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The study of CH/π interactions in DNA model intercalated systems has been carried out with the popular intercalator 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and several methyl derivatives, changing number and position, and the adenine–thymine tetramers (AT/TA) where thymine also contains a methyl group. Density Functional Theory (DFT) was used for the calculations, by means of improved functionals including dispersion effects. Our results given by the AIM analysis confirm the existence of these CH/π interactions and the energy decomposition analysis shows a perfect direct correlation between the number of CH/π interactions found and their ∆Eint. Moreover, despite the important role of dispersion energy in the systems with more methyl groups, it is not yet enough to compensate the Pauli repulsion term, ∆Epauli, and the orbital contribution, ∆Eorb, and the electrostatic contribution, ∆Eelstat, become crucial for the stabilization of the structures in the intercalation process.

Introduction

Despite being among the weakest interactions in the family of hydrogen bonds and in a grey area considered by some authors to be between the hydrogen bond and the London van der Waals interaction, the CH/π interaction has been shown to be important in several chemical and biochemical processes. For the last three decades, several experimental and theoretical studies, which support the existence of such an attractive molecular force, have been reported. Being a “donor–acceptor” interaction between a soft acid (CH) and a soft base (π-system), it can be considered a hydrogen bond, as demonstrated by experiments on the electronic effect of a substituent on stereoselectivity, 12–15 conformational equilibrium, 16 crystal packing, 17 enantioselectivity, 18–19 and coordination chemistry. 20 Moreover, statistical analyses of crystal structures show that the C–H bond tries to point toward the π system. 20 Ab initio calculations of the benzene clusters with small hydrocarbon molecules 21–23 confirmed this preference and the role of weak electrostatic interactions in stabilizing this orientation. Nevertheless, while electrostatic forces play a major role in conventional hydrogen bonds, 24,25 the CH/π interaction has been mainly attributed to the dispersion forces, 26–28 namely when aliphatic or aromatic CH groups are involved. Electrostatic contributions may become more relevant for species involving strong CH donors such as chloroform or acetylenic CH. Thus, the interaction energy depends on the nature of the molecular fragments, CH as well as π-groups: the stronger the proton donating ability of the CH group, the larger the stabilizing effect. The dual nature of the interaction, with electrostatic and dispersion terms, is the basis for the ubiquitous existence of this molecular force in chemistry, responsive to surrounding circumstances, especially when it operates cooperatively.

Directionality is a requisite for the CH/π hydrogen bond, distinguishing it from the classic London dispersion force. Nevertheless, in general, the CH/π interaction is a very weak interaction and its limited directionality comes from dipole/ quadrupole and charge–transfer interactions, while the conventional hydrogen bonds are stronger interactions with strong directionality. Dependence of an interacting system often follows the order of the strength: the stronger the bond, the stronger the trend for the linearity. Thus, the different nature shows that the roles of CH/π interactions in controlling structures of molecular assemblies should not be discussed in complete analogy with the hydrogen bond.

As said above, these CH/π interactions become important in several chemical and biochemical systems and several experimental and theoretical studies showed their key role for controlling crystal packing, 29–31 organic reactions, 32–34 conformational analysis, 35–36 and molecular recognition processes primarily owing to their cooperative effect, and their importance in chemistry has been well recognized. Moreover, CH/π interactions are also important in the stability of biological structures because they include dispersion and polarization contributions and persist in both highly polar and apolar...
environments such as those found in the interior of proteins.\textsuperscript{55} This is of paramount importance in the consideration of the effect in biochemistry. Thus, some authors highlighted the role of CH/π interactions in the stability of proteins,\textsuperscript{60-68} the binding of carbohydrates to proteins,\textsuperscript{69-71} and the packing of the adenine ring\textsuperscript{72} or guanine nucleotide\textsuperscript{73} in protein structures. In addition, CH/π contacts of the methyl group of thymine or the backbone sugars with DNA or proteins have been also described.\textsuperscript{73-75} Moreover, several theoretical calculations\textsuperscript{22,23,31,33-35,77} and gas phase spectroscopic measurements of the CH/π interactions have been reported\textsuperscript{22,23,31,33,76-78} and it is expected that such CH/π interactions are able to play an important role in drug design.

The aim of this study is the analysis of the CH/π interactions between different methylated derivatives, in number and position, of the 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) intercalator drug (see Scheme 1) and the adenine–thymine (AT) base pairs, taking into account that thymine also contains a methyl group, which is able to interact with the intercalators by means of CH/π interactions.

We focused our study on phen methylated derivatives because it was shown that both phen and its organometallic complexes have significant antitumoral activity.\textsuperscript{79-81} In particular, its Mo(II) complexes were effective in vitro against different human tumor cell lines.\textsuperscript{79} Viscosity, linear dichroism, NMR and NOESY spectra experiments showed that cytotoxic phen methylated derivatives intercalate between DNA base pairs and the efficiency depends on the number and position of methyl groups.\textsuperscript{84,85} Several reviews on drugs based on intercalation have appeared recently,\textsuperscript{86-90} showing how the growing interest in the use of these systems for a range of medical applications prompted a renewed need for a structural understanding of their interactions with bases of DNA.\textsuperscript{91} The development of a strategy to quantify this process and calculate the relevant energy terms would be very useful. In this work we carry out the study of the intercalation of methylated phen systems between AT/TA stacked base pairs of DNA by DFT methods with selected functionals that take into account dispersion forces, which are crucial for the study of the intercalation and CH/π interactions, to calculate the contributions to the energy and the nature of the interaction between the active cytotoxic intercalator and base pairs.

As far as we know, this is the first study addressing the important role of CH/π interactions in intercalated systems by means of DFT methods including dispersion contribution. The knowledge of intrinsic molecular interactions constitutes an important prerequisite to understand the role of intercalators between nucleobases in DNA structure. Therefore, we expect that this work will help to understand how methylated phen ligands behave in biological processes of intercalation, and to interpret the results of several experimental techniques.

**Computational details**

Several approaches reported in the literature may be used to model the intercalation of ligands in DNA.\textsuperscript{92-112} Large systems (DNA chains from decamers to pentadecamers including the intercalators) have been modelled at low level of theory by using force fields in molecular mechanics (MM) or classical molecular dynamics (MD).\textsuperscript{92,93,95-98,113} On the other hand, small systems (models consisting in just one base pair and the intercalator – three-body model) have been treated at high level of theory by means of HF, MP2 or DFT level of calculation.\textsuperscript{99-105} Finally, other approximations have been found in the bibliography between the treatment of a DNA sequence of various steps and the intercalator at low level of theory and the treatment of the three body systems with the base pair and the intercalator at high level of theory. That is, the use of a model consisting of the intercalator and two base pairs (either including – ring model – or not considering – sandwich model – the phosphate backbone and sugars) at HF, MP2 and dispersion corrected DFT levels of theory, at DF-SAPT0 level or at QM/MM level.\textsuperscript{94,106-112} Here we shall use these last models with two base pairs and the intercalator and DFT methods including dispersion corrections.

To build the models, we used the optimized geometries of AT/TA (θ = 36°) described in our previous work,\textsuperscript{114} which correspond to Watson–Crick base pairing described in DNA. For such structures, we increased the distance between the two base pairs (z coordinate) to twice the initial value. Then the intercalator was inserted manually to be equidistant from both base pairs and to achieve maximum overlap with them. Only two different orientations were taken into account for the model systems (intercalator + two DNA base pairs). In one, the intercalator takes place from the minor groove. This situation is reproduced when the N\textsubscript{1} and N\textsubscript{10} atoms of the intercalator (Scheme 1) are close to the minor groove. The localization of the side corresponding to the minor groove in the base pairs is shown in Fig. 1. In the other orientation, the intercalator takes place from the major groove side (N\textsubscript{1} and N\textsubscript{10}, see Scheme 1, close to the major groove; see Fig. 1 for the localization of the side corresponding to the major groove in the base pairs). The structures corresponding to these two orientations were called (AT/intercalator/TA)\textsubscript{mg} (intercalation from minor groove) and (AT/intercalator/TA)\textsubscript{MG} (intercalation from major groove). The intercalator can be phen, 4-Mephen, 5-Mephen, 4,7-Me\textsubscript{2}phen, 5,6-Me\textsubscript{2}phen or 3,4,7,8-Me\textsubscript{4}phen. Full geometry optimizations were performed using a DFT approach\textsuperscript{115} with the M06-2X functional,\textsuperscript{116,117} which includes some dispersion effects, and the 6-31+(G,d,p) basis set.\textsuperscript{118} We already used with success this functional to study the stacking of AT/TA base pairs and the role of CH/π interactions in a previous study.\textsuperscript{114} Moreover M06-2X
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funcional and its precursor M05-2X were used by other authors to study intercalated systems to avoid the use of the more time consuming MP2 method, which had been extensively used before the appearance of DFT methods including dispersion. Because we are not studying excitation/decay processes as fluorescence, phosphorescence, etc. we do not take into account multireference methods. In fact, we tested the stability of the wavefunction for different systems and the wavefunction was stable at our M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) level of calculation.

The optimized geometries were characterized by the harmonic vibrational frequencies to verify that all frequencies are real and correspond to minima structures in the potential energy surface. The counterpoise (CP) method was used to estimate the basis set superposition error (BSSE) in some calculations. These calculations were performed with the GAUSSIAN 09, Revision A02 software. Net atomic charges were obtained using the natural population analysis of Reed et al. by means of the NBO 5.0 software. The localization of bond critical points and topological analysis were carried out using AIM2000 program.

The Energy Decomposition Analysis (EDA) to partition the interaction energy between the fragments was performed using the ADF program. In this analysis, the interaction energy is divided into orbital, Pauli and electrostatic terms following a Morokuma-type energy decomposition method. These calculations were also performed with the M06-2X functional with an uncontracted triple-ζ basis set of Slater type orbitals augmented with a polarization function (TZP). Since the calculations with M06-2X functional gave some convergence problems, we also carried out the EDA with the B3LYP-D3 functional with the explicit Grimme’s D3 correction to dispersion forces, with the same basis set (see ESI†). Previous calculations on the intercalation of phen between base pairs showed that when comparing the EDAs obtained with M06-2X and B3LYP-D3 functionals the conclusions are very similar. Moreover, we think that the discussion becomes simpler with an explicit term for dispersion (ΔEdisp).

**Results and discussion**

In the next sections we shall analyze the effect of methylation in phen, namely number and position of methyl groups, when intercalation occurs between AT/TA base pairs. First, we focus on the AIM analysis of the optimized geometries of the systems. We then analyze the energetics emphasizing the changes in the stability and in several energetic contributions. Finally, a global discussion of the results is given.

**Geometries and AIM analysis**

The structures of all the systems optimized at M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) level are shown in Fig. 2 and 3 for the (AT/intercalator/TA)mg and (AT/intercalator/TA)MG systems, respectively. In all cases, a side view and a top view are shown. The Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structures are also available as ESI†. The values of θ and R (see ESI† for definition) together with the distance values between phen and base pairs, and the hydrogen bond lengths are also shown in Fig. 2 and 3. Distances associated to CH/π interactions are depicted in Tables 1 and 2 for (AT/intercalator/TA)mg and (AT/intercalator/TA)MG systems, respectively.

Several CH/π interactions are observed after optimization of the structures and for some of them, as (AT/phen/TA)mg and (AT/4-Mephen/TA)mg, reorganize drastically the geometry. In the case of (AT/phen/TA)mg the adenine in the lower pair is extruded in order to form some CH/π interaction with the methyl group of the upper thymine and, in the case of (AT/4-Mephen/TA)mg, even folding of the adenines occurs to achieve this CH/π interaction now with the methyl group of the intercalator (see Fig. 2).

The identification of CH/π interactions was based on the AIM topologies (see some examples in Fig. 4 and all the structures in the ESI† along with the values for the electronic density, ρ, and its laplacian (Vρ) at each bond critical point (BCP)). The length of CH/π interactions goes from 2.33 to 3.48 Å. The (AT/phen/TA)MG system has only one CH/π, whereas the system with more CH/π interactions is the (AT/3,4,7,8-Me4phen/TA)MG system with 8 bond paths associated to the CH/π interactions (see Table 2, Fig. 4 and ESI†). As a general trend we find more CH/π interactions for the structures where intercalation is produced from the minor groove than from the major groove. The only exception is the above mentioned case of (AT/3,4,7,8-Me4phen/TA)MG with 8 bond paths associated to CH/π interactions, whereas for the (AT/3,4,7,8-Me4phen/TA)mg only 5 bond paths are found.

CH/π interactions are characterized by values of ρ at the BCPs that go from 0.0044 a.u. to 0.0122 a.u. and a positive Laplacian (see from Tables S4–S15 of the ESI†). We found between 4 and 7 bond paths (BPs) associated to these CH/π interactions in all the systems that contain 4,7-Me₂phen, 5,6-Me₂phen or 3,4,7,8-Me₄phen intercalators and 2 BPs associated to CH/π interactions in the monosubstituted systems (see Fig. 4 and ESI†). The methyl group of thymine is also involved in CH/π interactions with the intercalator. The values of ρ in the associated BCPs go from 0.0062 a.u. to 0.0073 a.u. with a positive
Fig. 2 Fully optimized structures of the (AT/intercalator/TAMg) systems at M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) level of calculation. In each case, a side view (above) is presented to appreciate better the rise (R in Å) displacement of base pairs, and the intercalator-base pair distance (in parentheses) and a top view (below) is also shown to appreciate better the twist (θ in °) motion. Hydrogen bond distances between base pairs are also collected.

Fig. 3 Fully optimized structures of the (AT/intercalator/TAMg) systems at M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) level of calculation. In each case, a side view (above) is presented to appreciate better the rise (R in Å) displacement of base pairs, and the intercalator-base pair distance (in parentheses) and a top view (below) is also shown to appreciate better the twist (θ in °) motion. Hydrogen bond distances between base pairs are also collected.
Table 1 Distances (Å) for the CH/π interactions found in the optimized \(\text{AT/intercalator/TA}^\text{mg}\) systems at \(\text{M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p)}\) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>(d(Y-\text{CH}_3\cdots Z(B))^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AT/phen/TA}^\text{mg})</td>
<td>3.48 (T(_\text{up})-\text{CH}_3\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.92 (T(_\text{down})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AT/4-Mephen/TA}^\text{mg})</td>
<td>2.83 (T(_\text{up})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.63 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.57 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.87 (T(_\text{down})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AT/5-Mephen/TA}^\text{mg})</td>
<td>2.60 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.74 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.95 (T(_\text{down})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AT/4,7-Me_2phen/TA}^\text{mg})</td>
<td>2.82 (T(_\text{up})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.64 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.69 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.69 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.64 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.83 (T(_\text{down})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AT/5,6-Me_2phen/TA}^\text{mg})</td>
<td>2.90 (T(_\text{up})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.66 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.66 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.90 (T(_\text{down})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AT/3,4,7,8-Me_4phen/TA}^\text{mg})</td>
<td>2.88 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots C_6(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.62 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots N_1(\text{A\text{down}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.64 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots O_8(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.71 (I-\text{CH}_1\cdots C_6(\text{T\text{up}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.81 (T(_\text{down})-\text{CH}_3\cdots C_6(\text{I}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) \(Y\) is the fragment with the \(\text{CH}_3\) group that produces the interaction (thymine, \(T\), or the intercalator, \(I\)). \(Z\) is an atom of the fragment acting as \(\pi\)-system for which a bond path is associated, whereas \(B\) is the fragment (\(A\) for adenine, \(T\) for thymine and \(I\) for the intercalator).

Laplacian. These CH/π interactions will favor in general the intercalation process and they go from 1 in the case of the \(\text{AT/phen/TA}^\text{MG}\) to 8 for the \(\text{AT/3,4,7,8-Me_4phen/TA}^\text{MG}\). The importance of stabilizing CH/π interactions was already stressed for the methane/adenine systems.\(^{138}\)

Energetics

Table 2 collects in the second column the energies of formation, \(E_f\) of each structure from the separated fragments (the two optimized base pairs and the optimized intercalator in the case of the systems with intercalator or just the two optimized base pairs when the intercalator is not included in the system). The many-body analysis of the interaction energy is also depicted in Table 3. Thus, the third column corresponds to the interaction energy of the bodies, \(E_{\text{int(bod)}}\), which is calculated by subtracting from the total energy the energy of the isolated fragments (4 in the case of stacked base pairs without intercalator and 5 for the systems with intercalator) with the geometry they have in the final system. The other columns contain the analysis of the interaction energy, obtained by splitting it into different two-body and many-body interactions, all of them calculated with the geometries in the total system. \(E_{\text{HB}}\) is the two-body hydrogen-bonding interaction in each base pair, calculated as the difference between the energy of the base pairs and the sum of the energies of the two bases. \(E_{\delta}\) has the other four two-body interactions between the four bases: intrastrand and two interstrand stacking interactions in the case of the systems without intercalator, the interstrand terms being usually repulsive and, in the case of the systems with the intercalator, the four two-body interactions between the four bases and the intercalator, all of them being attractive. Finally, \(E_{\text{MB}}\) is the multibody interaction energy which was calculated by subtracting the two-body terms \((E_{\text{HB}}\text{ and }E_{\delta})\) from the total interaction energy of the bodies, \(E_{\text{int(bod)}}\).

As expected \(E_{\text{int(bod)}}\) are more negative than \(E_f\), because the deformation energy is not taken into account in \(E_{\text{int(bod)}}\). The behavior of \(E_f\) differs when comparing intercalation from the minor groove with the intercalation from the major groove. In the latter case \(E_f\) correlates with the number of methyl groups and the energies go from \(-12.7\) to \(-20.9\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Also, the number of CH/π interactions increases (see Table 2, topologies of Fig. 4 and ESI†) with the number of methyl groups and it can be directly related to the stabilization of \(E_f\) when going from phen to \(3,4,7,8\)-Me\(_4\)phen. On the other hand, when intercalation takes place from the minor groove, \(E_f\) energies go from \(-11.9\) to \(-21.4\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and whereas they do not correlate with the number of methyl groups, they do with the number of CH/π interactions. This indicates that, more than by the number of methyl groups in the systems, the stabilization is determined by their capacity to form CH/π interactions. This correlation is only broken in the \(\text{AT/4-Mephen/TA}^\text{mg}\) system, the most stabilized system but with only 4 CH/π interactions. We attribute this anomalous behavior of \(\text{AT/4-Mephen/TA}^\text{mg}\)
to the formation of strong N6–H⋯N3 hydrogen bonds (see Fig. 1, 2 and S3 of ESI†) between both extruded adenines, which can neither be formed for (AT/4,7-Me2phen/TA)mg nor for (AT/3,4,7,8-Me4phen/TA)mg structures. These systems have also a methyl group in position 4 but the adenines are not folded enough. Eint(bod) shows similar trends as Eint. Both values of Eint and Eint(bod) show that intercalation through the minor groove is favored for disubstituted systems and monosubstituted AT/4-Mephen/TA system, whereas intercalation through the major groove is favored for the most substituted AT/3,4,7,8-Me4phen/TA system and the system without methyl groups AT/phen/TA. For AT/5-Mephen/TA the energies are very similar and no preference is observed for any. These trends can be associated to the number of CH/π interactions achieved. The structures that form more CH/π interactions when intercalate via minor groove will have more negative $E_{\text{int}}$ and $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$ than their counterparts intercalated through the major groove (4-Mephen, 4,7-Me2phen, and 5,6-Me2phen). The 3,4,7,8-Me4phen structure, having the highest number of CH/π interactions for the intercalation via major groove, has the lowest $E_{\text{int}}$ and $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$ when intercalation is produced through this side. The influence of the CP correction in $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$ was also calculated for these systems (see Table 3). The values go from 7.3 to 8.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$, which would be ∼11% of the $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$. Thus, the effect of the BSSE does not change dramatically $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$ at our level of calculation.

Let us now discuss the partition of the $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$ into two-body and many-body terms [see Table 3]. For all the systems there are two similar values of $E_{\text{HB}}$, which correspond to hydrogen bonds of the upper and lower pair of bases and the sum is given in parentheses. As a general trend, all the values of $E_{\text{HB}}$ for methylated systems are very similar to those for the systems with non-methylated phen. Thus, methylation of phen does not affect the forces related to the hydrogen bonds, in agreement with the small changes observed in hydrogen bonds after methylation (compare values in Fig. 2 and 3).

The values of $E_{\text{s}}$ are more negative than $E_{\text{int}}$ because they do not contain the energy relaxation term. Such values of the $E_{\text{s}}$ increase with the number of CH/π interactions and thus with the number of methyl groups when intercalation is produced via major groove. On the other hand, when intercalation takes place from the minor groove, the number of CH/π interactions is not proportional to the number of the methyl groups (see Table 1 and Fig. S3 and from Tables S4–S9 of the ESI†) but the stabilization of $E_{\text{s}}$ is totally proportional to the number of effective CH/π interactions (compare the number of CH/π interactions in Table 1 and the values of $E_{\text{s}}$ in Table 3). Thus, it can be concluded that the stabilization of $E_{\text{s}}$ depends on the number of CH/π interactions in the structure.

Finally, the $E_{\text{MB}}$ contribution is residual compared to $E_{\text{HB}}$ and $E_{\text{s}}$ with the exception of the (AT/4-Mephen/TA)mg system. For this structure the value of $E_{\text{MB}}$ (∼10.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$) is roughly 1/3 of the value for $E_{\text{HB}}$ or $E_{\text{s}}$ and such high value can be attributed to stabilizing N6–H⋯N3 hydrogen bond interactions between the extruded and folded adenines. Also, $E_{\text{MB}}$ is cooperative ($E_{\text{MB}} < 0$) for all the systems.

The energy of the interaction between the rigid fragments can also be decomposed by the EDA into several contributions:

$$\Delta E_{\text{int}} = \Delta E_{\text{elstat}} + \Delta E_{\text{Pauli}} + \Delta E_{\text{orb}} (+\Delta E_{\text{disp}})$$  \hfill (1)

$\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ corresponds to the classical electrostatic interaction between the unperturbed charge distributions of the rigid fragments, $\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}$ comprises the destabilizing interactions between occupied orbitals, and the orbital interaction contribution $\Delta E_{\text{orb}}$ accounts for charge transfer and polarization terms. The EDA provides another interpretation of the energy terms and was performed with ADA$^{427–429}$ (see the Computational details), using different functionals. As reported previously,$^{44,10,139}$ if an explicit correction term for dispersion interaction is employed, the results of the EDA remain unchanged and the dispersion contribution appears as an extra


Table 3 Formation energy ($E_f$) and multi-body analysis of the interaction energies, in kcal mol$^{-1}$, for the free stacked base pairs AT/TA and including the intercalator at M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) level of theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$E_f$</th>
<th>$E_{int(bod)}^a$</th>
<th>$E_{WB}^b$</th>
<th>$E_S$</th>
<th>$E_{MB}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT/TA</td>
<td>-19.7</td>
<td>-54.4 (−40.9)</td>
<td>-16.0/−16.4 (−32.4)</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercalation via minor groove (AT/intercalator/TA)$_{mg}$</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phen</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
<td>-65.9 (−58.6)</td>
<td>-16.4/−15.3 (−31.7)</td>
<td>-32.3</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Mephen</td>
<td>-21.4</td>
<td>-75.7 (−67.7)</td>
<td>-15.0/−13.7 (−28.7)</td>
<td>-36.2</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Mephen</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td>-68.1 (−60.6)</td>
<td>-16.2/−16.5 (−32.7)</td>
<td>-34.0</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,7-Me$_2$phen</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>-74.9 (−67.2)</td>
<td>-16.2/−16.2 (−32.4)</td>
<td>-41.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,6-Me$_2$phen</td>
<td>-19.9</td>
<td>-75.8 (−67.9)</td>
<td>-16.5/−16.5 (−33.0)</td>
<td>-41.9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4,7,8-Me$_4$phen</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
<td>-73.4 (−65.3)</td>
<td>-16.5/−16.1 (−32.7)</td>
<td>-39.3</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercalation via major groove (AT/intercalator/TA)$_{MG}$</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phen</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>-67.8 (−60.0)</td>
<td>-16.1/−15.8 (−31.9)</td>
<td>-34.0</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Mephen</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>-69.3 (−61.4)</td>
<td>-16.2/−16.3 (−32.5)</td>
<td>-35.8</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Mephen</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>-68.4 (−60.6)</td>
<td>-16.2/−16.3 (−32.6)</td>
<td>-35.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,7-Me$_2$phen</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
<td>-73.6 (−65.3)</td>
<td>-16.1/−15.9 (−32.0)</td>
<td>-39.8</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,6-Me$_2$phen</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>-72.1 (−63.8)</td>
<td>-16.1/−15.8 (−32.0)</td>
<td>-38.4</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4,7,8-Me$_4$phen</td>
<td>-20.9</td>
<td>-77.0 (−68.1)</td>
<td>-15.6/−16.2 (−31.8)</td>
<td>-43.4</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values with counterpoise (CP) correction are also given in italics. $^b$ The two values correspond to the upper and lower pair of bases (the sum is given in parentheses).

The trends for the EDA at B3LYP-D3/TZP level are shown by cumulative bar diagrams in Fig. 5. $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ values are around −50 kcal mol$^{-1}$. This $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ contribution is more important for 3,4,7,8-Me$_4$phen intercalator (−55.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and −60.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$), not surprisingly because 3,4,7,8-Me$_4$phen, with four methyl groups, has the highest value of polarizability (209.9 a.u.$^{-3}$, see Table S3 of ESI) and thus the strongest dispersion forces. $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ for (AT/4,7-Me$_2$phen/TA)$_{MG}$ has also a quite different value (about −55 kcal mol$^{-1}$) because this ligand with two methyl groups has also a high polarizability (179.0 a.u.$^{-3}$, see Table S3 of ESI). The $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ component is very similar for all the systems (between −12.4 and −17.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$), while $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ ranges from −25.8 to −36.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$. This attractive contribution has a determining influence in the energy balance defining the total $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$, as it accounts for roughly 1/3 of the attractive forces and parallels the behavior of $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$. This was also observed in another work$^{48}$ addressing the role of electrostatics in stacked DNA base pairs and in our previous work on the intercalation of phen.$^{44}$ This term stabilized the $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ since the $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ contribution is not sufficient to compensate the repulsive $\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}$ term and $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ provides the other considerable stabilizing contribution to $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$. Also, the values of $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ are more negative when intercalation takes place from the major groove with the only exception of (AT/4-Mephen/TA)$_{MG}$ (−29.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$), for which its counterpart (AT/4-Mephen/TA)$_{mg}$ has a more negative $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ value (−31.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$).

$\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ has the smallest values (in absolute value) for the systems without methyl groups. On the other hand, the most negative values of $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ correspond to the 3,4,7,8-Me$_4$phen intercalator for the intercalation via major groove (−38.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$) and to the 5,6-Me$_2$phen system when intercalation takes place from the minor groove (−37.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Also, the disubstituted 5,6-Me$_2$phen and 4,7-Me$_2$phen systems have more negative values than the monosubstituted intercalators. We notice again that the stabilization of the $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ reflects the number of CH/π interactions and it increases with the number of methyl groups when intercalation is produced through the major groove. On the other hand, when intercalation takes place from the minor groove there are more CH/π interactions for dimethyl systems (6 CH/π interactions, see Table 1, Fig. 4 and ESI† about AIM results) than for the tetramethyl system (5 CH/π interactions) and the $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ is more negative for dimethyl systems. Thus, in these and the other systems, there is a perfect and direct fit between $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ and the number of CH/π interactions, highlighting the importance of these CH/π interactions for such biological processes.

Finally we looked at the relation between the stabilization of the $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ not only with the number of CH/π interactions but also with the strength of these CH/π interactions. We can quantify the strength of CH/π interactions by the values of the electronic density ($\rho$) at BCPs points associated to CH/π interactions.$^{42}$ That is, as the value of $\rho$ in the BCP increases, the strength of the CH/π increases. Thus, we can define the cumulative electronic density as the summation of all the values for $\rho$ in each bond critical point associated to CH/π interactions of any structure and this cumulative electronic density can give
us an idea about the total strength achieved by the sum the individual CH/π interactions in such structure. Thus, we plotted the cumulative electronic density $\rho$ vs. the values of $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ for (AT/intercalator/TA)$_{mg}$ and (AT/intercalator/TA)$_{MG}$ systems.

**Discussion**

In this exhaustive DFT study we analyzed the geometries, the energy of the bodies, the EDA, and the CH/π interactions of methylated phen systems intercalated between AT/TA stacked base pairs, which also contain methyl groups capable to interact with the π system of the intercalator. We showed the importance of the effective CH/π interactions, triggered by the methyl groups to stabilize the final intercalated structures. Indeed, CH/π interactions, mainly characterized by the AIM analysis and BCPs and bond paths, were shown to be present for the systems where the –CH$_3$ groups of the intercalator or of the thymine DNA base interacted with either π-system of the base pairs or the intercalator. We established a perfect fit between the number of CH/π interactions and the stabilization of $E_i$, $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$, $E_s$ or $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ (see definition in the section corresponding to energetics). These terms reflect the stabilization of the final intercalated system. This result
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**Fig. 5** Cumulative bar diagram of the different contributions in the EDA at B3LYP-D3/TZP level. Bars proportional to the values of the energy contribution $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ are included close to $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ contribution to appreciate better the fitting of the $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}$ to $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ (energy contributions in kcal mol$^{-1}$).

![Fig. 6](image)

**Fig. 6** $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ (in kcal mol$^{-1}$) at B3LYP-D3/TZP level (in absolute value for a better view) vs. cumulative $\rho$ in the bond critical points (BCPs) associated to CH/π interactions (in a.u.) for (AT/intercalator/TA)$_{mg}$ and (AT/intercalator/TA)$_{MG}$ systems.

in Fig. 6 (see ESI† for the $\rho$ values). Both plots show a perfect correlation between the stabilization of $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ and the increasing value of $\rho$ in the bond critical points associated to CH/π interactions.
is very interesting because it suggests that, more than the number of methyl groups, it is the number of the effective CH/π interactions that rules the stability of the final intercalated system. For this reason, in the cases of [AT/4,7-Me2phen/TA]mg and [AT/5,6-Me2phen/TA]mg with 2–CH3 groups, these energy terms are more negative than for [AT/3,4,7,8-Me4phen/TA]mg with 4–CH3 groups. This result is also derived from the EDA and the nature of the CH/π interactions. The latter are mainly influenced by dispersion, which is the main contribution to the ΔE_int. However, some non-negligible electrostatic and charge transfer terms contribute to the final ΔE_int in agreement with the electrostatic component of the CH/π interactions and the low charge transfer through such kind of hydrogen interactions. In fact, as observed previously for phen,46 dispersion forces are necessary but not sufficient to compensate the repulsive ΔEpauli contribution and the role of ΔEelast contribution and ΔEorb contribution becomes crucial to stabilize the intercalated final systems. Although the polarizability of the methylated systems is higher than that of phen intercalator, also in methylated systems there is a need for ΔEelast and ΔEorb to compensate the repulsive ΔEpauli forces. The non-negligible importance of the ΔEelast can be associated to the high dipolar moments of the intercalators and base pairs, whereas the small charge transfer associated to the ΔEorb is evidenced by the energies of frontier orbitals, and AIM analysis.

The present work was motivated by the previous works of McFayden et al.44 and Brodie et al.45 who reported the evidence of modulation of the cytotoxicity with methylation of phen in different number and position, but offered no explanation for these experimental findings. Here, we describe the direct correlation we found between the number of effective CH/π interactions and the stabilization of Eint,Eint(bod), Eint(S), and ΔEint. If the cytotoxic effect of the intercalators depends on their time of residence between base pairs,43 then it can be enhanced by the formation of hydrogen bonds or electrostatic interactions. This was used by Snyder et al.44 to explain the cytotoxicity of Micalle’s ketone, which depended significantly on the position of the positively charged N-dimethyl groups. Thus, groups of the intercalator able of forming hydrogen bonds and/or electrostatic interactions dictating the residence time and biological activities of any drug will become essential for cytotoxicity.43 Some authors even suggested a minimum value of electrostatic energy, setting the limit to hydrogen bond interactions yielding effective intercalation.44 This finding is similar to those of McFayden et al.44 and Brodie et al.45 now for neutral methyl groups. However, in the case of methylated phen systems, because methyl groups are neither cationic nor capable of forming charge assisted strong hydrogen bonds, the idea of defining a electrostatic threshold above which the species become cytotoxic is not realistic owing to the small energy amounts involved. Here, we emphasize the importance of these CH/π interactions and notice that the higher the number of CH/π interactions, the more stabilized Eint,Eint(bod), Eint(S), and ΔEint will be. Thus, the higher number of CH/π interactions contribute to an increased stability of the intercalation complex and we extrapolate that the systems able to form more CH/π interactions will be the most cytotoxic. In fact, the 5,6-Me2phen system, which was the most cytotoxic system in the work of Brodie et al.41 (in that case intercalated between GC/GC via minor groove) has the highest number (6) of CH/π interactions for the intercalation between AT/TA base pairs via minor groove.

We believe that quantification of intrinsic contributions to interaction energy in the intercalated systems can play an important role in favoring intercalation and thus, in discrimination the cytotoxicity of the intercalators. Of course, many other variables will govern the process of intercalation/deintercalation of ligands in DNA aside from the intrinsic forces studied here, namely, solvation, entropy and steric effects as suggested by Sasikala et al.42 and Franco et al.110 Nevertheless, the intrinsic interactions that take place directly in the intercalation pocket must have a primary role and their comprehension and rationalization becomes fundamental.

Because the objective of our work was to give new and detailed insight on the nature of the intrinsic interactions that rule intercalation (ΔEdisp, ΔEelast, ΔEorb and ΔEpauli), we used a model in which the treatment at QM level with our technical resources was possible (two base pairs of DNA and the intercalator). Such interactions were studied in the seminal works of Bondarev et al.99 and Reha et al.100 with three-body models (intercalator + one base pair) and this simplest of the models continued to be used for more than one decade99–105 until the recent work of Hazarika et al.104 Nevertheless, as stated by Hill et al.,106 we need the other base-pair to have a better description of the role of the intrinsic forces that rule the intercalation. We understand that the presence of the phosphate backbone would also improve our results because we would have a more realistic model, but such systems cannot be treated at QM level with our technical resources. Despite this limitation, we believe that the main conclusion of this study, without taking into account the phosphate backbone, would remain. Indeed, more than the number of methyl groups, responsible for the increase in the polarizability of the molecule and thus, the dispersion forces, it is the effective number of CH/π interactions that governs the stability of the intercalation of methylated phen between AT/TA base pairs containing –CH3 groups in thymine. Moreover, we also believe that the systems able to form more effective CH/π interactions will be also the most cytotoxic.

**Conclusions**

We carried out DFT optimizations on the stacked systems AT/TA incorporating methylated phen intercalators (4-Mephen, 5-Mephen, 4,7-Me2phen, 5,6-Me2phen, and 3,4,7,8-Me4phen) by using the M06-2X functional, which includes the effect of dispersion, to determine the effect of intercalator methylation in the structure, energetics and bond properties of the DNA base pairs where intercalation is produced and to analyze the importance of the CH/π interactions in such systems.

The multibody energy analysis shows that the E_Mb contribution does not change significantly with methylation in agreement with the lack of changes in lengths of the hydrogen bonds. On the other hand, the effect of methylation produces more negative E_S contributions, and these become more important than the E_Hb. The E_Mb term can be considered residual in all the analyzed structures with the exception of the
folded (AT/4-Mephen/TA)mg system for which we obtained a value of $-10.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ ($\sim$14% of the $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$). This increase is due to the formation of N$_6$-H···N$_3$ hydrogen bonds between the extruded and folded adenines and is unlikely to occur in the real systems.

The EDA supports the same conclusion we obtained in our previous work.$^{24}$ Even increasing the values of polarizability by methylating phen and thus the attractive $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ contribution to $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ this $\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$ term is necessary but not enough to balance the repulsive $\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}$ contribution, so that $\Delta E_{\text{orb}}$ and specially, $\Delta E_{\text{elst}}$ contributions are crucial to achieve the stability of the intercalated system.

Finally, we found a perfect direct correlation between the number of effective CH/π interactions and the stabilization of $E_{\text{int(bod)}}$, $E_{\text{calc}}$, and $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$. It suggests that the number of effective CH/π interactions which stabilize the intercalated final structure is more important than the number of methyl groups. In an attempt to build a bridge between our results and the cytotoxicity of the systems, we suggest that the structures in which more CH/π interactions are present will also lead to the most cytotoxic systems. We hope that our work will help to shed light on understanding these important intercalation processes, which are of the highest current interest.
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