Jahn–Teller effects in Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}$
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The relationship between oxidation state, structure, and magnetism in many molecules is well described by first-order Jahn–Teller distortions. This relationship is not yet well defined for ligated nanoclusters and nanoparticles, especially the nano-technologically relevant gold-thiolate protected metal clusters. Here we interrogate the relationships between structure, magnetism, and oxidation state for the three stable oxidation states, $-1, 0$ and $+1$ of the thiolate protected nanocluster Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}$. We present the single crystal X-ray structures of the previously undetermined charge state Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}^{-1}$. As well as a higher quality single crystal structure of the neutral compound Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}^0$. Structural data combined with SQUID magnetometry and DFT theory enable a complete description of the optical and magnetic properties of Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}$ in the three oxidation states. In aggregate the data suggests a first-order Jahn–Teller distortion in this compound. The high quality single crystal X-ray structure enables an analysis of the ligand–ligand and ligand–cluster packing interactions that underlie single-crystal formation in thiolate protected metal clusters.

Introduction

The Jahn–Teller theorem establishes that molecular orbitals must be symmetrically occupied by electrons in order for them to be energetically degenerate. Unequal occupation of orbitals leads to breaking of the energetic degeneracy of the orbitals, with concomitant distortions to the symmetry of the molecule, coupled to simultaneous changes in optical and magnetic properties. Jahn–Teller effects are described experimentally for low-nuclearity metal clusters, carbon clusters such as fullerences, clusters in extended solids, Zintl phases, and theoretically for larger nanoclusters.

For nanocluster compounds (here we define a nanocluster as a metal cluster with one or more metal atoms that is neighbored only by other metal atoms) the role of the Jahn–Teller effect is unclear. In this work, we investigate the structural and magnetic properties of Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}$ in 3 charge states. Of the compounds comprising the Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}$ monolayer protected cluster magic number series, the Au$_{25}$(SR)$_{18}$ nanocluster$^{10,11}$ is the best understood, both experimentally and theoretically. The

---

**a**Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA. E-mail: ackerson@colostate.edu

**b**Departments of Chemistry and Physics, Nanoscience Center, University of Jyväskylä, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The supplemental information contains additional details about the syntheses and characterization of these compounds. It includes SQUID magnetometry, electrochemical methods, X-ray crystallography information, DFT methods and analysis and crystallographic data. CCDC 1055143 and 1055144. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc02134k
nanoparticles, however, are controversial, with inconsistent reports of magnetic properties made for apparently similar preparations.\textsuperscript{22} Indeed, even for the remarkably well defined cluster \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) there are conflicting reports of magnetism. Of three prior reports interrogating \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) magnetism by EPR or NMR spectroscopy, all reports found that the \(-1\) and 0 oxidation states are diamagnetic and \(S = 1/2\) paramagnetic, consistent with superatom theory for the cluster. There are conflicting reports, however, regarding the nature of the +1 cluster, with two studies concluding diamagnetism and one study concluding paramagnetism.\textsuperscript{21,23-25}

Here we present a comprehensive study on the structures, magnetic properties, and optical properties of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) in its three stable charge states. Notably we present the first crystal structure of \([\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}]^{-1}\left[\text{PF}_6^-\right]^{-1}\), as well as a notably higher resolution crystal structure of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^0\) relative to a previous report.\textsuperscript{26} These structures show the same general atomic connectivity as observed in previous structures, with a 13 atom icosahedral core protected by 6 SR-Au-SR-Au-SR “semiting” units. The formal symmetry of the entire molecule, including the approximately icosahedral core, is \(T_d\).\textsuperscript{27} In addition, we make the first SQUID magnetometry study of all three charge states, and also present linear absorption spectra from redissolved crystals of each charge state, notably improving upon the previous spectroelectrochemistry of this compound. We observe geometric distortions away from idealized symmetry in the inorganic core, and these distortions increased with decreasing superatomic valence from \(1S^21P^6\) to \(1S^21P^4\). The evolution of structure, magnetism and optical properties with oxidation state can be understood in terms of Jahn–Teller effects.

**Experimental**

\(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) was synthesized by minor modification of a previously reported protocol.\textsuperscript{15} 1.00 g (2.54 mmol) of \(\text{HAuCl}_4\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and 1.56 g (2.85 mmol) of tetraoctylammonium bromide (TOAB) were dissolved in 70 ml of THF. This solution stirred for 15 min turning color from yellow to orange. 1.8 ml (13.4 mmol) of 2-phenylethanethiol (PET) was added. The reaction mixture stirred until turning clear, approximately 3 hours. A freshly prepared aqueous solution containing 965 mg (25.5 mmol) \(\text{NaBH}_4\) and 24 ml of water at 0 °C was rapidly added under vigorous stirring. Stirring continued for 2 days, in a covered (but not sealed) flask. The reaction mixture was dried, giving an oily product. The crude product was sonicated in methanol resulting in precipitation of the \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\). The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed four times with methanol. Crystals of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) were formed by dissolving \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) and TOAB in toluene and adding ethanol just until a precipitate forms and is collected by centrifugation. The precipitate is examined for the optical signature of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\). Additional fractions of ethanol are added until the precipitate contains \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\). \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) can be oxidized to the \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) \(^0\) by shaking in the presence of silica gel. Crystals of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^0\) were formed in the same way as \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\), except TOAB was not added to the solution.

\(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) prepared by bulk electrolysis from crystallized \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\). \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) was dissolved in 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF\(_6\)) in dichloromethane (DCM). Bulk electrolysis was preformed at constant potential in a three-compartment cell at 300 mV vs. SCE. Immediately following electrolysis, the solution was taken for crystallization, as the lifetime of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) is limited. Ethanol was added to the DCM solution used in bulk electrolysis until a precipitate formed that was collected by centrifugation. Dissolution in DCM followed by ethanol precipitation was repeated until \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{0}\) was isolated as judged by UV/Vis. The solution appears green. \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) can be stored as a dry precipitate at \(-20°C\). Crystals of \(-1/0+1\) oxidation states were determined by single crystal X-ray methods.

We performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the GPAW package that implements projector augmented-wave (PAW) method in a real-space grid.\textsuperscript{25} Electronic structure, charge distribution, magnetic states and optical absorption of the clusters in all charge states were analyzed. Crystal structure coordinates including the full ligand layer were used as such without structural relaxation to the theoretical minimum. The atomic charges were analyzed using the Bader decomposition method\textsuperscript{26} and the optical absorption spectra were calculated from the linear response time dependent DFT as implemented in GPAW.\textsuperscript{27} The PBE exchange-correlation functional was used both for the ground-state and optical absorption calculation. The PAW setups for gold include scalar-relativistic corrections.

**Results and discussion**

**Symmetry analysis**

We report the crystal structure of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1}\) and an improved \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^0\) crystal structure.\textsuperscript{24} Each structure shows the same general atomic connectivity as observed previously,\textsuperscript{13,14,26,28} with each cluster structure containing a 13 gold atom filled icosahedral core surrounded by 6 SR-Au-SR-Au-SR “semi-rings”. A comparison of the structures of the crystallographically resolved charge states of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) \(^{-1/0+1}\) (Fig. 1) reveals that the symmetry of the set of structures becomes less ideal similar to idealized polyhedral components as charge state increases.

We quantified the distortions from ideal symmetry in two ways: first, by analysis of bond lengths, angles, and dihedral angles; second, by continuous symmetry measure (CSM)\textsuperscript{39,40} as implemented in SHAPE v2.1. CSM quantifies the deviation of a shape from its ideal counterpart by calculating the sum of squares of displacement from the ideal geometry. To implement CSM analysis, we developed a ‘shell-by-shell’ description of the geometric relationships of the atoms in \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\) as shown in Fig. 2.

In the shell-by-shell description, \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\) is composed of 4 shells of symmetrically related atoms (Fig. 2). The innermost shell (I, Fig. 2B) is a filled \(\text{Au}_{12}\) icosahedron. The next most outer shell (II, Fig. 2C) is comprised of 12 sulfur atoms that form the vertices of an icosahedron. The next most outer shell (III, Fig. 2D) is comprised of the 12 Au atoms of \(\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}\).
forming the vertices of an irregular polyhedron. This polyhedron can be considered as a dodecahedron missing 8 vertices. The missing 8 vertices inscribe a cube within the dodecahedron. The outermost shell (IV, Fig. 2E) is comprised of 6 sulfur atoms that form the vertices of an octahedron. The atoms in shell I are both chemically bonded and geometrically related as vertices of an icosahedron. In shells II–IV the atoms within each shells are related only by geometry and are not chemically bonded. Fig. 2A shows how these geometric shells are related in the context of chemical bonding in the structure. The geometric relationships of the shells to each other is as follows: the $S_{12}$ icosahedron of shell II caps each of the vertices of shell I. The 12 Au(I) atoms of shell III, in addition to being chemically bonded to II and IV, also face-cap 12 of the 20 faces of shell I. The $S_{12}$ geometric icosahedron of shell II is distorted from the ideal shape in a manner consistent with its chemical bonding to shell III.

CSM reveals shell I to be a nearly perfect icosahedron for $Au_{25}(PET)_{18}$. Increasing the oxidation state of $Au_{25}(PET)_{18}$ from the 8e$^{-}$ superatom anion to 7e$^{-}$ neutral and 6e$^{-}$ cationic
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**Table 1** Geometric parameters and selected intra- and inter-cluster interactions of the PET ligands of the $Au_{25}(PET)_{18}^{+1}$ crystal structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand$^a$</th>
<th>S–CH$_2$CH$_2$–Ph torsion angle$^b$ (°)</th>
<th>Ph···Au$^+$/CH$^-$ (Å)</th>
<th>anion / solvent CH···X$^+$ coordination and Ph···Ph / CH / S intercluster interactions$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET1 (S1)</td>
<td>64.0 ($g$)</td>
<td>3.44 (Au2)</td>
<td>PF$_6$ anion (2.60 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET2 (S2)</td>
<td>178.5 ($a$)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>PF$_6$ anion (2.60 Å), DCM solvent (2.90 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET3 (S3)</td>
<td>66.1 ($g$)</td>
<td>3.84 (C49)</td>
<td>PF$_6$ anion (2.59 Å), DCM solvent (2.94 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET4 (S4)</td>
<td>173.4 ($g$)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>tilted edge-to-face (PET6, 2.80 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET5 (S5)</td>
<td>174.1 ($a$)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>tilted edge-to-face (PET4, 2.81 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET6 (S6)</td>
<td>−63.2 ($g$)</td>
<td>3.35 (Au13)</td>
<td>tilted edge-to-face (PET4, 2.77 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET7 (S7)</td>
<td>71.6 ($g$)</td>
<td>3.54 (Au12)</td>
<td>CH···Ph (PET4, 2.85 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET8 (S8)</td>
<td>−179.3 ($a$)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>edge-to-face (PET1, 2.83 Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET9 (S9)</td>
<td>−67.2 ($g$)</td>
<td>3.39 (Au6)</td>
<td>PF$_6$ anion (2.60 Å)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Color code of the crystallographically independent PET ligand. $^b$ $g$ = gauche and $a$ = anti. $^c$ Ligand intracluster interactions. $^d$ X = halide (F or Cl). $^e$ Average distance reported.
oxidation states results in distortion of shell I. CSM values for shell I relative to an ideal icosahedron are 0.067, 0.201 and 0.524 for the −1, 0 and +1 oxidation states, respectively. Bonds lengths for shell I, which in an ideal icosahedron are identical, vary over a range of 0.3 Å, 0.4 Å and 0.7 Å for Au25(PET)18 −1, Au25(PET)18 0, and Au25(PET)18 +1, respectively. The variation in bond lengths is shown in a quantitative heat map of the icosahedral cores of each charge state in Fig. 3A. A summary of the bond lengths is given in ESI Table S2.

The geometric distortions from I are also observed in shell II. The CSM values for shell II are 3.407, 3.879, and 4.45, for −1, to 0, and +1, respectively. For shell III the CSM values are 0.108, 0.092, and 0.155, for −1, 0 and +1, respectively. The CSM values for shell IV are 0.138, 0.109, and 0.106, for −1, 0, and +1.

The outer-most shell IV is apparently least affected by charge state, as it is almost ideally octahedral for 0 and +1, while −1 shows the largest deviation from this symmetry. We attribute the deviation from ideal symmetry in shell IV for the anion to the packing of the tetraoctyl ammonium cation in the crystal lattice. In the case of Au25(PET)18 +1, the lattice position of the PF6− ion does not cause deviation from ideal symmetry in shell IV.

To fully describe the changes that occur to the semi-rings (II–IV), we elaborate how each shell distorts with respect to shell I. The symmetry of the inorganic core (shells I–IV) is approximately of the point group D2h.19 This approximation assumes the semirings on opposite sides of the cluster are coplanar, with the other four semirings lying orthogonal to the plane defined by coplanar semirings. In all structures of Au25(SR)18, there is some deviation from this idealized description. The amount of symmetry lowering, on average, increases with increasing oxidation state. As Au25(PET)18 becomes more oxidized the gold atoms in shell III shift toward the edges of shell I in order to stabilize the longer/weaker bonds that arise from distortion of the icosahedron. As shown in ESI Table S4† the average degree that the atoms in shell III deviate away from the face are 1.91°, 2.06°, and 2.63° for −1, 0, and +1 charge states, respectively. As a consequence of the distortion of the underlying structure, atoms in shells II and IV bend out of the plane defined by the D2h point group.

Measurement of the dihedral angles shown in Fig. 4 allows quantification of deviation from the ideal point group. One
The absorption spectra of Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\) evolve notably across each charge state, suggesting changes in the underlying electronic structure after oxidation or reduction of Au\(_{25}(SR)_{18}\). The absorption peak around 680 nm (1.81 eV) is attributed to the transition from the 1P to 1D, and the peak between 450–470 nm (2.76–2.58 eV) has been attributed to the transition of the 1P to 1D,\(^{19}\) The transition at 380–400 nm (3.15–3.08 eV) is attributed to energy states arising from semi-ring structure into the 1D\(_{e}\) orbital. The energy transition from the 1P to 1D\(_{e}\) for the \(-1\), 0, and +1 are 1.78 eV, 1.81 eV, and 1.88 eV, respectively. For the 1P to 1D\(_{e}\) energy gaps of 2.76 eV, 2.68 eV, and 2.58 eV are observed for the \(-1\), 0 and +1, respectively. Finally the energy gap for ligand band to superatomic D orbital transition is 3.08 eV for \(-1\) and 0, and 3.15 eV for +1. These values are summarized in ESI Table S7.\(^{†}\)

The experimental and theoretical spectra of Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\) are previously reported.\(^{14,19,21,24}\) We improved the experimental spectra for each charge state by forming, isolating and redissolving X-ray quality single crystals of each charge state. We replot our data with previously reported spectroelectrochemical data in Fig. 5 as previously noted, the linear absorption spectrum changes substantially for each oxidation state. We correlate these changes here to changes in the structure of each oxidation state. Relative to Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\)\(^{-1}\) the 1P to 1D\(_{e}\) transition shows a slightly decreased energy gap of about 0.03 eV for Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\)\(^{0}\), while the energy of this transition increases for Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\)\(^{+1}\) by approximately 0.1 eV. The decrease in the HOMO–LUMO energy gap from Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\)\(^{-1}\) to Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\)\(^{0}\) is due to one of the 1P orbitals increasing in energy, but still being occupied by one electron, depicted in a qualitative energy level diagram in Fig. 3. With the removal of a second electron the splitting of the 1P orbitals becomes much greater than thermal energy, and the highest energy 1P orbital becomes unoccupied. The decrease in the energy gap of the 1P to 1D\(_{e}\) going from with increasing oxidation of Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\) can be attributed to the splitting of the superatomic D orbital degeneracy. This first-order Jahn–Teller distortion is reflected in the distortion from ideal symmetry in the crystal structures.\(^{19,26}\) We suggest that the increase in energy gap for the ligand band to 1D\(_{e}\) in
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**Optical/electronic properties of Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\)\(^{-1/0/+1}\)**

The absorption spectra of Au\(_{25}(PET)_{18}\) evolves notably across each charge state, suggesting changes in the underlying electronic structure after oxidation or reduction of Au\(_{25}(SR)_{18}\).
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**Fig. 4** Depicted above is the two planes used to measure the dihedral angles of the semi-rings. (A) shows the plane defined by the core and (B) shows the plane defined by the semi-ring. The plane on (B) is changed to incorporate the appropriate atom in the semi-ring and is measured on both sides.

**Fig. 5** The linear absorption spectra of Au\(_{25}(SR)_{18}\)\(^{-1/0/+1}\). The spectra are normalized and offset for clarity.
$\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}^{+1}$ arises from the electron deficient core pulling electron density from the ligand shell. This is supported by the shorter average bond lengths between sulfur and shell 1 Au atoms in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}^{+1}$.

Theoretical optical absorption spectra (Fig. S9†) show a qualitative agreement with the experimental data, particularly showing the systematic blue shift of the first absorption peak as the oxidation state increases from $-1$ to $+1$. We calculated the spectrum of $+2$ state in the experimental configuration of $+1$. The spectrum is significantly different from $+1$ spectrum at low excitation energies and confirms that $+2$ clusters are not impurities in the solution of $+1$.

**Magnetic properties of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}^{-1/0/+1}$**

We report the first investigation of magnetism in the $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}^{-1/0/+1}$ cluster by Superconducting Quantum Interference Device (SQUID). Relative to NMR and EPR approaches, SQUID incorporates greater sensitivity, allowing observation of smaller molecular magnetic susceptibilities ($\chi_m$). SQUID measures the total susceptibility of a sample whereas previous studies were limited to paramagnetic susceptibility. Subtraction of the diamagnetic contribution from the total susceptibility of a sample whereas previous studies were limited to paramagnetic susceptibility. Subtraction of the diamagnetic contribution from $\chi_m$ allows determination of the paramagnetic susceptibility ($\chi_p$). $\chi_p$ can be used for the comparison of a magnetic moment to that of a free electron. The diamagnetic susceptibilities were approximated from Pascal's diamagnetic corrections.

To determine the charge dependent magnetic behavior of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$, temperature was ramped from 4 K to 300 K under a magnetic field of 0.1 Tesla. In this regime, paramagnetic substances show a response that is inversely proportional to the temperature, and diamagnetic substances show a temperature-independent response. Fig. 6 shows the $\chi_p$ vs. temperature. We conclude that $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$ in $-1$ and $+1$ oxidation states is almost ideally diamagnetic. This observation agrees with the computational prediction for the spin-singlet ground state of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}^{+}$ (the spin-triplet state is predicted to be +0.39 eV higher in energy). Deviations from ideal behavior are reflected in a very small paramagnetic-type response, observable only at very low temperatures for $-1$ and $+1$. Conversely, $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$ as a neutral compound produces a nearly ideal paramagnetic response that could be observed up to 300 K. The paramagnetic susceptibilities found from SQUID for $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}^{-1/0/+1}$ correspond to 0.01, 1.07, and 0.03 unpaired electrons, respectively.

These values assume ideal paramagnetic behavior, where magnetic anisotropy or magnetic coupling violate the assumption. To determine magnetic anisotropy, measurements were made at low temperatures (2–32 K) and large magnetic fields (1–5 T). Under these conditions, the unpaired electrons within a paramagnetic substance all align with the external field and response is expected to fit to the Brillouin function of magnetism. The SQUID data for $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$ fits the Brillouin function for a spin value of 1/2 and a g-factor of 2.16, as shown in Fig. S5. Minimal magnetic anisotropy is thus suggested. Here, the g-factor value indicates spin-orbit coupling, similar to a previous conclusion for this system.

**Long range order and packing of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}\text{PF}_6$**

The high-quality of the two reported crystal structures prompts the first complete analysis of molecular packing interactions in single-crystals of thiolate protected gold. Indeed, clusters with ligand shells comprised of aromatic ligands such as PET and pMBA account for most crystal structures of ligated gold nanoparticles. In the case of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}^{-1/0/+1}$, there are substantial differences in the ligand shell structure in the solid state for each charge state. These differences in the ligand layer do not appear to be propagations of the changes in the
inorganic core due to charge state; rather, the differences in the ligand layer of Au_{25}(SR)_{18}^{1/+1} arise from different inter- and intra-molecular ligand–ligand interactions, ligand–counterion interactions, and ligand–solvent interactions (Fig. 7).

The high quality of the Au_{25}(SR)_{18}^{1/+1} structure reported here allows a careful analysis of the role of phenylethane thiolate ligands in the packing of Au_{25}(PET)_{18}^{1/+1} into single crystals. To our knowledge, no similar analysis has been previously reported; the interactions described here, however, appear to be ubiquitous among PET protected AuNC structures.\[^{13,14,26,33–35}\]

The importance of this analysis is due to the ligand shell of thiolate protected gold nanoparticles largely determining the interaction of the cluster with its external environment, for instance, in biological contexts.\[^{36,37}\]

Due to the imposed inversion symmetry of the \(P\bar{1}\) (bar) space group, there are nine crystallographically independent PET ligands found on the cluster surface (Fig. 1) located in three crystallographically independent semirings (S–Au–S–Au–S units) shown in Fig. S1 and S2.\[^{†}\] Table 1 summarizes the dominant intra- and inter-molecular interactions of each of the nine symmetry-unique ligands in the Au_{25}(SR)_{18}^{1/+1} crystal structure.

Each ligand adopts either anti or gauche conformation on the cluster surface, corresponding to an \(\text{S}–\text{CH}_2–\text{CH}_2–\text{Ph}\) torsion angle of \(~180°\) or \(~60°\), respectively (Table 1, Scheme S1, Fig. S1\[^{†}\]). Four of the five gauche ligands (PET1, PET6, PET7 and PET9) fold over the semiring to which they are bonded and form cation–aromatic interactions with the Au\(^{1}\) atom in the semiring. Specifically, Au\(^{1}–\pi\) interactions are observed, with average distance of 3.43 Å (Fig. S3\[^{†}\]). A fifth gauche ligand (PET3) does not form cation–phenyl interaction with the Au\(^{1}\) atom in the unit. Instead it coordinates to the PF\(_6^–\) counter anion and DCM solvent molecule that sit above the corresponding Au\(^{1}\) atom (Au3), preventing the Au\(^{1}–\pi\) interactions observed for other gauche ligands.

The remaining four crystallographically independent ligands (PET2, PET4, PET5 and PET8) form inter-cluster CH–S, CH–Ph and Ph–Ph interactions with the ligands of adjacent Au_{25} clusters. In addition, these ligands form intermolecular Ph–F, Ph–Cl and CH–F interactions with the PF\(_6^–\) anions or DCM solvent molecules within the crystal lattice.

We observe three structural motifs that underlie the intermolecular interactions among adjacent Au_{25}(PET)_{18}^{1/+1} clusters. A packing diagram for the crystalline arrangement of clusters is shown in Fig. S3.\[^{†}\] The three motifs that mediate this assembly are: (1) phenyl–phenyl’ squares (where the ’ denotes a phenyl ring from a neighboring cluster); (2) an extended \(\pi\)-interaction network involving 6 ligands; (3) halogen mediated interactions of PET–PF\(_6^–\)–DCM–PET construction. An example of each of these interactions is shown in Fig. 7.

In the phenyl–phenyl’ square assembly, PET9 ligands interact with the respective ligands of the neighboring Au_{25}
cluster by forming π⋯π and CH⋯π inter-cluster interactions (Fig. 7, panel A). The sides of the square are composed of parallel displaced opposite facing PET9 ligands forming both π⋯π (3.34 Å) and CH⋯π (2.69 Å) interactions. The other two sides of the square assembly are defined by PET4 ligands, which form a perpendicular edge-to-face π⋯π (2.84 Å) interaction with the respective PET9 ligand. A second neighboring Au25 cluster additionally interacts with PET4 ligand from the opposite side by forming tilted edge-to-face π⋯π (2.81 Å) interactions with PET5 and PET6 ligands and CH⋯π (2.85 Å) interaction with PET7 ligand. Fig. 7 panel A illustrates this assemblage.

The extended π-interaction network is nucleated by three PET ligands (PET2, PET5 and PET8) in the anti-conformation, which are located at the S2–S5–S8 intersection of the three separate semirings (Fig. S2†). These ligands form intermolecular interactions with one another and also interact with the ligands of two neighboring Au25 clusters, and also with the PF6 anion and DCM solvent molecule (Fig. 7, panel B, DCM solvent not shown). PET2 and PET5 coordinate to one of the adjacent Au25 clusters, forming tilted edge-to-face and edge-to-edge π⋯π (2.80 and 2.38 Å) interactions with the neighboring PET6 and PET9 ligands, respectively. In addition to the aromatic interaction, the PET5 ligand quite interestingly also forms PhH⋯S (2.92 Å) interaction with the sulfur atom of the neighboring PET9 ligand. The PET8 ligand of the nucleating cluster, on the other hand, connects to a second neighboring Au25 cluster by forming perpendicular edge-to-face π⋯π (2.83 Å) interaction with its PET1 ligand. The space between the two neighboring Au25 clusters is occupied by the DCM–PF6–PF6–DCM complex (vide infra) and in addition to the prevailing aromatic inter-cluster interactions, PET2 ligand is also available to form π⋯H–C (2.90 Å) and PhH⋯F (2.60 Å) interactions with the solvent DCM and PF6 anion, respectively.

The voids in the distorted simple cubic lattice formed by Au25(PET)18 nuclei in the single crystal are occupied by a DCM–PF6–PF6–DCM complex that not only fills the available space, but also coordinates to the neighboring PET ligands (PET1, PET2, PET3 and PET9) forming directional aromatic–halide and aromatic–CH weak inter-cluster interactions (Fig. 7, panel C). As such, one Au25 cluster is surrounded by total of six DCM–PF6–PF6–DCM complexes in the crystal lattice. Due to the directional halide–halide and aromatic–halide intermolecular interactions offered by the DCM–PF6–PF6–DCM, the complex fills almost perfectly the space between the Au25 clusters in the crystal lattice. This seems to have a strong effect on the crystal packing arrangement and gives an extremely good quality crystal structure which is also seen as the lack of disorder in the ligand layer. A more in depth discussion of these interactions is found in the ESL†

Conclusions

The determination of the crystal structures of Au25(PET)18 in three discrete charge states allows for the first time a comparison of electronic and magnetic differences of all three stable charge states of Au25(SR)18 in the context of their structure. The Jahn–Teller effect is a convenient structural framework to describe the evolution of structure as oxidation state changes. Au25(PET)18 has a noble gas-like configuration (1S21P0) underlying its diamagnetism and comparatively high thermal stability. Comparatively, Au25(PET)18+ with 1S21P1 superatom electron configuration is paramagnetic arising from an unpaired 1P electron. When incomplete, the superatomic 1P becomes non-degenerate, which is reflected in the structure of the cluster becoming oblate relative to the anion. Oxidation to Au25(PET)18+ (1S21P0) results in larger distortions to the cluster than are observed in either of the other charge states. The electronic distortion results in an unoccupied P orbital in Au25(PET)18+, rendering it diamagnetic. Here we show for the first time that Jahn–Teller effects apply to thiolate protected gold clusters. The superatomic driven distortions are primarily observed in the 13 gold atoms of shell I, with subsequent shells reflecting smaller distortions. A Jahn–Teller effect for Au25X(SR)18 where X = Pd or Pt was recently reported by another group, based on spectroscopic evidence, while this paper was under revision.29
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