



The synthesis and electrical properties of hybrid gel electrolytes derived from Keggin-type heteropoly acids and 3-(pyridin-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate (PyPs)[†]

Sumaletha Narayanan, Xia Tong and Venkataraman Thangadurai*

Herein, we report the effect of the proton concentration in polyoxometalates (POMs) upon hybrid formation with ionic liquids (ILs), and their ionic conductivity relationship to optimize their ionic conductivity. The hybrid gels were derived from Keggin-type heteropoly acids containing different proton concentrations, such as $H_3PW_{11}MoO_{40}$, $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ and $H_5PMo_{10}V_2O_{40}$, and 3-(pyridin-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate (PyPs) IL. Elemental C, H, and N analysis was found to be consistent with the theoretical composition within 4% for C and N, whereas H content was found to be slightly higher than the anticipated value, which may be due to potential uptake of water during the sample preparation. 1H and ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) confirmed the presence of functional groups of PyPs in the hybrids. *In situ* variable temperature powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), electrochemical AC impedance spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry studies showed excellent thermal (up to ~ 300 °C) and electrochemical (3 V at room temperature) stability of $[PyPs]_3PW_{11}MoO_{40}$. The structural characterizations confirmed the interaction between the organic cation and Keggin-type inorganic heteropoly anion in the hybrid material. The bulk ionic conductivity of 0.1, 0.01 and 0.0003 S cm⁻¹ at ~ 90 °C was obtained for $[PyPs]_3PW_{11}MoO_{40}$, $[PyPs]_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ and $[PyPs]_5PMo_{10}V_2O_{40}$, respectively.

Received 15th September 2016

Accepted 12th October 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra23082b

www.rsc.org/advances

1. Introduction

A lot of effort has been made recently to prepare hierarchical structured materials for alternative electrochemical energy storage (e.g., for batteries and capacitors) and conversion (e.g., for fuel cells) technologies.^{1–3} These hierarchical materials are prepared by soft-chemical synthesis methods and they exhibit superior physical and chemical properties.^{1–6} For example, a nanosheet array of $NiMoO_4$ prepared by a hydrothermal method showed a specific capacity of 1483 F g⁻¹ and a nano-pyramid array of metallic CoS_2 synthesized by a one-step solvothermal method showed a low over-potential of 0.14 V for H_2 evolution under acidic conditions.^{4,5} Hybrid polyoxometalates (POMs) and ionic liquids (ILs) have drawn wide attention in material research due to their applications in catalysis, medicine, and electrochemical energy conversion and storage devices.^{7–12} POMs are anionic metal oxides derived from transition metal oxides, which have a robust structure and exhibit very useful functional physical and chemical properties,

including electrical, magnetic, electrochemical and photonic properties.¹³ ILs are low melting point salts, which are typically comprised of organic cations and inorganic or organic anions possessing very low vapour pressure, non-flammability, high ionic conductivity, and high chemical, thermal and electrochemical stability windows.^{14–16} These unique properties of POMs and ILs are combined in their respective hybrid compounds, which ensure the tunability of desired physical and chemical properties by varying the transition metal cations in the former case, and organic cations and anions in the latter case. The POM-based IL salts offer several advantages, such as being solvent-free, possessing residual acidity and good thermal stability, and having much higher ionic conductivity than the corresponding anhydrous solid analogues. They also exhibit a low vapor pressure, which is beneficial for high-temperature (above 100 °C) fuel cells and catalytic applications. The commonly used organic cations are substituted imidazolium, pyridinium, pyrrolidinium, and alkyl ammonium and phosphonium cations, and anions include tetrafluoroborate, hexafluorophosphates and bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide.^{17–21} Various hybrid gels were developed using POMs and ILs such as *N*-methyl imidazolium-1-(3-sulfonic group) propyl (MIMPS), 3-(pyridine-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate (PyPs), 1-(3-sulfonic group) triethylamine (TEAPS) and *N*-propyl-*N*-methylpiperidinium

Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 1N4 Canada. E-mail: vthangad@ucalgary.ca; Tel: +1 403 210 8649

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra23082b



hexafluorophosphate.^{22–30} Several heteropoly acids (HPAs) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and polyethylene glycol (PEG) hybrids were also recently reported.²⁸

Herein, we report the synthesis and structural, chemical and electrochemical characterization of hybrid gel electrolytes based on recently developed 3-(pyridin-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate (PyPs)²⁴ IL and H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀, H₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀ and H₅PMo₁₁V₂O₄₀ for potential electrochemical energy conversion and storage applications. The investigated POMs-ILs hybrid materials were found to be thermally stable to about 300 °C. Hybrid [PyPs]₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ showed the highest electrical conductivity of 0.1 S cm^{−1} at ~90 °C in ambient conditions, which is comparable to the proton conductivity of Nafion under high relative humidity, and an electrochemical stability window of ~3 V at room temperature.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of solid acid H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ (H₃PWMo)

Heteropoly acid (HPA) or polyoxometalate (POM) of the nominal chemical composition H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ was synthesized according to a literature method.²² Na₂MoO₄ (0.01 mol) (BDH, 99.5%) was dissolved in 20 ml of deionized water. Na₂WO₄·2H₂O (0.1 mol) (Sigma Aldrich, 99%) was mixed with 0.02 mol of Na₃PO₄·12H₂O (Fisher Scientific, 100%) and dissolved in 100 ml of deionized water and was added to the sodium molybdate solution. Dilute H₂SO₄ (1 : 1) was used to adjust pH of the mixture to ~2.5 and was then heated at 90 °C for 2 h. It was allowed to cool down to room temperature and the solution was extracted using ether (50 ml) and dilute H₂SO₄ (1 : 1) prior to drying in a vacuum oven at 50 °C for 24 h to obtain the solid product.

2.2 Synthesis of solid acid H₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀ (H₄PMoV)

0.01 mol of NaVO₃ (BDH, 98%) was dissolved in 20 ml of deionized water. 80 ml of Na₂MoO₄ (0.1 mol) (BDH, 99.5%) was

mixed with 20 ml of Na₃PO₄·12H₂O (0.01 mol) (Fisher Scientific, 100%) solution. The rest of the steps were the same as mentioned for the H₃PWMo synthesis.

2.3 Synthesis of solid acid H₅PMo₁₀V₂O₄₀ (H₅PMoV)


0.02 mol of NaVO₃ (BDH, 98%) was dissolved in 20 ml of deionized water. Na₂MoO₄ (0.01 mol) (BDH, 99.5%) was mixed with 0.01 mol of Na₃PO₄·12H₂O (Fisher Scientific, 100%) and dissolved in 100 ml of deionized water. The rest of the steps were the same as mentioned for H₃PWMo synthesis.

2.4 Synthesis of PyPs ionic liquid

Synthesis of ionic liquid, 3-(pyridine-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate (PyPs) was carried out as per a procedure reported elsewhere.²⁹ As received, 4.4 ml of 1,2-oxathiolane 2,2-dioxide (Alfa Aesar, 99%) was added to 50 ml of acetone. 4.0 ml pyridine (Alfa Aesar, 99%) was added to this solution followed by continuous heating at 50 °C for 4 h with stirring. A white precipitate was formed which was filtered, washed with ether and dried in vacuum.

2.5 Synthesis of hybrid gels

PyPs (3-(pyridine-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate) and POM hybrid gel electrolytes were synthesized by taking 3 : 1, 4 : 1, and 5 : 1 mole ratios of PyPs and H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀, H₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀, and H₅PMo₁₀V₂O₄₀, respectively. PyPs was added to an aqueous solution of the heteropoly acids, H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀, H₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀, and H₅PMo₁₀V₂O₄₀ with constant stirring for overnight at room temperature. Water was removed from the solution by vacuum drying to get the desired hybrid gel products [PyPs]₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ (PyPs-H₃PWMo), [PyPs]₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀ (PyPs-H₄PMoV) and [PyPs]₅PMo₁₀V₂O₄₀ (PyPs-H₅PMoV). Schematic of the formation of hybrid gel electrolytes starting from PyPs and POMs is shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of POMs-based IL hybrid gel electrolytes.



2.6 Characterization

A PerkinElmer Series II C H N S/O Analyzer 2400 was used for the CHN analysis to understand the composition of PyPs and PyPs-POM hybrids. The purity of these compounds was checked by employing ^1H and ^{13}C NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) (Bruker RDQ 400 NMR spectrometer) spectroscopy. The Fourier transform infrared spectra of the samples were recorded by employing a Varian 7000 FTIR spectrometer in the 600–2000 cm^{-1} range using KBr standard. The structural analysis was carried out using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and a Bruker D8 Advance powder X-ray diffractometer (Cu- $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation; 40 mA; 40 kV) was used for this purpose. The scanning was carried out at a $0.02^\circ \text{ s}^{-1}$ rate from $2\theta = 2$ to 40° at room temperature. The variable temperature (30 – 120°C) PXRD was also done using an Anton Par XRK 900 high temperature reactor chamber. The samples were held for 30 minutes at each temperature to ensure thermal equilibrium. A thermogravimetric analyzer (Setaram TAG 16 TGA/DSC dual chamber balance) was used to study the thermal stability of the samples under N_2 gas up to 650°C at a $10^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ heating rate. The electrical conductivity measurements were done using a Solartron SI 1260 impedance and gain-phase analyzer in the frequency range of 0.01 Hz to 1 MHz (AC amplitude 100 mV) in air by heating the sample from room temperature to 100°C . A VersaSTAT 3 potentiostat was employed for the cyclic voltammetry using a 3-electrode sample set up under N_2 atmosphere. Pt electrodes were used as the working (WE) and counter electrodes (CE), and Ag/AgCl was used as the reference electrode (RE).¹⁶

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Phase analysis

Fig. S1† shows the photographs of heteropoly acid, $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ and its derivative hybrid PyPs– H_4PMoV compound at room

temperature. The freshly made product was a dark green transparent semisolid at room temperature. PyPs– H_4PMoV turned to liquid by heating it to nearly 80°C where it exhibited thermotropic liquid crystal behaviour. The melting point of the hybrid compounds can be related to the coulombic attraction (E_c) between the constituent ions, and is described by eqn (1):

$$E_c = \frac{MZ^+Z^-}{r^2} \quad (1)$$

where M is the Coulomb's law constant, Z^+ and Z^- are signed magnitudes of cations and anions charges, and r is the inter-ionic separation. For larger ions possessing lower ionic charge, the formation of low melting salts is favoured. This is because the larger size enables the effective delocalization of the charge as well as enhances the charge separation. Rickert *et al.* proposed that the ionic salts made from a polyoxometalate anion and an organic cation will have a lower melting point when the POM has a lower charge.³⁰

3.2 Composition analysis

CHN analysis results of the as-prepared PyPs (IL), and all its hybrid compounds, PyPs– H_3PWMo , PyPs– H_4PMoV , and PyPs– H_5PMoV are summarized in Table S1 (see the ESI†). The C, H, and N results were found to be consistent with the theoretical composition within 4%, and the discrepancy in the H percentage might be due to the uncertainty in quantifying the hybrids water content. Scheme 1 shows the idealized synthesis steps of the POMs-based IL hybrid gel electrolytes.

The functional groups of PyPs and the hybrid POMs–ILs were further proven by NMR analyses (Fig. 1). ^1H NMR results show the chemical shift (ppm) values corresponding to the structure PyPs: 2.46 (p, 2H), 2.98 (t, 2H), 4.77 (t, 2H), 8.08 (t, 2H), 8.56 (t, 1H), 8.88 (d, 2H); PyPs– H_3PWMo : 2.47 (s, 2H), 2.98 (m, 3H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, 2H), 8.60 (s, 1H), 8.89 (s, 2H); PyPs– H_4PMoV :




Fig. 1 (a) ^1H NMR and (b) ^{13}C NMR of PyPs, PyPs– H_3PWMo , PyPs– H_4PMoV , and PyPs– H_5PMoV . The chemical shift values are represented against TMS dissolved in D_2O .



2.44 (m, 2H), 2.96 (t, 2H), 4.76 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 2H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.86 (d, 2H); and PyPs-H₅PMoV: 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.96 (t, 2H), 4.77 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 2H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.87 (d, 2H). The corresponding ¹³C NMR chemical shift (ppm) results are also consistent with the ¹H NMR results. The ¹³C NMR chemical shift values are for PyPs: 26.20, 47.12, 59.98, 128.48, 144.47, 145.99; PyPs-H₃PWMO: 26.33, 47.22, 60.14, 128.79, 144.42, 146.26; PyPs-H₄PMoV: 26.19, 47.09, 59.96, 128.50, 144.42, 146.01; and PyPs-H₅PMoV: 26.23, 47.13, 60.01, 128.45, 144.42, 146.03. Fig. 1 summarizes the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR results with a comparison plot of both PyPs and the hybrid IL-POMs.

3.3 FTIR analysis

The FTIR spectra were used to identify structural and bonding changes in the polyoxoanion units present in POM-based materials. Fig. 2 presents the FTIR spectra of the hybrids PyPs-

H₃PWMO, PyPs-H₄PMoV and PyPs-H₅PMoV and their solid acid precursors. In the FTIR spectra, there are four characteristic vibrational bands resulting from the α -Keggin-type heteropolyanion (for *e.g.*, PM₁₂O₄₀³⁻ where M = W, Mo, V), ν (P-O_a), ν (M-O_d), ν (M-O_b-M), and ν (M-O_c-M) appearing in the region between 700 and 1100 cm⁻¹ (O_d-terminal oxygen, O_b-bridged oxygen of two octahedra sharing a corner, and O_c-bridged oxygen of two octahedra sharing an edge). The FTIR spectra of POM-ILs were found to be identical to that of corresponding pristine solid acids, which also showed splitting of the P-O_a stretching, M-O_d stretching, stretching of M-O_b-M inter bridges between corner-sharing MO₆ octahedral and stretching of M-O_c-M intra bridges between the edge-sharing MO₆ octahedral. In the IR spectra of the hybrid materials, those four well-known characteristic bands prove the presence of the core HPA clusters. The decrease in electrostatic anion-anion interactions leads to an increase in the stretching and bending vibrational frequencies. For heteropoly acid H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ and its hybrid material PyPs-H₃PWMO, M-O_b-M and M-O_c-M asymmetrical stretching vibration were blue-shifted, and the wavenumber increased from 887 and 820 for H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ to 914 and 855 cm⁻¹ for PyPs-H₃PWMO. There are also three characteristic peaks at 1221, 1185 cm⁻¹ (S=O) and 1491 cm⁻¹ (pyridine ring), indicating that [α -PW₁₁MoO₄₀]³⁻ had been successfully immobilized on the ionic liquid (PyPs)-modified compound.¹⁷ A table summarizing the major vibration bands is given in Table 1.

3.4 Powder XRD phase analysis

Fig. 3a shows the PXRD patterns of POM-ILs and their pristine solid acids, which reveal their crystalline and amorphous states. The Keggin-type of the polyoxoanions exhibited typical peaks in the range of $2\theta = 7\text{--}11^\circ$ in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern.³¹ These peaks in this range were also observed in the XRD patterns for all three hybrid materials. From these observations, it is clear that the hybrid materials were successfully prepared. However, the XRD peaks of the POM-ILs were significantly different from that of the pristine acids. The PyPs-POM hybrids had two broad diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 7\text{--}10^\circ$ and $15\text{--}40^\circ$, which showed a smectic phase that was consistent with the liquid-state crystalline nature of the samples.³² Fig. S2a (see ESI†) presents the HTXRD patterns of the PyPs-H₃PWMO at different temperatures. This shows the inter-planar spacing d (Å) of the IL-PMo₁₁V at $2\theta \approx 9^\circ$ versus temperature. The d value was almost stable from room temperature to 70 °C. Upon increasing the temperature above 70 °C, the absorbed




Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of heteropoly acids H₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀ (H₃PWMO), H₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀ (H₄PMoV) and H₅PMo₁₁V₂O₄₀ (H₅PMoV) and their IL-hybrids PyPs-H₃PWMO, PyPs-H₄PMoV, and PyPs-H₅PMoV.

Table 1 FTIR Bands of IL-H₃PWMO, IL-H₄PMoV and IL-H₅PMoV and their precursors

Wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)						
H ₃ PWMO	PyPs-H ₃ PWMO	H ₄ PMoV	PyPs-H ₄ PMoV	H ₅ PMoV	PyPs-H ₅ PMoV	Vibrations
1077	1098	1064	—	—	—	ν (P-O _a)
980	1001	959	942	971	967	ν (M-O _d)
887	914	871	888	859	862	ν (M-O _b -M)
820	855	787	825	—	—	ν (M-O _c -M)






Fig. 3 PXRD patterns of (a) PyPs, heteropoly acids $\text{H}_3\text{PW}_{11}\text{MoO}_{40}$ (H_3PWMo), $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ (H_4PMoV) and $\text{H}_5\text{PMo}_{10}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{40}$ (H_5PMoV) and their PyPs-POM hybrids (PyPs- H_3PWMo , PyPs- H_4PMoV , and PyPs- H_5PMoV) and (b) PyPs- H_3PWMo in the small angle region.




Fig. 4 The schematic illustration of the crystal structure of the POM-IL hybrid gel electrolyte.

water loss appeared to be responsible for the decreased interplanar d value. A similar trend was observed for PyPs- H_4PMoV hybrid and is shown in Fig. S2b (see the ESI[†]). Fig. 3b presents the PXRD pattern of PyPs- H_3PWMo in the small angle region. Even though the intensities of peaks at $2\theta = 3-5^\circ$ were much weaker than the peak at $2\theta \approx 9^\circ$, they still could be observed. The diffraction patterns contain the fundamental reflection in the small-angle region, which may be due to the regular arrangement of molecules in layers.²² As a result, we can speculate that at first the molecules are organized into spheroidal aggregates, which have a core-shell structure, where each polyoxoanion is surrounded by PyPs cations due to electrostatic interactions between polyoxoanion and PyPs cation. Subsequently, IL-polyoxoanion aggregates may be regularly arranged in layers through the organic IL moieties. The schematic of the idealized crystal structure of the POM-IL hybrid gel electrolyte is shown in Fig. 4.

3.5 Thermal analysis

Fig. 5 shows the TGA of the HPAs and their derivative hybrid materials (POMs-IL). As expected, there was significant weight

loss observed for the IL-hybrids compared to the corresponding HPAs. H_4PMoV and its IL derivative (PyPs- H_4PMoV) showed the initial low temperature weight loss of 3.8 wt% for H_4PMoV and 3.4 wt% for PyPs- H_4PMoV due to 4 and 5 moles of water, respectively. For the PyPs- H_4PMoV salt, the weight loss beginning at 250 °C is primarily due to the decomposition of PyPs organic moieties, indicating that the material is stable below 250 °C. The huge weight loss of PyPs- H_4PMoV was nearly 27% and occurred between 250 °C and 650 °C and corresponds to the proportion of PyPs contained in the PyPs- H_4PMoV (30 wt%). The TGA of PyPs, which is provided as an inset, also shows the decomposition after 250 °C. The TGA curves showed the presence of water in the IL-POMs hybrid samples; hence, the potential uptake of water might have occurred during the sample preparation, which is consistent with the result of C, H, and N elemental analysis. Similar observation was found for H_3PWMo and its hybrid with lower weight loss as compared to that of H_4PMoV .

3.6 Electrical properties

Fig. 6 shows typical impedance spectra for the PyPs-POM hybrid, PyPs- H_3PWMo at different temperatures in air (more






Fig. 5 The TGA of heteropoly acids $\text{H}_3\text{PW}_{11}\text{MoO}_{40}$ (H_3PMo) and $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ (H_4PMoV), their PyPs-POM hybrids $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_3\text{PMo}$, and $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_4\text{PMoV}$ and PyPs, which is shown in the inset.

impedance spectra of all the hybrids and the fitting results are provided in the ESI Fig. S3 and Table S2†. The resistance was found to decrease with the increase in temperature in all the cases. The conductivity, estimated from the low-frequency intercept to real axis, of the materials were calculated to be ~ 0.1 , 0.01 and 0.0003 Scm^{-1} for $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_3\text{PMo}$, $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_4\text{PMoV}$, and $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_5\text{PMoV}$, respectively, at around 90°C . The Arrhenius plots for the bulk conductivity (σ) of PyPs-POM hybrids are presented in Fig. 7 and were determined according to eqn (2):

$$\sigma T = A \exp\left(\frac{-E_a}{kT}\right) \quad (2)$$

where T is the temperature, A is the pre-exponential factor, E_a is the activation energy and k is Boltzmann's constant. The conductivity of hybrid materials increased with increasing temperature. The conductivity sequence of the hybrid materials was in the ascending order *i.e.*, $[\text{PyPs}]_5\text{PMo}_{10}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{40} < [\text{PyPs}]_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40} < [\text{PyPs}]_3\text{PW}_{11}\text{MoO}_{40}$. It has been suggested that larger radius of W^{6+} increases the molecular volume of $[\text{PyPs}]_3\text{PW}_{11}\text{MoO}_{40}$ compared to corresponding V based hybrids such as $[\text{PyPs}]_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ and $[\text{PyPs}]_5\text{PMo}_{10}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{40}$.²⁶ The lattice potential energy (U_{POT}) and molecular volume (V_m) are related, *i.e.*, (eqn (3)):³³

$$U_{\text{POT}}/\text{kJ mol}^{-1} = 2\left(\frac{117.3}{V_m^{1/3}} + 51.9\right) \quad (3)$$

The coulombic interaction between counter ions in the former case is lower than that in latter case, which resulted in higher conductivity for W containing $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_3\text{PMo}$ than that of V containing $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_4\text{PMoV}$, and $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_5\text{PMoV}$. However, in the case of *N*-methyl imidazolium-1-(3-sulfonic group) propyl




Fig. 6 Typical ac impedance spectra of PyPs-POM hybrid, $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_3\text{PMo}$ at (a) 23°C , and (b) 95°C measured in an air atmosphere. Impedance plots zoomed in the high frequency side is shown in the inset for clarity.

(MIMPS) IL based hybrids, an increased conductivity was observed with H_4PMoV compared to that of H_3PMo .²² This could be due to the variation in the ILs structure. The activation energy was found to be 0.45 , 0.73 and 1.16 eV for $[\text{PyPs}]_3\text{PW}_{11}\text{MoO}_{40}$, $[\text{PyPs}]_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ and $[\text{PyPs}]_5\text{PMo}_{10}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{40}$, respectively, in the investigated temperature range and it was found to be consistent with the observed trend for conductivity of the hybrid materials. Based on the higher activation energy ($>0.20 \text{ eV}$), it can be assumed that the proton conduction in these types of hybrids appears to be following a vehicle mechanism.³⁴ Cyclic voltammetry analysis was used to understand the electrochemical stability window (ESW) of $\text{PyPs}-\text{H}_3\text{PMo}$ at room temperature, and is shown along with electrical conductivity comparison of currently studied hybrids with other known promising proton conductors including Nafion^{22,35,36} (Fig. 8).






Fig. 7 Arrhenius plots for ionic conductivity for PyPs-POM gel electrolytes PyPs-H₃PWMo, PyPs-H₄PMoV, and PyPs-H₅PMoV.

The scanning was done at 10 mV s⁻¹ between -4 and +4 V using a 3-electrode set up employing Pt and Ag/AgCl electrodes. The hybrid gel seemed to show a ~3 V ESW, which is comparable to other IL-POM hybrids reported in the literature.^{22,37}




Fig. 8 Cyclic voltammetry of the PyPs-H₃PWMo hybrid gel electrolyte at room temperature between -4 to +4 V vs. Ag/AgCl with a 10 mV s⁻¹ scan rate (inset) and comparison of electrical conductivity of PyPs-H₃PWMo with other known promising proton conductors.^{16,29,30}

4. Conclusions

In summary, we successfully synthesized three POMs-based ionic liquid (IL) gels by adjusting the packing efficiency of the ions through introducing the ILs into polyoxoanions (POMs). IR spectra and XRD patterns confirmed interaction between the organic cation and inorganic heteropoly anion in the materials. The basic Keggin structure still remained in the hybrid materials, and TG analysis demonstrated that this kind of material is thermally stable up to ~250 °C. Bulk ionic conductivity values of 0.1, 0.01 and 0.0003 S cm⁻¹ at ~90 °C were obtained for [PyPs]₃PW₁₁MoO₄₀, [PyPs]₄PMo₁₁VO₄₀ and [PyPs]₃PMo₁₀V₂O₄₀, with activation energies of 0.45, 0.73 and 1.16 eV, respectively. Because of the promising electrochemical properties, these gel-like HPA and IL derived hybrid electrolytes have potential applications in electrochemical devices such as proton exchange membrane fuel cells.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported through Discovery Grants from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) (Grant Number: RGPIN-2016-03853). We thank NSERC for funding through the Research Tools and Instruments and Infrastructure grants (RTI) (cat. 1) and the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) for financial support. We thank Shahrukh Shamim for his help with experimental work. We also would like to thank Dr Todd Sutherland for providing access to the FTIR instrument and Jian Li for all his help with CHN, and NMR analysis.

References

- 1 J. Liang, Z. Fan, S. Chen, S. Ding and G. Yang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 4354–4360.
- 2 M.-H. Sun, S.-Z. Huang, L.-H. Chen, Y. Li, X.-Y. Yang, Z.-Y. Yuan and B.-L. Su, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 3479–3563.
- 3 Y. Li, Z.-Y. Fu and B.-L. Su, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 4634–4667.
- 4 Z. Huang, Z. Zhang, X. Qi, X. Ren, G. Xu, P. Wan, X. Sun and H. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 13273–13279.
- 5 H. Zhang, Y. Li, G. Zhang, T. Xu, P. Wan and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 6306–6310.
- 6 J. Hou, Y. Kuang, H. Shen, H. Cao, L. Luo, J. Liu, P. Wan, B. Chen, X. Sun and T. Tan, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 11136.
- 7 F. M. Toma, A. Sartorel, M. Iurlo, M. Carraro, P. Parisse, C. Maccato, S. Rapino, B. R. Gonzalez, H. Amenitsch, T. Da Ros, L. Casalis, A. Goldoni, M. Marcaccio, G. Scorrano, G. Scoles, F. Paolucci, M. Prato and M. Bonchio, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 826–831.
- 8 D. Mercier, S. Boujday, C. Annabi, R. Villanneau, C.-M. Pradier and A. Proust, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 13217–13224.
- 9 S.-Y. Lee, A. Ogawa, M. Kanno, H. Nakamoto, T. Yasuda and M. Watanabe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 9764–9773.

10 S. Murugesan, A. Akkineni, B. P. Chou, M. S. Glaz, D. A. Vanden Bout and K. J. Stevenson, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 8199–8205.

11 P. M. Bayley, G. H. Lane, N. M. Rocher, B. R. Clare, A. S. Best, D. R. MacFarlane and M. Forsyth, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 7202–7208.

12 A. P. Abbott and K. J. McKenzie, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 4265–4279.

13 P. Gómez-Romero, K. Cuentas-Gallegos, M. Lira-Cantú and N. Casañ-Pastor, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2005, **40**, 1423–1428.

14 A. Noda, K. Hayamizu and M. Watanabe, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 4603–4610.

15 J. Le Bideau, L. Viau and A. Vioux, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 907–925.

16 H. Xing, C. Liao, Q. Yang, G. M. Veith, B. Guo, X.-G. Sun, Q. Ren, Y.-S. Hu and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2099–2103.

17 X. Wu, X. Tong, Q. Wu, H. Ding and W. Yan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 5780–5784.

18 T. Huang, N. Tian, Q. Wu and W. Yan, *Soft Matter*, 2015, **11**, 4481–4486.

19 T. Huang, Z. Xie, Q. Wu and W. Yan, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2016, **660**, 17–22.

20 Y. Li, X. Wu, Q. Wu, H. Ding and W. Yan, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 12920–12926.

21 T. Huang, Z. Xie, Q. Wu and W. Yan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 3958–3963.

22 X. Tong and V. Thangadurai, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 7621–7630.

23 X. Wu, Y. Li, Q. Wu, H. Ding and W. Yan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 24598–24603.

24 Y. Leng, J. Wang, D. Zhu, X. Ren, H. Ge and L. Shen, *Angew. Chem.*, 2009, **121**, 174–177.

25 J. Xu, H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Z. Yang, B. Yu, H. Xu and Z. Liu, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 4931–4935.

26 X. Wu, T. Huang, X. Tong, Z. Xie, W. Chen, Q. Wu and W. Yan, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 21973–21977.

27 S. Murugesan, O. A. Quintero, B. P. Chou, P. Xiao, K. Park, J. W. Hall, R. A. Jones, G. Henkelman, J. B. Goodenough and K. J. Stevenson, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 2194–2201.

28 X. Tong, N. Tian, W. Wu, W. Zhu, Q. Wu, F. Cao, W. Yan and A. B. Yaroslavtsev, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 3258–3263.

29 Y. Leng, J. Wang, D. Zhu, X. Ren, H. Ge and L. Shen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 168–171.

30 P. G. Rickert, M. R. Antonio, M. A. Firestone, K.-A. Kubatko, T. Szreder, J. F. Wishart and M. L. Dietz, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 4685–4692.

31 M. Rostami, A. Khosropour, V. Mirkhani, M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad and I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2011, **397**, 27–34.

32 A. B. Bourlinos, K. Raman, R. Herrera, Q. Zhang, L. A. Archer and E. P. Giannelis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 15358–15359.

33 J. Luo, O. Conrad and I. F. J. Vankelecom, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 20574–20579.

34 K. Checkiewicz, G. Żukowska and W. Wieczorek, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 379–384.

35 Y.-I. Park and M. Nagai, *Solid State Ionics*, 2001, **145**, 149–160.

36 Y. Li, X. Wu, X. Tong, Q. Wu, H. Ding and W. Yan, *ECS Electrochem. Lett.*, 2014, **3**, H9–H11.

37 J. B. Rollins and J. C. Conboy, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2009, **156**, B943–B954.

