# **RSC Advances**

# PAPER

CrossMark

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 80181

Received 8th June 2016 Accepted 15th August 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra14909j

www.rsc.org/advances

## Introduction

Chemists have long been fascinated by the way nature achieves selectivity through molecular recognition.<sup>1-5</sup> In the most prominent example, enzymes reach astonishing levels of substrate discrimination by producing conformational changes in their structures as hosts following Koshland's induced-fit model.<sup>6,7</sup> Even though supramolecular chemistry has tried to emulate the efficiency of enzymes as highly selective receptors, the prevalent approximation has relied on the use of the more simplistic Fischer's lock-and-key model;<sup>8</sup> with the success of the molecular recognition event relying on the complementarity between the rigid binding partners in terms of size and shape.<sup>9,10</sup> Therefore, there is a great need for the development of conformationally-flexible synthetic hosts,<sup>11</sup> molecular receptors able to assemble and modify their structures on the basis of the characteristics of their binding partners.<sup>12–22</sup>

Having this into account, coordination-driven selfassembly<sup>23-27</sup> has been established as a powerful tool for the rational and modular construction of innumerable 2D/3D

# Guest-induced stereoselective self-assembly of quinoline-containing $Pd^{II}$ and $Pt^{II}$ metallacycles<sup>†</sup>

Víctor Blanco, \*<sup>ab</sup> Dolores Abella,<sup>b</sup> Tamara Rama,<sup>b</sup> Cristina Alvariño,<sup>b</sup> Marcos D. García, \*<sup>b</sup> Carlos Peinador\*<sup>b</sup> and José M. Quintela<sup>b</sup>

A family of mono- and dinuclear metallacycles has been self-assembled from  $(en)M(NO_3)_2$  metal centers  $(M = Pd^{II} \text{ or } Pt^{II})$  and 4,4'-bipyridinium/2,7-diazapyrenium-based ligands incorporating quinoline subunits in their structures. These receptors recognize aromatic substrates, up to the dimensions of naphthalene, by means of hydrophobic forces,  $\pi - \pi$  stacking and  $C - H \cdots \pi$  interactions. In the case of the M<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> receptors, the quinoline moieties in the short sides of these molecular rectangles, cause the existence of two atropisomers with different cavity characteristics. The formation of inclusion complexes, derived from the dinuclear hosts and appropriate aromatics, induced stereoselectivity on the self-assembly, which was found to be modulated mainly by the size of the guests and their ability to optimize the number of potential  $C-H \cdots \pi$  interactions with the two isomeric receptors. In addition, the  $\pi$ -acceptor and hydrophobic character of the host also contributes to this stereoselectivity. The proposed mechanism for the interconversion of the syn/anti isomers, studied by DFT methods, involves the rotation of the quinoline rings without dissociation of the self-assembled metallacycles.

metallacyclic receptors.<sup>28–34</sup> Among the numerous advantages of these hosts compared with their organic counterparts,<sup>23–34</sup> it should be emphasized that the structural features of their inner cavities can be controlled by a cautious choice of ligands. Furthermore, metallic ions facilitate not only their solubility in aqueous or organic media, but also give access to different geometries and allow the introduction of properties on the hosts associated with the metal employed.

In our particular case, part of our research is focused on the synthesis of new square and rectangular-shaped  $Pd^{II}/Pt^{II}$  metallacycles.<sup>35-41</sup> These hosts are synthesized by coordinationdriven self-assembly of *N*,*N*'-dialkyl or *N*-monoalkyl-4,4'bipyridinium/2,7-diazapyrenium salts as bidentate ligands around (en)ML<sub>2</sub> centers (M = Pd<sup>II</sup>/Pt<sup>II</sup>, L = NO<sub>3</sub>, OTf),<sup>42</sup> an approach that sums up to the above-mentioned advantages of metallacycles the strong  $\pi$ -deficient character of the resulting receptors, implementing  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and C-H··· $\pi$  interactions on the binding processes.<sup>43</sup>

In the present work,<sup>44</sup> we planned the introduction of quinoline moieties in the short sides of our dinuclear molecular rectangles. These heterocycles can rotate around a  $CH_2$ –N axis, giving rise to the existence of atropisomeric metallacyclic receptors with different cavity characteristics. It will be shown that these conformationally-flexible hosts can modify their shapes on the stereoselective binding with different guests, maximizing in that manner the intermolecular interactions in a way reminiscent to the induced-fit found in enzymes.

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, Campus Fuentenueva s/n, 18071, Granada, Spain. E-mail: victorblancos@ugr.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Departamento de Química Fundamental and Centro de Investigacións Científicas Avanzadas (CICA), Facultade de Ciencias, Universidade da Coruña, 15071, A Coruña, Spain. E-mail: carlos.peinador@udc.es; marcos.garcia1@udc.es

 <sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1478616–1478620.
 For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6ra14909j

### Results and discussion

#### Synthesis of the quinoline-containing ligands L1–L4

Ligands L1, L2,<sup>44</sup> L3 and L4 were obtained as their hexafluorophosphate salts by *N*-alkylation of one of the free nitrogen atoms of the corresponding precursors  $1 \cdot 2PF_6$ ,<sup>45</sup> 3 or 4 with 4-chloromethylquinoline (2a) or 4-chloromethyl-2-methylquinoline (2b), followed by counterion exchange with NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (Scheme 1). Anion metathesis of L1·3PF<sub>6</sub> and L2–L4·PF<sub>6</sub>, by subsequent treatment with Amberlite® CG-400 ion-exchange resin and AgNO<sub>3</sub>, afforded the corresponding water-soluble nitrate salts of the ligands, which were characterized by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. The identity of L4 was further confirmed by X-ray diffraction of appropriate single crystals obtained for its chloride salt (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Self-assembly of mononuclear metallacycles derived from ligand L1 and their inclusion complexes. In order to gain some insight into the ability of the quinoline-containing metallacycles as hosts, we firstly studied the self-assembly of the mononuclear species M1a and M1b (Scheme 2).

Addition of 1 equiv. of the  $Pd^{II}$  complex **5a** to a 10 mM solution of ligand  $L1 \cdot 3NO_3$  in  $D_2O$ , led to a mixture of several species as shown by the presence of multiple sets of signals in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. This behavior is similar to that shown by the simpler analogous ligand L5 (bearing a pyridine ring instead of the quinoline unit, Scheme 2),<sup>46</sup> but, unlike this previously reported system, a concentration decrease to 0.5 mM did not resulted in the full reorganization into a single species (Fig. S1, ESI†), suggesting that the presence of the larger quinoline moiety hinders the self-assembly process.

Nevertheless, this limitation could be surpassed by the addition of 3 equiv. of sodium *p*-phenylenediacetate (PDA) to the initial equimolar mixture of 5a and  $L1 \cdot 3NO_3$ . Here, the

CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, Δ

2) NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>

Amberlite

CG-400

AgNO<sub>3</sub>

Amberlite CG-400

AgNO<sub>3</sub>

1.3PF

L1.3NO

2

CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>,

2) NH₄PF<sub>€</sub>

L4 Cl

·3CI

Scheme 1 Synthesis of the quinoline-containing ligands L1–L4.

3 (4,4'-bipyridine) 4 (2,7-diazapyrene)

2a: R = H 2b: R = Me

Amberlite

CG-400 AgNO<sub>3</sub>

CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, Δ

2) NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>

L3·PF<sub>6</sub>, R = Me L2·Cl, R = H L3·Cl, R = Me

 $L2 \cdot NO_3$ , R = H  $L3 \cdot NO_3$ , R = Me





Scheme 2 Template-assisted self-assembly of metallacycles M1a,b and  $M2a,b^{15}$  (en = ethylenediamine).

electron-rich aromatic compound acts as a template for the selfassembly of the metallacycle, producing quantitatively the inclusion complex **PDA** $\subset$ **M1a** $\cdot$ 5NO<sub>3</sub> (Scheme 2), as observed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (*i.e.* the signals of the quinoline hydrogens H<sub>n</sub>, H<sub>o</sub> and H<sub>s</sub> show a pronounced downfield shift,  $\Delta\delta$ H<sub>s</sub> = 1.80 ppm,  $\Delta\delta$ H<sub>n</sub> = 0.91 ppm and  $\Delta\delta$ H<sub>o</sub> = 0.75 ppm, typical for the coordination of this heterocycle to Pd<sup>II</sup> centers) (Fig. S2, ESI†).

The self-assembly of the corresponding Pt<sup>II</sup> metallacycle M1b showed similar characteristics than those observed for the Pd<sup>II</sup> system. Thus, when an equimolar solution of ligand L1·3NO<sub>3</sub> and  $(en)Pt(NO_3)_2$  (5b) (in the 10–0.8 mM concentration range) was subjected to the conditions required to labilize the more inert Pt-N(Py) bond and achieve thermodynamic control, i.e. heating at 100 °C for 7 days, a mixture of different species was obtained (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Conversely, when a 6 mM equimolar mixture of L1·3NO<sub>3</sub> and 5b was heated in H<sub>2</sub>O at 100 °C for 7 days in the presence of 1.7 equiv. of PDA, the self-assembly process was more efficient, showing a higher extent of reorganization into a single species. Moreover, the inert character of the Pt-N(Py) bond at room temperature, that produces concentration-independent Pt<sup>II</sup> species, allowed the isolation of the void metallacycle M1b as its water-insoluble hexafluorophosphate salt, after addition of an excess NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> to the initial aqueous solution of  $PDA \subset M1b \cdot 5NO_3$ .

As shown in Fig. 1, NMR spectroscopy in CD<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> confirmed the presence of  $M1b \cdot 5PF_6$  as the major species, with signals displaying chemical shifts, compared with those of the free ligand  $L1 \cdot 3PF6$ , compatible with the  $Pt^{II}$  metallacycle. Along with the expected downfield shift experienced by the signals of the quinoline hydrogens H<sub>n-p</sub> and H<sub>r-s</sub> upon coordination (for instance,  $\Delta \delta H_s = 1.44$  ppm,  $\Delta \delta H_n = 0.59$  ppm and  $\Delta \delta H_o = 0.56$ ppm), the signals of the bipyridine units (Ha-d and Hi-l) and the phenylene spacer (H<sub>f,g</sub>), are consistently shifted to lower frequencies as a result of the shielding experienced by their temporary location inside of the inner metallacycle cavity through rotation of the pyridine rings of the bipyridine units. The structure of  $M1b \cdot 5PF_6$  was further confirmed by mass spectrometry, with the FAB-MS spectrum showing peaks at m/z= 1393.2, 1248.3, 1102.3 and 957.3,<sup>47</sup> corresponding to the loss of one to four counterions, consecutively (Fig. S4, ESI<sup>+</sup>).



Fig. 1 Partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 500 MHz) spectra of: (a) ligand L1·3PF<sub>6</sub>; (b) metallacycle M1b·5PF<sub>6</sub>; (c) inclusion complex HQ $\subset$ M1b·5PF<sub>6</sub>. The lettering corresponds to that shown on Scheme 1.

Isolation of the void mononuclear metallacycle **M1b**·5PF<sub>6</sub> allowed the study of its behavior as host. Beforehand, and with the aim of gain some insight into the structure of the receptor, this was characterized by means of DFT calculations at the B3LYP level (Table 1). Here, the optimized structure of **M1b** displays the typical rectangular shape of this type of systems,<sup>43</sup> with an inner cavity defined by the bipyridinium, phenylene and quinoline moieties arranged orthogonally to the mean plane of the metallacycle. A comparison of the different distances of the cavity of **M1b** with the simpler pyridine-containing analogue **M2b**,<sup>46</sup> showed that the presence of the quinoline ring has little influence on the size of the host (Table 1). The distance between

Table 1 Dimensions [Å] of the calculated structures of metallacycles M1b and its pyridine-containing analogue  $M2b^{\alpha}$ 



<sup>*a*</sup> The dimensions correspond to the centroid–centroid or atom–atom distances after subtracting two times the van der Waals radius of C. <sup>*b*</sup> Distances between the centroids of the corresponding pyridine rings. <sup>*c*</sup> Distances between the centroids of the pyridine ring in the quinoline moiety and the phenylene ring on the short side of the rectangle. <sup>*d*</sup> Distance between methylene groups. <sup>*e*</sup> From ref. 46.

the bipyridine units within **M1b** is 3.85-3.98 Å, varying only <0.1 Å respect to the reference species **M2b**, and quite close to the ideal value for the inclusion of aromatic rings. The length of the long side of the rectangle remains essentially unchanged in both metallacycles ( $\approx 6.8$  Å). Therefore, and on the basis of the DFT calculations, the quinoline moiety should have no influence on the requirements of size and shape of the potential guests for the metallacyclic host **M1b**.

With this information in our hands, we proceeded to study in CD<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> the inclusion of a selected series of aromatics (hydroquinone (HQ), 1,4-bis[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]benzene (BHEB) and 1,5-bis[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]naphthalene (1,5NPH)) within M1b. Firstly, addition of 3 equiv. of HQ or BHEB resulted in the formation of the corresponding inclusion complexes as can be deduced from the inspection of the NMR spectra (Fig. 1c and S5, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The signals of the phenylene and quinoline rings show a downfield shift, accounting for the existence of C-H $\cdots\pi$ interactions in solution, between the hydrogen atoms of the guest and the aromatic rings on the short sides of the host. Conversely, hydrogens in  $\beta$ -position of the pyridine rings, located in the central part of the cavity, shift upfield due to the shielding produced by the aromatic ring of the guest. On the other hand, addition of 1 equiv. of **1,5NPH** also resulted in changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum which, however, could not be analyzed as a result of it is complexity, probably due to the lower symmetry of the aggregate, as a result of the insertion of the guest and the equilibrium established between the two insertion modes of the naphthalene moiety.

Self-assembly of dinuclear metallacycles derived from ligand L2 and their inclusion complexes. When compared to L1, ligands L2 and L3 show an important difference, as they would lead to dinuclear  $M_2L_2$  species in their self-assembly with the complexes 5a,b. As a result, the self-assembled metallacycles would display two quinoline units that can give rise to *syn* and *anti* stereoisomers depending on their relative orientation (Scheme 3). These two isomers might, however, display different binding affinities with aromatic guests due to the different number of potential C–H… $\pi$  interactions that can be



Scheme 3 Template-assisted self-assembly of metallacycles anti/syn-M3a.

established between the guest and the quinoline units as a result of their different spatial arrangements. This circumstance was demonstrated by our group in a previous communication,<sup>44</sup> in which we reported the self-assembly of the corresponding dinuclear metallacycles **M3a** and **M3b** as a 1 : 1 mixture of the *syn* and *anti* isomers.

In that case, although the Pt<sup>II</sup> system **M3b** was efficiently prepared from an equimolar 0.5 mM solution of ligand  $L2 \cdot NO_3$ and 5b, a template was again needed to obtain the Pd<sup>II</sup> analogue **M3a**  $\cdot$ 6NO<sub>3</sub> (Scheme 3). When the template-assisted selfassembly was studied with a small group of selected aromatic guests, we observed by NMR spectroscopy that **1,5NPH** promoted the completely stereoselective self-assembly of the corresponding inclusion complex. X-ray crystallography showed that the *anti*-**M3a** isomer was the sole atropisomer present in **1,5NPH** $\subset$ **M3a**  $\cdot$ 6NO<sub>3</sub>, with the observed stereoselectivity being attributed to an increased number of potential C-H $\cdots \pi$  interactions between the guest and the anti-receptor compared to its *syn* isomer.<sup>44</sup>

In the present work, we further investigated this stereoselective self-assembly process, trying to discern the influence of the nature and the substitution pattern of the guest in the stereoselectivity. Both phenylene and naphthalene electron-rich derivatives were chosen as substrates, as they show the adequate size to fit into the host.

Not only compounds with the hydroxyl groups in different positions were tested, but also derivatives with diethyleneglycol linkages, which have been shown to contribute to the overall stability of the complexes by means of C–H…O hydrogen bonds.<sup>35-43</sup>

The results obtained are summarized in Table 2, showing several general trends. Firstly, single phenylene compounds did not induce any stereoselectivity (entries 1-5, 18), and only certain naphthalene derivatives (entries 7, 9-11, 13) were found to modify the expected 50: 50 syn/anti ratio. Whether the electron donating group is a hydroxyl or a diethyleneglycol moiety has a certain impact on the stereoselectivity, but does not seem to play a key role. Hence, only slight improvements in the isomer ratio are observed when the latter group is present compared with the hydroxy-substituted compounds (entries 9, 11), probably due to its larger size. However, 2,7NPH does not follow this trend and the nature of the electron-donating group showed strong impact on the stereoselectivity (entry 13), as it induces full reorganization to a single isomer, while 2,7DHN, its hydroxy analogue, maintains the initial 50:50 ratio (Table 2, entry 12).

Whilst **1,5NPH** was found to favor the *anti*-stereoisomer, as previously shown, **1,3NPH** and **2,3NPH** should favor *syn*-**M3a** if we assume that they adopt a similar insertion mode. Here, the steric hindrance between the polyether chains in position 2 or 3 of the guest and one of the quinoline rings in the *anti*-isomer would be, potentially, the factor determining the preference for the *syn*-isomer. As anticipated, inspection of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showed that both **1,3NPH** and **2,3NPH** did in fact induce a change in the *syn/anti* ratio, although in a less efficient manner that the effect exerted by **1,5NHP**, as only 66 : 33 and 70 : 30 ratios were achieved, respectively (Table 2, entries 9,

11).44 This behavior is consistent with the number of host-guest C-H··· $\pi$  interactions established being a key factor on the observed stereoselectivity, as 1,3NPH and 2,3NPH can only give rise to three C-H $\cdots\pi$  interactions with the quinoline units in the supposedly preferred syn-M3a  $\cdot$  6NO<sub>3</sub> isomer, instead of the four established in **1,5NPH**⊂*anti*-**M3a** · 6NO<sub>3</sub>. The higher ratio observed for 2,3NPH compared to the 60:40 ratio induced by 2,3DHN supports the hypothesis of the steric clash between the polyether chains and the quinoline rings of the host being a factor in the stereoselectivity. However, the similarity between the atropisomers precluded the assignment of the two sets of signals observed by NMR spectroscopy and, unfortunately, no good quality single crystals could be obtained for the inclusion complexes  $1,3NPH/2,3NPH \subset M3a \cdot 6NO_3$  to unambiguously identify the major metallacycle species as the syn isomer. Nevertheless, the data in Table 2 supports the conclusions of our previous study, allowing us to further corroborate that the nature and the substitution pattern of the aromatic guest plays a key role in the observed stereoselectivity of self-assembly processes.44

For a series of reasons, the proposed mechanism for the synanti interconversion was pointed out to be the rotation of the quinoline ring around the M-N(quinoline)-CH<sub>2</sub> axis, crucially without dissociation of the M-N(quinoline) bond (Fig. 2). The experimental Gibbs free energy barrier for this process in the corresponding Pt<sup>II</sup> metallacycle M3b·6PF<sub>6</sub> was found to be  $\Delta G_{\exp}^{\ddagger} = 75.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , as measured by the coalescence method,48 and resulted in good agreement with the theoretical value of  $\Delta G_{calc}^{\ddagger} = 69.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  determined by DFT calculations.44 To further support the proposed interconversion mechanism, the energy barrier was also determined for the corresponding Pd<sup>II</sup> metallacycle in the inclusion complex  $HQ \subset M3a \cdot 6NO_3$ . In this case, the experimental Gibbs free energy barrier was measured applying the coalescence method to the signals between 8.60 and 8.80 ppm (Fig. S6, ESI<sup>+</sup>), obtaining a value of  $\Delta G_{\exp}^{\ddagger} = 71.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , very close to that observed for the Pt<sup>II</sup> metallacycle. DFT calculations at the M06 level in vacuo, using the Synchronous Transit-guided Quasi-Newton method,<sup>49,50</sup> showed that both the syn and anti atropisomers are connected through a transition state in which the quinoline unit is rotated by ca. 90° around the M–N(quinoline)– CH<sub>2</sub>. As in the analogous Pt<sup>II</sup> metallacycle, no dissociation of the Pd–N(quin) is observed. The rotation barrier was calculated as  $\Delta G_{\text{calc}}^{\ddagger} = 63.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The good agreement between the experimental values of the energy barriers for M3a and M3b reinforces the validity of our proposed mechanism, as these energies seem to be essentially independent of the nature of the square-planar metal center, despite Pd<sup>II</sup>- and Pt<sup>II</sup>-pyridine complexes showing a quite different degree of kinetic lability. In this direction, when 1,5NPH was added at room temperature to a 1:1 mixture of  $syn/anti-M3b \cdot 6PF_6$  in  $CD_3NO_2$ , a sole atropisomer was detected in solution, with only one set of signals for the quinoline moieties observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum recorded at 253 K (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>). This spectrum is compatible with the inclusion complex  $1,5NPH \subset 11b \cdot 6PF_6$ , with some signals of the naphthalene moiety strongly shielded ( $\delta$  = 2.5 and 3.5 ppm) due to its inclusion inside the cavity, and all the

#### Table 2 Ratio between the two stereoisomers obtained in the template-assisted self-assembly of metallacycles syn/anti-M3a·6NO<sub>3</sub><sup>a</sup>

Entry	Guest	Guest		Isomers ratio
1 2		HQ BHEB	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	$50:50^b$ $50:50^b$
3 4	OR	RS 1,3RS	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	50 : 50 <sup>b</sup> 50 : 50
5	ОН	ТНВ		$50:50^{b}$
6 7		1,5DHN 1,5NPH	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	-c 100 : 0 <sup>b</sup>
8 9	OR	1,3DHN 1,3NPH	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	50:50 $66:33^{b}$
10 11	OR	2,3DHN 2,3NPH	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	$60:40^d$ 70:30
12 13	RO	2,7DHN 2,7NPH	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	$50:50^d \\ 100:0^d$
14 15	OR RO	1,6DHN 1,6NPH	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	$50:50^d$
16 17	RO CO-Na	2,6DHN 2,6NPH	$\begin{split} R &= H \\ R &= (CH_2)_2 O(CH_2)_2 OH \end{split}$	$50:50^d$
18	NaO <sub>2</sub> C	PDA		50 : 50
19		Naphthalene		$50:50^{d}$

<sup>*a*</sup> The ratio was determined by integration of <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals corresponding to the different isomers. <sup>*b*</sup> From ref. 44. <sup>*c*</sup> No analysis was possible due to the broad signals observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. <sup>*d*</sup> The self-assembly was not complete as other minor species were observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum.

resonances of the bipyridinium units being non-equivalent as a result of the loss of symmetry produced by the slow exchange between the two possible insertion modes for the naphthalene guest. As the Pt–N(Py) bond is kinetically inert at room temperature, the reorganization of the system towards a single stereoisomer further supports the proposed mechanism for the *syn/anti* interconversion of the atropisomers.

Self-assembly of dinuclear metallacycles derived from ligand L3 and their inclusion complexes. To delve more into the stereoselective self-assembly of the quinoline-containing metallacycles, as well as the proposed interconversion mechanism for the *syn/anti*-atropisomers, we attempted the self-assembly of dinuclear hosts from ligand L3 (Scheme 4), which owns a methyl group in the C-2 position of the quinoline ring. Here, the additional bulkiness provided by the methyl should raise the rotation barrier due to destabilization of the transition state. Nevertheless, metallacycle M4 could not be self-assembled in solution, as the addition of 1 equiv. of 5a to a 10 mM aqueous solution of L3·NO<sub>3</sub> promoted little changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, being the free ligand the major species. Addition of an aromatic guest such as HQ or 1,5NPH as template, even in combination with NaNO<sub>3</sub> to strengthen hydrophobic forces,



Fig. 2 Proposed mechanism for the interconversion of the quinolinecontaining dinuclear metallacycles exemplified for *syn/anti*-M3a.



Scheme 4 Crystallization-mediated self-assembly of inclusion complexes  $guest \subset anti-M4.6NO_3$ .

was not sufficient in this case to induce a complete selfassembly, with a mixture of species being observed by NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S8, ESI†). This behavior can be clearly attributed to the presence of the bulky methyl group in  $\alpha$  position to the N atom, which, in combination with the phenyl ring of the quinoline moiety, hampers the coordination to the metal center due to the increased steric hindrance when compared with non-substituted quinoline ligands.<sup>51</sup>

Nevertheless, X-ray diffraction-quality single crystals of the inclusion complex  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  could be grown from a solution of ligand  $L3 \cdot NO_3$ , (en)Pd( $NO_3$ )<sub>2</sub> (5a) and HQ in NaNO<sub>3(aq)</sub> (3 M) at 4 °C (Scheme 4). The obtained crystal structure shows the inclusion of a hydroquinone molecule inside the cavity of the host, with only the *anti-M4* stereoisomer



Fig. 3 Capped-stick representation of the crystal structure of inclusion complex  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ . Solvent molecules, counterions and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Color scheme: C, grey; O, red; N, blue; Pd, yellow. Only one of the two disordered positions of the guest is shown.

present in the structure (Fig. 3). The distances between HQ and the bipyridinium units are 3.39 and 3.42 Å, adequate to maximize the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. Other structural features of the inclusion complex in the solid state are in good agreement to those reported to analogous systems,<sup>43</sup> with the quinoline units of the host almost orthogonal (85°) to the mean plane of the metallacycle. As a result, the CH<sub>3</sub> groups are, as expected, in the proximity of the Pd centers (C–Pd distance: 3.12 Å), creating a steric clash that hinders the self-assembly process in solution, as evidenced also by the Pd–N(quinoline) distance being of 2.07 Å, slightly longer than the average values for the Pd–N bonds in this kind of metallacycles.

Interestingly, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum recorded immediately after dissolving the crystals of  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  in  $D_2O$  was much simpler than that obtained by solution self-assembly (Fig. 4). This spectrum showed the presence of a major species (ca. 85%) as one set of signals for the metallacycle was observed in vast majority, which corresponds to one of the host stereoisomers, likely the anti (Fig. 4b). The shift to higher frequencies of the signals of H<sub>k</sub> and the methyl group  $(\Delta \delta H_k =$ 1.94 ppm and  $\Delta \delta H_g = 0.83$  ppm), confirms the coordination of the quinoline unit to the Pd<sup>II</sup> center resulting in the H<sub>k</sub> and the methyl group being located above the coordination plane of the metal center. In addition, the shift to lower frequencies of the signal of the HQ ( $\Delta \delta = -1.83$  ppm) together with the upfield shift of the signals of the bipyridinium H in  $\beta$  position ( $\Delta \delta H_b =$ -0.58 ppm and  $\Delta\delta H_c = -0.72$  ppm) indicate the inclusion of the guest within the metallacycle cavity. A comparison of the chemical shift of the aromatic hydrogens of the hydroquinone with previously reported systems, shows that the inclusion process should be fast on the NMR timescale and the signal observed corresponds to an average between the complexed and uncomplexed HQ.

Therefore, NMR spectroscopy probed the existence of the metallacycle **M4** in aqueous media, suggesting that the cause



Fig. 4 Partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 500 MHz) spectra of: (a) ligand  $L3 \cdot NO_3$ ; (b)  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ , recorded straightaway after dissolution of crystals; (c) solution (b) after 7 d. The lettering corresponds to that shown on Scheme 1. \* Signal corresponding to 1,4-benzoquinone, obtained from a partial oxidation of HQ.

#### Paper

for the solution self-assembly to fail was related to kinetic reasons rather than the thermodynamic stability of the system. Interestingly, and as expected for the results obtained for metallacycle M3a (*vide supra*), the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum acquired from the same sample after one week at room temperature, showed a mixture of the inclusion complexes derived from the *syn* and *anti* atropisomers in a 1:1 relationship, indicating that the expected equilibrium ratio between both isomers had been reached (Fig. 4c). This result is in good agreement with the expected higher rotational barrier of the quinoline moieties around the CH<sub>2</sub>–C and Pt–N bonds, as a consequence of the steric hindrance owed to the methyl group that slows down the interconversion process between the atropisomers.

To further study this isomerization barrier, VT NMR experiments were carried out with a solution of  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  in  $D_2O$  (Fig. S9, ESI†). When the temperature was raised to 333.5 K, a 1 : 1 ratio between the *syn* and *anti* stereoisomers was readily observed, showing that at this temperature the interconversion process is faster enough to allow the process to reach equilibrium within minutes. However, slow exchange in the NMR timescale is observed, even when the temperature was raised to 358.5 K, and as it can be deducted from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum which displays two well-defined sets of signals for the host with no evidences of coalescence.

This behavior exhibited for **M4** is clearly in contrast with the results obtained for the non-substituted quinoline-based system  $HQ \subset syn/anti$ -M3a·6NO<sub>3</sub>, for which coalescence was observed at 333.5 K and fast exchange in the NMR timescale between the atropisomers at 351.5 K, a result in good agreement with a higher barrier for the methylquinoline rotation. Because the experimental value of the interconversion barrier could not be calculated experimentally as coalescence was not reached, we estimated its value as  $\Delta G_{calc}^{\ddagger} = 118.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  by means of DFT calculations at the M06 level *in vacuo*, using the Synchronous Transit-guided Quasi-Newton method. The comparison of the calculated transition state for the *syn/anti* interconversion in **M4** and **M3a** clearly explains the higher value for the energy barrier observed in the former (Fig. S11, ESI†).

As expected, both transition states show an intermediate arrangement between the syn and anti conformations, with one of the quinoline moieties located approximately orthogonal to its orientation in both isomers (see Fig. S11, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Crucially, both systems show different degrees of distortion of the metallacyclic structure. Therefore, whilst the shape and size of the metallacycle are essentially maintained for the transition state geometry of M3a, although displaying an enlargement of Pd-N(quinoline) bond length (ca. 0.2 Å) and with the (en)Pd and the quinoline groups slightly out of the equatorial plane of the structure. In contrast, the structure of the transition state of M4 shows a more pronounced alteration. In this case, the (en)Pd group is also out of the equatorial plane of the metallacycle, yet the plane defined by the Pd and the N atoms of the ethylenediamine moiety and the mean plane of the metallacycle, defined by the remaining three corners of the molecular rhomboid, form an angle of ca. 27° in sharp contrast to the ca. 4° angle observed in M3a. Moreover, the distance between the centroids of the pyridine rings closest to the rotational center is

increased by 0.55 Å in M4, while this distance remains essentially unchanged in M3a (0.03 Å increase). The origin of this pronounced distortion for M4, and therefore its increased rotational barrier when compared with M3a, can be undoubtedly attributed to the methyl group in the substituted quinoline pointing towards one of the rings of the bipyridine unit and giving rise to a significant steric clash.

Following the above-mentioned crystallization-mediated self-assembly, single crystals of the inclusion complexes RSand  $1,5NPH \subset M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  were also obtained. The solid state structures show the formation of the expected inclusion complexes, with the corresponding aromatic guest inside the cavity of the metallacycle in its anti atropisomeric form. The dimensions of the void cavity and, other structural features of the host in both crystals, are very similar to those observed in  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ . In particular, it should be noted that hostguest C-H··· $\pi$  interactions play a key role again on the observed atroposelectivity. For the RS substrate within  $RS \subset anti M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ , these interactions are found between the hydrogen in position C4 and the benzene ring of the closest quinoline unit. In the case of 1,5NPH Canti-M4 · 6NO<sub>3</sub>, the solid state structure displays four C-H··· $\pi$  interactions between the hydrogen atoms in positions C4 and C8 and the pyridine ring of the quinoline units, and the hydrogen atoms in positions C3 and C7 and the benzene ring of the quinoline units. Additionally, C-H-··O hydrogen bonds are established between the methylene hydrogens and those in  $\alpha$  position of the pyridinium ring and the oxygen atoms of the polyether chains of 1,5NPH (Fig. 5).

The crystals of **RS** $\subset$  anti-**M4**·6NO<sub>3</sub> were dissolved in D<sub>2</sub>O and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum recorded soon thereafter. The spectrum is similar to that obtained for **HQ** $\subset$  anti-**M4**·6NO<sub>3</sub>, with a single



Fig. 5 Solid state structure of inclusion complexes  $RS \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  (top), and  $1,5NPH \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  (bottom) showing the  $C-H\cdots\pi$  (a-c) and  $C-H\cdots0$  (d-f) interactions.  $[H\cdots\pi]$  or  $[H\cdots\Omega]$  and  $[C\cdots\pi]$  or  $[C\cdots\Omega]$  distances and  $[C-H\cdots\pi/O]$  angles: (a) 3.09 Å, 3.97 Å,  $154^{\circ}$ ; (b) 2.69 Å, 3.61 Å,  $163^{\circ}$ ; (c) 2.80 Å, 3.58 Å,  $140^{\circ}$ ; (d) 2.36 Å, 3.20 Å,  $148^{\circ}$ ; (e) 2.65 Å, 3.43 Å,  $140^{\circ}$ ; (f) 2.43 Å, 3.34 Å,  $153^{\circ}$ . Solvent molecules, counterions and remaining hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Color scheme: C, grey; O, red; N, blue; H, white; Pd, yellow.

atropisomer as a major species (ca. 90%) and chemical shifts for the metallacycle signals similar to those observed in the inclusion complex with HQ ( $\Delta\delta H_k = 1.95$  ppm,  $\Delta\delta H_g = 0.86$  ppm,  $\Delta \delta H_{\rm b} = -0.56$  ppm and  $\Delta \delta H_{\rm c} = -0.71$  ppm). As expected, the signals of the substrate **RS** are shifted upfield ( $\Delta \delta H_2 = -1.85$ ppm,  $\Delta \delta H_4 = -1.68$  ppm, and  $\Delta \delta H_5 = -2.09$  ppm, supporting the presence of the inclusion complex (Fig. S10, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Self-assembly of dinuclear metallacycles derived from ligand L4 and their inclusion complexes. To conclude our study, we decided to investigate the influence of the nature of the  $\pi$ acceptor unit in the self-assembly processes of the designed quinoline-containing metallacycles. Thus, we developed ligand L4, which displays a 2,7-diazapirenium unit instead of the 4,4bipyridinium system, with the aim of strenghten  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and hydrophobic interactions with aromatic guests as a result of the larger  $\pi$ -surface of the 2,7-diazapyrene moiety.

Unlike previous systems, in which no complete self-assembly was observed in the absence of a template, addition of 1 equiv. of 5a to a 10 mM solution of L4 · NO<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O induced complete self-assembly of metallacycle M5.6NO3 as a mixture of the chiral syn and meso anti atropisomers (Scheme 5). Hence, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum shows two sets of signals in a 1:1 ratio, with very similar chemical shifts, as expected, for the syn and anti atropisomers. Assignment of the signals by means of 2D NMR spectroscopy, showed that the quinoline and diazapyrenium protons H<sub>f-k</sub> and H<sub>a</sub> are shifted to higher frequencies, indicating the coordination of the bidentate ligand to the  $Pd^{II}$ centers. In contrast, the remaining signals of the diazapyrenium moieties are shifted to lower frequencies, due to the influence of the hydrophobic inner cavity formed. Additionally, the methylene protons become non-equivalent as a result of the rotation of the quinoline units around the CH<sub>2</sub>-Pd axis being slow on the NMR timescale. This trend is similar to that observed in the other systems studied herein and further confirms the self-assembly of the metallacyclic host syn/anti- $M5 \cdot 6NO_3$ . A plausible reason for the more efficient selfassembly process observed in this case, can be attributed to



anti-M5·6NO svn-M5.6NO2

Scheme 5 Self-assembly of metallacycles anti/syn-M5.6NO3.

the more favored solvation of the dimeric metallacycle compared to other linear and higher cyclic oligomers, a fact clearly linked to the increased hydrophobic character of the diazapyrene acceptor unit compared to 4,4-bipyridine.

Subsequently, we studied the self-assembly of an inclusion complex between HQ and metallacycle M5 · 6NO<sub>3</sub>. Addition of 1 equiv. of the guest to the previously self-assembled species promoted the formation of the corresponding inclusion complex, as evidenced by the inspection of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (Fig. 6). In this occasion, the signals for the quinoline unit are once more shifted downfield, suggesting the establishment of C-H··· $\pi$  interactions with the HQ molecule within the inner cavity. Moreover, the diazapyrenium signals are broad, probably due to a coalescence situation for the inclusion process on the NMR timescale. This behavior represents a clear difference respect to the bipyridine-based metallacycles M1-M4, as in those cases the inclusion process was fast on the NMR timescale, a fact related to the more intense  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and hydrophobic interactions that can be established between diazapyrenium units of the host and the aromatic guest. More interestingly, upon formation of  $HQ \subset M5 \cdot 6NO_3$ , a 2 : 1 ratio between the two atropisomers was obtained, instead of the 1:1 ratio observed with metallacycles  $M3 \cdot 6NO_3$  and  $M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  in the equilibrium. This result shows how the stereoselectivity of the self-assembly also depends on the strength of the  $\pi$  and hydrophobic interactions between host and guest in addition to the C-H $\cdots\pi$ interactions. The interconversion between the stereoisomers is slow on the NMR timescale at room temperature as in previous systems, with VT NMR spectroscopy giving a coalescence temperature of 358 K (Fig. S12, ESI<sup>†</sup>). However, the interconversion barrier could not be measured in this case, as the coalescence method can only be applied when the initial species exist in a 1 : 1 ratio.



Fig. 6 Partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $D_2O_1$ , 500 MHz) spectra of: (a) ligand L4·NO<sub>3</sub>; (b) metallacycle  $syn/anti-M5.6NO_3$ ; (c) inclusion complex HQ  $\subset syn/anti-M5.6NO_3$ ; anti-M5.6NO<sub>3</sub>. The lettering corresponds to that shown on Scheme 1. \* Signal corresponding to 1,4-benzoguinone, obtained from a partial oxidation of HQ.



Fig. 7 Capped sticks drawing of the solid state structure of the inclusion complex **2,7DHN**  $\sub$  anti-**M5**·6NO<sub>3</sub> showing the C-H··· $\pi$  interactions. [H··· $\pi$ ] and [C··· $\pi$ ] distances and [C-H··· $\pi$ ] angles: (a) 2.77 Å, 3.69 Å, 163°; (b) 3.02 Å, 3.94 Å, 165°; (c) 3.02 Å, 3.94 Å, 165°. Solvent molecules, counterions and remaining hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Only one of the two disordered positions of the guest is shown.

When 2,7DHN was used as guest instead of HQ, X-ray diffraction-quality single crystals were obtained from a solution of the inclusion complex in NaNO<sub>3(aq)</sub>, allowing the study of its structure in the solid state. This crystal shows the presence of the anti-stereoisomer with one molecule of 2,7DHN within its cavity. The metallacycle displays similar structural features as those commented for the other inclusion complexes reported in this work, showing three C-H $\cdots\pi$  interactions between the H atoms in positions C1, C4 and C5 of the guest and the pyridine or benzene rings of the quinoline groups (Fig. 7). These C-H $\cdots\pi$ interactions determine a transversal insertion mode of the guest within the cavity of the receptor, with the O-O vector of 2,7DHN forming an angle of 81° with the mean plane of the metallacycle and the C<sub>2</sub> axis of the guest being almost parallel to it (angle ca. 7°), allowing in this manner the establishment of the C-H $\cdots$  $\pi$  interactions as the hydrogen atoms of the guest are directly oriented towards the corresponding aromatic rings of the quinoline moieties. Due to the lower symmetry of the 2,7DHN compared with M5, there are two equivalent transversal insertion modes for the guest within the cavity of the receptor. An inspection of the structure packing revealed as well the presence of three additional molecules of 2,7DHN outside the cavity of the metallacycle. Whilst one of these seems to be filling empty space in the lattice but not interacting significantly with the metallacycle, a second one, however, is located between the diazapyrenium units of two different metallacycles, at 3.39 Å from them, evidencing the presence of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions outside the host cavity. The third one is located between the quinoline moieties of different metallacycles, although the stacking between the units shows a more distorted geometry.

# Conclusions

A series of mono- and dinuclear metallacycles were prepared by self-assembly of  $(en)M(NO_3)_2$  (M = Pd<sup>II</sup> or Pt<sup>II</sup>) and 4,4'bipyridinium/2,7-diazapyrenium-based ligands incorporating quinoline subunits in their structures. We have shown that the mononuclear metallacycle **M1** act as receptor for  $\pi$ -donor phenylene and naphthalene aromatic guests by means of hydrophobic forces,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking and C-H… $\pi$  interactions. The structure optimized by DFT methods showed that the quinoline

unit promoted little changes in the size and shape of host in comparison with metallacycle M2, in which the quinoline unit is replaced by a phenylene moiety. The presence of quinoline moieties in the short sides of the dinuclear rectangular metallacycles M3-5, gives rise to the existence of two different stereoisomers. The inclusion process of appropriate aromatics in solution or crystallization-mediated induced stereoselectivity on the self-assembly. This stereoselectivity was found to be modulated mainly by the size of the guests and their ability to optimize the number of potential C-H $\cdots$  $\pi$  interactions with the two isomeric receptors. In addition, the increased  $\pi$ -acceptor and hydrophobic character of the host also contributes to an enhanced stereoselectivity. The proposed mechanism for the interconversion of the syn/anti isomers, studied by DFT methods, involves the rotation of the quinoline rings on the metallacycles without dissociation of the metal-pyridine bonds. The interconversion energy barrier in M3a and M4 was studied by VT-NMR and DFT methods. A comparison of the values obtained for M3a and M4 also support the proposed interconversion mechanism as the energy barrier increased with the steric hindrance around the quinoline moiety.

# Experimental

#### Crystal structure analysis

X-ray diffraction quality single crystals of L4 · Cl were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated solution of the ligand in water. Single crystals of the inclusion complexes HQ Canti-M4·6NO<sub>3</sub>, RS $\sub{anti-M4·6NO_3}$ , 1,5NPH $\sub{anti-M4·6NO_3}$  and **2,7DHN**  $\subset$  anti-M5  $\cdot$  6NO<sub>3</sub> were obtained from an equimolecular solution of ligand  $L3 \cdot NO_3$  or  $L4 \cdot NO_3$ , (en)Pd( $NO_3$ )<sub>2</sub> (5a) and the corresponding guest in NaNO<sub>3(aq)</sub> (3 M) maintained at 4 °C. The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker Smart 1k or a Bruker X8 APEX(II) diffractometer. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXT<sup>52</sup> or SIR<sup>53,54</sup>) and refined with the full-matrix least-squares procedure (SHELX 2014)55 against F<sup>2</sup> by using the WinGX<sup>56</sup> software. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions  $(U_{eg}(H) = 1.2U_{eg}(C) \text{ or } U_{eg}(H) = 1.5U_{eg}(C))$ and were allowed to ride on their parent atoms. The X-ray diffraction experimental and refinement data are summarized in Table 3. The HQ molecule in the inclusion complex  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  is disordered into two positions and a RIGU instruction was used in the refinement. Two of nitrate anions were disordered and modeled accordingly into two halfoccupied positions using RIGU and PART instructions where appropriate. The lower symmetry of 2,7DHN compared with M5 in 2,7DHN  $\subset$  anti-M5.6NO<sub>3</sub> results in two possible insertion modes of the guest within the cavity of the metallacycle. The resulting disorder into two positions of 2,7DHN was modeled by using the PART instruction in combination with the EXYZ and EADP instructions and DFIX, DANG, SIMU, DELU and ISOR restraints and constraints. Disordered 2,7DHN molecules outside the cavity were modeled following the same strategy. Moreover, one of the nitrate counterions in the asymmetric unit is disordered and could not be modelled adequately by assigning specific positions to those atoms. These 8 nitrate anions in the unit cell have been treated as a diffuse

Table 3 Su	ummary of	crystallographic	X-ray experimental	data and refinement <sup>a</sup>
------------	-----------	------------------	--------------------	----------------------------------

	$\mathbf{L4}\!\cdot\!\mathbf{Cl}\!\cdot\!\mathbf{2H}_{2}\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf{HQ} \subset \mathbf{M4} \cdot 6\mathrm{NO}_3 \cdot 5\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$	$\mathbf{RS} \subset \mathbf{M4} \cdot 6\mathrm{NO}_3 \cdot 11\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$	$\mathbf{1,5NPH} \! \subset \! \mathbf{M4} \! \cdot \! \mathbf{6NO}_3 \! \cdot \! \mathbf{12H}_2 \mathbf{O}$	<b>2,7DHN⊂M5</b> ·6NO <sub>3</sub> ·8H <sub>2</sub> O
Chemical formula	C24H16ClN3O2	C <sub>52</sub> H <sub>58</sub> N <sub>16</sub> O <sub>25</sub> Pd <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>52</sub> H <sub>63</sub> N <sub>16</sub> O <sub>31</sub> Pd <sub>2</sub>	$C_{64}H_{76}N_{16}O_{36}Pd_2$	$C_{92}H_{80}N_{16}O_{34}Pd_2$
$M_{ m r}$	413.85	1519.94	1620.98	1858.20	2166.52
Temperature [K]	293	100	100	100	100
Crystal size [mm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.33 imes 0.21 imes 0.065	$\textbf{0.24} \times \textbf{0.14} \times \textbf{0.06}$	$0.29\times0.13\times0.12$	0.43  imes 0.38  imes 0.22	$0.31\times0.13\times0.085$
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$Pca2_1$	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	C2/c
<i>a</i> [Å]	14.075(2)	9.7510(15)	9.7594(2)	12.4048(12)	19.126(5)
b Å	7.9884(11)	11.3294(18)	18.3309(4)	13.2527(13)	20.379(5)
c Å	17.570(2)	14.580(2)	19.7117(4)	13.3614(13)	25.002(5)
α <sup>[°]</sup>	90	80.294(3)	97.4150(10)	66.448(2)	90
β[°]	90	89.934(3)	90.7800(10)	80.549(2)	102.801(5)
γ [°]	90	83.204(3)	102.7820(10)	83.081(2)	90
$V[Å^3]$	1975.6(5)	1576.2(4)	3407.00(12)	1982.6(3)	9503(4)
Z	4	1	2	1	4
$\rho_{\rm calcd}  [{\rm Mg \ m^{-3}}]$	1.391	1.601	1.580	1.556	1.514
$\mu [\text{mm}^{-1}]$	0.220	0.665	0.627	0.554	0.473
F(000)	856	774	1654	952	4432
$\theta$ range [°]	2.32 to 27.02	1.42 to 28.46	1.04 to 29.87	1.68 to 28.37	1.48 to 28.31
hkl ranges	$-17,\!17/\!-10,$ $10/\!-22,\!22$	-10,13/-15,15/-19,19	-13,13/-25,25/-27,27	-16,16/-17,17/-17,17	-25,15/-27,27/-33,33
Reflections collected	22 683	21 927	123 471	26 214	46 176
Independent reflections	4314	7797	19 223	9786	11 712
R <sub>int</sub>	0.1501	0.0712	0.0407	0.0292	0.0590
Completeness [%]	100.0	99.0	99.6	99.9	99.8
Final R indices	$R_1 = 0.0676$	$R_1 = 0.0697$	$R_1 = 0.0667$	$R_1 = 0.0645$	$R_1 = 0.0840$
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$wR_2 = 0.1519$	$wR_2 = 0.1710$	$wR_2 = 0.1722$	$wR_2 = 0.1827$	$wR_2 = 0.2475$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1968$	$R_1 = 0.1237$	$R_1 = 0.0925$	$R_1 = 0.0800$	$R_1 = 0.1321$
、 ,	$wR_2 = 0.2065$	$wR_2 = 0.2127$	$wR_2 = 0.1934$	$wR_2 = 0.1947$	$wR_2 = 0.2962$
Goodness-of-fit on <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup>	0.975	1.047	1.039	1.051	1.067

<sup>*a*</sup> In common: refinement method, full-matrix least-squares on *F*<sup>2</sup>. Wavelength, 0.71073 Å (Mo-Kα). Absorption correction method, multi-scan.

contribution to the overall scattering by applying the SQUEEZE<sup>57</sup> routine included in PLATON.<sup>58</sup> Additionally, some of the nitrate counterions in  $HQ \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ ,  $1,5NPH \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$  and  $2,7DHN \subset anti-M5 \cdot 6NO_3$  show disorder that was treated using the SIMU, DELU, FLAT, DFIX, DANG and ISOR restraints and constraints where appropriate. CCDC-1478616 (L4 · Cl), CCDC-1478617 (HQ  $\subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ ), CCDC-1478618 (RS  $\subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ ), CCDC-1478619 ( $1,5NPH \subset anti-M4 \cdot 6NO_3$ ) and CCDC-1478620 ( $2,7DHN \subset anti-M5 \cdot 6NO_3$ ) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.<sup>†</sup>

#### **Computational methods**

DFT calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 (Revision B.01)<sup>59</sup> program package with the B3LYP three-parameter hybrid density functional (**M1b**) or the hybrid meta-GGA approximation with the M06 (ref. 60) functional for *syn/anti-***M3a** and **M4**. In these calculations we used the standard 6-31G(d,p) basis set for C, H, and N atoms, whereas for Pt and Pd the LanL2DZ effective core potential of Wadt and Hay<sup>61</sup> (Los Alamos ECP) and its associated basis set were applied. No symmetry constraints have been imposed during the optimizations. The stationary points found on the potential energy surfaces as a result of geometry optimizations of **M1b** and *syn/anti*-**M3a** and **M4** were tested to represent energy minima rather than saddle points *via* frequency analysis. The diastereoisomer interconversion process in **M3a** and **M4** was studied *in vacuo* by using the synchronous transit-guided quasi-Newton method<sup>49,50</sup> implemented in Gaussian 09. TS-**M3a** and TS-**M4** were also tested by frequency analysis to confirm they correspond to transition states.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO FEDER, CTQ201341097-P) and Xunta de Galicia (EM2014/056). V. B. and T. R. thank the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) for a 'Juan de la Cierva' postdoctoral contract and Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte (MECD) for a FPU predoctoral fellowship, respectively. The authors are indebted to Centro de Servicios de Informática y Redes de Comunicaciones (CSIRC), Universidad de Granada, for providing the computer facilities. The authors also thank Prof. J. M. Cuerva for his assistance with the DFT calculations.

# Notes and references

- 1 M. Eigen, Naturwissenschaften, 1971, 58, 465.
- 2 D. Philp and J. F. Stoddart, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, 35, 1154.
- 3 M. Groll, L. Dizel, J. Lowe, D. Stock, M. Bochter, H. D. Bartunik and R. Huber, *Nature*, 1997, **386**, 463.
- 4 P. Ball, *The Self-Made Tapestry: Pattern Formation in Nature*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1999.
- 5 S. De, K. Mahata and M. Schmittel, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 1555.
- 6 D. E. Koshland, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1958, 44, 98.
- 7 D. E. Koshland, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 2375.
- 8 The Lock and Key Principle. The State of the Art-100 Years On, ed. J.-P. Behr, Wiley, New York, 1994.
- 9 Inclusion Phenomena and Molecular Recognition, ed. J. L. Atwood, Plenum, New York, 1990.
- 10 Host-Guest Complex Chemistry: Synthesis Structure, Applications, ed. F. Vögtle and E. Weber, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- 11 J. K. M. Sanders, Chem.-Eur. J., 1998, 4, 1378.
- 12 T. W. Bell, Z. Hou, Y. Luo, M. G. B. Drew, E. Chapoteau,B. P. Czech and A. Kumar, *Science*, 1995, **269**, 671.
- 13 W. Jiang and J. Rebek Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17498.
- 14 S. Le Gac, J. Marrot, O. Reinaud and I. Jabin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 312.
- 15 O. Taratula, P. A. Hill, N. S. Khan, P. J. Carroll and I. J. Dmochowski, *Nat. Commun.*, 2010, **1**, 148.
- 16 J. K. Clegg, J. Cremers, A. J. Hogben, B. Breiner, M. M. J. Smulders, J. D. Thoburnad and J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 68.
- 17 T. K. Ronson, A. B. League, L. Gagliardi, C. J. Cramer and J. R. Nitschke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15615.
- 18 A. Brugnara, L. Fusaro, M. Luhmer, T. Prange, B. Colasson and O. Reinaud, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 2754.
- 19 A. Specht, P. Bernard, M. Goeldner and L. Peng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 4706.
- 20 A. Cooper, M. Nutley, E. J. MacLean, K. Cameron, L. Fielding, J. Mestres and R. Palin, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2005, 3, 1863.
- 21 T. Mecca, G. M. L. Consoli, C. Geraci, R. L. Spina and F. Cunsolo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, 4, 3763.
- 22 T. Sawada, H. Hisada and M. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 4449.
- 23 P. J. Stang and B. Olenyuk, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, 30, 502.
- 24 M. Fujita, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1998, 27, 417.
- 25 D. L. Caulder and K. N. Raymond, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1999, **32**, 975.
- 26 S. Seidel Russell and P. J. Stang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 952.
- 27 B. H. Northrop, Y.-R. Zheng, K.-W. Chi and P. J. Stang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1554.
- 28 S. R. Seidel and P. J. Stang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 972.
- 29 E. Zangrando, M. Casanova and E. Alessio, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 4979.

- 30 R. Chakrabarty, P. S. Mukherjee and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 6810.
- 31 T. R. Cook, Y. R. Zheng and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 734.
- 32 A. Mishra, S. C. Kang and K.-W. Chi, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 5222.
- 33 S. Mukherjee and P. S. Mukherjee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 2239.
- 34 T. R. Cook and P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 7001.
- 35 C. Peinador, E. Pía, V. Blanco, M. D. García and J. M. Quintela, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 1380.
- 36 V. Blanco, M. D. García, A. Terenzi, E. Pía, A. Fernández-Mato, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 12373.
- 37 V. Blanco, M. D. García, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 2407.
- 38 C. Alvariño, A. Terenzi, V. Blanco, M. D. García, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 11992.
- 39 C. Alvariño, E. Pía, M. D. García, V. Blanco, A. Fernández, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, 19, 15329.
- 40 T. Rama, E. M. López-Vidal, M. D. García, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 9482.
- 41 T. Rama, C. Alvariño, O. Domarco, C. Platas-Iglesias, V. Blanco, M. D. García, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 2290.
- 42 M. D. García, C. Alvariño, E. M. López-Vidal, T. Rama, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2014, 417, 27.
- 43 C. Peinador, V. Blanco, M. D. García and J. M. Quintela, Pd<sup>II</sup> and Pt<sup>II</sup> Metal-Directed Self-Assembly of Supramolecular Structures Based on *N*-Monoalkyl-4,4'-Bipyridinium Derivatives, in *Molecular Self-Assembly: Advances and Applications*, ed. A. D. Q. Li, Pan Stanford Publishing, Singapore, 2012, p. 351.
- 44 A preliminary communication on the stereoselective self-assembly of two atropisomeric Pd<sup>II</sup> metallacycles induced by an aromatic guest has already been published: D. Abella, V. Blanco, E. Pía, M. Chas, C. Platas-Iglesias, C. Peinador and J. M. Quintela, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2879.
- 45 Z. Zhu, H. Li, Z. Liu, J. Lei, H. Zhang, Y. Y. Botros, C. L. Stern, A. A. Sarjeant, J. F. Stoddart and H. M. Colquhoun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7231.
- 46 M. Chas, D. Abella, V. Blanco, E. Pía, G. Blanco,
  A. Fernández, C. Platas-Iglesias, C. Peinador and
  J. M. Quintela, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, 13, 8572.
- 47 In FAB mass spectrometry the successive loss of anions for bipyridinium systems can be compensated by the incorporation of electrons so the ions formed have always only one positive charge: W. Ong, J. Grindstaff, D. Sobransingh, R. Toba, J. M. Quintela, C. Peinador and A. E. Kaifer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 3353.
- 48 I. O. Sutherland, *Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc.*, 1971, 4, 71–235: The coalescence method is based on the equation  $k_c = \pi (\Delta \nu)/(2)^{1/2}$  to estimate the rate constant at the coalescence temperature  $(T_c)$ , where  $\Delta \nu$  is the initial chemical shift difference (in Hertz) between the coalescing signals in the

absence of exchange. The Eyring equation was subsequently employed to calculate  $\Delta G_c^{\ddagger}$  value at  $T_c$ .

49 C. Peng and H. B. Schlegel, Isr. J. Chem., 1994, 33, 449.

- 50 C. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, H. B. Schlegel and M. J. Frisch, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1996, **17**, 49.
- 51 This explanation is also supported by the previously reported results obtained with a ligand bearing an acridine unit, with a fused benzene ring instead of the methyl group as the bulky substituent, which did not undergo self-assembly in solution with (en)Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> either. See ref. 46.
- 52 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv.*, 2015, 71, 3.
- 53 SIR92: A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo and A. Guagliardi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1994, **27**, 435.
- 54 SIR2014: M. C. Burla, R. Caliandro, B. Carrozzini, G. L. Cascarano, C. Cuocci, C. Giacovazzo, M. Mallamo, A. Mazzone and G. Polidori, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2015, 48, 306.
- 55 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112.
- 56 L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2012, 45, 849.
- 57 A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 9.

- 58 A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2009, 65, 148.
- 59 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, О. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, I. V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, I. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2010.
- 60 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215.
  61 P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **82**, 270.