K$_{2x}$Sn$_{4-x}$S$_{8-x}$ ($x = 0.65–1$): a new metal sulfide for rapid and selective removal of Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2$$^{2+}$ ions†
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The fission of uranium produces radionuclides, 137Cs and 90Sr, which are major constituents of spent nuclear fuel. The half-life of 137Cs and 90Sr is nearly 30 years and thus that makes them harmful to human life and the environment. The selective removal of these radionuclides in the presence of high salt concentrations from industrial nuclear waste is necessary for safe storage. Here we report the synthesis and crystal structure of K$_2$Sn$_{4-x}$S$_{8-x}$ ($x = 0.65–1$, KTS-3) a material which exhibits excellent Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2$$^{2+}$ ion exchange properties in varying conditions. The compound adopts a layered structure which consists of exchangeable potassium ions sandwiched between infinite layers of octahedral and tetrahedral tin centers. K$_2$Sn$_{4-x}$S$_{8-x}$ ($x = 0.65–1$, KTS-3) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2$_1$/c with cell parameters $a = 13.092(3) \, \text{Å}$, $b = 16.882(2) \, \text{Å}$, $c = 7.375(1) \, \text{Å}$ and $\beta = 98.10(1)^\circ$. Refinement of the single crystal diffraction data revealed the presence of Sn vacancies in the tetrahedra that are long range ordered. The interlayer potassium ions of KTS-3 can be exchanged for Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2$$^{2+}$. KTS-3 exhibits rapid and efficient ion exchange behavior in a broad pH range. The distribution coefficients ($K_{d}$) for KTS-3 are high for Cs$^+$ (5.5 × 10$^6$), Sr$^{2+}$ (3.9 × 10$^5$) and UO$_2$$^{2+}$ (2.7 × 10$^5$) at neutral pH (7.4, 6.9, 5.7 ppm Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2$$^{2+}$, respectively, V/mn = 1000 mL g$^{-1}$). KTS-3 exhibits impressive Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2$$^{2+}$ ion exchange properties in high salt concentration and over a broad pH range, which coupled with the low cost, environmentally friendly nature and facile synthesis underscores its potential in treating nuclear waste.

Introduction

The pursuit of efficient, cheap, sustainable and growing sources of energy, involves nuclear energy which has emerged as one of the prominent alternatives in many countries and accounted for 12.3% of the world’s electricity production in 2012. Over the last four decades the accumulation of radioactive spent nuclear fuel (nuclear waste) has reached a staggering volume of 71 780 metric tons and it is increasing by 2300 metric tons every year. The rapidly increasing number of nuclear power plants will generate even larger amounts of nuclear waste. The prime source of nuclear fuel is various uranium salts, which are being used in different stages from mining, nuclear fabrication and processing. The uranium salts form a major component of the nuclear waste along with the fission generated non-actinide isotopes. The estimated amount of uranium present in seawater is 4 × 10$^{12}$ kg (at ~3 ppb), so potentially it could supply nuclear fuel for thousands of years. The primary issue with isolation of uranium from seawater in a cost effective manner is the presence of other ions (Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, Mg$^{2+}$, SO$_4$$^{2-}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and CO$_3$$^{2-}$) in predominant amounts. 90Sr and 137Cs are the most hazardous fission generated non-actinide isotopes present in nuclear waste, as they produce gamma and high energy beta particles. 90Sr (with a half-time of $t_{1/2}$ ~ 29 years) and 137Cs ($t_{1/2}$ ~ 30 years) pose a major long-term risk due to their long half-life. Recently, the tsunami-induced disaster at the Fukushima nuclear power plant in 2011 resulted in contamination of a wide region of the northern Kanto and Tohoku areas in Japan with radionuclides, 131I, 134Cs, 137Cs, and 90Sr. Therefore, nuclear waste needs to be dealt with effectively, for safe storage and disposal due to its adverse health effects in humans and the environment.

The most commonly used technique for the separation of radioactive elements from industrially produced nuclear waste is solvent extraction using liquid phase organic compounds. The use of ion exchange media is another alternative for the removal of radionuclides from the nuclear waste, however, they are relatively less explored due to certain drawbacks: the
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Starting materials

KTS-3 was synthesized using high purity K₂CO₃ (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), tin powder (∼150 μm, 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) and elemental sulfur (5N Plus Inc.).

Hydrothermal synthesis of KₓSn₄₋ₓS₈₋ₓ (x = 0.65−1, KTS-3)

K₂CO₃ (6 mmol, 0.830 g), elemental Sn (9 mmol, 1.068 g), S (30 mmol, 0.962 g) were taken in a 23 mL polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) lined stainless steel autoclave and deionized water (0.5 mL) was added drop wise until the mixture acquired dough-like consistency. The autoclave was sealed properly and maintained in a preheated oven at 220 °C for 15 h under autogenous pressure. Then, the autoclave was allowed to cool to room temperature. The product was found to contain yellow rod shaped crystals along with yellow polycrystalline powder (Fig. 1a).

The product was isolated by filtration, washed several times with water, acetone and dried under vacuum. The yield was ∼85%, based on Sn) and the product was air and moisture stable. Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) analysis shows the presence of K, Sn and S and gave an average formula "K₁₋₄Sn₃₋₂S₇₋₃₂".

Ion-exchange experiments

A typical ion exchange experiment of KTS-3 with Cs⁺, Sr²⁺, or UO₂²⁻ was carried out in a 20 mL scintillation vial, where measured amounts of CsCl, SrCl₂, 6H₂O or UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.1 mmol of A⁺) were dissolved in deionized water (10 mL) and KTS-3 (40 mg) was added. Then the mixture was kept under magnetic stirring for anywhere from 5 min to 15 h at room temperature. The ion exchanged material was centrifuged and isolated by filtration (through filter paper, Whatman no. 1), washed several times with water and acetone, and dried under vacuum. In all cases, the ion exchange reaction was completed after only one cycle (EDS showed all potassium ions were exchanged).

The distribution coefficient Kd, used for the determination of the affinity and selectivity of KTS-3 for Cs⁺, Sr²⁺, or UO₂²⁻ is given by the equation: 

\[
K_d = \frac{V/m}{([C_0] - [C_f])/[C_f]}
\]

where, \(\frac{V}{m}\) is the volume (mL) of the testing solution, \(m\) is the amount of the ion exchanger (g), \(C_0\) and \(C_f\) are the initial and equilibrium concentration of a given ion A⁺ (ppm).

The individual Cs⁺, Sr²⁺, or UO₂²⁻ uptake from solutions of various concentrations were studied with \(V/m = 1000\) mL g⁻¹ at room temperature and 15 h contact time. The data obtained were used for the determination of the sorption isotherms. All ion exchange experiments reported in this work were performed by the batch method in 20 mL scintillation vials.

The individual ion exchange experiments for the Cs⁺, Sr²⁺, or UO₂²⁻ ions at different pH and salt concentration were also carried out. The required pH values (2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12) were achieved by diluting the commercial standards (1000 ppm) with HCl or NaOH solution to ~6 ppm. The ion exchange experiment at different Na⁺ concentration was done by dissolving the required amount of NaCl in 10 mL solution of A⁺ ion (~6 ppm). The exchange experiments were performed with \(V/m = 1000\) mL g⁻¹, at room temperature and 15 h contact.

Competitive ion exchange (Cs⁺ and Sr²⁺) experiments of KTS-3 were also carried out with a \(V/m\) ratio of 1000 mL g⁻¹, at room temperature with a CPS 120 INEL X-ray powder diffractometer with graphite monochromated Cu Kα radiation.
operating at 40 kV and 20 mA. The samples were prepared by grinding and spreading over a glass slide.

**Single-crystal X-ray crystallography**

A suitable single crystal was carefully selected under a polarizing microscope and glued to a thin glass fiber. Single crystal data were collected on a STOE IPDS II diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation (\( \lambda = 0.71073 \) Å) at room temperature. The generator was operated at 50 kV and 40 mA. The data were collected with a \( \omega \) scan width of 1° keeping the crystal to detector distance fixed at 8.0 cm. Integration and numerical absorption corrections were performed using X-AREA, X-RED, and X-SHAPE.\(^{34}\) The structure was solved using direct methods and refined by the SHELXTL program package\(^{35}\) using a full-matrix least squares refinement against the square of structure factors. Final structure refinement included atomic positions and anisotropic thermal parameters for all Sn and S atoms. The thermal displacement parameters of the disordered K atoms was refined isotropically. Details of the structure solution and final refinements for the compound are given in Table 1.

**Scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive spectroscopy**

The energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was performed with a Hitachi S-3400N-II scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an ESED II detector. An accelerating voltage of 20 kV and 60 seconds acquisition time were used for elemental analysis.

**Thermogravimetric analysis**

The thermogravimetric analysis (TG) was performed with a Shimadzu TGA-50 system under nitrogen atmosphere in an aluminum crucible. The analysis was performed with a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) and a nitrogen flow rate of 40 mL min\(^{-1}\) from room temperature to 600 °C.

**Differential thermal analysis**

The differential thermal analyses (DTA) were performed on a Shimadzu DTA-50 thermal analyzer. For a typical analysis, around 30 mg of sample was sealed in a quartz ampoule and sealed under vacuum, another sealed quartz ampoule with Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) was used as reference material. The analysis was performed with a heating rate of 2 °C min\(^{-1}\) and a nitrogen flow rate of 30 mL min\(^{-1}\) from room temperature to 600 °C.

**Infrared (IR) and Raman spectroscopy**

Infrared spectra of compounds were collected on a Bruker Tensor 37 FTIR (MID IR/ATR) using an attenuated total reflectance attachment in the range 4000–600 cm\(^{-1}\). The Raman spectra of the ground samples were collected on a DeltaNu Raman system that uses a 785 nm constant wavelength laser. The spectra were collected in the range of 100–2000 cm\(^{-1}\) with the sample inside a 0.5 mm capillary tube.

**Band gap measurements**

The UV-vis/near-1R diffuse reflectance spectra of the ground samples were collected using a Shimadzu UV200010 PC double beam, double monochromator spectrophotometer in the wavelength range of 200–2500 nm. BaSO\(_4\) powder was used as a reference and base material on which the powder sample was coated. Using the Kubelka–Munk\(^{36}\) equation the reflectance data were converted to absorption data and the band edge of the sample was calculated from the intercept of the line extrapolated from the high energy end to the baseline.
Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement at room temperature for the subcell and supercell of K$_{1.92}$Sn$_{3.04}$S$_7$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K$_2$Sn$_3$S$_7$</th>
<th>Subcell</th>
<th>Supercell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>658.69</td>
<td>661.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>Ccmcm</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>$a = 3.6831(2)$ Å, $a = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>$a = 13.092(3)$ Å, $a = 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 25.8877(19)$ Å, $\beta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>$b = 16.882(2)$ Å, $\beta = 98.100(15)^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 16.8155(11)$ Å, $\gamma = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>$c = 7.3748(13)$ Å, $\gamma = 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1603.31(18) Å$^3$</td>
<td>1613.7(3) Å$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>2.729 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.722 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>6.026 mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>6.029 mm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>$0.320 \times 0.160 \times 0.050$ mm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>$-4 \leq h \leq 4, -34 \leq k \leq 34, -22 \leq l \leq 22$</td>
<td>$-19 \leq h \leq 19, -25 \leq k \leq 25, -10 \leq l \leq 11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>13 142</td>
<td>37 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>1145 [Rint = 0.0590]</td>
<td>5540 [Rint = 0.094]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to $\theta = 25.24^\circ$</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>1145/0/45</td>
<td>5540/1/121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>1.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $R$ indices [$I &gt; 2\sigma(I)$]</td>
<td>$R_{\text{obs}} = 0.0749$, $wR_{\text{obs}} = 0.2183$</td>
<td>$R_{\text{obs}} = 0.1063$, $wR_{\text{obs}} = 0.2807$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$ indices [all data]</td>
<td>$R_{\text{refl}} = 0.0796$, $wR_{\text{refl}} = 0.2237$</td>
<td>$R_{\text{refl}} = 0.1410$, $wR_{\text{refl}} = 0.3100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extinction coefficient</td>
<td>0.0019(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole</td>
<td>3.868 and $-1.905$ e Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4.342 and $-2.783$ e Å$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting scheme</td>
<td>$a = 0.1110$, $b = 74.4974$</td>
<td>$a = 0.141$, $b = 21.5465$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a R = \sum |F_o| - |F_c|/\sum |F_o|, wR = (\sum w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2)/\sum (w|F_o|^2))^{1/2}$ and $w = 1/[\sigma(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ where $P = (4F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$. The twin law [0 1 0 0 0 0 $-1$] was used with a refined fraction of 45.0(3)%.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis

XPS of the KTS-3 and exchanged materials were performed on ground powders using a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (1486.6 eV) operating at 300 W. Samples were analyzed under vacuum ($P < 10^{-8}$ mbar) with a pass energy of 150 eV (survey scans) and 25 eV (high-resolution scans). A low-energy electron flood gun was employed for charge neutralization. Ion beam etching was performed to clean off some of the surface contamination. Prior to the XPS measurements, the crystalline powders were pressed on copper foil and mounted on stubs and successively put into the entry-load chamber to pump. All peaks were referenced to the signature C1s peak binding energy at 284.6 eV for adventitious carbon. Advantage software was used to fit the experimental peaks.

Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy

The Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$, and UO$_2^{2+}$ ion exchange samples and the competitive ion exchange samples (Cs$^{2+}$ and Sr$^{2+}$) were analyzed with Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) using a computer-controlled ThermoFisher X Series II Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer with a quadrupole setup equipped with Collision Cell Technology. Eleven standards were prepared in the range of 0.78–800 ppb by diluting commercial solutions (Sigma-Aldrich). The ion exchange samples were diluted to lower the concentrations below 800 ppb. All the samples and standards were prepared in a 5% (nitric acid + hydrochloric acid) solution with 1 ppb (Bi, Ho, In, Li, Tb, Y) internal standard in order to correct the instrumental drift and matrix effects during analysis.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization

The synthesis of K$_{2x}$Sn$_{4-x}$S$_8$ (x = 0.65–1, KTS-3) was accomplished by a hydrothermal method at 220 °C. The product was found to contain a large amount of yellow powder along with few rod shaped yellow crystals. The powder X-ray diffraction of the samples of KTS-3 showed that the yellow powder and the crystals are the same material and confirmed the phase purity (Fig. 1b) when compared against the calculated pattern obtained by the single crystal model. The product was also analyzed with semi-quantitative SEM-EDS (Fig. 1c and d) which showed the presence of K, Sn, S and revealed an average composition of K$_{1.38}$Sn$_{3.32}$S$_{7.32}$. The value of x = 0.65–1 was determined by analyzing different sets of samples with SEM-EDS and ICP-MS. The single crystal data of the rod shaped crystals revealed a layer structure of composition K$_{1.92}$Sn$_{3.04}$S$_{7.04}$. The Raman spectra of the KTS-3 sample shows three sharp bands at 321, 355 and 382 cm$^{-1}$ and a small band at 247 cm$^{-1}$. The bands at 321, 355 and 382 cm$^{-1}$ are consistent with octahedral and tetrahedral Sn–S bond vibrations (Fig. S1a)$^{17,18}$ The 247 cm$^{-1}$ band may arise from collective...
lattice modes or from the vibrations associated with the potassium ions.

Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of the KTS-3 compound was carried out in flowing nitrogen gas (flow rate = 20 mL min⁻¹) in the temperature range 20–600 °C (heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹). The TG studies indicate that KTS-3 exhibits a single-step weight loss of ~10% up to 235 °C which corresponds to the loss of adsorbed water molecules. The compound remains stable up to 525 °C, after which it starts to decompose (Fig. S1b†) into K₂Sn₃S₅ and SnS₂ as determined by powder XRD. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) of the samples shows no sign of melting up to 600 °C (Fig. S2†).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy performed on KTS-3 (Fig. 2) shows peaks at 292.5 and 295.6 eV which are characteristic for 2p₃/2 and 2p₁/2 of K⁺ cations.¹⁹ The peaks at 486.0 and 494.5 eV are consistent with the 3d₅/₂ and 3d₃/₂ levels observed for Sn⁴⁺ cations.²⁹ The sulfur 2p orbital excitations appear as a broad peak in the range 158–165 eV. The deconvolution of the broad band gives two bands centered at 161.5 and 162.7 eV which are characteristic of 2p₃/2 and 2p₁/2 sulfide anions, respectively.²⁹,⁴⁰

Crystal structure

The structure of K₁.₉₂Sn₃.₀₄S₇.₀₄ is composed of infinite layers of [Sn₃S₇] stacked along the b-axis with K ions residing between the layers, Fig. 3a. The [Sn₃S₇] layer consists of [SnS₄] octahedra and [SnS₆] tetrahedra. Edge-shared [SnS₄] octahedral units form ribbons that run infinitely along the c-axis and have a width of two octahedral units. The [SnS₆] ribbons are interconnected by edge-shared [SnS₄] tetrahedra in the form of [Sn₂S₆] bridges. Potassium atoms are disordered and sandwiched between the [Sn₃S₇] layers. An apparent C-centered orthorhombic cell with a = 3.6831(2) Å, b = 25.8877(19) Å, and c = 16.8155(11) Å can index most of the reflections but after careful examination of the reciprocal lattice (Fig. 3b) we found the presence of additional broad and diffuse reflections that could be indexed by doubling of the short a-axis with a transformed primitive monoclinic unit cell of a = 13.092(3) Å, b = 16.882(2) Å, c = 7.375(1) Å and β = 98.10(1)°. The origin of the supercell is due to partial long range ordering of vacancies in the [SnS₄] slabs where every other [SnS₄] unit is missing along the c-axis, Fig. 3c.

The orthorhombic cell can be refined in Cmcm with a stoichiometry of ‘K₂Sn₄S₈’ but this is problematic because this composition does not charge balance assuming K⁺, Sn⁴⁺, and S²⁻ ions. Furthermore, the agreement factor for the ‘K₂Sn₄S₈’ refinement was very high at ~14.5% with large negative residual electron density around the Sn(2) and S(4) sites. Upon refinement of the occupancy of Sn(2) and S(4) (50% disorderly occupied) but omitting the supercell reflections, the agreement factor improved significantly (7.5%, see Table 1) and the refined composition becomes K₁.₉₂Sn₃.₀₄S₇.₀₄ which is charge balanced. By subsequently introducing the intensity of the supercell reflections into the refinement, an additional long range ordering of the vacancies in the Sn(2) and S(4) sites was found. The supercell of KTS-3 was solved using the monoclinic space-group P2₁/c and twinning was required for a successful refinement. A refined twin fraction of 45.0(3)% was determined using a twin law of 180 degrees rotation along the c-axis, Table 1. The final agreement factor is satisfactory given the very broad and diffuse nature of the supercell reflections, Fig. 3b.
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**Fig. 2** X-ray photoelectron spectra of (a) potassium, (b) tin, (c) sulfur, and (d) survey spectrum for KTS-3. Dotted and solid lines represent experimental and deconvoluted spectra, respectively.
The asymmetric unit of the KTS-3 supercell has 15 atoms. Four crystallographically independent Sn$^{4+}$ atoms (two sites are partially occupied), eight sulfide atoms (two sites are partially occupied), and three K$^+$ ions (two sites are partially disordered). The Sn(1) and Sn(2) ions are octahedrally coordinated by six sulfur atoms, and Sn(3) and Sn(4) atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated by four sulfur atoms. The $[SnS_6]$ and $[SnS_4]$ units are shared through S(5)/S(6) edges, the $[SnS_6]$ units are edge-shared through S(1)–S(2), and the $[SnS_4]$ units are edge-shared through S(7)/S(7) and S(8)/S(8) edges. The Sn(1), Sn(2) distorted octahedra have Sn–S distances in the range of 2.504(2)–2.621(2) Å and the Sn(3) distorted tetrahedral have Sn–S distances in the range of 2.288(2)–2.486(2) Å. Because of the partial ordering of vacancies, Sn(3) and Sn(4) are disordered with a refined fractional occupancy of 73.0(4)% and 31.1(5)%, respectively. The same occupancy values were used for the S atoms that edge-share the $[SnS_4]$ tetrahedra, i.e., the occupancy factor of S(7) and S(8) constrained at 73.0(4) and 31.1(5)%, respectively. All K atoms have relaxationally large thermal factors which is characteristic for loosely bound intercalated atoms found in ion-exchanged materials. K(1A) and K(1B) are delocalized with an average disordered distance of 2.32(1) Å and fractional occupancy of 60.7(5)% and 39.3(5)%, respectively, where K(3) fully occupies its own site.

The basic difference between the structure of KTS-3 and that of so-called KMS structures which are also layered ($K_2M_xSn_{3-x}S_7$; $M$ = Mn, KMS-1; $M$ = Mg, KMS-2) is in the structure of the layers themselves. The layers of KMS-1 and KMS-2 are essentially derived from the SnS$_2$ structure by replacing randomly some of the octahedral Sn$^{4+}$ ions by either Mn$^{2+}$ (KMS-1) or Mg$^{2+}$ (KMS-2) ions, where all the Sn/M ($M$ = Mn or Mg) ions occupy octahedral sites and the sulfur ions are three coordinated. However, in case of KTS-3 there are both octahedral and tetrahedral centers that are connected by three and two coordinated sulfur atoms to form the layer structure. Disordered potassium ions are located between the SnS$_2$ or Sn$_3$S$_7$ layers, Fig. 3c.

**Ion-exchange of KTS-3 with Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2^{2+}$ ions**

The interlayer potassium ions in the KTS-3 structure are disordered and move rapidly in an ion-exchange process. To check the feasibility of ion exchange of K$^+$ in KTS-3 we immersed it in a solution of Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2^{2+}$ ions for 15 h. These ion exchange processes are in fact very rapid and almost all the ions were exchanged within 5 min, but to ensure a complete ion exchange we used 15 h. The EDS analysis of the materials after ion exchange showed the complete removal of the K$^+$ ions. The EDS of the exchanged materials showed a ratio of 1.5 : 3 for Cs : Sn, 0.7 : 3 for Sr : Sn and 0.5 : 3 for U : Sn, which are comparable with the expected Cs to Sn ratio (1.3–2.0) and Sr, UO$_2$ to Sn ratio (0.65–1) (Fig. S3†). The PXRD of the exchanged materials showed isotypic ion exchange with retention of the parent structure (Fig. 4). The ion exchange processes can be described by the following equations:

$$K_{1.92}Sn_{3.04}S_{7.04} + 1.92CsCl \rightarrow Cs_{1.92}Sn_{3.04}S_{7.04} + 1.92KCl \quad (1)$$
K$_{1.92}$Sn$_{3.04}$S$_{7.04}$ + 0.96SrCl$_2$ · 6H$_2$O → [Sr(H$_2$O)$_3$]$_{0.96}$Sn$_{3.04}$S$_{7.04}$ + 1.92KCl (2)

K$_{1.92}$Sn$_{3.04}$S$_{7.04}$ + 0.96UO$_2$(NO$_3$)$_2$ · 6H$_2$O → [UO$_2$(H$_2$O)$_3$]$_{0.96}$Sn$_{3.04}$S$_{7.04}$ + 1.92KNO$_3$ (3)

For the Cs$^+$ and Sr$^{2+}$ exchanged samples the PXRD analysis showed a shift of the (020) and (040) basal Bragg peaks to lower $2\theta$ values (higher $d$-spacing). The interlayer spacing of the material increases from 8.441 Å to 8.632 Å (Sr$^{2+}$) and 8.813 Å (Cs$^+$). The PXRD analysis of the UO$_2^{2+}$ exchanged sample shows the presence of a mixture of layered phases, which are mainly due to the different degrees of hydration of the UO$_2^{2+}$ ions. The interlayer spacing of the UO$_2^{2+}$ exchanged material increases from 8.441 Å to 9.966 Å and 10.250 Å. The change in the interlayer spacing follows the order UO$_2^{2+}$ > Cs$^+$ > Sr$^{2+}$ > K$^+$. This peak at 910 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned with both Langmuir, and Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption isotherms.

The infra-red spectrum of the uranyl exchanged KTS-3 material shows a strong peak at ~910 cm$^{-1}$, which is not found in pristine KTS-3 (Fig. 5b). This peak at ~910 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the antisymmetric vibration of [O=U=O]$^{2+}$ group and is significantly red shifted compared to the peaks found for aqueous [O=U=O]$^{2+}$ ions (~963 cm$^{-1}$).

The XPS spectra of the Cs$^+$ exchanged samples show the characteristic 3d$_{5/2}$ and 3d$_{3/2}$ for Cs$^+$ at 724.7 and 738.7 eV (Fig. 6a). The Sr$^{2+}$ exchanged samples show peaks at 133.9 and 135.7 eV characteristic for 3d$_{5/2}$ and 3d$_{3/2}$ of Sr$^{2+}$ cations (Fig. 6b). The UO$_2^{2+}$ exchanged samples show two peaks at 379.6 and 390.6 eV characteristic for 3f$_{5/2}$ and 3f$_{7/2}$ of U$^{6+}$ centers (Fig. 6c). All exchanged samples showed the characteristic peaks for tin and sulfur ions as observed for the pristine compound. The peaks for the potassium 2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$ could not be found in the exchanged samples (Fig. 6d), which confirms their complete exchange from the KTS-3 compound.

**Ion exchange adsorption isotherm studies (Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2^{2+}$)**

In order to understand the ion exchange capacity of KTS-3 a detailed adsorption study was carried out. The ion exchange equilibrium, kinetics, effect of salt concentration and pH on the Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2^{2+}$ ion exchange were studied. The equilibrium data for the ions were modeled using the Langmuir and Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption isotherms. Table 2 shows the equilibrium constants and different parameters obtained by the modeling of the equilibrium data.

**Langmuir isotherm**

$$q = q_m \frac{bC_e}{1 + bC_e}$$ (4)

**Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm**

$$q = q_m \left( \frac{(bC_e)^{1/n}}{1 + (bC_e)^{1/n}} \right)$$ (5)

where $q$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the amount of cation adsorbed at equilibrium concentration, $q_m$ is the maximum cation adsorption capacity, $b$ (L mg$^{-1}$) is the Langmuir constant, $C_e$ (ppm) is the equilibrium concentration and $n$ is a constant.

The Langmuir isotherm describes adsorption on a homogeneous surface and the maximum adsorption corresponds to a saturated monolayer. This model is based on the assumptions that (a) the adsorption sites are equivalent and each site can only accommodate one molecule, (b) the energy of adsorption is constant and independent of surface coverage, and (c) there is no transmigration of adsorbate from one site to another.

The Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm is an extension of the Langmuir model, which reduces to Freundlich isotherms at low surface coverage and to Langmuir isotherms at high surface coverage.

The equilibrium data for Cs$^+$ ion exchange (Fig. 7a) could be fitted with both Langmuir, and Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm models with a good agreement ($R^2 \geq 0.97$). The value of the Langmuir–Freundlich constant $n = 1.37(23)$ was found to be closer to 1 which suggests that the adsorption behavior of Cs$^+$ ion exchange follows the Langmuir adsorption model. The agreement of the Langmuir adsorption isotherm with the Cs$^+$ ion exchange can be rationalized by taking into consideration the structural features of KTS-3. The [Sn$_3$S$_7$]$^{2-}$ layers of KTS-3 are separated by layers of disordered potassium ions, so the exchangeable Cs$^+$ ions form a layer between the [Sn$_3$S$_7$]$^{2-}$ layers that corresponds to the monolayer of Langmuir isotherms. The adsorption sites for the exchangeable ions are fixed (S$^{2-}$ ions).
and chemically equivalent. Moreover, once the ions are exchanged it is not possible to migrate to other sites. The equilibrium data for Sr²⁺ (Fig. 7b) and UO₂²⁺ (Fig. 7c) were also fitted with Langmuir ($R^2 = 0.92$ and 0.95, for Sr²⁺, UO₂²⁺ respectively) and Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption ($R^2 = 0.92$ and 0.96, for Sr²⁺, UO₂²⁺ respectively) isotherms in good agreement. The value of Langmuir–Freundlich constant $[n = 1.37(23)$ for Sr²⁺ and 1.81(54) for UO₂²⁺] shows that it deviates from the Langmuir isotherm model ($n = 1$). The behavior of Cs⁺, Sr²⁺ and UO₂²⁺ vis-a-vis their isotherms can be rationalized by the fact that the number of ions exchanged in the case of Cs⁺ is twice that of bivalent Sr²⁺ and UO₂²⁺ and hence it has higher surface coverage and tends to follow better the Langmuir model. The ion exchange of bivalent metal ions often follow the Langmuir–Freundlich model rather than the Langmuir model.⁴⁶

The maximum ion exchange capacities, $q_m$, were found to be 280(11) mg g⁻¹ (2.10 mmol g⁻¹) for Cs⁺, 102(5) mg g⁻¹ (1.16 mmol g⁻¹) for Sr²⁺ and 287(15) mg g⁻¹ (1.20 mmol g⁻¹) for UO₂²⁺ from the Langmuir isotherm model. The theoretical capacities for $K_{2x}Sn_{4-x}S_{8-x}$ ($x = 0.96$) considering all the K⁺ ion are exchanged are 2.90 mmol g⁻¹ (385 mg g⁻¹) for Cs⁺ and 1.45 mmol g⁻¹ for Sr²⁺ (127 mg g⁻¹), UO₂²⁺ (347 mg g⁻¹). The observed Cs⁺ exchange is about 72%, Sr²⁺ exchange 80% and UO₂²⁺ exchange 83% of the theoretical capacity. All K⁺ ions are exchanged after the reaction and the observed exchange capacity is due to the fact that the polycrystalline sample ($K_{2x}Sn_{4-x}S_{8-x}$, KTS-3) has a range of $x$ values from 0.65–1. The observed ion exchange capacity of KTS-3 compares well with well-known Cs⁺ and Sr²⁺ sorbents (e.g., zeolites, sodium silico-titanes and zirconium titanium silicates; 1.86–4.1 mmol g⁻¹ for Cs⁺ and 1.0–2.0 mmol g⁻¹ of Sr²⁺).⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹

The Langmuir constants $b$ (L mg⁻¹) for the Cs⁺, Sr²⁺ and UO₂²⁺ were found to be 0.09(2), 0.20(8) and 0.23(6) L mg⁻¹, respectively. The value of $b$ is an indicator for the affinity towards a particular ion. Higher $b$ values for Sr²⁺ and UO₂²⁺ ions indicate that KTS-3 has larger affinity towards them compared

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Cs⁺ exchange</th>
<th>Sr²⁺ exchange</th>
<th>UO₂²⁺ exchange</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_e$ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>280(11)</td>
<td>304(22)</td>
<td>102(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$ (L mg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.09(2)</td>
<td>0.07(2)</td>
<td>0.20(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.37(23)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.923</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ion exchange of Cs\(^+\) and Sr\(^{2+}\)

Generally, nuclear waste contains a large amount of other non-radioactive ions (Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\)), also the waste solutions can be very corrosive depending on the pH.\(^{12,13,50-52}\) Ion exchange experiments with KTS-3 were performed over a range of pH and salt concentrations aimed to simulate the conditions likely to be found in nuclear waste treatment.

The stability of the KTS-3 phase over a range of pH values (2–12) was tested and was found to be impressive. The compound remains crystalline (3 ≤ pH ≤ 11) and retains the layered structure for days when suspended in solution. Even in highly acidic (pH ≤ 2) or basic conditions (pH ≥ 12) it remains stable for hours; a small decomposition of the compound can be seen if kept for more than 24 h (Fig. S5†).

Fig. 8 represents the variation of \(K_d\) values for individual and competitive Cs\(^+\) and Sr\(^{2+}\) ion exchange with pH. KTS-3 shows excellent Cs\(^+\) ion exchange capacity over a pH range of 2–12. It absorbs over 97% of the ions from pH 4 to 10 and it absorbs around 53% of the ions even in a highly acidic environment (pH 2). The \(K_d^{Cs}\) values were found to be \(\sim 3.4 \times 10^4\) to \(5.5 \times 10^4\) in the pH range of 4–10. However, there is slight decrease in the \(K_d^{Cs}\) values at pH = 2 (1.1 \times 10^0) and it falls sharply at pH = 12 (253) (7.4 ppm, \(V/m = 1000\)) (Fig. 8). The decrease in \(K_d^{Cs}\) values may be due to partial decomposition of KTS-3 in these regions of pH. The presence of Sr\(^{2+}\) in solution does not affect the ion exchange of Cs\(^+\), as the \(K_d^{Cs}\) values found are comparable with those of the individual values (9.8 \times 10^2 to 6.7 \times 10^4). The small increase in \(K_d^{Cs}\) in the presence of Sr\(^{2+}\) can be attributed to the overall increase in ionic charge of the solution.

KTS-3 exhibits remarkable Sr\(^{2+}\) capture capacity with more than 98% of the ions absorbed between pH 4 to 10. It decreases slightly at pH = 2 (81%) and 12 (88%) but is still much higher than Cs\(^+\). The \(K_d^{Sr}\) values for the Sr\(^{2+}\) ion exchange over the pH range 2–12 were found to be \(4.2 \times 10^4\) to \(3.9 \times 10^5\) mL g\(^{-1}\) (6.9 ppm, \(V/m = 1000\)) (Fig. 8). The presence of Cs\(^+\) does not induce an appreciable change as the \(K_d^{Sr}\) value remains almost same \(4.5 \times 10^4\) to \(3.9 \times 10^5\) mL g\(^{-1}\).

The \(K_d\) value for Cs\(^+\) in the presence of a huge excess of Na\(^+\) ions decreases slightly from \(5.5 \times 10^4\) mL g\(^{-1}\) at 0 M concentration to \(4.4 \times 10^4\) mL g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 M concentration (Fig. 9a). Further increase in the Na\(^+\) concentration reduced the \(K_d\) values and even at a Na\(^+\) concentration of 1 M, KTS-3 exhibited a reasonable \(K_d\) value of 644 mL g\(^{-1}\). The \(K_d\) values in the presence of both Sr\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\) ions vary from \(5.5 \times 10^4\) mL g\(^{-1}\) at 0 M Na\(^+\) to 301 mL g\(^{-1}\) at 1 M Na\(^+\) concentration. The \(K_d\) value for Sr\(^{2+}\) in both individual and competitive (Cs\(^+\)) ion-exchange reactions drops sharply in the presence of Na\(^+\). Namely, it
decreases from $3.9 \times 10^5$ mL g$^{-1}$ at 0 M to 201 at 1 M Na$^+$ concentration (Fig. 9a).

The kinetics of Cs$^+$ adsorption for low concentration (~1.2 ppm) solutions showed that 94% of the ions were absorbed within 5 min. The competitive Cs$^+$ adsorption (in the presence of Sr$^{2+}$) showed ~90% adsorption within 5 min, which remains unchanged thereafter (Fig. 9b). The Sr$^{2+}$ adsorption 92% (individually) and 92% (competitive in presence of Cs$^+$) occurred within 5 min and with a longer time it increases to 97% (individual and competitive). The small decrease in ion exchange with time for Cs$^+$ and increase for Sr$^{2+}$ are due to the dynamic ion exchange process between K$^+$ and Cs$^+/Sr^{2+}$ and the higher affinity of KTS-3 towards Sr$^{2+}$. Upon contact with KTS-3, the Cs$^+$ replaces the K$^+$ ions immediately and only a small amount of K$^+$ ions get reabsorbed in the interlayer spaces to release some of initially absorbed Cs$^+$ ions back to solution. However, in the case of Sr$^{2+}$ the higher affinity of KTS-3 shuts down this dynamic ion exchange.

Ion exchange of UO$_2^{2+}$

KTS-3 exhibits the best UO$_2^{2+}$ adsorption near neutral pH, the $K_d^{UO_{2}}$ value at pH 7 is $2.7 \times 10^4$ mL g$^{-1}$. The UO$_2^{2+}$ adsorption capacity remains more or less the same between pH 4 to 8, however, it decreases at low ($9.0 \times 10^3$ mL g$^{-1}$ at pH 2) and high ($2.6 \times 10^3$ mL g$^{-1}$ at pH 12) pH values (Fig. 10a). The effect of Na$^+$ on $K_d^{UO_{2}}$ is negligible; it decreases only slightly from $2.7 \times 10^4$ mL g$^{-1}$ at 0 M concentration to $4.8 \times 10^3$ mL g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 M concentration. Even at 1 M Na$^+$ concentration the $K_d^{UO_{2}}$ is as high as $3.6 \times 10^3$ mL g$^{-1}$ (Fig. 10b). The kinetics of UO$_2^{2+}$ adsorption (~0.95 ppm) solution showed that the ion exchange is rapid and 80% of the ions were adsorbed within 5 min, which increases to 90% with time (Fig. 10c). The UO$_2^{2+}$ ion exchange capacity of KTS-3 is good compared to other previously reported top UO$_2^{2+}$ sorbents.

Conclusions

The new compound $K_xSn_4S_8-x$ ($x = 0.65–1$, KTS-3) has a unique anionic layer structure consisting of [SnS$_4$] octahedra, [SnS$_3$] tetrahedra, and long range ordered vacancies in the [Sn$_x$S$_{8-x}$]$_n$ chains. The anionic layers are charge balanced by the interlayer potassium ions, which can be rapidly exchanged for Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$, and UO$_2^{2+}$. KTS-3 exhibits high $K_d$ values for Cs$^+$ ($5.5 \times 10^6$), Sr$^{2+}$ ($3.9 \times 10^6$) and UO$_2^{2+}$ ($2.7 \times 10^6$) ion exchange (7.4 ppm, 6.9, 5.7 ppm Cs$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$ and UO$_2^{2+}$, respectively; V/m $\sim$ 1000 mL g$^{-1}$). The ion exchange capacity of the material remains mostly unaffected between pH 4–10 and decreases slightly in higher acidic or basic environment. The kinetics of the ion exchange showed...
that the process is very facile and it absorbs most of the ions within minutes.

The ion exchange capacity of $K_{2x}Sn_{4-x}S_8-x$ ($x = 0.65–1$, KTS-3) is excellent and compares well with $K_{2x}M_2Sn_{3-x}S_8$ ($M = Mn$, KMS-1; $M = Mg$, KMS-2). The Cs and $UO_2^{2+}$ ion exchange capacity of KTS-3 ($q_m = 226 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $Cs^+$ and $382 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $UO_2^{2+}$) is comparable with KMS-1 ($q_m = 280 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $Cs^+$ and $287 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $UO_2^{2+}$) and the $Cs^+$ ion exchange capacity is much lower than KMS-2 ($q_m = 532 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $Cs^+$). However, KTS-3 ($q_m = 102 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $Sr^{2+}$) outperforms both KMS-1 ($q_m = 77 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $Sr^{2+}$) and KMS-2 ($q_m = 87 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for $Sr^{2+}$) in terms of $Sr^{2+}$ ion exchange capacity. Moreover, the relative ease and inexpensive synthesis of $K_{2x}Sn_{4-x}S_8-x$ make it a promising material for future studies.

Our work shows that the metal chalcogenide family can provide promising ion exchange materials for the selective removal of radionuclide from nuclear waste. Further work is to assess the utility of KTS-3 in remediation applications of nuclear wastes is justified.
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