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We developed new cyclic Re(i)-based trinuclear redox photosensitizers with both high oxidation power in the excited state and strong reduction power in the reduced form. These excellent properties were achieved by introducing electron-donating groups on the diimine ligand of the Re(i) metal centre and by connecting each Re(i) unit with polyphenyl–bisphosphine bridging ligands. These Re-rings were applied to homogenous visible light-driven photocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} reduction in conjunction with various mononuclear catalysts, such as Re(i), Ru(i) and Mn(i) metal complexes, employing a relatively weak sacrificial electron donor, triethanolamine. Each system showed good product selectivity (CO or HCOOH) and an excellent quantum yield of product formation $\Phi_{\text{CO}} = 0.60$ to 0.74 using fac-[Re(dpyp)(CO)\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]\textsuperscript{+}, $\Phi_{\text{HCOOH}} = 0.58$ using trans[Cl\textsubscript{2}–Ru\textsubscript{0}(dtbb)(CO)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}] and $\Phi_{\text{HCOOH}} = 0.48$ using a fac-[Mn\textsubscript{0}(dtbb)(CO)\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]\textsuperscript{+} catalyst. The high photocatalytic efficiencies for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction are attributed to efficient reductive quenching of the Re-ring by triethanolamine and fast electron transfer from the generated one-electron-reduced species of the ring to the catalyst.

Introduction

Redox photosensitizers (PSs) have been widely used in various photocatalytic reactions such as for organic synthesis, dyesensitized solar cells, photoinduced H\textsubscript{2} or O\textsubscript{2} production from water and reduction of CO\textsubscript{2}. The first step of the photosensitization is the photoexcitation of the PS, followed by a reductive or oxidative quenching reaction with a substrate or semiconductor particles and electrodes. The produced one-electron-reduced or one-electron-oxidized species (OERS or OEOS, respectively) donates an electron or hole, respectively, to another substrate in the final process of the photosensitization. Therefore, PSs are required to have the following properties: (1) stability of the excited state, (2) stability of the OERS and/or OEOS, (3) strong oxidation and/or reduction power in the excited state and (4) strong reduction or oxidation power of the OERS or OEOS.

Some transition-metal complexes are frequently used as PSs not only because they fulfill the aforementioned requirements but also because they have a strong absorption in the visible region, which is an important feature for solar energy conversion. Most reported PSs are mononuclear metal complexes, and their types have been limited mostly to Ru(i)-diimine and cyclometalated-Ir(III) complexes and their derivatives. Although some metal-porphyrins and metal-phthalocyanines as well as Pt(ii), Os(ii), Re(i) and Fe(i)-diimine complexes have also been investigated as PSs, they are used only in limited types of reactions. On the contrary, the possibilities of using multinuclear metal complexes as PSs have only been scarcely investigated to date.

We recently reported the photochemical synthesis of ring-shaped multinuclear Re(i) complexes with cis, trans-[Re(dpyp)](CO)\textsubscript{2}(P–P)\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} (dpyp = 2,2’-bipyridine, P–P = PPh\textsubscript{2}–(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}–)–PPh\textsubscript{2}) as repeating units. They exhibited outstanding photophysical and electrochemical properties, such as high emission quantum yields, along with long lifetimes of the 3MLCT excited states, even in solution at room temperature, and stability in the excited state and stronger oxidation power in the excited state compared to the corresponding mononuclear Re complex. They can also photochemically accumulate multiple electrons in one molecule. In the first report on these Re-rings, we also briefly introduced the idea that the Re-ring could be used as an extremely efficient PS for photocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} reduction in tandem with a Re(i) catalyst under visible light irradiation.

Herein, we report the potentialities of these Re-rings as PSs in detail. Newly designed and synthesized trinuclear Re-rings, Re(X), where each Re(i) unit is connected with p-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (Chart 1), were applied to photocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} reduction with three kinds of typical catalysts, namely fac-[Re(dpyp)](CO)\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]\textsuperscript{+}, trans[Cl–Ru(dtbb)(CO)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}] and fac-[Mn(dtbb)(CO)\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]\textsuperscript{+} (dtbb = 4,4’-di-tert-butyl-2,2’-...
bipyridine), whose structures are shown in Chart 2. In all cases, the quantum yields of CO₂ reduction were very high.

Results and discussion

Design and synthesis of the Re-rings

The design of the new Re-rings was based on our previous work, which clearly demonstrated the relationship between the ring structures (i.e., the size of the ring and the type of the bridging bisphosphine ligand P-P) and their photophysical and electrochemical properties.¹⁰–¹⁸ The trinuclear Re-ring connected with a phenylene spacer in the bisphosphine ligand exhibited both a long lifetime and a strong oxidation power in the excited state. The corresponding OERS, which are important intermediates in the photoexcited photosensitized reactions, were relatively stable. In order to tune these properties in a more desirable fashion, further structural modification can be performed on the bpy ligand. Namely, we prepared a series of new trinuclear Re-rings bearing 2,2'-bipyridine ligands with electron-donating substituents and connected with a phenylene spacer, as shown in Chart 1.

We successfully developed a new one-pot synthetic method for these Re-rings without using photochemical reactions. In the previously reported synthesis (Scheme S1, ESI†), the photochemical reaction was an essential procedure for removing the edge CO ligands from the corresponding linear-shaped Re(i) multinuclear complexes. In the synthesis of the R(4·5) and R(OMe) rings, however, this reaction could not be used due to the fast photochemical decomposition of the linear-shaped Re(i) trimers. In the new synthetic method, the oligomerization of the mononuclear Re(i) complex and the cyclization process can be completed simultaneously. A tricarbonyl Re(i)-diimine complex was reacted with Me₃NO, which is reported to be an effective decarbonylation reagent for metal carbonyl complexes, affording the tricarbonyl-Re(i) mononuclear complex as a building block with a labile ligand (Re(X)-ph-L, L = solvent molecule, Scheme 1). These reactions were instantaneous even under mild conditions and proceeded quantitatively. Prolonged reflux without any additional reagents led to both coupling and cyclization, affording the corresponding trinuclear Re-ring as the major product, with larger linear and eventually ring-shaped Re(i) multinuclear complexes as minor products (Fig. S1, ESI†). The Re-rings R(4·5), R(OMe) and R(5) were successfully isolated from the reaction mixtures using size exclusion chromatography in ca. 20% yield, which is highly comparable with or better than the total yields of the multi-step synthetic strategy, including the photochemical reaction (Scheme S1, ESI†).

Photophysical properties

Fig. 1A shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of the Re-rings, measured in DMF. In addition to an interligand transition (IL) of the bpy ligands at λabs ≈ 300 nm, a well-defined and intense 3MLCT absorption band centred at λabs ≈ 400 nm was observed for the Re-rings with methyl-substituted bpy ligands. In contrast, R(OMe) displayed both a strong absorption at λabs ≈ 300 to 350 nm and a shoulder peak at λabs ≈ 380 to 410 nm.

All of the Re-rings emitted strongly in DMF, even at room temperature (Fig. 1B). The emissive state is attributable to the 3MLCT excited state in the cases of the Re-rings with methyl-substituted bpy ligands because of their broad and non-vibrational shapes. However, the emission spectrum of R(OMe) showed a vibrational structure (λem ≈ 540 nm, 510 nm (sh)), which indicates that the emissive state contained not only 3MLCT but also 3IL character.

Table 1 summarizes the photophysical properties of the Re-rings. Both the emission quantum yields (Φem) and the emission lifetimes (τem) concomitantly increased in the case of the Re-ring with a higher emission energy. It is noteworthy that the emission quantum yield of R(OMe) is the highest among the reported Re(i) complexes (Φem = 0.66), and the lifetime of the excited R(OMe) was also very long (τem = 7.8 μs), mainly due to the contribution of the 3IL state. These absorption and emission properties were not affected by the presence of CO₂.

Electrochemical properties

Fig. 2 shows the cyclic voltammograms of the Re-rings, where one reversible reduction wave was observed at Ered/1/2 = −1.82 to −1.91 V vs. Ag/AgNO₃. This is attributed to a 3-electron reduction process, except for R(4), where each electron was supplied to the diimine ligand in each Re(i) unit of the Re-ring (bpy/bpy⁻). This strongly suggests that the Re units in the Re-ring have no strong electronic interaction with each other.

On the contrary, the corresponding wave of R(4) could be deconvoluted with two Gaussian functions with a 2 : 1 intensity...
Table 1 | Photophysical properties of R(X) measured in DMF or DMA at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solv.</th>
<th>R(4)</th>
<th>R(5)</th>
<th>R(4)-e</th>
<th>R(5)-e/e^−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>DMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>398</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ_{abs}/nm</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε/10^4 M^{-1} cm^{-1}</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ_{em}/nm</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ_{em}</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ_{em}/µs</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MLCT band. ** λ_{ex} = 400 nm. *** λ_{ex} = 401 nm. **** R(5)-e/e^− \text{ (SDMB)} = \{[\text{Re(salb)}(\text{CO})_2(\text{dpp})]_2\}^{\text{2+}} \text{ (SDMB)} = 5,5′-\text{dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine, dpp = PPh}_2(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{PPh}_2\text{.}^{14,15} \text{ broad.}

The second irreversible waves at \(E_p = -2.4 \text{ to } -2.5 \text{ V vs. Ag/AgNO}_3\) correspond to the reduction of the metal centre. Table 2 summarizes the electrochemical properties.

Reductive quenching and formation of one-electron-reduced species

The redox properties of the "MLCT excited state, i.e., the values of \(E_{red}\) of the R(X)-e and the corresponding mononuclear Re(I)-trisdiimine complexes, are low because of the relatively weak reducing power of TEOA (\(E_{ox} = 0.51 \text{ V vs. Ag/AgNO}_3\)).

All of the Re-rings have sufficiently positive values of \(E_{red}\) to use triethanolamine (TEOA) as a reductant, which has often been used in various photocatalytic reactions. However, the quenching efficiencies of the excited states of some other PSSs, such as Ru(II)-trisdiimine complexes, are low because of the relatively weak reducing power of TEOA. The MLCT band of the Re-rings in the presence of increasing concentrations of TEOA, which allowed us to obtain the Stern–Volmer plots of the emission intensity of the Re-rings with the phenylene chain exhibited higher quenching fractions (\(K_Q\)) in a DMF–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) solution compared to the corresponding mononuclear Re(salb)–bisphosphine complexes and even compared to other rings with a saturated alkyl spacer in P–P. For example, the \(K_Q\) of R(5) was 7 times larger than that of the corresponding Re-ring with ethylene chains (R(5)-e) instead of phenylene chains.

Irradiation of an Ar-saturated DMF–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) solution containing the Re-rings at \(λ_{ex} = 436 \text{ nm} \) caused changes in the UV-vis absorption spectra. Fig. 4A shows the case of R(5), where the change is attributed to the formation and accumulation of the OER species R(5)− in the solution because the differential spectrum before and after the irradiation (Fig. 4B) was very similar to that of R(5)− obtained using bulk electrolysis (Fig. 4D). The formation quantum yield of R(5)− (\(Φ_{OER}\)) was 1.2, and further irradiation induced an accumulation of about 1.1 electrons into one molecule of R(5) (Fig. 4C).

In the cases of the other Re-rings, the corresponding OERS were also accumulated in the solution using irradiation in the presence of TEOA (Fig. S3 and S4, ESI†). These results strongly indicate that the photochemical formation of the OERS of the Re-rings efficiently proceeds using TEOA as the reductant, and the OERS are relatively stable in anaerobic solution even under...
Table 2  Electrochemical and quenching properties of R(X) measured in DMF or DMA at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solv.</th>
<th>$E_{\text{ox}}^{\text{red}} / N$ (ne $^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{red}}^{c}$ /eV</th>
<th>$K_{SV}$ /M$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{q}}/10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\eta_{f}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R(4)</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>$-1.73$ (2)</td>
<td>0.76$^{c}$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(5)</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>$-1.80$ (1)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(4:5)</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>$-1.91$ (1)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(OMe)</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>$-1.89$</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(3) -e</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>$-1.83$ (2)</td>
<td>0.67$^{c}$</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ First reduction potential vs. Ag/AgNO$_3$ determined from the DPV peaks. $^{b}$ $n = 3$ except for R(4) and R(5)-e. $^{c}$ $E_{\text{red}}^{c} = \Delta G_{\text{MLCT}}^{0} + E_{\text{red}}^{c}$. $^{d}$ Stern–Volmer constants obtained from quenching experiments of emission by TEOA. $^{e}$ $K_{SV} = K_{SV}/c_{\text{red}}/[\text{TEOA}] = 1.256$ M. $^{f}$ Based on the first $E_{\text{red}}^{c}$. $^{g}$ From ref. 16.

irradiation. It is noteworthy that the OERS also accumulated even under a CO$_2$ atmosphere, with similar $\Phi_{\text{OER}}$ in the absence of the catalysts that were used for CO$_2$ reduction, as described below.

**Photocatalytic reactions**

Various metal complex catalysts for CO$_2$ reduction, e.g., Ru(n)$_{3}$, Ni(n)$_{2}$, Fe(n)$_{3}$, Os(n)$_{2}$, Ir(n)$_{2}$, and Mn(n)$_{2}$ have been reported mostly with Ru(n)-trisdiimine complexes, typically [Ru(bpy)$_{3}$]$_{2}^{3+}$ or [Ru(4dmmb)$_{3}$]$_{2}^{3+}$ (4dmmb = 4,4’-dimethyl-2,2’-bipyridine) as PSs. We selected three efficient and widely studied catalysts, i.e., Re(n), Ru(n)- and Mn(n)-diimine carbonyl complexes, to investigate photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction using the Re-rings as PSs.

**Photocatalytic reaction with fac-[Re(bpy)](CO)$_{3}$(CH$_3$CN)].**

Because the excited states of the Re-rings with the phenylene chains have stronger oxidation powers compared to the previously reported ring with ethylene chains, which requires the usage of 1,3-dimethyl-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benz[d]imidazole (BHI) as an electron donor, we could employ triethanolamine (TEOA), which is commonly used in various photocatalytic reactions but is a weaker reductant than BHI. fac-[Re(bpy)](CH$_3$CN)]$_{2}$ (Re-ACN) was used as a catalyst; it is quantitatively converted into the CO$_2$ adduct (Re-OCO(O)NR$_{2}$) with the aid of TEOA (eqn (1)) under the photocatalytic reaction conditions. Although Re-ACN is not the real catalyst, we use this nomenclature in the following text because it was used as the starting complex.

\[ \text{Re-ACN} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{DTA} \rightarrow \text{Re-OCO(O)NR}_2 + \text{CO} \]  

(1)

In a typical run (eqn (2)), a CO$_2$ saturated DMF–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) mixed solution containing both R(5) and Re-ACN in an equimolar ratio (0.05 mM) was irradiated at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 436$ nm ($5.7 \times 10^{-6}$ einstein per s), where 87% of the irradiated photons were absorbed by R(5), giving CO selectively.

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{R(X)}(0.05 \text{mM}) + \text{Re-ACN}(0.05 \text{mM}) \rightarrow \text{CO} \]  

(2)

Table 2  Electrochemical and quenching properties of R(X) measured in DMF or DMA at 25 °C
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</table>

$^{a}$ First reduction potential vs. Ag/AgNO$_3$ determined from the DPV peaks. $^{b}$ $n = 3$ except for R(4) and R(5)-e. $^{c}$ $E_{\text{red}}^{c} = \Delta G_{\text{MLCT}}^{0} + E_{\text{red}}^{c}$. $^{d}$ Stern–Volmer constants obtained from quenching experiments of emission by TEOA. $^{e}$ $K_{SV} = K_{SV}/c_{\text{red}}/[\text{TEOA}] = 1.256$ M. $^{f}$ Based on the first $E_{\text{red}}^{c}$. $^{g}$ From ref. 16.

Fig. 3  Stern–Volmer plots obtained from the emission quenching of R(X) using TEOA in DMF under Ar; $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 400$ nm.

Fig. 4  (A) UV-vis absorption spectral changes of an Ar-saturated DMF–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) solution containing R(5) (0.05 mM) under irradiation at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 436$ nm ($5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ einstein per s). (B) Differential absorption spectrum before irradiation and after 3 min irradiation. (C) Time course of accumulated electrons in R(5), compensated for the inner filter effect. (D) Differential absorption spectrum of R(5) before and after bulk electrolysis in an Ar-saturated DMF solution at $-1.95$ V vs. Ag/AgNO$_3$.  

$\text{CO}_2 + \text{R(X)}(0.05 \text{mM}) + \text{Re-ACN}(0.05 \text{mM}) \rightarrow \text{CO}$  

(2)
The quantum yield (Φco, eqn (3)) was 0.61 and the TON (eqn (4)) of CO formation (TONCO) was 71 after 15 h of irradiation (entry 2, Table 3).

\[
\Phi_{\text{product}} = \frac{\text{product [mol]}}{\text{total absorbed photons by the solution [einstein]}}
\]

\[
\text{TON}_{\text{product}} = \frac{\text{product [mol]}}{\text{catalyst [mol]}}
\]

The use of other PSs, R(4-5) and R(OMe), instead of R(5) gave similar Φco values; however, TONCO decreased (entries 4 and 5, Table 3). In the case of using R(4), Φco was lower, while TONCO was slightly higher than the others (entry 1, Table 3). Note that the photocatalyses of the systems using these new Re-rings as the PS were higher than that using R(5)-e (entry 6, Table 3), which was the most efficient PS for CO2 reduction using BHI, which is a much stronger reductant than TEOA, in the reported system. The lower quenching efficiency of the 3MLCT excited state of R(5)-e by TEOA – in other words, its weaker oxidation power – should be a main reason for this lower Φco.

Irradiation at a slightly shorter wavelength with a lower light intensity (λex = 405 nm, instead of 436 nm), and a half-molar ratio of the catalyst (0.025 mM) increased the Φco (0.68), under irradiation with a 3.5-fold lower light intensity (1.65 × 10−9 einstein per s) compared to R(5)-e. A very small amount of the OERS of R(4) accumulated within 2 min of irradiation (Fig. S6 to S8, ESI†). A very small amount of the OERS of R(4) accumulated within 2 min of irradiation, which suggests a slower electron transfer process for the catalyst compared to the other Re-rings, probably because of the more positive redox potential of R(4). This may be a reason why Φco decreased in the case using R(4) compared to the other Re-rings; another reason is likely the lower quenching ratio of the excited state of R(4) using TEOA (Table 2).

Table 3 Photocatalytic formation of CO at 1 h or 15 h of irradiation and quantum yields of CO formation under irradiation at λex = 436 nm or 405 nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>15 h</th>
<th>λex = 436 nm</th>
<th>λex = 405 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R(4)</td>
<td>5.42 (27)</td>
<td>19.68 (98)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>(→)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>R(5)</td>
<td>4.44 (22)</td>
<td>14.2 (71)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3†</td>
<td>R(5)</td>
<td>1.28 (6)</td>
<td>1.52 (8)</td>
<td>(→)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>R(4:5)</td>
<td>3.95 (20)</td>
<td>6.31 (32)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>R(OMe)</td>
<td>2.13 (11)</td>
<td>9.63 (48)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>R(5)-e</td>
<td>6.37 (32)</td>
<td>6.72 (34)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>(→)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.32 (1.6)</td>
<td>(→)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Photocatalytic CO2 reduction using a DMF–TEOA mixture (5:1 v/v) containing R(X) (0.05 mM) as a PS and Re-ACN as the catalyst. \(b\) Under λex = 436 nm with 5.7 × 10−9 einstein per s light intensity, [Re-ACN] = 0.05 mM. \(c\) Level off. \(d\) Not determined. \(f\) Without Re-ACN.

Fig. 5 UV-vis (left) and the corresponding differential absorption spectral changes (right) during the irradiation of a CO2-saturated DMF–TEOA mixture containing R(5) (0.05 mM) as a PS and Re-ACN (0.05 mM) as the catalyst, under irradiation at λex = 436 nm (5.7 × 10−9 einstein per s) in the initial stage (top) and over 3.5 h irradiation (middle and bottom).
decomposition of \(R(5)\) after most of the catalyst was already decomposed (see the result after 2 h of irradiation as an example in Fig. 6B). Therefore, the Re-rings should be durable PSs in the presence of the catalyst.

In the absence of the Re-ring, only a trace amount of CO was observed (entry 7, Table 3); hence the catalyst without the Re-ring could not photocatalyze \(CO_2\) reduction under these reaction conditions. In the case using solely \(R(5)\) without the catalyst, a certain amount of \(CO\) was produced (entry 3, Table 3: \(TON_{CO} = 6\) for 1 h irradiation, and 8 even for 15 h irradiation). Note that the Re-ring has 6 CO ligands, the decomposition of \(R(5)\) should yield some CO molecules. Although the decomposition products of \(R(5)\) might work as catalysts for \(CO_2\) reduction with the residual \(R(5)\) as a PS, their contribution to the photocatalytic reaction should be very low because the CO formation mostly stopped after 1 h of irradiation.

In the photocatalytic system of \(R(5)/Re-ACN\), usage of BIH instead of TEOA as the reductant did not affect the efficiency of the photocatalytic reaction, i.e., \(\Phi_{CO}\) did not change in the presence of various concentrations of BIH \((\Phi_{CO} \sim 0.6,\) Fig. 7A). However, \(TON_{CO}\) drastically increased with higher concentrations of BIH, as illustrated in Fig. 7B. The total amount of produced CO was similar or even greater than the initial amount of BIH after a long irradiation, e.g., the amount of evolved CO was 50 \(\mu\)mol after 15 h of irradiation in the presence of 40 \(\mu\)mol of BIH, where both BIH and TEOA should work as reductants. It has been reported that BIH is a quantitative 2e\(^-\) donor \((\text{eqn } 5))\), and its oxidation product (BI\(^+\)) did not impede the photocatalytic reduction of \(CO_2\).\(^{39}\) Therefore, accumulation of the oxidation products of TEOA in the reaction solution may disturb the photocatalytic reaction in some process(es) because CO formation levelled off after \(TON_{CO}\) reached 70 in the presence of only TEOA as the reductant.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BIH} & \rightarrow 2e^- + H^+ \\
\text{BI}^+ & \text{[Consequently]} \quad \text{CO} \quad \text{(5)}
\end{align*}
\]

**Photocatalytic reactions with trans(Cl)-Ru(dtbb)(CO)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\).** Recently, trans-Ru(N\(^+\)N)(CO)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) \((N\(^+\)N = \text{diimine ligand})\) has been often used as a catalyst for \(CO_2\) reduction, giving CO under neutral and acidic conditions or HCOOH under basic conditions.\(^{25,41,42}\) Since this type of complex usually suffers undesirable reductive polymerization during the photocatalytic reaction, which slows or disables the reaction progress, we chose an Ru\((\mu)\) catalyst with bulkier tert-butyl groups on the diimine ligand, trans(Cl)-Ru(dtbb)(CO)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) \((\text{Ru(tBu)-Cl}_2\), Chart 2). Its absorption and electrochemical properties in DMA–TEOA are shown in the ESI (Fig. S10 and S14B\(^\dagger\)).

A \(CO_2\)-saturated DMA–TEOA \((5:1 \text{ v/v})\) mixed solution containing an equimolar ratio \((0.05 \text{ mM})\) of \(\text{Ru(tBu)-Cl}_2\) catalyst and \(R(5)\) PS was irradiated under 436 nm light \((4.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ einstein per s})\), where 98% of the photons were absorbed by \(R(5)\). Formic acid was evolved as a major product, accompanied by \(H_2\) and CO as minor products (Fig. 8). The turnover number \((TON)\) of HCOOH reached 290, and the TONs for \(H_2\) and CO were around 70 and 20, respectively, after 23 h irradiation (Table 4).

In the photocatalytic reaction, \(R(5)\) was very durable, and ca. 80% of \(R(5)\) remained even after 23 h of irradiation (Fig. 9, bottom). The quantum yield of HCOOH \((\Phi_{\text{HCOOH}})\) formation was 58% and was independent of the light intensity. This quantum yield is the highest value reported to date for photocatalytic systems for the reduction of \(CO_2\) to HCOOH.
Ru\(^{2+}\)(Cl\(_2\)) was detected during the photocatalytic reaction. Hence, Ru-polymerization caused a decrease in dimer concentration (Fig. 9, middle) was observed at a very early stage (Fig. 9, top). Further irradiation with 5.3 einstein per s light irradiation, with \([\text{RuI(dtbb)(CO)}\text{L}]\_2\), possibly including OER of the Ru(II) catalyst, during the photocatalytic reaction, only a small amount of dimer formation was detected. These results clearly suggest that electron transfer from the catalyst (and/or the dimer) and the subsequent reaction with CO\(_2\) were very efficient and fast.

No change in TON\(_{\text{HCOOH}}\) was observed upon addition of a stronger electron donor, \(1\text{-dimethyl-2-(o-hydroxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (B(\text{OH})H)}\).\(^{44}\) Moreover, its oxidized product, B(\text{O})\(^+\) (eqn (6)), caused a strong inner filter effect due to its absorption at \(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 436\text{ nm}\) (Fig. S12, ESI\(^*\)), thereby lowering the apparent \(\Phi_{\text{HCOOH}}\) (Table 4).

Employing another Re-ring with a more negative reduction potential (\(\text{R}(4\cdot5)\)) and Ru(II)-Cl\(_2\) catalyst did not improve the photocatalytic performance (Fig. S13 and Table S5, ESI\(^*\)).

**Photocatalytic reaction with \(\text{fac-[Mn(dtbb)(CO)}\text{3(CH$_3$CN)}\text{]}\)**

As an earth-abundant metal catalyst, \(\text{fac-Mn(N$^\cdot$N)(CO)}\text{Br}\) has attracted attention mainly in electrochemical systems for the reduction of CO\(_2\) to CO.\(^{44,45}\) Recently, the Mn complex was also applied to photocatalytic CO\(_2\) reduction with \([\text{Ru(N$^\cdot$N)}\text{]}\_2\) PS, where the main product was HCOOH.\(^{38,46}\) However, the efficiencies of the photocatalytic systems are not very high (\(\Phi_{\text{HCOOH}} = 0.05\) to 0.14).

We chose a Mn(II) complex with a dtbb ligand, as for the Ru(II) catalyst in the previous section, with a \(\text{CH$_3$CN}\) axial ligand, \(\text{fac-[Mn(dtbb)(CO)}\text{3(CH$_3$CN)}\text{]}\) (Mn(II)-ACN, Chart 2). The UV-vis absorption spectrum of Mn(II)-ACN in DMA–TEOA under CO\(_2\) is shown in Fig. S14C (ESI\(^*\)) and the first reduction potential was \(E_{\text{p}}^{\text{red}} = -1.68\text{ V vs. Ag/AgNO}_3\) under a CO\(_2\) atmosphere (Fig. S15, ESI\(^*\)).

In a typical run, a CO\(_2\)-saturated DMA–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) mixed solution containing both (R(5)) as the PS and Mn(II)-ACN as a catalyst in an equimolar ratio (0.05 mM) was irradiated at \(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 436\text{ nm}\) (5.3 \(\times\) 10\(^{-9}\) einstein per s), of which 72% was absorbed by R(5) in at least the starting stage of the photocatalytic reaction. Formic acid was evolved as the major product, with an overall TON of 85, and accompanied by CO formation (Fig. 10).

In the initial stage, the UV-vis absorption spectral changes accurately indicated dimerization of the Mn complex, giving \([\text{Mn(dtbb)(CO)}\text{3}]\_2\) with a strong absorption in a wide range of the visible region (Fig. 11A). During the dimer formation, which increased up to 4.5 min of irradiation, the OERS of R(5) was not detected. These results clearly suggest that electron transfer from R(5)\(^-\) to the Mn complex proceeded rapidly, followed by dimerization of the OERS of the Mn complex. The absorption of the Mn dimer disappeared within 1 h of irradiation (Fig. 11B). The CO formation overlapped with the profile of the dimer lifetime, i.e., the CO formation temporarily reached a plateau under Ar atmosphere instead of CO\(_2\) induced quantitative formation of the dimer of the Ru complex at a very early stage (Fig. S11, ESI\(^*\)), followed by its polymerization. Conversely, during the photocatalytic reaction under a CO\(_2\) atmosphere, neither the OERS of the Re-ring (R(5)\(^-\)) nor the Ru polymer were detected, suggesting that the reaction of R(5)\(^-\) with the catalyst (and/or the dimer) and the subsequent reaction with CO\(_2\) were very efficient and fast.

**Table 4 Photocatalytic CO\(_2\) reduction using R(5) as the PS with Ru(Bu)-Cl\(_2\) or Mn(Bu)-ACN as the catalyst**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B(\text{OH})H M</th>
<th>TON</th>
<th>HCOOH</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>H(_2)</th>
<th>HCOOH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(Bu)-Cl(_2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.47e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(Bu)-ACN</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Photocatalytic CO\(_2\) reduction using DMA–TEOA mixed solution (5 : 1 v/v) containing R(5) (0.05 mM) as the PS and the catalyst (0.05 mM) under 436 nm light irradiation. \(^b\) \pm 2%. \(^c\) TON at 23 h of irradiation with 4.2 \(\times\) 10\(^{-9}\) einstein per s light intensity. \(^d\) TON at 12 h of irradiation with 5.3 \(\times\) 10\(^{-9}\) einstein per s light intensity. \(^e\) Not taking into account absorption of B(\text{O})\(^-\).
Experimental section

Materials and methods

Dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethylacetamide (DMS) were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves, distilled under reduced pressure and stored under Ar before use for a maximum of 1 week. Triethanolamine (TEOA) was distilled under reduced pressure (~1 Torr) and maintained under Ar before use. Other anhydrous solvents were purchased from commercial sources. All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere and dry conditions unless noted. Column chromatography was performed with Silica Gel 60 (40–50 μm, Kanto Chemical Co.). 2,2′-Bipyridine (bpy), 4,4′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine (4dmdb), 5,5′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine (5dmdb), 4,4′-di-tert-butyl-2,2′-bipyridine (dtbb) and other commercially available reagents were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Tokyo Kasei Co., Wako Pure Chemical Industries and Aldrich Chemical Co. and were used as received. Syntheses of p-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (pH), 5,5′-dimethoxy-2,2′-bipyridine (dmdb), 4,4′,5,5′-tetramethyl-2,2′-bipyridine (tmb), 1,3-dimethyl-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (BIH) and 1,3-dimethyl-2-(o-hydroxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (BI(OH)) were reported elsewhere. The mononuclear acetonitrile-complex catalyst fac-[Mn(dtbb)(CO)]+ was prepared under dark conditions following the procedure for fac-[Re(bpy)(CO)][Cl]2 and the literature. All fac-Re(N^N)(CO)Br-type and multinuclear Re(I)-type complexes were synthesized according to the literature. All target complexes were obtained as PF6 salts.

Photochemical reactions were performed with a 500 W high-pressure mercury lamp EHBWI (Eikosha) with a uranium glass filter (>330 nm) in a Pyrex doughnut-form cell, with bubbling of N2 gas. During irradiation, both the reaction vessel and the light source were cooled with tap water. Separation of the larger complexes was achieved using size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a pair of Shodex PROTEIN KW-2002.5 columns (300 mm × 20.0 mm i.d.) with a KW-LG guard-column (50 mm × 8.0 mm i.d.) and a JAI LC-9201 recycling preparative HPLC apparatus (Japan Analytical Industry Co.) with a Jasco 870-UV detector (the detection wavelength was chosen as 360 nm). The eluent was MeOH–CH3CN (1:1 v/v) containing CH3COONH4 (0.15 M) and the flow rate was 6.0 mL min⁻¹. For analytical SEC, we used two sequentially connected Shodex KW-402.5-4F columns (300 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.), a KW400G-4A guard-column (10 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.), a Jasco 880-51 degasser, a 880-P pump, a MD-2010 Plus UV-vis photodiode-array detector (λdet = 360 nm) and a Rheodyne 7125 injector. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C using a JASCO 860-CO column-oven. The eluent was MeOH–CH3CN (1:1 v/v) containing CH3COONH4 (0.5 M) and the flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹. For LC analysis of the photocatalytic reactions, we used a SHIMADZU UPLC Nexera X2 apparatus with a Waters Acquity SEC column (150 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.), a Shimadzu DGS-20A degasser, a LC-30AD pump, a SPD-M30A UV-vis photodiode-array detector and a Rheodyne 7125 injector. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C using a JASCO 860-CO column-oven. The eluent was MeOH–CH3CN (1:1 v/v) containing CH3COONH4 (0.5 M) and the flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹. For LC analysis of the photocatalytic reactions, we used a SHIMADZU UPLC Nexera X2 apparatus with a Waters Acquity SEC column (150 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.), a Shimadzu DGS-20A degasser, a LC-30AD pump, a SPD-M30A UV-vis photodiode-array detector and a Rheodyne 7125 injector. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C using a JASCO 860-CO column-oven. The eluent was MeOH–CH3CN (1:1 v/v) containing CH3COONH4 (0.5 M) and the flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹. For LC analysis of the photocatalytic reactions, we used a SHIMADZU UPLC Nexera X2 apparatus with a Waters Acquity SEC column (150 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.), a Shimadzu DGS-20A degasser, a LC-30AD pump, a SPD-M30A UV-vis photodiode-array detector and a Rheodyne 7125 injector. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C using a JASCO 860-CO column-oven. The eluent was MeOH–CH3CN (1:1 v/v) containing CH3COONH4 (0.5 M) and the flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹.
was MeOH–CH₂CN (1 : 1 v/v) containing CH₃COONH₄ (0.5 M), and the flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹. ¹H-NMR and ³¹P-NMR spectra were acquired with a JEOL AL400, JEOL ECX400 or JEOL ECA400II spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ/ppm) were referenced to the residual ¹H-signals of the deuterated solvent (1.94 ppm for CD₂CN and 2.05 ppm for CD₃COCD₂) and the ³¹P-signal of PF₆⁻ (−145 ppm), respectively. All NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature. Electrospores ionization mass spectrometry (EI-MS) was conducted on a Shimadzu LCMS-2010A mass spectrometer. Electrospores ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (EI-TOFMS) was undertaken with a Waters LCT Premier instrument. FT-IR spectra were recorded with a JASCO FT/IR-610 spectrometer at 1 cm⁻¹ resolution with a TGS detector. Electrochemical voltammetric techniques were performed using a CHI720D electrochemical analyzer (CH Instruments, Inc.) with a glassy-carbon working electrode (3 mm i.d.), an Ag/AgNO₃ (10 mM) reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode. The supporting electrolyte was Et₄NBF₄ (0.1 M), which was dried under vacuum at 100 °C for 1 day prior to use. Bulk electrolysis was performed in a quartz UV-vis OTTLE cell (1.0 mm optical path length) equipped with a Pt-mesh working electrode, an Ag/AgNO₃ (10 mM) reference electrode, and a Pt counter electrode. In situ UV-vis spectral changes were measured using a Photol MCPD-9800 spectrometer. The applied potential was controlled with a CHI720D electrochemical analyzer (CH Instruments, Inc.). The supporting electrolyte was Et₄NBF₄ (0.1 M), which was dried in vacuum at 100 °C for 1 day prior to use.

UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded with a JASCO V-565 or V-670 spectrophotometer. Emission spectra were recorded at 25 °C using a JASCO FP-6500 spectrophoroumeter and were corrected for PMT response. Emission quantum yields were determined with a calibrated integrating sphere (Absolute PL Quantum Yield Measurement System C9920-01, Hamamatsu Photonics k.k.), comprising a Xe lamp as an excitation source and a multichannel spectrometer (C10027). Emission lifetimes were obtained at 25 °C using a HORIBA FluoroCube time-correlated single photon counting system. The excitation light source was a NanoLED-405L (401 nm, <200 ps). All measurements were performed in a quartz cubic cell (1 cm optical path length); the absorbances were adjusted to 0.1 at λem, and the solutions were degassed with Ar prior to the measurements.

Photochemical OERS formation experiments were performed in Ar-saturated DMF–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) solution (4 mL) containing R(X) in a quartz cubic cell (1 cm optical path length). Photocatalytic reactions were performed in a CO₂-saturated DMF–TEOA or DMA–TEOA (5 : 1 v/v) mixture containing R(X) and a catalyst in a quartz cubic cell (1 cm optical path length; 11 mL volume). In the case of the Re(i) or Mn(ii) catalysts, a DMF or DMA solution, respectively, was prepared one night prior, starting from the corresponding acetonitrile complex. The sample solutions were irradiated using a high-pressure Hg lamp (Ushio USH-500SC) combined with a CuSO₄·5H₂O aqueous solution filter (250 g L⁻¹, 5 cm pass length), a 436 or 405 nm band-pass filter (fwhm = 10 nm, Asahi Spectra Co.) and neutral density glass filters (Chuo Precision Industrial Co.) were used to adjust to the desired light intensity. In situ measurements of the UV-vis absorption spectra were conducted using a Photol MCPD-9800 or Photol MCPD-2000 spectrometer. The temperature of the reaction solution during the irradiation was maintained at 25 °C using an IWAKI CTS-134A constant-temperature system. The incident light intensity at 436 or 405 nm was determined using a K₂[Fe(C₅O₄)₃] chemical actinometer. The gaseous reaction products (CO and H₂) were analysed using GC-TCD (GL Science GC323) with an active carbon column. HCOOH was analysed using a capillary electrophoresis system (CAP-3300I, Otsuka Electronics Co.). As pretreatment for HCOOH quantification, the photocatalytic reaction solution was diluted by 10 times with H₂O.

Syntheses

**General procedure for the synthesis of Re(X)-ph.** A CH₂Cl₂ solution from fac-Re(κ⁻¹(CO))(β-Ph)(β-Ph)Br and AgOTf (1.05 eq.) was refluxed until the complete substitution of the Br⁻ ligand by OTF⁻ (3 to 7 h) as verified using TLC. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite and evaporated to dryness. The crude fac-Re(κ⁻¹(CO))(OTf) and β-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (5 eq.) were refluxed in THF under an inert atmosphere in dim light for 2 d. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure; the crude solid was purified using column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂CN–CHCl₃ 1 : 5). Re(X)-ph was converted into PF₆⁻ salt for the NMR, FT-IR and EI-MS characterisation as follows. The solid was dissolved in a small amount of MeOH; then, a few drops of a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄PF₆ were added, leading to precipitation of the product. The solid was collected, washed with H₂O and Et₂O and dried under vacuum.

fac-[Re(5dmdb)(CO)₃(κ⁻¹-ph)][CF₃SO₃⁻] (Re(5-ph)). Starting from fac-Re(5dmdb)(CO)₃Br (0.21 g, 0.4 mmol) and following the general strategy, the target Re(5-ph) was obtained as a pale solid (0.18 g, 42%). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂CN): δ 8.30 (s, 2H, 5dmdb-6,6'), 8.03 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz, 5dmdb-3,3'), 7.87 (dd, 2H, J₁ = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 5dmdb-4,4'), 7.69–7.09 (m, 24H, PPh₂–C₆H₄–PPh₂), 2.19 (s, 6H, 5dmdb–CH₄) ppm. ³¹P-NMR (161 MHz): δ 18.9 (Re–PPh₂–C₆H₄–), −6.3 (Re–PPh₂–C₆H₄–PPh₂) ppm. FT-IR (CH₂CN): ν(CO) 2040, 1955, 1924 cm⁻¹. EI-MS (CH₂CN): m/z 901 [M – PF₆]⁻.

fac-[Re(tmb)(5dmdb)(κ⁻¹-ph)][CF₃SO₃⁻] (Re(4·5-ph)). Starting from fac-Re(tmb)(5dmdb)(CO)₃Br (0.33 g, 0.59 mmol) and following the general strategy, the target Re(4·5-ph) was obtained as a pale solid (0.27 g, 43%). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂CN): δ 8.16 (s, 2H, tmb-3,3'), 7.94 (s, 2H, tmb-6,6'), 7.45–7.09 (m, 24H, PPh₂–C₆H₄–PPh₂), 2.35 (s, 6H, 5dmdb–CH₄–4,4'), 2.10 (s, 6H, tmb–CH₃–5,5') ppm. ³¹P-NMR (161 MHz): δ 18.2 (Re–PPh₂–C₆H₄–), −6.3 (Re–PPh₂–C₆H₄–PPh₂) ppm. FT-IR (CH₂CN): ν(CO) 2038, 1950, 1921 cm⁻¹. EI-MS (CH₂CN): m/z 929 [M – PF₆]⁻.

fac-[Re(dmdb)(5dmdb)(κ⁻¹-ph)][CF₃SO₃⁻] (Re(OMe)-ph). Starting from fac-Re(dmdb)(5dmdb)(CO)₃Br (0.36 g, 0.63 mmol) and following the general strategy, the target Re(OMe)-ph was obtained as an off-white solid (0.33 g, 49%). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂CN): δ 8.02 (d, 2H, J = 9.2 Hz, dmdb–3,3'), 7.98 (d, 2H, J = 2.8 Hz, dmdb–6,6'), 7.51 (dd, 2H, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, dmdb–4,4'), 7.47–7.09 (m, 24H, PPh₂–C₆H₄–PPh₂), 3.79 (s, 6H, dmdb–OCH₃) ppm. ³¹P-NMR (161 MHz): δ 19.3 (Re–PPh₂–C₆H₄–), −6.2 (Re–PPh₂–C₆H₄–PPh₂)
containing 6.98 (d, 6H, acetone solution containing rated and the crude material was puri
dim light for 24 h. A
was heated over reflux under dim light for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated and the crude residue was purified using SEC. The fraction containing L(4) was collected, evaporated and portioned between CH2Cl2 and NH4PF6 aqueous solution. The organic layer was washed once more with aqueous NH4PF6 solution, dried over Na2SO4 and evaporated to afford 0.21 g of yellow solid (65%).

**Synthesis of \([\text{Re}(4dmdb)(CO)_{3}(\eta^{2}-\text{ph})]\) (L(4)).**

L(4) (OTf salt) (0.2 g, 0.12 mmol) in an
and electrochemical properties as redox PSs,
cyclic complexes, which exhibit highly suitable photophysical
power of the reduced form. Employing these PSs in a visible-
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21. The number of acquired electrons was confirmed by using the flow electrolysis method; see ref. 17.


