Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 5573

Using the isotope effect to probe an aggregation induced emission mechanism: theoretical prediction and experimental validation†

Tian Zhang,^a Qian Peng,*^b Changyun Quan,^c Han Nie,^c Yingli Niu,^d Yujun Xie,^e Zujin Zhao,^c Ben Zhong Tang^c and Zhigang Shuai^{*af}

Aggregation-induced emission (AIE) has become a hot topic for a variety of potential applications, but the understanding of its working mechanism is still under scrutiny. Herein, we proposed the use of the isotope effect (IE) to identify the AIE mechanism: under the restriction of an internal motion mechanism, the IE is pronouncedly different in excited-state decay rates when contrasting AIE luminogens (AIEgens) and non-AIEgens in theoretical calculations. For the complete deuteration of AIEgens, the IE of nonradiative decay rate in solution $\left(-10\%\right)$ is much weaker than that $\left(-65\%\right)$ to -95%) in aggregate, because the former stems from the overall results of competitive vibronic coupling and the severe mixing of lowfrequency modes while the latter mainly comes from the vibronic coupling only. The experimental results confirm the isotopic "jump" behaviors in AIEgens well. However, non-AIEgens exhibit equivalent IEs (40% to 90%) in both solution and solid phases. Further partial deuteration schemes for the 6-ring AIE analogues show positional dependence. **EDGE ARTICLE**

Street to constant **Using the isotope effect to probe an aggregation**
 induced emission mechanism: theoretical

Street the Chem Strange 230 and 232 and Peng^{an} Changyun Quan,⁶ Han Nie,⁶ Yngit Niu⁴

Received 23rd February 2016 Accepted 9th May 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6sc00839a

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Introduction

Molecular aggregation in general tends to reduce luminescence efficiency: intermolecular charge transfer or energy transfer to quenching sites, or the formation of a dipole-forbidden lowest excited state.¹ However, a series of aggregation induced emissive luminogens (AIEgens) are found to be poorly luminescent in dilute solution but highly emissive in an aggregate or crystalline state, demonstrating potential application in organic solid-state lighting and cell imaging.² Despite the varieties of experimental efforts to clarify the AIE mechanism, there are still controversies regarding the microscopic origin of AIE: restriction of intramolecular motion $(RIM),^{3a}$ J-aggregation

formation, $3b,c$ hydrogen-bond induced excimer-emission, $3d$ intramolecular planarization,^{3e} vibration induced emission,^{3f} and so on. To better understand AIE, here we propose to use the isotope effect (IE) to probe the influence of molecular aggregation on the excited-state non-radiative decay from the lowest excited singlet state (S_1) to the ground state (S_0) through comparative computational studies for AIEgens with RIM mechanisms and conventional luminogens.

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

Deuteration has been widely applied to probe excited-state decay processes.⁴ For conventional fluorophores, it is known that deuteration always causes a decrease in the nonradiative decay rate while hardly changing the radiative decay rate, leading to an increase in luminescence efficiency. This point is easily understood from the internal conversion rate under the displaced harmonic oscillator model based on the Fermi golden rule, where the k_{ic} is exponentially proportional to $-S_i$, the Huang–Rhys factor of the j-th normal mode, which is determined by the normal mode reorganization energy $\lambda_j = \hbar S_j \omega_j$.⁵ According to the four-point method in the potential energy surface, the total reorganization energy summated over all the normal modes is also defined as $\lambda_{g(e)} = E_{g(e)}(S_{1(0)}$ -geometry) – $E_{\text{g}(e)}(S_{0(1)}$ -geometry) in the ground (excited) state. Thus, it is independent of isotopic substitution since the equilibrium geometry and electronic-state energy are the same for different isotopomers.⁶ The lower frequency ω_i induced by the deuteration of the modes implies the increase of the Huang–Rhys factor S_i , which reduces the k_i _c with the displaced approximation.

For the flexible AIEgens, mixing between the low-frequency normal modes is significant and the k_{ic} cannot be correctly

[&]quot;Key Laboratory of Organic OptoElectronics and Molecular Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China. E-mail: zgshuai@tsinghua. edu.cn

b Key Laboratory of Organic Solids, Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Science (BNLMS), Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China. E-mail: qpeng@iccas.ac.cn

c State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510640, China

^aNational Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

e Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China

f Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 351005, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Computational details, normal mode analysis, synthesis and characterization. See DOI: 10.1039/c6sc00839a

described under the displaced harmonic oscillator model. Therefore, we have developed a multimode coupled k_{i} formalism including the Duschinsky rotation effect (DRE)⁷ (see Part III of ESI[†]). The Duschinsky rotation matrix (DRM) M correlates the normal coordinates of the ground state S_0 (Q_g) and the excited state S₁ (Q_e) as $Q_e = MQ_g + D_e$. The DRE occurs most notably for low-frequency modes and becomes more remarkable when more modes with lower frequency are activated, which significantly increases the k_{ic} according to our previous investigations.⁷ Hence, the frequency reduction from isotope substitution gives rise to two competitive effects on k_{ic} : a negative effect through increasing the Huang–Rhys factor and a positive effect via strengthening of the DRE to enhance intermode mixing (Chart 1). The IE on k_{ic} can be defined as,

$$
IE = \frac{k_{ic}^{\rm D} - k_{ic}^{\rm H}}{k_{ic}^{\rm H}} \tag{1}
$$

where k_{ic}^{D} and k_{ic}^{H} are the internal conversion rates for the deuterated and hydrogen system, respectively. As the lowfrequency modes are suppressed in the solid/rigid matrix for AIEgens, the DRE becomes minor, and the positive effect diminishes. Then, a more remarkable IE can be anticipated in the solid state compared to in solution. While for the non-AIEgens, since the nonradiative decay is mainly controlled by the vibronic coupling of high-frequency vibration modes with little DRE, the IE is expected to be normal and behaves similarly in the solid state and in solution. In this work, we aim to make such a proposal to use IE for elucidating the microscopic mechanism of the AIE phenomena through theoretical prediction followed by experimental validation.

We investigated the isotopic substitution effects on the luminescent properties in solution and aggregation of the AIEactive $1,1,2,3,4,5$ -hexaphenylsilole $(HPS),$ ⁸ $1,1$ -bis(2'-thienyl)-2,3,4,5-tetraphenylsilole (BtTPS),⁹ 1,2-diphenyl-3,4-bis $(diphenylmethylene)$ -1-cyclobutene $(HPDMCb),¹⁰ cis, cis 1,2,3,4$ -tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene $(TPBD)$,¹¹ and $2,2'$ -(6,12diphenyltetracene-5,11-diyl)dithiophene (DPTDTP),¹² in comparison with AIE-inactive diacenaphtho-[1,2-*b*;1',2'-*d*]silole $(BPS),$ ¹³ perylene,¹⁴ distyrylbenzene $(DSB),$ ¹⁵ and anthracene¹⁴ (see Chart 2).

Chart 1 Representation of isotope effect on k_{ic} .

Chart 2 Molecular structures of the AIE and non-AIE compounds.

Results and discussion

The equilibrium geometries and frequencies at the $S_0(S_1)$ state of the compounds were calculated at the (TD)-DFT/PBE0/6- 31G(d) level, which has been proven to be an effective method to study the electronic structures of the organic molecules.¹⁶ The environmental effects are taken into account by employing a polarizable continuum model $(PCM)^{17}$ for the solution phase and hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM)¹⁸ approach for the aggregates (computational models are given in Fig. 1 and Part I of ESI†). To better match the experimental absorption and emission maxima, we adopted the long-range separated CAM-B3LYP functional to recalculate the excitation energy of the optimized structure. The different PCM models, such as the linear-response $(LR)^{19a}$ and state-specific $(SS)^{19b}$ solvation methods were tested (see Part II and Tables S1–S9 of ESI†). As expected, the SS-PCM model performs better in describing the excitation energy, probably owing to the selfconsistency between the solvent reaction field and the solute electrostatic potential, and has been widely used to investigate the optical properties of solution-phase organic molecules.^{20,21} The resultant vertical excitation energies and the comparisons between experimental and theoretical values are given in Table 1, and all deviations are within the acceptable range of 0.01– 0.43 eV^{22} Openies Science

Therefore, we have described a monitoned coupled a

Therefore, we have described a monitoned coupled a

Part and destrict in the published of the growth size are $\frac{1}{2}$. Contact the control of the size

> We assume the deuterium substitutions hardly change the crystal structure and neglect the induced effects caused by environmental (MM part) deuteration. Normal mode analyses before and after deuteration were performed with the help of the DUSHIN²⁴ program. Finally, we evaluated k_{ic} using the multimode coupled thermal vibration correlation function

Fig. 1 Setup of PCM (a) and QM/MM (b) models (taking BtTPS as an example).

Table 1 Calculated optical spectral properties (eV) for the AIE-active and AIE-inactive compounds in both solution and solid phases, as well as the experimental values in parenthesis for comparison

 a In acetone. s b In thin film. 23a c In crystal. 23b d In acetone and crystal. o e In crystal. 10 f In acetone and aggregate.11 g In THF and aggregate.12 h In $\rm CH_2Cl_2.^{\bf 13}$ i In cyclohexane. 23c J In crystal. 14 k In $\rm CH_2Cl_2.^{\bf 15}$ l In crystal. 15 m In cyclohexane. 23d n In crystal. 14

formalism realized in the home-built MOMAP program,²⁵ which has successfully described the optical properties of many polyatomic molecules, including AIEgens and non-AIEgens.²⁶ Both the distortion and DRE of the potential energy surfaces are taken into account in the k_{ic} formula (see Part III of ESI†).

The calculated results for k_{ic} as well as the IE results are presented in Table 2. It is seen that the k_{ic} decreases sharply by several orders of magnitude from the solution to solid phases

for AIEgens but undergoes a slight change for non-AIEgens. We then plotted the IE results as shown in Fig. 2. It is clear that the IE is always negative. For the AIEgens, the IE is minor (less than $ca. -10\%$) in solution but becomes remarkable in a solid phase ($ca. -65\%$ to -95%). Interestingly, the IE is strikingly different for the AIEgens compared to the non-AIEgens. For non-AIEgens, the IE results both in solution and solid phase are close to each other and fall within the range of $ca. -40\%$ to -90% .

Table 2 $\,$ Calculated room-temperature $k_{\rm ic}$ (s $^{-1}$) for non-deuterated (H-all) and fully-deuterated (D-all) isotopomers of the AIEgens and non-AIEgens in both solution and solid phases

These results can be well justified under the RIM mechanism. Since the internal conversion rate k_{ic} depends exponentially on $-S_i$, lowering ω_i implies an increased vibronic coupling strength since the total reorganization energy is unchanged upon deuteration. Thus, the negative IE on k_{ic} is generally considered as normal behavior. But for AIEgens in solution, the DRE caused by the low-frequency mode mixing plays a very important role in increasing the internal conversion rate.⁷ Lessening the frequency by isotope substitutions leads to a more pronounced DRE, which tends to sharply increase k_{ic} in solution. This counteracts the general IE-induced decrease in k_{ic} to a large extent. Thus, full deuteration leads to a much lesser IE for AIEgens in solution, distinct from AIEgens in solid phase and non-AIEgens in solution or solid phases.

We further introduce an effective frequency (ω_{eff}) for a more quantitative interpretation,²⁷

$$
\omega_{\rm eff} = \sum_j \lambda_j / \sum_j \hbar S_j \tag{2}
$$

which represents an effective mode contributing to the excitedstate relaxation process. The ω_{eff} results for the pristine systems are shown in Fig. 3. It is noted that the ω_{eff} for AIEgens falls into the range of $100-160$ cm⁻¹ in solution since the low-frequency modes (<100 $\rm cm^{-1})$ contribute largely to the relaxation energy. But upon aggregation, the ω_{eff} rises to 300–1000 cm⁻¹ owing to

Fig. 3 ω_{eff} for AIEgens and non-AIEgens in both solution and solid phases.

the dominating high frequency vibrations. The ω_{eff} (600- 1200 cm^{-1}) is close for all the non-AIEgens in solution and solid states. In addition, the deuteration effect for ω_{eff} is given in Table S10† and the ω_{eff} always decreases as expected. Detailed analyses of vibrational and structural relaxation modification induced by aggregation are presented in Part IV, Fig. S1–S7 and Tables S11–S18 of the ESI.†

The difference of the IE for solution-phase AIEgens compared to other cases mainly stems from the outstanding contributions of low-frequency normal modes during the excited-state decay process. The low-frequency modes arise from the flat potential energy surfaces, and the involved quantum number of these modes increases sharply with temperature. At room temperature, there are so many vibrational states which are close in energy. They tend to strongly mix among the different modes, namely, the DRE becomes very pronounced, leading to the increase of k_{ic} . The DRE can be directly characterized from the calculated DRM. The more the diagonal elements of the DRM deviate from 1.0, the more offdiagonal elements are non-zero, and the more signicant the DRE is. The contour maps of the DRM for 20 normal modes with the lowest frequencies are presented in Fig. 4. For both solution-phase and solid-phase non-AIEgens, e.g. BPS, most diagonal elements are close to 1.0 and the DRE is tiny. A similar situation can be found for the AIEgens in the solid-phase e.g. for HPS, most of the lowest 20 modes are in the range of 100– 200 cm^{-1} and the DRM elements gather in the vicinity of the diagonal line, indicating a very weak DRE. However, for AIEgens in the solution phase, the off-diagonal elements of the DRM become more outstanding corresponding to more considerable mixing between modes. When the frequencies of the modes decrease upon deuteration, the effect would become much more severe, this could sharply increase the k_{ic} and compensate for the normal negative IE on k_{ic} . To validate this assumption, Operation Science

²⁰¹³

Operation Access Article 2014

2

Fig. 4 Contour maps of the DRM for the lowest 20 modes in HPS solution (a), HPS solid phase (b), BPS solution (c), and BPS solid phase (d). The excited-state normal mode index is rearranged to make the largest element the diagonal.

we also calculate k_{ic} without the DRE for a typical AIEgen, HPS, and non-AIEgen, BPS, in both solution and solid phases (see Table S19†). IEs without the DRE are -88.4% (-71.4%) for HPS in solution (solid phase) and -47.8% (-48.0%) for BPS in solution (solid phase), respectively. When the DRE is considered, it is only -9.0% for solution-phase HPS. Therefore, isotopic substitution could be utilized as an effective tool to explore the aggregation effect on the nonradiative process. The DRE suppressed by molecular aggregation in AIEgens could result in a sudden "jump" or "drop" of the IE.

To experimentally verify the above calculated isotopic characteristic of AIEgens, we synthesized 2,3,4,5-ring deuterated (D-) HPS (see Part V of the ESI†) and measured the radiative and nonradiative decay rates for a comparison with the pristine HPS as presented in Table 3. The experimental values are in good agreement with the calculated ones (computational details presented in Part VI and Table S20 of the ESI†). In solution, both the H- HPS and D- HPS are non-emissive as determined from both the calculated and experimental results because the nonradiative decay rates are far larger than the radiative ones, while they emit strong light in the solid state. Strikingly, the experimental IEs well reproduce the calculated results, in that they are very little in solution but remarkable in solid phase. In particular, the abnormal positive effect of the IE is observed in the experiment, which fully confirms the essential role of the DRE in the nonradiative decay process. In solid phase, a large normal negative IE is observed because the nonradiative decay rate is mainly determined by the vibronic coupling.

We further systematically investigated the isotope substituted position dependence as to provide more experimentally verifiable quantitative predictions, taking the 6-ring analogues HPS, BtTPS, and HPDMCb as examples. Partiallydeuterated schemes are considered in both solution and solid phases, namely, 1,1-rings or 1,6-rings only deuterated (D-1,1), 2,5-rings only deuterated (D-2,5), and 3,4-rings only deuterated (D-3,4). Detailed data are presented in Part VII and Table S21 of the ESI.† It is obvious that the IEs in solid states are more remarkable than those in solution. We herein analyse the cases in solid phase as illustrated in Fig. 5. For HPS, the IE follows the order of $D-3,4$ > $D-2,5$ > $D-1,1$; for **BtTPS**, $D-2,5$ induces a much larger IE than D-3,4 and D-1,1; HPDMCb also shows positiondependent deuteration effects, with a major IE induced by D-1,1 and D-3,4 but a minor IE induced by D-2,5. Interestingly, the IE

Fig. 5 IEs for all deuterated isotopomers of HPS, BtTPS and HPDMCb in solid phase

decreases in the order of the reduction of ω_{eff} (see Table S22†) for the partially deuterated isotopomers, which further confirms the ω_{eff} to be a good parameter to judge the IE. E.g. for BtTPS, D-2,5 undergoes a remarkable frequency decrease (-3.5%) , while D-3,4 experiences a slight frequency change $(-0.6%)$, and D-1,1 exhibits an almost unaffected frequency (-0.3%) .

Deuteration influences mostly the C–H bond stretching and C–H in-plane or out-of-plane bending vibrations. For complex polyatomic molecules, these vibrations are naturally mixed with other vibrations such as CCC in-plane bending, CC stretching or ring out-of-plane deformation or twisting vibration (see Tables S13 and S17†). The deuteration effect becomes non-trivial only when the corresponding relaxation energy is important. The vibration types of significant modes with major relaxation energies (see Fig. S2 and S8†) are detailed in Fig. S4, S9 and S10 and Tables S13 and S23.† $E.g.$ for BtTPS, these vibrations are mainly from 2,5-rings, with minor contributions from 1,1,3,4 rings. To elaborate this more clearly, we project the total relaxation energy onto the geometry relaxation in internal coordinates. The contributions from the internal coordinates of

Table 3 The calculated and the measured excited-state decay rates of the non-deuterated (H-) and deuterated (D-)2,3,4,5-ring HPS in solution and solid phases at room temperature

HPS	Solution			Solid		
		$k_{\rm ic}$	IE	$k_{\rm r}$	$k_{\rm ic}$	IE
H-	6.54×10^{7} a $(1.27 \times 10^7)^b$	2.44×10^{11} a $(1.05 \times 10^9)^b$		0.83×10^{7} c $(1.52 \times 10^7)^d$	0.86×10^{7} c $(1.29 \times 10^7)^d$	
D -	6.54×10^{7} a $(1.64 \times 10^7)^b$	2.30×10^{11} a $(1.07 \times 10^9)^b$	-5.7% $(1.9\%)^b$	0.83×10^{7} c $(1.37 \times 10^7)^d$	0.29×10^{7} c $(0.47 \times 10^7)^d$	-66.3% $(-63.6\%)^d$

^a Calculated in acetone solution. b Measured in THF solution. ^c Calculated in crystal. ^d Measured in microcrystal.</sup>

Fig. 6 Position dependent relaxation energy for solid-phase HPS, BtTPS and HPDMCb.

the rings at the 1,1-positions or 1,6-positions (1,1), 2,5-positions (2,5) and 3,4-positions (3,4) to the total relaxation energy are depicted in Fig. 6 and listed in Tables S24–26.† It is clearly seen that the substitution positions contributing largely to the relaxation energy could induce remarkable deuteration effects, i.e., for HPS, D-3,4 and D-2,5 have obvious effects; for BtTPS, D-2,5 stands out; for HPDMCb, D-1,1 and D-3,4 have remarkable effects.

Conclusions

In summary, we investigated the isotope effect on the excitedstate nonradiative decay rate for a series of AIEgens in both solution and solid phases in comparison with non-AIEgens through first-principles calculations. We found that deuteration always leads to a negative IE on the nonradiative decay rate in both solution and solid phases as expected. Interestingly, the IE is inconspicuous ($ca. -10\%$) for AIEgens in solution in sharp contrast to that of the solid phase of $ca. -65\%$ to 95%. The deuteration effect has been observed in the experiments of a typical AIEgen, HPS, in solution and solid states. For non-AIEgens, the IE is comparable $(ca. -40\%$ to 90%) in solution and in solid states. The minor IE for AIEgens in solution stems from the competitive results of the negative effect induced by an increased vibronic coupling strength characterized by the Huang–Rhys factor and a positive effect caused by an enhanced DRE. The DRE mainly occurs for low-frequency rotating or twisting vibrations and it becomes weak for AIEgens in solid state compared to in solution. Therefore the IEs of AIEgens in solid phases are similar to those of non-AIEgens in solution and solid states because the nonradiative decay rates in these cases are mainly controlled by the vibronic coupling. Thus, isotopic substitution is shown to be a valuable tool to elucidate the nonradiative decay mechanism induced by aggregation. Partial deuteration effects are estimated for the 6-ring AIE analogues to evaluate the positional dependence. The positions involved in the vibrations with large relaxation energy are found to be

responsible for the dependence. Agreement between the theoretical calculations and experimental measurements validates the RIM mechanism for AIE phenomena.

Finally, we should note that computational studies of excited-state dynamics are full of challenges.²⁸ The present methodology focuses only on the intramolecular process by assuming a simple displaced and distorted harmonic oscillator model. As has been shown previously,^{$26a$} the vibrational quanta decrease steadily with the molecular size. At the same time, the electronic adiabatic transition energy also decreases with size, implying a reduced anharmonic effect for a large system. For further developments by considering the anharmonicity, and excitonic effect as well as charge transfer delocalization, non-perturbation, etc. on the spectroscopy and nonradiative decay rates of multichromophoric aggregates, there is still a long way to go toward the quantitative prediction of light-emitting properties based on firstprinciples. Operation Science

The straight on 11 May 2016. The straight of the dispersion Article in the straight of the straight on the proportion of experiments are the proportion of the straight of the straight on 11 May 2016. Th

Experimental

Ab initio calculations

The solution-phase geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed through the equilibrium solvation method. Vertical transition energies at the optimized geometries were obtained via the non-equilibrium solvation method. Solid-phase geometry optimizations were performed on the QM region while the MM region was kept frozen. The centroid molecule of the cluster built from the X-ray crystal structure was chosen as the QM region, whereas the remaining molecules were treated as the MM region. The excitonic effect as well as the intermolecular charge transfer was ignored, since AIEgens in general present twisted structures with large intermolecular distances (more than 5 \AA) without $\pi-\pi$ interactions.^{16c,26c,26d} For non-AIEgens, flat molecular planes tend to favor $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions, where the radiative process could be strongly affected by forming H- or J-aggregates or excimers. Moreover, the Coulomb coupling components of the excitonic couplings for the representative HPS and BPS were calculated (see Part VIII of the ESI†). The intermolecular excitonic couplings for HPS and BPS are at least one order of magnitude smaller than the intramolecular relaxation energy (Fig. S11 and S12 and Tables S27 and S28†), implying that the photophysical process is dominated by intramolecular motions. No symmetry constraint was imposed during the optimizations in both solution and solid phases. The absence of imaginary frequencies was carefully checked.

Materials and instruments

The absolute fluorescence quantum yields were determined by a calibrated integrating sphere of a Hamamatsu absolute PL quantum yield spectrometer C11347 Quantaurus-QY, excitation wavelength: 365 nm. Spectrophotometric-grade solvents were used in the measurements without further purification.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21290191, 21473214 and 91233105) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China through 973 programs (2013CB834703, 2015CB65502, and 2013CB933503) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant XDB12020200). The numerical calculations were carried out in the high performance computing centre of Tsinghua University.

Notes and references

- 1 Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules, J. B. Birks, Wiley, London, 1970.
- 2 (a) J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, B. Z. Tang, et al., Chem. Commun., 2001, 1740; (b) B. Z. Tang, X. W. Zhan, G. Yu, P. P. S. Lee, Y. Q. Liu and D. B. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem., 2001, 11, 2974; (c) G. Chen, W. Li, T. Zhou, Q. Peng, D. Zhai, H. Li, W. Z. Yuan, Y. Zhang and B. Z. Tang, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 4496; (d) J. Mei, N. L. C. Leung, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 11718.
- 3 (a) J. Mei, Y. N. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam, A. J. Qin, Y. H. Tang and B. Z. Tang, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 5429; (b) S. Choi, J. Bouffard and Y. Kim, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 5, 751; (c) J. Gierschner, L. Lüer, B. Milián-Medina, D. Oelkrug and H.-J. Egelhaaf, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 2686; (d) Y. Liu, X. T. Tao, F. Z. Wang, J. H. Shi, J. L. Sun, W. T. Yu, Y. Ren, D. C. Zou and M. H. Jiang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 6544; (e) Y. Sonoda, S. Tsuzuki, M. Goto, N. Tohnai and M. Yoshida, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 172; (f) W. Huang, L. Sun, Z. Zheng, J. Su and H. Tian, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 4462. Edge Article

Variation He formind Science 1981

We calcomothogy the formind Science 1981

Neutral Science or formind Science and Calcomotical operation in the National 12 May 2016. Downloaded in the Science Commons Attri
	- 4 (a) S. H. Lin and R. Bersohn, J. Chem. Phys., 1968, 48, 2732; (b) Y. Kajii, K. Obi, I. Tanaka and S. Tobita, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1984, 111, 347; (c) J. Saltiel, A. S. Waller, D. F. Sears and C. Z. Garrett, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 2516; (d) T. Abe, A. Miyazawa, H. Konno and Y. Kawanishi, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2010, 491, 199.
	- 5 (a) S. H. Lin, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 44, 3759; (b) S. H. Lin, C. H. Chang, K. K. Liang, R. Chang, Y. J. Shiu, J. M. Zhang, T. S. Yang, M. Hayashi and F. C. Hsu, Adv. Chem. Phys., 2002, 121, 1.
	- 6 Y. Q. Jiang, H. Geng, W. Shi, Q. Peng, X. Y. Zheng and Z. G. Shuai, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2014, 5, 2267.
	- 7 (a) Q. Peng, Y. P. Yi, Z. G. Shuai and J. S. Shao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 9333; (b) Z. G. Shuai and Q. Peng, Phys. Rep., 2014, 537, 123.
	- 8 J. W. Chen, C. C. W. Law, J. W. Y. Lam, Y. P. Dong, S. M. F. Lo, I. D. Williams, D. B. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Mater., 2003, 15, 1535.
	- 9 J. W. Chen, B. Xu, K. X. Yang, Y. Cao, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams and B. Z. Tang, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 17086.
	- 10 Y. Q. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, A. J. Qin, J. X. Sun, J. Z. Liu, Z. Li, J. Z. Sun, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams, H. S. Kwok, et al., Chem. Commun., 2007, 3255.
- 11 J. W. Chen, B. Xu, X. Y. Ouyang, B. Z. Tang and Y. Cao, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 7522.
- 12 X. T. Zhang, J. K. Sorensen, X. L. Fu, Y. G. Zhen, G. Y. Zhao, L. Jiang, H. L. Dong, J. Liu, Z. G. Shuai, H. Geng, et al., J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 884.
- 13 Y. Nagasaka, C. Kitamura, H. Kurata and T. Kawase, Chem. Lett., 2011, 40, 1437.
- 14 R. Katoh, K. Suzuki, A. Furube, M. Kotani and K. Tokumaru, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 2961.
- 15 S. Varghese, S. K. Park, S. Casado, R. C. Fischer, R. Resel, B. Milián-Medina, R. Wannemacher, S. Y. Park and J. Gierschner, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 1597.
- 16 (a) D. Jacquemin, V. Wathelet, E. A. Perpëte and C. Adamo, J . Chem. Theory Comput., 2009, 5, 2420; (b) A. Ya. Freidzon, A. A. Safonov, A. A. Bagaturyants and M. V. Alfimov, *Int. J.* Quantum Chem., 2012, 112, 3059; (c) Q. Y. Wu, T. Zhang, Q. Peng, D. Wang and Z. G. Shuai, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 5545.
- 17 J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 2999.
- 18 Q. Y. Wu, C. M. Deng, Q. Peng, Y. L. Niu and Z. G. Shuai, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 1862.
- 19 (a) M. Cossi and V. Barone, J. Chem. Phys., 2001, 115, 4708; (b) R. Cammi, S. Corni, B. Mennucci and J. Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys., 2005, 122, 104513.
- 20 (a) S. Chibani, B. Le Guennic, A. Charaf-Eddin, A. D. Laurent and D. Jacquemin, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 1950; (b) A. Pedone, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9, 4087; (c) R. Improta, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2008, 10, 2656; (d) D. Jacquemin, B. Mennucci and C. Adamo, *Phys.* Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 16987; (e) D. Fan, Y. P. Yi, Z. D. Li, W. J. Liu, Q. Peng and Z. G. Shuai, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 5233.
- 21 R. Improta, V. Barone, G. Scalmani and M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 125, 054103.
- 22 A. Dreuw and M. Head-Gordon, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 4009.
- 23 (a) X. W. Zhan, C. Risko, F. Amy, C. Chan, W. Zhao, S. Barlow, A. Kahn, J.-L. Brédas and S. R. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 9021; (b) Y. Q. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, Z. Li, A. J. Qin, H. Tong, Y. P. Dong, X. D. Feng and B. Z. Tang, J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater., 2005, 15, 287; (c) C. Qiu and G. J. Blanchard, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2014, 118, 10525; (d) I. B. Berlman, Handbook of Fluorescence Spectra of Aromatic Molecules, Academic Press: New York, USA, 1971.
- 24 (a) J. R. Reimers, J. Chem. Phys., 2001, 115, 9103; (b) Y. L. Niu, Q. Peng, C. M. Deng, X. Gao and Z. G. Shuai, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 7817.
- 25 Z. G. Shuai, Q. Peng, Y. L. Niu and H. Geng, MOMAP, a free and open-source molecular materials property prediction package, Revision 0.2.004, Shuai group, Beijing, CN, 2014, http://www.shuaigroup.net/.
- 26 (a) Y. Q. Jiang, Q. Peng, X. Gao, Z. G. Shuai, Y. L. Niu and S. H. Lin, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22(4491), 1862; (b) T. Zhang, Y. Q. Jiang, Y. L. Niu, D. Wang, Q. Peng and Z. G. Shuai, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2014, 118, 9094; (c) Y. J. Xie, T. Zhang, Z. Li, Q. Peng, Y. P. Yi and Z. G. Shuai,

Chem. –Asian J., 2015, 10, 2154; (d) T. Zhang, H. L. Ma, Y. L. Niu, W. Q. Li, D. Wang, Q. Peng, Z. G. Shuai and W. Z. Liang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 5040.

- 27 W. R. Browne and J. G. Vos, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 219, 761.
- 28 (a) Z. G. Shuai, D. Wang, Q. Peng and H. Geng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 3301; (b) Z. G. Shuai, W. J. Liu, W. Z. Liang, Q. Shi and H. Chen, Sci. China: Chem., 2013, 56, 1258. Open Karticles. Published on 11 May 2016. Downloaded on 11 May 2016. Downloaded on 11 May 2014. Downloaded on 11 May 2014. Downloaded on 11 May 2014. Downloaded under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Units artic