Structural and electronic determinants of flavonoid binding to human serum albumin: an extensive ligand-based study
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Flavonoids are ubiquitous plant metabolites that interfere with different biological processes in the human organism. After absorption they bind to human serum albumin (HSA), the most abundant carrier protein in the blood which also binds various hormones and drugs. Binding of flavonoids to HSA may impact their distribution, influencing the active concentration in the blood. To determine the most prominent features responsible for binding of 20 different flavonoid aglycones to the IIA region of HSA, in vitro fluorescence measurements and density functional theory (DFT) calculations were conducted. These results were then integrated to elucidate structure–affinity relationships. The presented results reveal that flavones and flavonones bind most strongly to the IIA region of HSA. There are several electronic and structural determinants associated with flavonoid binding to this HSA region: high C3 nucleophilicity and partial charge of O4, high HOMO and LUMO energies, and coplanarity of AC and B rings. Both steric and electronic characteristics of flavonoids have a great impact on their binding to HSA, with hydrogen donor and acceptor properties and coplanarity being the most prominent.

1. Introduction

Human serum albumin (HSA) is the most abundant protein in human plasma (60%, w/w), which structure has been determined crystallographically. It is a 585-residue monomeric protein comprised of three homologous domains (I–III), each of which is composed of two subdomains (A and B) (Fig. 1). These domains play a central role in binding of various endo- and exogenous compounds, particularly hydrophobic organic anions of medium size (100 to 600 Da), e.g. bilirubin, long-chain fatty acids, hematin, thyroxin. Many drugs bind to one of the two primary binding sites, located in subdomains IIA and IIIA (Sudlow sites I and II, respectively), with IIA being the most prominent one. The IIA subdomain appears to be spacious and is comprised of several individual binding sites which can accommodate ligands characterized by very different chemical structures. The IIIA site is smaller and less flexible and thus can accommodate only structurally similar ligands.

A large number of drugs characterized by narrow therapeutic windows, such as warfarin, amiodipine, various antiepileptic drugs etc. bind to HSA. It has been demonstrated that their binding significantly influences their distribution, free blood concentration and metabolism, primarily in kidney and liver patients. Apart from drugs, various other exogenous compounds bind to HSA as well, such as flavonoids. Flavonoids are a group of phenolic compounds, ubiquitous in fruits and vegetables. They have many salutary properties, among which antioxidative properties are best described. They also help to prevent lipid peroxidation and cardiovascular diseases. Once they reach circulation, most of these compounds bind in subdomain IIA of HSA, with flavanones being an

Fig. 1 Structure of HSA (PDB entry 1AO6).
exception.\textsuperscript{23} When flavonoid binds to the same site as a hormone, drug or even a toxin;\textsuperscript{24} the displaced compound’s free concentration in blood may increase. This can lead to changes in pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of the compound in question, such as enhanced pharmacological or adverse effects and its first elimination.

Binding of flavonoids to HSA has been extensively studied using different fluorescence spectroscopy techniques,\textsuperscript{19,20,23,25–30} circular dichroism,\textsuperscript{21,25,27,28,30} and molecular modeling.\textsuperscript{21,25–30} Binding of ligands in the IIA subdomain of HSA can be monitored by measuring the fluorescence intensity of the Trp 214 residue. Change in tryptophan fluorescence intensity is observed when a ligand binds to HSA in its vicinity. The observed change in fluorescence enables the calculation of HSA–ligand complexes stability constants and also the distance between the ligand and Trp 214.\textsuperscript{31}

The IIA subdomain of HSA is comprised of a neutral hydrophobic cavity and a positively charged hydrophilic part.\textsuperscript{2,32} At physiological pH, the B ring of flavonoids (Fig. 2) possess a partial negative charge, while chromene part (rings A and C) is hydrophobic, creating ideal conditions for flavonoid binding.\textsuperscript{33} This has been modeled by docking studies of flavone luteolin,\textsuperscript{27} flavonol quercetin,\textsuperscript{21} and isoflavone daidzein.\textsuperscript{25,26,34} These studies only included few structurally similar flavonoids, so general structure–affinity relationship of HSA–flavonoid binding have not yet been determined.

To determine a common structure–affinity relationship of HSA–flavonoid binding 20 flavonoid aglycones were chosen in this study. \textit{In vitro} binding constants of these compounds were determined using fluorescence spectrophotometry and their geometries and electronic properties were calculated at the density functional theory (DFT) level of theory. B3LYP model was used to determine electronic and structural features of flavonoids that may influence their binding to the IIA subdomain and effects of solvation have been evaluated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the most extensive study of HSA–flavonoid binding. Significance of obtained results is more accentuated by the fact that in the recent years flavonoid scaffolds have been recognized as privileged scaffolds in drug discovery.\textsuperscript{35,36}

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Fatty acid free HSA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA (purity \(\geq 96\%\)). Flavonoids were obtained from Extrasynthese, France (chrysin dimethylether, diosmetin, fisetin, formononetin, genistein, pinocembrin-7-methylether, prunetin, sakuranetin, and tamarixetin), ChromaDex, USA (3,6-dihydroxyflavone, 3,7-dihydroxyflavone, 6-hydroxyflavone, 7-hydroxyflavone, and flavone), Sigma-Aldrich, USA (apigenin, chrysin, flavone, galangin, and quercetin), and BioChemika, Switzerland (rhamnetin). All flavonoid standards had a specified purity of \(\geq 98\%\).

2.2. \textit{In vitro} fluorescence measurements

Albumin was dissolved daily before measurements in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (137 mM sodium chloride, 2.7 mM potassium chloride, 8.1 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate, 1.47 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate).\textsuperscript{37} Flavonoids were dissolved in DMSO. A series of solutions were made for each HSA–flavonoid combination: the concentration of HSA was held constant at 1 \(\mu\)M and the flavonoid concentration ranged 0.03–10 \(\mu\)M. In all experiments the maximal DMSO concentration was 4% v/v. The effect of DMSO as a co-solvent was annulled by adding a small aliquot to a pure HSA solution.

Steady-state fluorescence spectra were recorded on the OLIS RSM 1000F spectrofluorimeter (Olis Inc., Bogart, GA, USA) equipped with a thermostated cell holder at 25 °C. Hellma Analytics 105.253-QS fluorescence cells with a light path of 10 × 2 mm (excitation × emission) were used. Excitation wavelength was 280 nm (albumin absorption maximum) and the emission spectra were recorded in the range 310–370 nm, where only HSA has fluorescent properties, with the observed maximum at 340 nm. All studies were performed in duplicate at 25 °C using 1.24 mm excitation and emission slit widths. All solutions were analyzed after 2 hours incubation period.

In the studied wavelength range flavonoids absorb light as well. Based on the molar absorbance coefficients at 280 and 340 nm, the inner filter effect cannot be neglected.\textsuperscript{38} The observed fluorescence, \(F_{\text{obs}}\) has been corrected to \(F_{\text{corr}}\) according to eqn (1), where \(A_{\text{abs}} = \varepsilon \times c \times l\) is absorbance at the excitation wavelength (\(c\) is flavonoid concentration and \(l = 1\) cm) and \(A_{\text{em}} = \varepsilon \times c \times l\) is absorbance at the emission wavelength (\(l_{\text{em}} = 0.2\) cm):

\[
F_{\text{corr}} = F_{\text{obs}}^\left(\frac{A_{\text{em}}}{A_{\text{abs}}}\right) \quad (1)
\]

2.3. Calculation of binding constants

Each spectrum obtained during titration is an average of 10 000 fluorescence spectra measured in 10 seconds. Equilibrium constants were calculated by a global fit at all wavelengths with SPECFIT\textsuperscript{39–42} software. A single significant spectrally active
To properly model solvent effects in aqueous medium (dielectric constant of $\varepsilon = 78.4$), three different methods were included: (a) implicit solvation using Solvation Model based on Density (SMD),\textsuperscript{44} where water is treated as a continuum, (b) explicit solvation that includes one water molecule placed in the vicinity of the most electronegative atom, and (c) supramolecular approach where both implicit and explicit solvation is included.\textsuperscript{55} Due to high similarity between in vacuo and various solvation models, in the remainder of the text only the in vacuo and the explicit solvation are commented. Explicit solvation can also be considered as complexation, and since the 1IA binding site is highly hydrophobic,\textsuperscript{2} it represents the most likely influence of water on the HSA-flavonoid system. If not mentioned otherwise, results refer to in vacuo data.

Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed using NBO 3.1 program\textsuperscript{56} included in the Gaussian09 package. NBO analysis (NPA values) and population analysis ( Mulliken and APT values) of substituent functional groups were obtained by summarizing individual charge/population on each atom in the functional group. Fukui parameters (electrophilicity and nucleophility) were calculated using single-point NBO and population analysis calculations on corresponding $N - 1$ and $N + 1$ (N equals number of electrons) systems.

To facilitate quantitative comparison between different sites, the condensed Fukui function\textsuperscript{57-59} based on atomic charges was calculated. NPA charges from NBO analysis were used. Charges ($q$) were calculated for all flavonoids in their $N$, $N + 1$, and $N - 1$ electrons states to obtain the condensed $f^f$ and $f^e$ descriptors according to equations for the nucleophilicity (4) and electrophilicity (5):

$$f_A = q_A(N) - q_A(N - 1)$$

$$f_A = q_A(N + 1) - q_A(N)$$

where $q_A(N)$ is the calculated charge on atom A for $N$ total electrons. The $N - 1$ corresponds to the number of electrons in the neutral molecule, with an electron removed from the HOMO of the anion, and the $N + 1$ corresponds to the number of electrons in the respective cation system.

All statistical calculations have been done by Statistica 7.0 (Statsoft, USA), $p$ is a measure of statistical significance; $p$ values below 0.05 were considered as significant throughout the text. Univariate linear regression and 2D contour plots have been used in some instances to describe relationship between HSA binding affinity and different structural and electronic features of flavonoids.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Determination of binding constants

General structural formulas (Fig. 2) and binding constants of the investigated flavonoids are shown below (Table 1). In general, flavonones are characterized by the lowest binding constants, followed by isoflavonones. Flavones and flavonols have the highest values of binding constants. Determination of apigenin binding constant (Table 1, entry 6) is shown in Fig. 3.
Table 1  Binding constants of investigated flavonoids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Flavonoid</th>
<th>R1</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>R3</th>
<th>R4</th>
<th>R5</th>
<th>R6</th>
<th>$K_A$ [M$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$K_A$ [M$^{-1}$] from literature</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flavanone</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(5.25 \pm 0.79) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pinocembrin-7-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(2.19 \pm 0.09) \times 10^4$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sakuranetin</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(2.19 \pm 0.04) \times 10^4$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6-Hydroxyflavone</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(1.58 \pm 0.60) \times 10^6$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7-Hydroxyflavone</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(1.95 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.44 \times 10^4$ to $3.82 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>61 and 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(1.32 \pm 0.05) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.85 \times 10^4$ to $1.95 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>63 and 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chrysin</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(1.95 \pm 0.08) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.82 \times 10^5$ to $3.09 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>62, 64 and 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chrysin dimethylether</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(2.95 \pm 0.15) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Diosmetin</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>$(8.91 \pm 0.18) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$5.28 \times 10^4$ to $1.18 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>19 and 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Flavone</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(6.17 \pm 0.56) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$4.96 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3,6-Dihydroxyflavone</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(7.41 \pm 0.15) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$5.28 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3,7-Dihydroxyflavone</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(1.66 \pm 0.05) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$(1.51 \pm 0.23) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fisetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(1.20 \pm 0.05) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$(1.38 \pm 0.02) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Galangin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>$(2.34 \pm 0.09) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$(3.80 \pm 0.91) \times 10^6$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(1.70 \pm 0.03) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^4$ to $3.31 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>19, 34, 64, 65 and 70–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Rhamnetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(1.29 \pm 0.03) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tamarixetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(2.34 \pm 0.07) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$(7.46 \pm 0.30) \times 10^4$</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Formononetin</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>$(2.14 \pm 0.11) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.60 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Genistein</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(4.90 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.14 \times 10^5$ to $1.5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>19, 25, 71 and 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Prunetin</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$(3.80 \pm 0.14) \times 10^5$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculations are based on competitive binding with warfarin using fluorescence anisotropy approach.  
* Calculations are based on competitive binding with quercetin using fluorescence quenching approach.  

Binding constants for other flavonoids were calculated in the same manner. Most of the binding constants are in accordance with data from previously published studies, with a few exceptions: for some flavonoids literature data could not be found. For 3,6-dihydroxyflavone and tamarixetin, there is a slight discrepancy with literature data, which could be explained by different techniques used to obtain their binding constants. The literature binding constant of 3,6-dihydroxyflavone is lower than the one obtained in this study, which can be explained by fact that 3,6-dihydroxyflavone is also slightly fluorescent under the same conditions, i.e. fluorescence quenching and displacement of quercetin could produce falsely decreased values. In the case of tamarixetin, discrepancies can be explained by the fact that albumins from two different species were used. Greater differences are detected for galangin and formononetin. Published galangin binding constant comes from warfarin displacement experiments, where decrease in warfarin anisotropy, i.e. higher rotational freedom of warfarin was taken as a proof of warfarin displacement. As shown by Yamasaki et al., changes in rotational freedom are not necessarily equal to ligand displacement or binding. Similar reasoning can be applied in the case of formononetin. In some cases fluorescence quenching studies are performed at excitation wavelength of 280 nm (where both tryptophan and tyrosine residues absorb) and in another at 295 nm (where only the warfarin residue absorbs), which can also lead to discrepancies. These differences are not so pronounced, but even small discrepancies may compromise relative comparison of ligands, especially if their binding constants are not very different. Therefore, a study of binding constants conducted under the uniform conditions is a necessary prerequisite for a reliable determination of the structure-affinity relationship.

### 3.2. DFT calculations for structure-affinity relationship of flavonoid binding to HSA

At physiological pH analyzed flavonoids have a tendency to bind to the IIA binding site of HSA in the form of an anion. There are several key flavonoid properties that are associated with respective binding affinity: (1) C3 nucleophilicity and the partial charge linked to (2) the O4 partial charge, (3) electrophilicity of C8 substitutent, (4) high HOMO and LUMO energies, and (5) coplanarity of both AC and B rings and A and C rings. Very similar results for structures optimized in vacuo and in the model solvent ($\varepsilon = 78.4$) were obtained.

#### 3.2.1. C3 substitution

Major structural differences between four flavonoid aglycone classes included in the study are located at or near the C3 atom. It is expected that different
C3 substitutions and bonds are reflected in the electronic distribution around the C3 atom.

According to Fig. 4, nucleophilicity and the partial charge of C3 atom enable separation of analyzed flavonoid classes which correlates with the affinity for HSA. Unfortunately these properties of the C3 atom do not enable within-group differentiation between molecules with high and low HSA affinity. It may be noticed that high C3 nucleophilicity induced by the presence of a hydroxyl group increases flavonoid affinity for HSA. Flavonols and flavones possess the highest affinity for HSA: alteration of steric properties due to substitutions at C2 and C3 atoms significantly reduces their affinity for HSA, as it is shown for isoflavones.

3.2.2. Partial charge of the O4 atom. Electronic properties of the C3 atom are largely determined by substitutions of neighboring atoms, particularly by electron-withdrawing oxo group at C4 atom.

Fig. 5 reveals strong dependence of log \( K_A \) on partial charge of the O4: flavonoid affinity for HSA decreases with decreasing negative charge. This association underlines the general significance of polar interactions between O4 and HSA previously described for luteolin\(^{27}\) and also possible interaction with the Lys 195 residue located nearby.\(^{21}\) Among other types of polar interactions hydrogen bonding is as the most probable type of interaction: oxo-group attached to the C4 atom represents a good hydrogen bond acceptor that may increase the affinity for HSA.

3.2.3. Other substitutions. Some studies assigned a significant impact of polar interactions between the B ring substituents and HSA.\(^{21,22,24,79,72}\) According to the literature, a negative charge of the 3'- or 4'-OH groups increases the binding constant. However, our study failed to reveal any association

---

**Fig. 3** Spectrofluorimetric titration of HSA with apigenin. Total concentration of HSA was held constant at 1 \( \mu \)M and total concentrations of apigenin varied from 0 (top spectra) to 10 \( \mu \)M (bottom spectra) incubated in pH 7.4 buffer at 25 °C. Inset: fitting curve at 340 nm.

**Fig. 4** Flavonoid aglycone classes depicted in space nucleophilicity and partial charge of C3 atom calculated at the B3LYP level (numbers correspond to flavonoids in Table 1).
between the charge of the B ring and binding affinity, neither in vacuo \((r = -0.3742; p = 0.1041)\) nor in the explicit solvation \((r = -0.4181; p = 0.0666)\). Instead of analyzing properties of individual atoms, only the sums of atomic contributions aggregated over the B ring have been studied here. It was shown by docking experiments that the B ring protrudes outside of the binding pocket towards the interface of IIB and IIIA subdomains.\(^{21,27}\) In some cases substituents on the B ring may form polar bonds with HSA. The relationship between the B ring charge and the binding constant is not statistically significant, but it shows favorable effects of the negative charge localized on the B ring.

Most of the previous studies emphasized the significance of nonpolar interactions of the ring A and its substituents with the HSA.\(^{25,27,75}\) Electronic properties of A ring atoms and substituents have been analyzed in that respect.

The only significant association between electronic properties of A ring substituents and HSA binding established is depicted in Fig. 6: very low electrophilicity of C8 substituent is associated with higher affinity for HSA. Fig. 6 suggests that low electrophilicity of the C8 substituent is relevant, but not sufficient for effective binding to HSA.

### 3.2.4. Frontier molecular orbitals

Propensity towards intramolecular hydrogen bonds formation is a well-documented property of flavonoids.\(^{31}\) Strong hydrogen bond donor and acceptor groups attached to the flavonoid scaffold are also expected to promote formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between a flavonoid and HSA.

Fig. 7 shows that tightly bound flavonoid ions are characterized by high HOMO and LUMO energies. This is consistent with their tendency towards multiple hydrogen bond formations in which flavonoid ions may play both hydrogen bond acceptor and hydrogen bond donor roles.

### 3.2.5. Planarity

A complete lack of correlation between HOMO–LUMO gap and log \(K_a\) in both in vacuo \((r = 0.0206; p = 0.9313)\) and the explicit solvation \((r = 0.1654; p = 0.4858)\) shows that conjugation has no effect on HSA binding. This is in contradiction with some earlier studies,\(^{24,75}\) as well as with the impact of the C8 electrophilicity. Although the present study includes a large number of flavonoids, there is still a relatively low variation in energy gaps. This limits the significance of this notion strictly to the four selected classes of flavonoid aglycones.

Flavonoid aglycone geometries presented here were calculated without taking HSA into account. Obtained results are consistent with the results published by Aparicio,\(^{52}\) who has revealed that flavonoid aglycones can form intramolecular hydrogen bonds that stabilize coplanar geometries. It is interesting to notice that coplanarity of AC and B rings (Fig. 2) in terms of dihedral angle (angle between atoms 3-2-1-’2’ for flavanones, flavones and flavonols, and 2-3-1-’2’ for isoflavones), is associated with HSA binding affinity in vacuo \((r = -0.6832; p = 0.0009)\), but this association is not present in the solvation water model \((r = -0.0349; p = 0.8838)\). Considering that flavonoid geometries attached to HSA calculated in docking studies show lack of coplanarity of AC and B rings,\(^{21,25,26,28}\) we
incline to the conclusion that coplanarity obtained in vacuo only reflects the propensity of flavonoids towards hydrogen bonding to HSA.

Also, it has been determined that AC ring planarity is necessary (dihedral angle between planes defined by atoms 4-4a-5 and 4a-5-6), but not sufficient for effective binding to HSA ($r = -0.5097; p = 0.0217$ and $r = -0.5093; p = 0.0218$ for in vacuo and explicit solvation, respectively): even a small deviation from planarity is associated with the loss of affinity for HSA, but all planar molecules do not bind to HSA with equal affinity. Since planarity is frequently associated with conjugation some authors expected that flavonoids tightly bound to HSA establish respective interaction through conjugation.\(^{24}\)\(^{25}\) According to our results, it seems that the planarity of flavonoids itself makes greater impact on HSA binding than conjugation: flavanones which are characterized by significantly lower binding constants than other flavonoids speak in favor of this notion. Moreover, according to the literature, it has been established that the primary binding site for flavanones is not, as opposed to other flavonoids, in subdomain IIA, but is located closer to the binding site in the IIIA subdomain.\(^{23}\) Possible reason for this effect could be the presence of a non-planar C ring, which disables binding in the vicinity of Trp 214 residue of the IIA subdomain.

4. Conclusions

In this study spectroscopically determined binding affinities of flavonoid aglycones for HSA were associated with their steric and electronic features. Nucleophilicity and partial charge of the C3 atom enabled classification of flavonoids into subgroups: flavanones (very low nucleophilicity and high negative partial charge), isoflavones (low nucleophilicity and low negative partial charge), flavones (medium to high nucleophilicity and medium negative partial charge) and flavonols (medium nucleophilicity and positive partial charge), with flavones and flavanols being most tightly bound. Increased negative partial charge of the O4 atom has shown strong association with the HSA binding affinity, reflecting its good hydrogen acceptor properties. Also, it has been shown that planarity is of great importance for the flavonoid binding. Coplanarity of A and C rings is associated with higher binding constants. According to our calculations it is a prerequisite for binding in the hydrophobic cavity. Additionally, coplanarity of AC and B rings reflects the propensity of flavonoids towards hydrogen bonding to HSA, consistent with corresponding high HOMO and LUMO energies. Contrary to previously published results, this study shows that neither conjugation of B and AC rings nor hydrogen acceptor and donor properties of the B ring are common determinants of flavonoid binding to HSA; however a negative charge located on the B ring shows a favorable effect on the binding constant.

This study represents the most extensive study of flavonoids binding to HSA, complementing an experimental technique of fluorescence spectrophotometry with results of quantum chemical approach to provide explanations of flavonoid binding properties. However, it is important to emphasize that all of the laboratory measurements were done in vitro. Further studies are needed to evaluate biological implications of the described phenomenon like flavonoid–drug and flavonoid–hormone interactions.
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