Highly efficient nitrogen-doped hierarchically porous carbon supported Ni nanoparticles for the selective hydrogenation of furfural to furfuryl alcohol†
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Nickel nanoparticles supported on nitrogen doped hierarchically porous carbon (Ni/CN) are found to be highly efficient and reusable catalysts for the selective hydrogenation of biomass-derived furfural to furfuryl alcohol (FA). Various characterization methods were used to study the structural and morphological features of the catalysts. Furfural conversion of 96% and 95% FA selectivity was obtained using a 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst. This catalyst showed excellent recyclability without any loss in activity and FA selectivity when it was reused four times. The higher catalytic performance is attributed to the nitrogen incorporated hierarchical porous 3D carbon network.

Commercially, copper chromite (Cu–Cr) catalysts have been used for selective hydrogenation of furfural to FA in industry for more than five decades. The main disadvantage of Cu–Cr catalysts is their high toxicity, which can cause problems with both handling and disposal of spent catalyst. As a result, there is increased scientific interest in the design of Cr-free, environmentally friendly, active and selective catalysts for furfural hydrogenation to FA. Several research groups have studied the hydrogenation of furfural to FA using various supported metal and amorphous alloys as catalysts, mostly copper chromite, Cu, Ni, Co, Mo, Pd, Rh, Ir, Re, Ru and Pt catalysts and Ni-P-B, Ni-B and Ni-P ultratine materials. Often a promoter or a second metal is added to increase the catalytic activity and/or the product selectivity. Among them, systems based on Co-modified with Mo, Cu–Fe, Cu–Ca, Ni–Fe bimetallic catalysts, promoters like Zn, Cr, Co, Ni, Pd and Fe in Cu–MgO, Fe or Ce in Ni-B6,7

---

Introduction

In view of decreasing fossil fuels and climate change, the use of efficient and environmentally benign technologies for the exploitation of renewable resources for the production of fuels and chemicals has become indispensable. Worldwide, significant research activity is focused on the development of strategies for transforming abundant lignocellulosic biomass into fuels and chemicals. Furfural is an important biomass-derived platform molecule, which can be synthesized by dehydration of xylose, a monosaccharide present in large amounts in the hemicellulosic portion of lignocellulosic biomass. Furfural can be converted into a series of fuel additives and chemicals such as furfuryl alcohol (FA), tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFA), 2-methylfuran (MF), furan, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (MTHF), tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,5-pentane diol, cyclopentanone, levulinic acid, etc. FA is particularly attractive, due to a multitude of applications in the polymer and fine chemical industries. One route to synthesize FA is by selective hydrogenation of furfural (Scheme 1). The major applications of FA are in the synthesis of corrosion resistant fiberglass, acid proof bricks, thermostatic resins, liquid resins for galvanic bath tubes and corrosion resistant polymer concrete. It is also an essential intermediate in the manufacture of vitamin C, dispersing agents, plasticizers, lysine and lubricants. Moreover, it can be employed as solvent for viscous pigments and as a component in rocket fuel.

---

Commercially, copper chromite (Cu–Cr) catalysts have been used for selective hydrogenation of furfural to FA in industry for more than five decades. The main disadvantage of Cu–Cr catalysts is their high toxicity, which can cause problems with both handling and disposal of spent catalyst. As a result, there is increased scientific interest in the design of Cr-free, environmentally friendly, active and selective catalysts for furfural hydrogenation to FA. Several research groups have studied the hydrogenation of furfural to FA using various supported metal and amorphous alloys as catalysts, mostly copper chromite, Cu, Ni, Co, Mo, Pd, Rh, Ir, Re, Ru and Pt catalysts and Ni-P-B, Ni-B and Ni-P ultratine materials. Often a promoter or a second metal is added to increase the catalytic activity and/or the product selectivity. Among them, systems based on Co-modified with Mo, Cu–Fe, Cu–Ca, Ni–Fe bimetallic catalysts, promoters like Zn, Cr, Co, Ni, Pd and Fe in Cu–MgO, Fe or Ce in Ni-B6,7

---

Scheme 1 Reaction pathways for furfural hydrogenation. Compounds: furfuryl alcohol (FA); tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFA); 2-methylfuran (MF); 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (MTHF).
have shown high furfural conversion (close to 100%) and good FA selectivity. However, the main drawbacks are that most of the reported catalysts require higher H$_2$ pressures and are not recyclable. Hence, there is a need to develop an environmentally friendly and economically feasible process for the selective hydrogenation of furfural to FA.

The major part of the studies reported above used metal oxide supported or bimetallic catalysts with only a single study using carbon as a support. For many organic molecules the less hydrophilic nature of many carbon supports would be expected to be advantageous. Carbon supports have been successfully used for selective hydrogenation of other unsaturated aldehydes including cinnamaldehyde, where the C=C bond, the carbonyl group and the aromatic ring can potentially all be reduced. The majority of the studies used platinum or palladium, although one study that used nickel supported on carbon nanofibers reported predominantly reduction of the C=C bond. Selective reduction of the carbonyl group to give cinnamyl alcohol was reported for platinum supported on mesoporous carbon promoted by iron or zinc.

The nature of the carbon support can also impact the activity and selectivity of a catalyst, beyond mass transport and surface area effects that depend upon the structure and morphology. For the reaction of cinnamaldehyde using platinum supported on carbon nanofibers Toebes et al. found that decreasing concentrations of surface oxygen gave increases in selectivity for C=C bond reduction. They were able to largely rule out any electronic impact of the oxygen based on XPS and hydrogen chemisorption experiments. The carbon support can also impact the activity of supported metal nanoparticles by affecting the nanoparticle dispersion and stability particularly when doping atoms such as nitrogen are incorporated into the carbon. Moreover, the advantages of using hierarchically porous catalysts is the presence of networks of micrometer scale pores that provide efficient transport of reactants and products into and out of the catalyst, coupled to nanometer scale pores that provide large surface area. The micrometer scale pores are expected to make the catalyst more tolerant to deactivation by clogging.

Recently, we successfully designed nitrogen doped hierarchically porous carbon (CN) supported Ni materials using a facile one-pot co-gelation sol–gel technique. The present study, reports the effectiveness of Ni/CN for the liquid-phase hydrogenation of furfural to FA (Scheme 2). Herein, 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst gave 96% furfural conversion and 95% FA selectivity along with good recyclability in the conversion of furfural to FA. The influence of various reaction parameters on the catalyst performance was also investigated by varying the reaction temperature, solvent, Ni content of the catalyst, H$_2$ pressure, etc.

![Scheme 2](image)

**Scheme 2** Hydrogenation of furfural using Ni/CN catalysts.

### Experimental section

#### Chemicals

Ethanol (99%) and tri-block co-polymer Pluronic F127 (99.9%) were procured from Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA. Formalin (>99%) was obtained from Macron Chemicals, Philipburg, NJ, USA. Resorcinol (99.9%) was procured from Riedel-De Haën Ag Seeze, Hannover, Germany. 1,6-Diamino hexane (99.5%) and nickel(II) acetate tetrahydrate (99%) were obtained from Acros Organics, New Jersey, USA. Methanol, 2-propanol, THF and 1,2-dimethoxyethane were procured from Loba Chemie, India. Furfural, FA, THFA, MF, and MTHF were obtained from Alfa Aesar, India.

#### Preparation of CN and Ni/CN catalysts

CN and Ni/CN monolithic catalysts with different Ni content were prepared by using a one-pot co-gelation sol–gel technique according to the reported literature (see ESI).

#### Characterization techniques

The characterization of the catalysts was carried out by the following techniques.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of materials were collected using a PANalytical X’pert Pro dual goniometer. The data were recorded in the 2θ range of 5–90° with 0.02° step size. Nickel filtered CuKz ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å, 40 kV, 30 mA) radiation was used and the data collection was carried out using a flat holder in Bragg-Brentano geometry.

The nitrogen (N$_2$) physisorption analysis were carried out using a Quantachrome Nova 2000e pore size analyzer (Boynton Beach, FL) at −196 °C with He mode to determine BET surface area and void volume of the samples, respectively. Interpretation of the isotherms was done with Quantachrome NovaWin software version 11.1 (NL-DFT). The BJH method was used to determine the average pore diameter of the samples.

The Raman spectra of the samples were collected using LabRaman HR800 (Jobin Yvon Horiba, France) with the laser wavelength of 632.84 nm (He–Ne Laser, 20 mW of power).

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were collected using an FEI Tecnai TF-30 instrument operating at 300 kV. For TEM analysis, the sample was prepared by placing a droplet of the sample suspension prepared in 2-propanol using ultrasonication on a carbon coated copper grid and by leaving them for drying at room temperature.

The amount of Ni present in the samples was estimated by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) (Spectro Arcos, FHS-12).

Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of the samples were recorded on a Bruker Vertex 70FT-IR instrument.

#### Catalytic tests

The monoliths were ground well before using for the catalytic reactions. All the reactions were carried out in a 100 mL Parr autoclave (SS316). In a typical experiment, furfural (5 mmol), solvent (25 mL) and the required amount of freshly reduced
catalyst powder (reduction at 350 °C for 4 h in H₂ atmosphere) were introduced into the reactor vessel. The reactor contents were mixed thoroughly and the reactor was sealed, purged two times with H₂ and pressurized with the required H₂ pressure and the reaction was conducted at the desired temperature under continuous stirring (800 rpm). Liquid samples were collected periodically and analyzed by a GC (Agilent 7890A) equipped with a FID detector having CP Sil 8 CB capillary column (30 m length, 0.25 mm diameter). Products identification was done using authentic standards and by using GC-MS (Shimadzu, GCMS-QP2010 Ultra; HP-5 column; 30 m length, 0.25 mm diameter).

**Results and discussion**

**Structural characteristics of the catalysts**

The XRD patterns of the CN and as-reduced Ni/CN catalysts with different Ni content are shown in Fig. 1a. A broad diffraction peak at around 2θ = 25° and a weak reflection around 2θ = 44° were observed for CN, corresponding to the (002) and (100) planes of the graphite lattice, respectively. The XRD pattern of 1 wt% Ni/CN catalyst was similar to that of CN and did not contain any diffraction peaks related to the metallic Ni. The diffraction peaks of Ni in 2.5 wt% Ni/CN were weak. However, significant sharp diffraction peaks of Ni at 2θ = 44.5 and 51.8° were detected in 5 wt% Ni/CN and 10 wt% Ni/CN catalysts, which were assigned to (111) and (200) crystalline planes of metallic Ni, respectively. This clearly suggested an increase in the crystallite size of Ni with increased in Ni loading. The peaks associated with nickel oxides were not observed in any of the Ni catalysts. Additionally, slight shift was observed in the peak corresponding to the (002) planes of graphitic carbon on the incorporation of Ni metal to the hierarchically porous carbon support (Ni/CN). Metal-induced catalysed graphitization of carbon has been reported earlier by Hoekstra et al. The report suggests the presence of Ni metal enhances the extent of catalytic graphitization of carbon thereby introducing some microcrystallinity to the amorphous carbon support. In the present case the addition of Ni metal on to the carbon support might have enhanced the graphitic nature of the support along with the crystallinity thereby resulting in a slight shift in the peak position with relatively sharper peak centred at ~25.2° compared to the XRD pattern of CN. As reported earlier, CN and 1 wt% Ni/CN both showed type IV adsorption–desorption isotherms, showing the presence of mesopores. The other textural parameters and physico–chemical features of the samples are summarized in Table 1. The SEM images of CN and Ni/CN samples also showed the presence of macropores as reported earlier.

The FT-IR spectroscopic studies were carried out to identify the functional groups present in CN and Ni catalysts (Fig. 1b). The peaks at 1384 and 2990 cm⁻¹ are related to the deformation vibration of H–C–OH and the asymmetrical stretching vibration of C–H(–CH₂), respectively. The bands falling in the range of 1600–1700 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the C≡C and C≡N vibrations. The peak at 3150 cm⁻¹ shows the presence of hydroxyl groups. The band at 3500 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR spectra could be due to the stretching vibration of hydroxyl group, which is in association with water molecules. And the variation of the magnitude of this band could be because of different water content in the sample. Raman spectroscopy was employed to study the graphitic nature of the carbon materials and the results are given in the Fig. 1c. It consists of characteristic D- and G-bands of disordered graphitic carbon samples, situated at 1326 and 1593 cm⁻¹, respectively. The G-band indicates the graphitic structure of carbons whereas the D-band reflects defects in carbon. The TEM images and Ni particle size distribution of the as-reduced Ni catalysts are depicted in Fig. 2. The average particle size of Ni nanoparticles in 1 wt%, 2.5 wt%, 5 wt% and 10 wt% Ni/CN was found to be 2.8, 4.6, 6.9 and 10.1 nm, respectively.

![Fig. 1](image-url) (a) XRD patterns, (b) FT-IR and (c) Raman spectra of the CN and freshly reduced Ni catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Textural properties and Ni metal characteristics of the catalysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst</td>
<td>Ni content (wt%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determined by ICP-OES. b Calculated by elemental analysis. c Calculated based on TEM.
Catalytic hydrogenation of furfural to FA using Ni/CN catalysts

Effect of reaction temperature. The effect of reaction temperature on furfural conversion and FA selectivity was investigated by varying the temperature in the 160–220 °C range over 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst (Fig. 3). The furfural conversion was enhanced with increasing the temperature and with increasing reaction time (Fig. 3a). After 4 h of reaction, the furfural conversion was improved from 46 to 100%, as the reaction temperature elevated from 160 to 220 °C. The reaction temperature has considerable influence on FA selectivity (Fig. 3b). When the reaction was performed at 160 and 180 °C, an excellent FA selectivity (95–98%) was observed. At 200 °C, the FA selectivity was nearly 95% up to 4 h, and then decreased to 87% after 6 h. However, FA selectivity dropped from 89 to 70% as a function of reaction time, when the reaction was carried out at a higher temperature (220 °C). This decrease in FA selectivity was due to the formation of THFA and MF at higher temperature as well as at longer reaction time. Therefore, 200 °C was found to be the optimum temperature to achieve high furfural conversion (96%) and good FA selectivity (95%) after 4 h of reaction time.

Effect of H2 pressure. The effect of H2 pressure on furfural hydrogenation was studied over 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst by changing the pressure in the range of 2–13 bar at 200 °C (Fig. 4). When the reaction was carried out at lower H2 pressure (2, 5 and 7 bar), furfural conversion was low, which was enhanced at higher H2 pressure reaching a maximum of 100% at 10 bar pressure after 5 h (Fig. 4a). On increasing the H2 pressure to 13 bar, 100% furfural conversion was achieved over a shorter reaction time (4 h). The H2 pressure has a significant impact on FA selectivity, as shown in Fig. 4b. At lower H2 pressure (2 to 10 bar), the FA selectivity was found to vary from 87 to 98%. Increasing the H2 pressure further to 13 bar, the FA selectivity was adversely affected, mostly due to the enhanced rate of consecutive ring hydrogenation of FA, leading to the formation of THFA in substantial amounts. Hence, an optimum H2 pressure of 10 bar was chosen to obtain high furfural conversion and good FA selectivity.

Effect of solvent. The effect of solvent on the liquid-phase hydrogenation of furfural to FA was investigated over 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst at 200 °C and at 10 bar H2 pressure (Table 2). Solvents with a different chemical nature; protic (methanol and 2-propanol) and aprotic polar (THF and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (1,2-DME)) solvents, were used to study the effect of solvent on furfural hydrogenation activity. The results given in Table 2 clearly show that the catalyst activity is strongly solvent dependent. The following catalytic activity order was noticed: 2-propanol > methanol > THF > 1,2-DME. Thus, it can be seen that the furfural conversion was relatively higher in protic solvents than in aprotic solvents. The higher observed rate of furfural hydrogenation could be attributed to the activation of the furfural molecule via hydrogen bonding between the carbonyl oxygen of furfural and the hydroxyl group of the alcohol. When methanol was used as a solvent, considerable amounts (20%) of ethers and acetals were detected in the reaction mixture, whereas these products were not observed with 2-propanol solvent. When 2-propanol was employed as a solvent higher catalytic activity was found, giving 96% furfural conversion and 95% FA selectivity (entry 4, Table 2). Although 2-propanol is itself a well-known hydrogen transfer reagent, the result suggested that the effect of transfer hydrogenation was not significant under the studied reaction conditions (entry 5, Table 2).

Effect of catalyst amount. Experiments were conducted by varying the amount of the 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst between 40 and 120 mg at 200 °C while keeping the same furfural amount (Fig. 5). The results showed that furfural conversion increased with increasing amount of catalyst. When 80 mg catalyst was used, 96% furfural conversion and 95% FA selectivity was achieved. The results clearly show that the catalyst activity is strongly solvent dependent.

![Fig. 2 TEM image and the Ni nanoparticles size distribution for 1 wt% Ni/CN (a and b), 2.5 wt% Ni/CN (c and d), 5 wt% Ni/CN (e and f) and 10 wt% Ni/CN (g and h), respectively.](image-url)

![Fig. 3 Effect of reaction temperature on (a) furfural conversion and (b) FA selectivity. Reaction conditions: furfural (5 mmol); catalyst (5 wt% Ni/CN, 80 mg); solvent (2-propanol, 25 mL); H2 pressure (10 bar).](image-url)

![Fig. 4 Effect of H2 pressure on (a) furfural conversion and (b) FA selectivity. Reaction conditions: furfural (5 mmol); catalyst (5 wt% Ni/CN, 80 mg); solvent (2-propanol, 25 mL); temperature (200 °C).](image-url)
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obtained after 4 h of reaction. However, when the catalyst amount was further increased to 120 mg, lower FA selectivity was observed. These results clearly showed that higher catalyst loading accelerates the rate of side reactions, leading to the formation of unwanted by-products such as MF, THFA and MTHF, which in turn affects the FA selectivity.

**Effect of Ni loading.** To optimize the Ni content of the catalyst, catalysts with different Ni loadings (1, 2.5, 5 and 10 wt%) supported on CN were prepared and evaluated for furfural hydrogenation (Table 3). 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst displayed relatively better activity, yielding 96% furfural conversion with 95% FA selectivity (entry 3, Table 3). However, under similar operating conditions, FA selectivities observed were 70, 83 and 75% at 45, 68 and 100% furfural conversion over 1 wt%, 2.5 wt% and 10 wt% Ni/CN catalyst, respectively (entry 1, 2 and 4, Table 3). Interestingly, higher selectivity for MF was observed over 1 wt% and 2.5 wt% Ni catalysts (entries 1 and 2, Table 3). A possible reason for this could be that the smaller Ni particles (2.8 and 4.6 nm) have some deoxygenation ability in the conversion of hydroxymethyl group (–CH₂OH) of FA, which leads to the formation of MF. On the other hand, considerable selectivity for THFA.

---

### Table 2 Hydrogenation of furfural in different solvents over 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Furfural conv. (%)</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>THFA</th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>MTHF</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>TOF&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,2-DME</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-Propanol</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2-Propanol&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: molar ratio of furfural to Ni (74); solvent (25 mL); time (4 h); H₂ pressure (10 bar); temperature (200 °C).  <sup>b</sup> Includes ethers and acetals.  <sup>c</sup> In the absence of H₂ (10 bar N₂ pressure was used).  <sup>d</sup> TOF = turnover frequency (moles of furfural converted per mole of Ni metal per unit hour).

### Table 3 Hydrogenation of furfural over Ni/CN catalysts with varying Ni loadings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Furfural conv. (%)</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>THFA</th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>MTHF</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>TOF&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (h&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 wt% Ni/CN</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CN&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No catalyst</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: molar ratio of furfural to Ni (74); solvent (2-propanol, 25 mL); time (4 h); H₂ pressure (10 bar); temperature (200 °C).  <sup>c</sup> Includes ethers, acetals and some condensation compounds.  <sup>d</sup> 80 mg.  <sup>f</sup> Turnover frequency (moles of furfural converted per mole of Ni metal per unit hour).
formation was seen over 10 wt% Ni catalysts (entry 4, Table 3), suggesting that larger Ni particles (10.1 nm) are better for ring hydrogenation of FA. Another possibility is that these differences in selectivity could reflect the impact of the porous structure of the carbon support, where the volume of mesopores is substantially higher for 1 wt% Ni catalyst, and decreases as the loading of nickel increases. To confirm the need for the Ni in the catalyst, the furfural hydrogenation was performed in its absence, using only CN, which gave poor catalytic activity as well as poor FA selectivity (entry 5, Table 3). Experiments in the absence of the carbon support did not show any activity for FA formation (entry 6, Table 3). So clearly the complete hydrogenation of furfural to FA was mainly catalyzed by Ni nanoparticles.

Recyclability study

The recyclability of the 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst was evaluated by repeating the furfural hydrogenation reaction with the same catalyst (Fig. 6). After the first run, the final reaction mixture was transferred to a centrifuge tube. The liquid phase was decanted, analyzed by GC and the catalyst was washed three times with 30 mL of 2-propanol. After centrifugation, the liquid phase was separated and the catalyst was dried at 100 °C for 5 h and was then reduced under a flow of H₂ gas (at 350 °C for 4 h). Subsequently, the catalyst was reused for furfural hydrogenation. The above procedure was repeated three more times. The results given in Fig. 6 clearly demonstrate that the performance of the 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst is little changed even after being reused four times. TEM analysis of spent catalyst (Fig. 7) shows that Ni particle size is similar to that of the fresh catalyst (Fig. 2e and f). Chemical analysis using ICP-OES showed no leaching of Ni after each recycle, indicating Ni nanoparticles are still strongly bound to the CN support. These results indicate good stability of the material under the given reaction conditions.

Mechanistic route for furfural to FA over Ni/CN catalysts

It has recently been suggested that Ni metal dissociates H₂ molecule into proton and hydride. In the present study there might be dissociation of the H₂ molecules under operating conditions (Scheme 3, step 2). The nucleophilic hydride ion attacks the electrophilic carbon of the carbonyl group in the furfural (Scheme 3, step 3). This phenomenon of electron transfer leads to a mesomeric effect which thereby results in the formation of FA (Scheme 3, step 3). Hierarchically porous carbon network of Ni/CN catalysts improves mass transfer of furfural molecules to the Ni nanoparticles and facilities free diffusion of the hydrogenated products. The presence of nitrogen in CN support stabilized the Ni nanoparticles.

Conclusions

Nitrogen doped hierarchically porous carbon supported Ni catalysts prepared using a simple one-pot co-gelation sol–gel method showed promising activity for the selective conversion of biomass-derived furfural to FA under batch conditions. Under the optimal operating conditions, furfural conversion of 96% with 95% selectivity towards FA could be achieved over 5 wt% Ni/CN catalyst. This catalyst was recycled and reused four times without significant loss in activity and FA selectivity. The presence of both meso- and macropores in the Ni/CN material is advantageous for heterogeneous catalysis producing efficient mass transport of reactants to the catalytically active site, and removal of products. These materials can be considered for commercial interests in the field of catalysis owing to their shorter synthetic route described herein. The monolithic nature of the Ni/CN catalysts makes them particularly suitable for use in microreactors. The activity of these catalysts will be screened in flow reactors for industrially important organic transformations such as hydrogenations, oxidations, and C–C couplings. Metal nanoparticles supported on nitrogen doped hierarchically carbon catalysts offer an efficient, recyclable and selective method for hydrogenation of biomass-derived compounds to obtained fine chemicals and fuels.
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