESI†).

Rheology of fine fibrin networks

To enable a quantitative interpretation of the mechanics of physiological (coarse) fibrin networks, which consist of protofibril bundles, we first study fine fibrin networks, which show minimal bundling. We probed the nonlinear elastic response of the networks by applying a stepwise increasing constant shear stress at different protein concentrations: \( c_p = 0.5 \) (squares), 1 (circles), 3 (triangles down) and 6 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (triangles up). In (A), the solid lines represent predictions of the affine thermal model for extensible chains. The \( K' \) values have been shifted vertically for clarity, as indicated. (B) Normalized stress-stiffening curves. Fine fibrin networks show clear evidence of fiber stretching at high strain, since the stiffening curve is weaker than the predicted 3/2-scaling (short solid line) expected for inextensible polymers.

Past a certain onset stress \( \sigma_0, K' \) increases with stress in a complex fashion. Entropic models of crosslinked networks of inextensible semiflexible polymers such as actin\(^3\) and intermediate filaments\(^5\) predict a strong increase in stiffness with stress according to \( K' \propto \sigma^{1/2} \).\(^4\) We find that fine fibrin networks show a significantly weaker stress-dependence (Fig. 2B). Moreover, the extent of stiffening is dependent on \( c_p \). A similarly weak stiffening with stress was previously seen for fish fibrin\(^2\) as well as for vimentin.\(^5\) In those studies, the weak stiffening response was attributed to filament backbone stretching, which is an enthalpic effect.\(^5\) To test whether backbone stretching can also account for the stress response of the fine fibrin networks, we fitted the stiffening curves to the full theoretical prediction for the stiffness of networks of extensible semiflexible polymers.\(^2\) This fitting requires 3 fit parameters: \( l_0, K_s \) and \( l_c \). As shown in Fig. 2A, the model (solid lines) is indeed able to capture both the onset of strain-stiffening, which originates from chain entropy, and the inflection at intermediate stress, which stems from backbone stretching. However, at large stress, the model systematically underestimates the measured \( K' \). The model accounts for shear-induced alignment of fibrin protofibrils under stress, but assumes that the stretch modulus of the protofibrils is independent of strain. The systematic discrepancy between the data and the predictions thus strongly suggests that the protofibrils themselves stiffen under extension, while the close agreement at low and intermediate stresses indicates that the minimally bundled protofibril networks are well represented as networks of extensible semiflexible polymers.

Let us examine whether the obtained fit parameters, \( l_p, K_s \) and \( l_c \), are physically meaningful. We obtain a value of 150 nm for the average \( l_p \) of the filaments forming the fine fibrin networks, independent of \( c_p \) (Fig. S5 of ESI†). Given an average from 0.5 to 6 mg ml\(^{-1}\). More specifically, \( G_0 \) increases as a power law in \( c_p \) with an exponent of 2.1 ± 0.1 (solid squares in Fig. 3A). This exponent is consistent with the analytical model for networks of semiflexible polymers (eqn (4)), which predicts an exponent of 11/5 (solid line in Fig. 3A). For reference, we note that our data agree well with prior measurements on fibrin networks prepared under similar fine fibrin conditions (Fig. S4A of ESI†).

\[ G_0 \propto c_p^{0.5} \]

\[ \sigma_0 \propto c_p^{0.1} \]
$N_p$ of 2, this corresponds to $l_{pf}^p = 75$ nm, consistent with the supposition that the protofibrils are semiflexible polymers. This value is smaller than estimates from light scattering experiments (200 nm) and analysis of EM images of fish fibrin (500 nm), but is close to values reported in recent light scattering and small-angle X-ray scattering experiments (120 nm). The apparent $k_{pf}^p$, obtained from the fits (assuming $N_p = 2$) lies between 80 and 150 pN (closed symbols in Fig. 5A of ES1), close to the range (50–100 pN) inferred from macroscopic rheology measurements on fish fibrin. If we assume that the protofibrils behave as homogeneously elastic cylinders of diameter 10 nm, we can infer from the $k_{pf}^p$ values a Young’s modulus, $E$, between 1 and 1.9 MPa, which is at the low end of the range of 1.7–15 MPa measured by bending and stretching of fibrin fibers.

Fibrin protofibrils are therefore softer than intermediate filaments ($E = 9–900$ MPa) and three orders of magnitude softer than actin filaments ($E = 1–3$ GPa). The structural origins of the low stiffness of the fibrin protofibrils is still unclear. Recent atomistic Molecular Dynamics simulations have revealed large bending motions centered at a hinge point in the coiled-coil regions of the fibrinogen molecule. Such internal flexibility would effectively make protofibrils appear less stiff than a continuum elastic model of a cylinder with a certain Young’s modulus would predict, leading to a higher extensibility. In the future it will be instructive to build a molecular picture of protofibril rigidity that can be translated to larger length scales of fibers and networks. The apparent $l_{pf}$ obtained from the fits decreases from 0.25 µm at 0.5 mg ml$^{-1}$ (1.5 µm) fibrin to 0.05 µm at 6 mg ml$^{-1}$ (17 µm) fibrin (symbols in Fig. 3B). Remarkably, these fitted values of $l_{pf}$ closely agree with the values of $l_0$ from $\tau = l_{pf}^{1/3} \rho^{-2/3}$ predicted by scaling theory and we assume a prefactor of 0.75 (Fig. 3B, solid line), and they are close to the lower bound expected in case of dense crosslinking, $l_{pf} \sim \rho^{-1/2}$ using the same prefactor (Fig. 3B, ES1).

As a further test of the applicability of the entropic model for extensible semiflexible polymers to fine fibrin networks, we examined the relationship between $G_0$ and the onset of stiffening, $\sigma_0$. Combining eqn (1) and (2), the model predicts $c_{pf}^p G_0 \propto \sigma_0^{3/2}$. Our data are indeed consistent with this prediction (Fig. 3C). Together with the fact that we obtain physically meaningful values of $l_{pf}$, $\kappa_s$ and $l_{pf}$ this strongly supports our conclusion that entropic elasticity combined with a transition to enthalpic elasticity once the filaments are pulled out underlies the nonlinear elastic response of fine fibrin networks.

**Bundled networks: coarse fibrin networks**

Under physiological conditions of blood clotting, fibrin protofibrils laterally aggregate to form bundles. To mimic these conditions, we formed fibrin networks at near-physiological pH (7.4), ionic strength (0.17 mM) and temperature (37°C). We previously observed that the stiffness of such ‘coarse’ networks increases with $c_p$ with an exponent close to $11/5$, similar to the trend in fine fibrin networks, and consistent with the predicted exponent for semiflexible polymers (Fig. 4A). We thus hypothesize that the networks are composed of fibers that can be modeled as semiflexible bundles of protofibrils. To test this hypothesis, we will now compare the rheology of bundled protofibril networks to the fine fibrin limit. In particular, eqn (4) allows us to calculate $x$, characterizing the tightness of protofibril bundles in coarse fibrin networks, by using the measured $G_0$ as input from rheology (Fig. 4A) and the measured $N_p$ from turbidimetry (Fig. 4B). Using $l_{pf}^p = 75$ nm, as determined from the analysis of fine fibrin rheology, we find values for $x$ close to 2, demonstrating that fibrin fibers behave as tight bundles of protofibrils (Fig. 4C).

We further test whether the rheology of fine and coarse fibrin networks can be reconciled by the different degrees of bundling. From eqn (4), we expect $G_0 \propto \left(\rho^*\right)^{11/5} (l_{pf})^{3/5} N_p^{7x/5}$. As shown in Fig. 5A, the fine and coarse fibrin data sets are indeed entirely consistent, using $x = 2$ for coarse and $x = 1$ for fine fibrin. Moreover, both data sets agree well with the theoretical model assuming an affine network deformation (solid line). The model (eqn (2) and (3)) also predicts $\sigma_0$ to scale as $\left(\rho^*\right)^{7/5} (l_{pf})^{3/5} N_p^{3x/5}$. Again, we found good agreement of coarse as well as fine fibrin data with the affine model (Fig. 5B). This agreement also shows that the coarse fibrin networks deform rather affinely. To test whether this rescaling holds over an even wider range of bundle sizes, we prepared networks with bundles of ~ 366 protofibrils by removing fibrinogen oligomers prior to polymerization by gel filtration. As shown by the gray circle in Fig. 5, $G_0$ and $\sigma_0$ of this highly bundled network are also consistent with the prediction of the semiflexible bundle model, but with a smaller coupling exponent of $x = 1.3$.

We next compared the stress-stiffening behavior of coarse and fine fibrin networks beyond $\sigma_0$ where network elasticity is dominated by enthalpic stretching of the polymer backbones.
Fig. 5  The dependencies of (A) the plateau modulus $G_0$ and (B) the onset stress for stress-stiffening, $\sigma_0$, of fine and coarse fibrin networks on the contour length density of fibrils, $\rho^f = N_p/\rho^{pf}$, are both consistent with the semiflexible bundle model. This is demonstrated by rescaling $G_0$ and $\sigma_0$ for fine (solid squares) and coarse fibrin networks (open squares) by their dependence on $N_p$. The gray circle represents a fibrin network of 1 mg ml$^{-1}$ prepared from gel-filtered fibrinogen, for which $N_p = 366$. Coupling is assumed to be loose in case of fine fibrin ($x = 1$) and tight for coarse fibrin ($x = 2$, see Fig. 4), and is found to be intermediate for the ultra-thick fibrin fibers ($N_p = 366$, $x = 1.3$).

The simplest hypothesis is that the protofibrils will stretch in parallel, which we can test by rescaling $K'$ and $s$ by the length density of protofibrils, $\rho^{pf}$. As shown in Fig. 6, this normalization collapses the stiffening curves of coarse and fine fibrin networks onto a single master curve once the average force per protofibril reaches $\sim$1 pN. Importantly, this universal response is independent of $N_p$, $x$ or $c_p$, indicating that in the nonlinear regime, the protofibrils contribute independently to the network elasticity. Thus the (enthalpic) stretch modulus of fibrin fibers is linear in the number of constituent protofibrils. This behavior may also reflect a decoupling of the tight bundle structure that is theoretically expected for short-wavelength deformations. If protofibril stretching were strictly linear, only a weak dependence of the network stiffness would be expected at high strain, reflecting further shear-induced fiber alignment. Strikingly, the model prediction in Fig. 6 (solid red line) systematically underestimates the actual stiffness of both fine and coarse fibrin networks at large stress. This discrepancy suggests that fibrin protofibrils intrinsically stiffen beyond a certain level of stretch. This hypothesis is indeed supported by prior force-extension measurements by AFM on individual fibers, which showed strain-stiffening of the fibers.

Varying bundle tightness

We have shown evidence that fibrin reconstituted under near-physiological conditions can be modeled as a network composed of tightly coupled protofibrils over a range of bundle sizes. The stiffness of a wormlike bundle is expected to be strongly dependent on the coupling strength between the constituent polymers. Previous studies have shown that lateral association of protofibrils is promoted by crosslinking of long, flexible $\alpha$-chains protruding from the protofibril surfaces, as sketched in Fig. 7A.44,71,72 Crosslinking is mediated by the enzyme FXIII, which creates covalent peptide bonds between specific sites on the $\alpha$-chains. FXIII additionally creates crosslinks between $\alpha$- and $\gamma$-chains, as well as crosslinks between $\gamma$-chains within protofibrils.73,74 Based on this evidence, we suppose that FXIII-mediated crosslinking may control the tightness of the bundle.

To test this hypothesis, we controlled the crosslinking activity of FXIII by adding an FXIII inhibitor, D004, in the range of 0 µM to 200 µM.18 As shown by SDS-PAGE analysis of solubilized and denatured fibrin networks, we can inhibit crosslinking in a graded manner (Fig. 7A). At 0 µM D004, there is both $\alpha$-crosslinking (distinguishable by the disappearance of the band corresponding to the monomeric $\alpha$-chain) and $\gamma$-$\gamma$-crosslinking (bands indicated). When we add 5 µM D004, there is no detectable $\alpha$-chain crosslinking (neither $\alpha_N$ polymers nor $\alpha_F$-$\gamma_M$ crosslinks), consistent with prior reports,18,73 and the amount of $\gamma$-$\gamma$-crosslinking is reduced to about 50%. There is complete inhibition of crosslinking at 200 µM D004 (see Fig. S8A of ESI† for the quantification).

To test how crosslinks influence bundle rigidity, we measured stress-stiffening curves of fibrin gels upon FXIII inhibition, where we selected 0, 5 and 200 µM D004 (respectively squares, diamonds and circles in Fig. 7B). Complete inhibition of $\alpha$-crosslinking at 5 µM D004 causes a drop in linear elastic stretch modulus of the protofibrils. When the force reaches $\sigma_0/\rho^{pf} \sim 5$ pN, the stiffening enters a second regime where $K'/\rho^{pf}$ starts to increase again. The apparent $K_p^{pf}$, in the linear elastic stretch regime that we can thus estimate from the normalized data for coarse (bundled) fibrin is $\sim$100–350 pN if we assume that the network is still isotropic, and $\sim$60–200 pN if we assume that the network is aligned, consistent with the $K_p^{pf}$, that we estimated above from fine fibrin rheology (80–150 pN; see Fig. S6A of ESI†). The better agreement with the assumption of an aligned network is consistent with the fact that the strain level corresponding to the linear portion of the enthalpic regime falls around 30% strain (Fig. S6B of ESI†), where we already expect significant fiber alignment.

The normalized stiffening curves above $\sigma_0/\rho^{pf} \sim 1$ pN show two regimes. The stiffening first shows an inflection, which is visibly tending to a plateau for coarse fibrin networks. This plateau region is presumably governed by the linear (small-strain) axial
Fig. 7 Influence of FXIII-mediated crosslinking on fibrin bundle stiffness. (A) Reducing SDS-PAGE gel of 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) coarse fibrin networks formed in the presence of different concentrations of the FXIII-inhibitor D004. The sample marked “control” consists of a 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) fibrin network without DMSO, showing that the addition of DMSO alone (without D004) results in a slight reduction in the extent of \(\alpha\)- and \(\gamma\)-chain crosslinking. The schematic on top depicts crosslinks between protofibrils (\(\alpha\)-\(\alpha\)-crosslinks) in yellow and intra-protofibril crosslinks (\(\gamma\)-\(\gamma\)-crosslinks) in red. (B) Stress-stiffening curves for 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) fibrin networks with 0 \(\mu\)M (squares), 5 \(\mu\)M (diamonds) or 200 \(\mu\)M (circles) D004. (C) Corresponding coupling factor \(x\) calculated from eqn (4). Crosslink inhibition makes the bundles less tight. The two limits (\(x = 2\) for a tight bundle and \(x = 1\) for a loose bundle) are indicated by horizontal dashed lines.

modulus by a factor of 4. Full inhibition of FXIII further reduces the linear modulus by a factor 6 compared to the control, consistent with previous reports.\(^{38,73,75,76}\) According to the proposed semiflexible bundle model, the small-strain regime is determined by entropic elasticity, and should thus be sensitive to the bending rigidity of the fibers. A smaller \(N_p\) indicates a looser, more flexible bundle, assuming that \(N_p\) stays constant. Using eqn (4), we calculated the bundle coupling exponent, \(x\), directly from the rheology data taking into account the slight change of \(N_p\) with D004 level measured by turbidimetry (Fig. 8B). As shown in Fig. 7C, \(x\) decreases from a value close to 2 to fully crosslinked networks to 1.6 for uncrosslinked networks. Interestingly, \(x\) is still significantly larger than 1 in the absence of crosslinking. This means that, even in the absence of covalent crosslinks, protofibril bundles are still rather tightly coupled. Similarly, a decrease in gel stiffness upon reduced internal fiber crosslinking has been observed for other semiflexible bundle systems, such as actin bundled with fascin\(^{18}\) and nanotubes bundled via covalent crosslinks.\(^{14}\)

Strikingly, after the onset of strain-stiffening, the stress-stiffening curves overlap for all three D004 concentrations (Fig. 7B). This observation is consistent with the data presented in Fig. 6, which likewise show that the high-strain regime is determined by independent stretching of the protofibrils. To test whether inhibition of FXIII crosslinking has any effect on the stretch modulus of the protofibrils through changes in \(\gamma\)-\(\gamma\)-crosslinks, we also performed rheological measurements on fine fibrin network with varying levels of D004. SDS-PAGE revealed a gradual decrease of \(\gamma\)-\(\gamma\) and \(\alpha\)-chain crosslinking with D004, with lower concentrations of D004 required to inhibit crosslinking of fine fibrin compared to coarse fibrin (Fig. S8B and S9 of ESI†). Inhibition of \(\alpha\)-chain crosslinking was complete at 0.05 \(\mu\)M D004, while \(\gamma\)-\(\gamma\) crosslinking was inhibited completely at 1 \(\mu\)M. Strikingly, crosslink inhibition by addition of D004 does not change \(k_p^0\), even at concentrations where \(\gamma\)-\(\gamma\)-crosslinking is completely inhibited (Fig. 8A). We conclude that crosslinking of protofibrils within protofibril bundles increases the linear elastic modulus of coarse networks by increasing the fiber bending rigidity, but does not change the enthalpic elastic response of the networks at high stress.

Discussion

Polymer bundles are present in many biological systems, from cytoskeletal components inside the cell to extracellular matrix in tissues. The cross-sectional size of these bundles can vary from a few monomers in case of cytoskeletal bundles to hundreds or thousands in case of extracellular matrix fibers. In the case of fibrin networks, the size of the fibers can be tuned by changing pH and salt conditions. Here we have shown how we can dissect the properties of these bundles of semiflexible polymers by comparing the mechanical properties of fibrin networks prepared with different levels of bundling. We experimentally varied the average size of fibrin bundles from 2 up to 366 constituent protofibrils, thus changing bundle size by more than two orders of magnitude. We demonstrated that the nonlinear rheology of networks close to the limit of unbundled protofibrils is in excellent quantitative agreement with theoretical predictions for networks of semiflexible polymers.

By comparing the rheology of coarse and fine fibrin networks, we demonstrated that the fibers in coarse networks behave mechanically as bundles of protofibrils. By comparing the linear elastic modulus of coarse and fine networks we could directly quantify the coupling strength, \(x\), which is close to 2 when the network is fully crosslinked. In this limit, the fibers behave as tightly coupled bundles of protofibrils. Based on \(\rho_p^0 = 75\) nm, we expect \(l_p\) for fibers in coarse networks to range from 30 \(\mu\)m at...
8 mg ml⁻¹ (where \( N_p \sim 20 \)) to 560 μm at concentrations below 3 mg ml⁻¹ (where \( N_p \sim 86 \)). We indeed showed in earlier work that fibrin fibers within coarse networks exhibit measurable thermal fluctuations that show up as a \( \omega^{1/4} \) frequency spectrum in high-frequency optical tweezers microrheology.⁹ We note that at the time we concluded that the bundles had to be loosely coupled to account for this semiflexibility, but this conclusion was based on published values for \( \rho^B \) of 500 nm.²⁹ We now find a significantly smaller \( \rho^B \) of only 75 nm by rheology measurements on fine fibrin, which can account for the semiflexible behavior we observed as the fibers are tightly coupled. This is furthermore consistent with recent estimations using light scattering and small-angle X-ray scattering experiments.⁶³ It will be interesting in the future to measure the persistence length of protofibrils directly by single-fibril stretching with AFM or optical tweezers.

For coarse networks made of fibers with a bundle size of 366, the coupling exponent was 1.3, closer to the limit of loose bundling. This loosening may potentially be explained by a change in molecular packing with increasing fiber diameter. The cross-sectional molecular packing structure of fibrin is still poorly understood. Turbidity studies have shown that typically less than 30% of the volume of the individual fibrin fibers is comprised of protein.²³ Small Angle X-ray scattering experiments⁷⁷–⁷⁹ and diffraction analysis of electron microscopy images⁷⁹,⁸⁰ have variably indicated either disordered or partially ordered lateral order. Stretching experiments and fluorescence intensity measurements on single fibrin fibers suggested a fractal-like packing.⁶¹ The packing structure and therefore the effective bundle coupling exponent may thus well be dependent on bundle size.

Crosslinking by FXIII acts to enhance bundle tightness. However, this only influences the linear elastic modulus of the network and the onset for strain-stiffening, which are both determined by the entropic elasticity of the bundles. By contrast, the network stiffness in the nonlinear regime is insensitive to crosslinking. In particular, when we rescale the nonlinear mechanics to the total protofibril length per volume, \( \rho^p \), the high-strain response of fine and coarse networks overlaps. Thus, in the enthalpic elastic regime, the protofibrils are simply stretched in parallel. Rheology measurements on fine fibrin of varying degrees of crosslinking also reveal that the stretch modulus of the individual protofibrils is not affected by crosslinking.

The hierarchical structure of fibrin fibers results in a hierarchical mechanical response, with an entropic linear elastic regime, followed by entropic stiffening, then enthalpic stretching and finally stretch-stiffening of the fibers themselves when the average force per protofibril exceeds \( \sim 10 \) pN. At high stress, both fine and coarse fibrin networks stiffen more than predicted by the wormlike chain model that assumes a linear elastic response for the protofibrils (Fig. 6). This interpretation is consistent with direct force–extension measurements by AFM on individual fibers, which showed that fibers stiffen at tensile strains in excess of \( \sim 100\% \).³⁹,⁴⁰,⁶⁵

Several different interpretations for the intrinsic nonlinearity of fibrin fibers have been proposed. One interpretation is that the supramolecular structure of the fibers is responsible for fiber stiffening.²⁹,⁴⁰ The protofibrils are coupled by long and rather flexible carboxy-terminal extensions of the \( \alpha_2 \)-chains (\( \alpha C \) region) that protrude from the protofibrils.⁷² The combination of flexible elements with more rigid folded elements may give rise to nonlinearities once the flexible elements are fully stretched.⁴⁰ Support for this idea comes from force–extension measurements on fibers assembled from fibrinogen of different species, which demonstrated that a longer \( \alpha_2 \)-chain length correlates with greater extensibility.⁸² Moreover, molecular dynamics simulations also indicated that the \( \alpha C \) regions can play a crucial role in fibrin fiber mechanics.⁸³ However, here we observe intrinsic nonlinearity also in fine fibrin, which is minimally bundled, and this nonlinearity contributes equally to fine and coarse network stiffening. This finding argues against a supramolecular origin of nonlinearity, and instead suggests that the nonlinearity is intrinsic to the molecular structure of the protofibrils themselves. A likely source of protofibril nonlinearity is forced monomer unfolding. Molecular simulations showed that unfolding of different domains within fibrin monomers, at forces in the range of 75–150 pN, results in a conversion of the \( \alpha \)-helical coiled-coil connector regions into \( \beta \)-sheet structures,⁶⁴ which are known to be stiffer than \( \alpha \)-helical structures.⁵⁵ It is \( a \ priori \) difficult to predict how this unfolding behavior will be modified once fibrin monomers are incorporated in the double-stranded structure of a protofibril, or the even larger structure of a fiber. However, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy⁸⁶ and direct staining of stretched networks with the \( \beta \)-sheet-specific dye Congo Red⁷⁷ gave convincing evidence of a strain-induced conversion of \( \alpha \)-helical into stiffer \( \beta \)-sheet secondary structure. Single protein unfolding measurements indicate typical unfolding forces of 90 pN,⁸⁸ which is comparable to the largest forces per monomer that can be applied during shear rheometry without network breakage (\( \sim 100 \) pN, see Fig. 6). To directly resolve the microscopic origin of protofibril stiffening under shear, it will be important to perform \( in situ \) measurements of fibrin secondary structure in combination with shear rheometry using, for example, vibrational spectroscopy or X-ray scattering techniques.

In this work, we have focused on the elastic properties of fibrin networks. We find that time-dependence, strain history, and hysteresis do not play a significant role as long as fibrin is cross-linked by FXIII. The samples show very little creep under an applied stress (Fig. S10A, ESI†) and very limited hysteresis during repeated stress sweeps (Fig. S10B, ESI†) and the ratio between the viscous and elastic shear moduli (\( K'/K' \)) is always much less than 1 over the entire stress range (Fig. S11, ESI†). These observations are consistent with earlier reports on crosslinked fibrin networks.⁸³,⁴⁶,⁹⁶

**Conclusion**

Here we have shown that both small and large bundles of fibrin protofibrils give rise to a rich mechanical response to an applied shear stress. The fibers can be modeled as bundles of protofibrils, whose bending rigidity increases quadratically with bundle size whereas the stretch rigidity increases only linearly. At high strain, the bundles exhibit elastomeric properties and strong
strain-stiffening. Altogether, the entropic and enthalpic elasticity of fibrin fibers protect fibrin networks against mechanical deformations. Our findings have important implications for understanding the origins of fibrin mechanics, especially in the contexts of bleeding disorders associated with defective crosslinking and thrombosis associated with excessive stiffness.

In particular, our model provides a quantitative tool for predicting the nonlinear elasticity of fibrin and other bundled semiflexible networks, given a set of measurable parameters such as the bundle size and protein concentration. Furthermore, these results can inspire the design of new bioinspired hierarchical materials with tunable mechanical properties.

**Experimental**

**Fibrin polymerization**

To obtain fibrin networks close to the protofibril limit (traditionally referred to as ‘fine clots’), human fibrinogen (FIB3, Enzyme Research Laboratories, Swansea, UK) was dialyzed for 2 days at 4 °C against a 50 mM Tris-HCl/400 mM NaCl buffer with an ionic strength 0.45, as described previously. The pH was adjusted to 8.5 with NaOH. The dialyzed fibrinogen was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 9000 rpm to remove any aggregates. The final protein concentration was determined by spectrophotometry.

D004 fibrin networks were polymerized by adding 0.5 U ml⁻¹ thrombin and incubating the samples at 37 °C. As the fibrinogen stock solution contains FXIII, the fibrin networks contained a constant molar concentration of 2.7 mg ml⁻¹ fibrinogen. SDS-PAGE analysis showed that FXIII was still present in the fibrinogen stock. Briefly, FIB3 fibrinogen was filtered through a 0.2 μm filter and injected at a concentration of 2.7 mg ml⁻¹ onto a Superdex 200 column that had been equilibrated with fibrin buffer (20 mM HEPES/150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4). The flow rate was 0.5 ml min⁻¹ at a pressure of ~0.12 MPA and at room temperature. The chromatograms showed two peaks, with the first peak corresponding to fibrinogen oligomers and the second peak to fibrinogen monomers. The monomer fraction was concentrated to ~15 mg ml⁻¹ using MacroSep centrifuge tubes (Pall Corporation) at 811 rcf. The tubes were washed with buffer before use. The final protein concentration was again determined by spectrophotometry. The fibrinogen monomer stock was snap-frozen and stored at −80 °C. SDS-PAGE analysis showed that FXIII was still present in the preparation since both α-polymers and γ-γ-dimers were seen on the gel.

**Rheology**

The nonlinear viscoelastic properties of the fibrin networks were measured using a stress-controlled rheometer (Physica MCR 501; Anton Paar, Graz, Austria). Directly after thrombin addition, the fibrinogen solutions were quickly transferred to the rheometer, which was equipped with a steel cone and plate geometry (20, 30 or 40 mm diameter, 1° cone angle). The rheometer was preheated to 37 °C. Solvent evaporation was prevented by coating the sample edges with mineral oil. The time evolution of the linear complex shear modulus, G′, was monitored during fibrin polymerization by applying a small-amplitude oscillatory strain with amplitude γ = 0.5% and frequency ω = 3.14 rad s⁻¹ and by measuring the stress response, σ(ω) = G′*(ω). The shear modulus is a complex quantity, G′ = G′′ + iG′′′, having an in-phase elastic component, G′, and an out-of-phase viscous component, G′′. Networks of fine fibrin reached a constant shear modulus G0 after about 1 hour, while coarse fibrin reached a steady state only after 4 hours.

To probe the nonlinear mechanical response, we used a differential measurement protocol, which captures the stress-stiffening response of biopolymer networks more accurately than large amplitude oscillatory shear measurements. Briefly, small amplitude stress oscillations of amplitude δσ = 0.1σ and frequency 0.1 Hz are superimposed on a steady shear stress, σ, that is gradually increased in a stepwise manner. The tangent shear modulus, which is the local tangent of the stress-strain curve, follows from the oscillatory strain response, K*(σ₀) = δσ/δγ. K* has an in-phase elastic component, K′, and an out-of-phase viscous component, K″. In the linear response regime, K′ equals the linear elastic plateau modulus, G0. The networks were nearly perfectly elastic: the ratio K′/K″ was much less than 1 over the entire strain range (Fig. S11 of ESI†), and the networks did not exhibit any significant creep until the shear stress was close to the breakage point (Fig. S10A of ESI†). Moreover, the stiffening curves were repeatable as long as the stress did not exceed the rupture stress (Fig. S10B of ESI†). Unless noted otherwise, the rheology data represent the mean ± standard deviation from at least three independent experiments.
Imaging

To measure the diameter of the fibers, we performed TEM using a Verios electron microscope (FEI Europe BV, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) operating at 20 kV. About 20 μl of freshly prepared fibrinogen–thrombin solution was quickly deposited as a thin layer on EM grids (Ted Pella, Van Loenen Instruments, Zaandam, the Netherlands) and polymerized at 37 °C in a humid atmosphere. After complete polymerization (1 hour for fine fibrin, 4 hours for coarse fibrin), the grids were washed 5× with MilliQ water and air-dried. Samples were imaged the same day. Fiber diameters were measured manually. We counted more than 200 fibers, combining data from more than five randomly chosen fields-of-view of networks polymerized at concentrations between 0.5 and 2 mg ml⁻¹.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was performed on fibrin samples using Verios electron microscope. Fibrin networks were polymerized in 20 μl dialyzing buttons (Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, United States) in a humid atmosphere. After polymerization, the gels were washed 3× by cacodylate buffer (50 mM sodium cacodylate, 150 mM natrium chloride, pH 7.4), followed by 2 hours or overnight fixation with 2% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer. After fixation, samples were washed 3× with cacodylate buffer and then dehydrated by increasing percentages of ethanol. After complete dehydration (100% ethanol), samples were washed with 50% hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) in ethanol and twice with 100% HMDS. Samples were left overnight to evaporate residual HMDS under the hood. After complete HMDS evaporation, samples were transferred to stubs equipped with carbon tape and sputter coated with a 15.4 nm gold–palladium layer. Samples were imaged at 10 kV using secondary electrons.

To visualize the architecture of fibrin networks in their native, hydrated state, we performed confocal fluorescence microscopy using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope equipped with a 100× oil immersion objective (NA 1.49), a 488 nm laser (Coherent, Utrecht, The Netherlands) for illumination, and a photomultiplier tube detector (A1; Nikon, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). AlexaFluor488-labelled fibrinogen was purchased from Life Technologies (Bleiswijk, the Netherlands), dissolved in either fine fibrin buffer or coarse fibrin buffer (without CaCl₂) and mixed with unlabeled fibrinogen in a 1:10 molar ratio. Samples were prepared in sealed glass chambers with a height of 0.25 mm and polymerized at 37 °C for 1 hour (fine fibrin) or 4 hours (coarse fibrin) before imaging. The images shown are maximum intensity projection over stacks of 129 images over a total z-distance of 25.6 μm, taken 25 μm away from the bottom coverslip surface.

Turbidity

Since diameter estimates from TEM images are prone to artifacts from drying, surface attachment and observation in vacuum, we also measured the diameter and mass-length ratio, μ, of fibrin fibers in their hydrated state by turbidimetry. These measurements were carried out using a Cary300 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, Amstelveen, Netherlands). Fibrin gels were polymerized directly in disposable cuvettes (UV-Cuvette micro, Plastibrand, Germany), which were closed with caps to prevent solvent evaporation. To remove any air bubbles, cuvettes with 350 μl fibrinogen solution were degassed in vacuum for ~8 min, before starting polymerization at 37 °C by the addition of thrombin.

Once the samples were fully polymerized, the optical density, OD, was measured as a function of wavelength, λ, between 350 and 900 nm. To extract the fiber dimensions from the turbidity, τ = OD/ln(10), we analyzed the data according to a theoretical model proposed by Carr et al.⁶ and later extended by Yeromonahos and co-workers.²¹ Assuming that the networks can be modeled as isotropic networks of rigid cylindrical fibers with a large length-to-diameter ratio, the turbidity τ can be expressed in the form: τλ² = μ(λ² – Ba²). Here λ is wavelength in cm, μ is the mass-length ratio in Da cm⁻¹, and a is the fiber radius in cm. A and B are constants and are respectively equal to (88/15)π²n₂(dn/dc)²(1/Nₐ) and (184/231)π²n₂. Here, Nₐ is Avogadro’s number, n₂ is the refractive index of the solvent, dn/dc is the specific refractive index increment (dn/dc = 0.17594 cm³ g⁻¹ for fibrin), and c is the fibrinogen concentration expressed in g ml⁻¹. Thus, τλ² is expected to be linear in λ² with a slope that is proportional to μ and a y-intercept that is related to both μ and a. Note that this expression includes a small correction of the original formulas in ref. 23 (private communication, F. Caton). Given that individual protofibrils have a mass-length ratio μ₀ = 1.44 × 10¹¹ Da cm⁻¹,⁹ the number of protofibrils in a fiber, Np, is simply given by Np = μ/μ₀. We observed a linear dependence of τλ² on λ² for both coarse and fine fibrin networks between 650 and 800 nm, and thus this range was chosen to fit the data. Turbidity data represent an average over three independent measurements per condition. Data for crosslinked coarse fibrin (i.e. without D004) were taken from a previous study,⁸ but re-analyzed according to this corrected model. Since fine fibrin networks scatter rather weakly, we could only obtain reliable results for concentrations above 2 mg ml⁻¹, where the OD was above 0.01. In contrast, coarse fibrin networks scatter strongly, showing an OD above 0.05 at all concentrations tested.

Crosslinking analysis by SDS-PAGE

The extent of covalent crosslinking of the γ and z chains of the fibrin monomers incorporated into fibrin networks was analyzed by reducing SDS-PAGE analysis. Coarse fibrin networks over a range of concentrations (0.5–8 mg ml⁻¹), as well as coarse and fine fibrin networks in the presence of varying amounts of D004 (0–200 μM, 1% DMSO final concentration) at a fixed fibrin concentration of 2 mg ml⁻¹, were tested. Fully formed fibrin gels were dissolved by adding SDS-PAGE sample buffer (Sigma Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands) and heating at 95 °C. Samples were run on 8% polyacrylamide gels, and stained with InstantBlue (Gentaur, Eersel, the Netherlands).
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