RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 42421

Received 16th March 2016 Accepted 20th April 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra07007h

www.rsc.org/advances

Introduction

Following the initial reports by Stephan and co-workers^{1,2} there has been rapid growth in the field of frustrated Lewis pair (FLP) chemistry.³⁻⁹ With the archetypal system of the electron-poor sterically bulky Lewis acid tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane, $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and the electron-rich bulky Lewis base tri(*tert*-butyl) phosphine, $P(^tBu)_3$, able to effect the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ under mild conditions; generating both hydridic and protic products.

The activation of H₂ by FLPs has led to them being used as mediators or catalysts for metal-free hydrogenation for a range of functional groups, examples include; alkenes and alkynes,10,11 aldehydes and ketones,12-14 and imines.15 Although these and other FLP systems have used a wide range of Lewis bases as stoichiometric reagents, substrates, and solvents, the vast majority continue to use B(C₆F₅)₃ or related halogenated tri(aryl)boranes as the Lewis acidic component. However, the tri(aryl)boranes are not the only Lewis acids which have been used in FLPs. Other examples include N-heterocycle carbene stabilised borenium cations,16,17 aluminium analogues of the tri(aryl)boranes,18 carbon-based N-methylacridinium cations,^{19,20} and phosphorus(III)-based N-heterocyclic phosphenium cations.21

A number of systematic studies on tri(aryl)boranes have been reported that incorporate the stepwise substitution of aryl groups in the archetypal borane, $B(C_6F_5)_3$. For example, the series $B(2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2)_n(C_6F_5)_{3-n}$ (n = 1-3),²² and $B(C_6Cl_5)_{n-1}$ $(C_6F_5)_{3-n}$ (n = 1-3)²³ were used in both cases to predict the reduction potential of $B(C_6F_5)_3$, which was subsequently reported from direct measurements by ourselves.^{24,25} Although

H₂ activation using the first 1 : 1 : 1 hetero-tri(aryl) borane⁺

Robin J. Blagg* and Gregory G. Wildgoose*

The novel 1:1:1 hetero-tri(aryl)borane (pentafluorophenyl){3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl}(pentachlorophenyl) borane has been synthesised and structurally characterised. This has been show to act as the Lewis acidic component in FLPs for the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ with three Lewis bases.

three-coordinate boranes with three different substituents are known, such as the bis(aryl)boryl ferrocene $FcB(C_6Cl_5)(2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2)$;²⁶ there are as yet no examples where all three substituents are phenyl rings.

In an effort to expand the range of Lewis acidic boranes for FLP reactions, we have previously reported studies of homotri(aryl)boranes {aryl = C_6F_5 , C_6Cl_5 , 3,5-(CF_3)₂ C_6H_3 , 2,4-(CF_3)₂ C_6H_3 , 2,5-(CF_3)₂ C_6H_3 }^{24,25,27,28} and 2:1 hetero-tri(aryl) boranes {aryl = C_6F_5/Ar^{F_5} , C_6Cl_5/Ar^{Cl_5} , 3,5-(CF_3)₂ C_6H_3/Ar^{F_6} }.²⁹ In these publications we also introduced the concept of using the standard reduction potential of tri(aryl)boranes as a proxy measure of their Lewis acidities; such a method is base independent, and measures a property of the trigonal-planar reactive species.

Herein we report the synthesis and characterisation of (pentafluorophenyl){3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl}(pentachlorophenyl)borane **3**, completing the $B(Ar^{F_5})_x(Ar^{F_6})_y(Ar^{Cl_5})_z$ family, which to the best of our knowledge is the first structurally characterised 1:1:1 hetero-tri(aryl)borane.³⁰ This not only demonstrate the applicability of the synthetic methodology used; but is then studied as the Lewis acidic component in a series of FLPs for H_2 activation under mild conditions, and together with the associated tri(aryl)borohydride studied electrochemically.

Results and discussion

In order to synthesise $B(C_6F_5)\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}(C_6Cl_5)$ 3 (Scheme 1), we initially adapted the stepwise route for the synthesis of B $\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}_2Br$ *via* $B\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}_2(OMe)$ of Samigullin *et al.*,³¹ for the stepwise synthesis of $B(C_6F_5)\{3,5-(CF_3)_2-C_6H_3\}(OMe)$ 1. This entailed the generation of $Li(C_6F_5)$ at -78 °C, and its reaction in Et₂O with BH₃·SMe₂ to give $[Li(OEt_2)_n]$ [H₃B(C₆F₅)], followed by hydride abstraction using one equivalent Me₃SiCl to give BH₂(C₆F₅). The bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ring was then added by reaction with Li $\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}$ at -78 °C to give $[Li(OEt_2)_n][H_2B(C_6F_5)\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}]$, with hydride-abstraction by Me₃SiCl giving BH(C₆F₅) $\{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}$. Reaction with excess methanol then converts the hydride to the methoxide 1 (while the aryl rings may be added in

School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK. E-mail: r.blagg@uea.ac.uk; g.wildgoose@uea.ac.uk

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Further X-ray crystallographic data for 3; full characterisation data for Et₃PO–3; further spectroscopic data (including time-resolved NMR spectra) for the FLP H_2 cleavage reactions. CCDC 1446563. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6ra07007h



either order, less by-products were observed when the C_6F_5 ring was added first). Conversion of the methoxide to the bis(aryl) bromoborane was readily achieved by reaction of **1** with excess BBr₃, leading to $B(C_6F_5)$ {3,5-(CF₃)₂ C_6H_3 }Br **2** being obtained as a pale yellow oil.

Reacting 2 with half an equivalent of $\text{Zn}(\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_5)_2$ in hot toluene, and isolation of the hydrocarbon soluble product leads to isolation of crude 3 as a yellow-brown oil (from which yellow crystals of the product will eventually form). The oil may be purified by removal of impurities *via* sublimation; followed by dissolving the oil in a minimum volume of CH_2Cl_2 , addition of *n*-hexane, concentration *in vacuo* to a minimum volume and cooling to -25 °C. This allows for the isolation of 3 as a micro-crystalline yellow solid.

The X-ray crystal structure of **3** was obtained from single crystals obtained from the slow crystallisation of the crude oil at room temperature (Fig. 1 & S1,† Table 1). The structure obtained comprises two crystallographically unique examples of **3** in the asymmetric unit, with negligible differences in structural metrics.

The structure of **3**, is consistent with those previously reported for tri(aryl)boranes;^{23,29} a trigonal-planar BC₃ centre with the aryl rings twisted about their B–C bonds to minimise steric interactions. The degree of twist increasing with the size of the



Fig. 1 X-ray crystallographic structure of $B(C_6F_5)$ {3,5-(CF₃)₂- C_6H_3 }(C_6Cl_5) 3.

ortho-substituent on the aryl ring: 21(3), 43(1), 83(2)° {for the Ar^{F_6} (o-H), Ar^{F_5} (o-F), Ar^{Cl_5} (o-Cl) rings respectively}. As this angle increases there are two corresponding effects, affecting the Lewis acidity of the boron centre. Firstly, it reduces the overlap between the filled π orbitals of the aryl ring with the formally vacant boron $2p_z$ orbital, suggesting small angles would lead to attenuation of Lewis acidity. While increased twist angles will increases the sterics around the boron centre and the chance of donation of electron density from lone pairs on the *ortho*-substituents into the boron $2p_z$ orbital (the significant twist of the Ar^{Cl_5} ring in 3, coupled with the size of the chlorine atoms show potential for such donation, B...o-Cl *ca.* 3.0 Å). This attenuation of the Lewis acidity of the borane; has been previously identified electrochemically for boranes incorporating *ortho*-CF₃ and *ortho*-Cl substituents on the phenyl rings.^{25,29}

Cyclic voltammograms were obtained at varying scan rates for 3 (Fig. 2), in the weakly-coordinating solvent/electrolyte $CH_2Cl_2/[^nBu_4N][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ at a glassy carbon electrode. Digital simulations of the experimental voltammetric data modelled using an EC-mechanism (*i.e.* a reversible, heterogeneous electron transfer step followed by an irreversible, homogeneous chemical step which generates electro-inactive products), allow us to extract pertinent mechanistic parameters. Specifically, the formal redox potential and charge transfer coefficient (E° and α respectively, where E° is a measure of the electrophilicity and hence a proxy for measurement of Lewis acidity at the boron centre),²⁹ and kinetic parameters for the electron transfer (k^0) and follow-on chemical step (k_f).

In comparison with our previously reported electrochemical data for homo- and 2 : 1 hetero-tri(aryl)boranes,^{25,29} the formal redox potential is comparable to those of B{3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₃, and B(C₆Cl₅)₂{3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃} (-1.61 and -1.60 V, respectively); more negative than those of the B(C₆F₅)_x(C₆Cl₅)_z, and remaining B(C₆F₅)_x{3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}_y series (-1.58 to -1.52 V); with only B {3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₂(C₆Cl₅), B{2,4-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₃, and B{2,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₃ exhibiting more negative redox potentials (-1.70, -1.79 and -1.85 V, respectively). As with all the boranes incorporating at least one pentachlorophenyl ring, the associated radical anion is relatively stable (as measured by the rate,

	$B(C_6F_5){3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3}(C_6Cl_5)$
Empirical formula	$C_{20}H_{3}B_{1}Cl_{5}F_{11}$
Formula weight	640.28
Temperature/K	140(3)
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$
a/Å	8.9724(11)
b/Å	15.5705(16)
c/Å	17.2632(17)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	86.822(8)
$\beta/^{\circ}$	86.077(9)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	74.230(10)
Volume/Å ³	2313.8(4)
Ζ	4
$ ho_{\rm calc}/{ m mg}~{ m mm}^{-3}$	1.838
μ/mm^{-1}	0.725
F(000)	1248.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.1 imes 0.05 imes 0.05
Radiation	Mo Ka ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å)
2θ range for data collection	6.44 to 52.74°
Index ranges	$-11 \le h \le 7, -19 \le k \le 18,$
	$-21 \le l \le 21$
Reflections collected	14 047
Independent reflections	9352 $[R_{int} = 0.0907,$
	$R_{\rm sigma} = 0.3709$]
Data/restraints/parameters	9352/0/667
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.950
Final <i>R</i> indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0982, wR_2 = 0.0919$
Final <i>R</i> indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.3359, wR_2 = 0.1515$
Largest diff. peak/hole/e A^{-3}	0.51/-0.37

 $k_{\rm f}$, of the decomposition of the radical anion intermediate), due to the bulky ring(s), preventing the boron-centred radical from being accessible for further reaction (assumed to be with the solvent).

For the sake of completeness, the Lewis acidity was also quantified using the common "Gutmann–Beckett" method,^{32,33} where the ³¹P and ¹¹B NMR shifts for the adduct Et₃PO–3 in CD₂Cl₂ are +76.49 and +2.51 ppm respectively. Corresponding to a "Gutmann–Beckett acceptor number" of 78.4 (calculated as detailed in ref. 34); significantly different to that of B{3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₃, 83.8, which exhibits a comparable formal redox potential.^{25,35}

The potential for 3 to act as the Lewis acidic component of an FLP for the cleavage of H₂ in dichloromethane at *ca.* +20 °C was screened for, together with the phosphorus- and nitrogen-based Lewis bases, $P(^tBu)_3$, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (tmp) and 2,6-lutidine; all of which have been shown to cleave H₂ in conjunction with $B(C_6F_5)_3$.^{2,36,37} The reactions were monitored by ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy (see Fig. S2–4†). In all three cases irreversible H₂ cleavage³⁸ occurred leading to the formation of the terminal tri(aryl)borohydride [H–3]⁻. The conversions to [H–3]⁻ were slow and relatively clean (<10% by-products by ¹H & ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy); allowing for the percentage conversion to be monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S5†). This showed the FLPS $3/P(^tBu)_3$ and 3/lutidine underwent comparable percentage conversions with time (*ca.*

60% conversion after 7 days), while the 3/tmp system proceeded slower (38% conversion after 7 days).

Two other features of interest were noted as the reactions progressed. Firstly, in the 3/tmp and 3/lutidine systems distinct resonances corresponding to the free and protonated bases were not observed {while they were for the $3/P(^{t}Bu)_{3}$ system}, therefore suggesting rapid equilibrium between free and protonated bases in solution. Secondly, while resonances associated with $[H-3]^-$ generated from the $3/P(^tBu)_3$ and 3/lutidine FLPs matched ($\delta_{\rm H}$ +4.08, $\delta_{\rm B}$ –14.3, ¹ $J_{\rm HB}$ 88 Hz), those from the 3/tmp FLP displayed slight shifts ($\delta_{\rm H}$ +3.98, $\delta_{\rm B}$ –13.9, ${}^{1}J_{\text{HB}}$ 84 Hz). Such differences are comparable to those observed between [(${}^{t}Bu$)₃PH][HB(C₆F₅)₂(C₆Cl₅)] (δ_{H} +3.94, δ_{B} –19.6, ${}^{1}J_{HB}$ 90 Hz)²⁹ and $[tmp-H][HB(C_6F_5)_2(C_6Cl_5)](\delta_H + 3.81, \delta_B - 19.1, {}^1J_{HB}$ 84 Hz),³⁹ a species which has been established (in the solid state) as retaining a close contact between the hydridic and protic hydrogens.⁴⁰ While this is not enough to claim that [tmp-H[[$HB(C_6F_5)_2(C_6Cl_5)$] and [tmp-H][H-3] retain such interaction in solution; the [tmp-H]⁺ cation must be interacting differently from the others with the anion for these changes in the spectral data of the tri(aryl)borohydrides to be observed.

The tri(aryl)borohydride anion can also be directly synthesised as the tetrabutylammonium salt, by reaction of 3 with Na[HBEt₃] in toluene in the presence of $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]$ Cl, followed by isolation from Et₂O/*n*-hexane, to give $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]$ [H–3] as a pale yellow oil (the non-symmetric anion [H–3]⁻ leading to formation of a room temperature ionic liquid). NMR spectral data for $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]$ [H–3] matches those of $[({}^{t}Bu)_{3}PH]$ [H–3] and [lutidine–H] [H–3] obtained *via* the FLP reactions with H₂.

Cyclic voltammograms obtained of $[^{n}Bu_{4}N][H-3]$ (Fig. 3, under the same conditions used for 3) show a single irreversible oxidation wave, with a peak potential (at 100 mV s⁻¹) of +1.07 V vs. $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$; with no clear observation of subsequent



Fig. 2 Experimental (line) and simulated (open circles) cyclic voltammograms for the reduction of B(C₆F₅){3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}(C₆Cl₅) **3**. Simulated using an EC-mechanism with: $E^{\circ} = -1.60 \pm 0.01$ V vs. [FeCp₂]^{0/+}; $\alpha = 0.499$; $k^{0} = 4.35 \times 10^{-2}$ cm s⁻¹; $k_{\rm f} = 0.47$ s⁻¹ (modelled as a pseudo first-order process); $D(3^{0/-}) = 1.10 \times 10^{-5}$ cm² s⁻¹, Dobtained via ¹H and ¹⁹F DOSY NMR spectroscopy. * Trace impurity in solvent/electrolyte.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.



Fig. 3 Experimental (line) and simulated (open circles) cyclic voltammograms for the oxidation of $[HB(C_6F_5){3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3}(C_6Cl_5)]^ [H-3]^-$ as a $[^{7}Bu_4N]^+$ salt. Simulated using an ECE-mechanism with: $E^{\circ}(1) = +0.93 \pm 0.01 \text{ V}$ vs. $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$; $\alpha(1) = 0.500$; $k^0(1) = 7.60 \times 10^{-4}$ cm s⁻¹; $k_f(2) = 6.38 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (modelled as a pseudo first-order process); $E^{\circ}(3) = -1.60 \pm 0.01 \text{ V}$ vs. $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$; $\alpha(3) = 0.501$; $k^0(3) =$ $4.35 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$; $D([H-3]^{-/}) = 1.06 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, D obtained via ¹H DOSY NMR spectroscopy.

regeneration of the parent borane 3. These voltammograms can be adequately simulated using a comparable ECEmechanism to that previously used for $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^{-.27}$ Specifically, a one-electron oxidation of $[H-3]^-$ to the neutral radical $[H-3]^{\cdot}$, followed by rapid loss of H⁺ to generate the radicalanion 3⁻⁻, which due to the strongly oxidising potential is rapidly converted to 3. With the liberated H⁺ reacting with the regenerated 3, leading to its decomposition to electrochemically inactive species, hence it not being observed electrochemically.

Compared to our previously reported electrochemical data for tri(aryl)borohydrides, all of which also give a single irreversible oxidation wave; the peak potential for $[H-3]^-$ is higher than that of $[HB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (+0.88 V),²⁷ comparable to that of $[HB \{3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}_3]^-$ (+1.08 V, as a Na⁺ salt), and lower than those of $[HB\{2,4-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}_3]^-$ and $[HB\{2,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3\}_3]^-$ (+1.31 and +1.13 V, respectively, as Na⁺ salts) ²⁵ (all potentials *verses* $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$ at 100 mV s⁻¹). As with all these boranes this shows an improvement over the direct oxidation of H_2 ($E_p > +1.5$ V vs. $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$ at 100 mV s⁻¹) under these conditions.²⁷

Conclusions

The synthesis of the first structurally characterised 1:1:1hetero-tri(aryl)borane, by a stepwise methodology, is but the first example whereby this or similar methodologies can be used to greatly expand the accessible range of Lewis acids. Thereby giving potential for fine tuning of the properties of such species and hence control of resulting reactivities.

Demonstrating FLP hydrogen cleavage with three Lewis bases, showed that the percentage conversion to cleaved products over time is dependent on the choice of Lewis base for a specific Lewis acid. Further while $[[{}^{t}Bu]_{3}PH]^{+}$, $[lutidine-H]^{+}$, and $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]^{+}$, all appear to act as non-interacting cations with respect of the tri(aryl)borohydride; subtle differences observed by NMR spectroscopy suggests this is not the case for $[tmp-H]^{+}$, such a difference could be reflected in the reactivities of these hydrogen cleavage products.

Electrochemical studies allowed for not only the direct measurement of the electrophilicity of the free borane, but also quantification as to the stability of the associated radical-anion, and measurements of the associated borohydride assessing potential for regeneration of the parent borane following net two electron oxidation and proton liberation.

Experimental

All reactions and manipulations were performed under an atmosphere of dry oxygen-free N_2 , using either standard Schlenk techniques or in an MBraun UNIlab glovebox. All solvents were dried prior to use by refluxing over an appropriate drying agent {Na/benzophenone for petroleum ether (bp 40–60 °C), diethyl ether, *n*-hexane; Na for toluene; CaH₂ for dichloromethane}, collected by distillation under a dry oxygen-free N₂ atmosphere and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves prior to use.

NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance DPX-500 spectrometer; for ¹H spectra residual protio-solvent was used as an internal standard; for ¹³C the solvent resonance was used as an internal standard;⁴¹ for ¹⁹F spectra CFCl₃ was used as an external standard; for ¹¹B spectra BF₃·OEt₂ was used as an external standard; for ³¹P spectra 85% H₃PO₄ was used as an external standard.

Mass spectrometry was performed by the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service at the University of Swansea. Elemental analyses were performed by the Elemental Analysis Service at London Metropolitan University.

Single crystals of 3 were grown by slow crystallisation of the crude oil at room temperature; suitable crystals were selected, encapsulated in a viscous perfluoropolyether and mounted on an Agilent Technologies Xcaliber-3 single crystal X-ray diffractometer using Mo K α radiation, where the crystals were cooled to 140 K during data collection and a full sphere of data collected. The data was reduced and an absorption correction performed using Agilent Technologies CrysAlisPro.⁴² Using Olex2,⁴³ the structure was solved and space group assigned with SuperFlip/EDMA using charge flipping,⁴⁴ and then refined with the ShelXL version 2014/7 refinement program using least squares minimisation.⁴⁵

Due to poor crystal quality and resulting weak diffraction, the crystallographic statistics for **3** are less than ideal. This does not affect any conclusions drawn herein based on this data.

CCDC 1446563 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.†

Electrochemical studies were carried out using a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT302N potentiostat linked to a computer running Metrohm Autolab NOVA version 1.11 software, in conjunction with a three electrode cell. The working electrode was a glassy carbon disc (Bioanalytical Systems, Inc., *ca.*

Paper

7.0 mm² area calibrated using the $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$ redox couple); the counter electrode was a platinum wire (99.99% purity); and the pseudo-reference electrode a silver wire (99.99% purity). All electrodes were polished with 0.3 μ m α -alumina slurry, washed, and dried prior to use. All electrochemical measurements were performed at ambient temperature under a dry N₂ atmosphere, in CH₂Cl₂ containing 50 µmol cm⁻³ [ⁿBu₄N] $[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ as the supporting electrolyte and 1.0 to 2.0 µmol cm^{-3} of the analyte species of interest, and *iR*-compensated using positive-feedback to within $85 \pm 5\%$ of the uncompensated solution resistance. $[^{n}Bu_{4}N][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$ was synthesised according to published methods.⁴⁶ All potentials were referenced to the $[FeCp_2]^{0/+}$ redox couple, which was added as an internal standard. Simulations of electrochemical processes were performed using ElchSoft DigiElch - Professional version 7.FD software.47

 $Zn(C_6Cl_5)_2$ was synthesised as previously reported.²³ All other reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as supplied.

B(C₆F₅){3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}(OMe) 1

ⁿBuLi (15.6 cm³, 25 mmol, 1.6 mmol cm⁻³ in hexanes) was added to a cooled (-78 °C) solution of bromopentafluorobenzene (8.02 cm³, 25 mmol) in Et₂O (50 cm³) and stirred for 10 min. BH₃·SMe₂ (2.37 cm³, 25 mmol) is added, and after 10 min the reaction mixture warmed to room temperature and stirred for a further 30 min. Me₃SiCl (3.17 cm³, 25 mmol) is added to the clear pale yellow solution, resulting in the rapid formation of a white precipitate, the mixture is stirred for 30 min then cooled to -78 °C. 3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)bromobenzene (5.80 cm³, 25 mmol) is added, followed by ⁿBuLi (15.6 cm³, 25 mmol, 1.6 mmol cm⁻³ in hexanes), after 10 min the reaction mixture warmed to room temperature and stirred for a further 30 min. Me₃SiCl (3.17 cm³, 25 mmol) is added, and the mixture is stirred for 30 min. Methanol (3.0 cm³, 74.2 mmol) is slowly added, resulting in rapid evolution of H₂, and the mixture stirred for an hour. Volatiles are removed in vacuo, and the product extracted into petroleum ether (50 cm³), isolated by filtration (via cannula) as a clear pale yellow solution. Removal of volatiles in vacuo gives the product as an off white solid. Yield 6.10 g (14.5 mmol, 58%). ¹H NMR (500.2 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): +8.14 (s, 2H, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-H), +7.88 (s, 1H, Ar^{F₆} 4-H), +3.30 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹¹B NMR (160.5 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C, δ): +42.1 (br.s); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): +146.8 (br.d, ¹*J*_{CF} \approx 244 Hz, Ar^{F_5} 2,6-C), +143.1 (br.d, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx 256$ Hz, Ar^{F_5} 4-C), +138.2 (br.d, ${}^{1}J_{\rm CF} \approx 254$ Hz, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-C), +135.3 (br.q, ${}^{3}J_{\rm CF} = 3.7$ Hz, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-C), +132.1 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 33.0 Hz, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-C), +126.5 (sept., ${}^{3}J_{CF}$ = 3.7 Hz, Ar^{F_6} 4-C), +124.3 (q, ${}^{1}J_{CF} = 273$ Hz, Ar^{F_6} 3,5-CF₃), +57.0 (s, OMe); ¹⁹F NMR (470.7 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C, δ): -63.0 (s, 6F, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-CF₃), -131.6 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-F), -149.1 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.2$ Hz, 1F, Ar^{F₅} 4-F), −159.7 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-F). ¹H NMR (500.2 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +8.16 (s, 2H, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-H), +8.04 (s, 1H, Ar^{F₆} 4-H), +4.00 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹¹B NMR (160.5 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +42.4 (br.s); ¹⁹F NMR (470.7 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, δ): -63.3 (s, 6F, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-CF₃), -131.3 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-F), -150.8 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 19.9$ Hz, 1F, Ar^{F₅} 4-F), -160.5 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-F).

Warning: LiC₆F₅ is known to be thermally unstable (explosive above *ca.* -20 °C). It is essential to maintain the reaction mixture at -78 °C until the reaction with BH₃·SMe₂ is completed.

$B(C_6F_5){3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3}Br 2$

To a colourless solution of 1 (1.56 g, 3.70 mmol) in petroleum ether (10 cm³) is added BBr₃ (*ca.* 0.4 cm³, 4.2 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 3 hours. All volatiles are removed *in vacuo* from the cloudy pale yellow solution, to give the product as a cloudy yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500.2 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +8.44 (s, 2H, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-H), +8.23 (s, 1H, Ar^{F₆} 4-H); ¹¹B NMR (160.5 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +64.5 (br.s); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +146.6 (br.d, ¹*J*_{CF} ≈ 250 Hz, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-C), +138.5 (br.d, ¹*J*_{CF} ≈ 256 Hz, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-C), +137.8 (br.q, ³*J*_{CF} = 3.8 Hz, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-C), +132.4 (q, ²*J*_{CF} = 33.9 Hz, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-C), +129.3 (sept., ³*J*_{CF} = 3.8 Hz, Ar^{F₆} 4-C), +123.6 (q, ¹*J*_{CF} = 273 Hz, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-CF₃); ¹⁹F NMR (470.7 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): -63.3 (s, 6F, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-CF₃), -128.8 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-F), -147.8 (tt, ³*J*_{FF} = 19.9 Hz, ⁴*J*_{FF} = 3.6 Hz, 1F, Ar^{F₅} 4-F), -160.5 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-F).

$B(C_6F_5){3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3}(C_6Cl_5)$

To a colourless solution of 1 (2.08 g, 4.93 mmol) in petroleum ether (15 cm³) is added BBr₃ (ca. 0.5 cm³, 5.2 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 3 hours. All volatiles are removed in vacuo from the cloudy pale yellow solution giving a cloudy yellow oil, 2. $Zn(C_6Cl_5)_2$ (1.39 g, 2.46 mmol) is added and the mixture dissolved/suspended in toluene (10 cm³). The mixture is stirred at +75 °C for ca. 66 hours to give a cloudy yellow solution. Volatiles are removed in vacuo, and the crude product extracted into petroleum ether and isolated by filtration (via cannula) as a clear golden yellow solution, volatiles are removed in vacuo to give a cloudy orange oil. Impurities may be removed by sublimation at 90 $^{\circ}C/10^{-1}$ mbar, and the oil recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane at -25 °C as a yellow micro-crystalline solid. Yield 0.77 g (1.20 mmol, 58%). ¹H NMR (500.2 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +8.20 (s, 1H, Ar^{F₆} 4-H), +8.14 (s, 2H, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-H); ¹¹B NMR (160.5 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +63.4 (br.s); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): +149.1 (br.d, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx 252$ Hz, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-C), +145.5 (br.d, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx 261$ Hz, Ar^{F₅} 4-C), +140.7 (br.m, Ar^{F_6/Cl_5} 1-C), +139.8 (br.m, Ar^{F_6/Cl_5} 1-C), +138.5 (br.d, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx$ 253 Hz, Ar^{F_5} 3,5-C), +137.8 (br.q, ${}^{3}J_{CF}$ = 3.2 Hz, Ar^{F_6} 2,6-C) +135.7 $(s, Ar^{Cl_5} 4-C), +132.9 (s, Ar^{Cl_5} 2, 6/3, 5-C), +132.5 (q, {}^2J_{CF} = 33.4 Hz,$ Ar^{F₆} 3,5-C), +132.2 (s, Ar^{Cl₅} 2,6/3,5-C), +129.0 (sept., ${}^{3}J_{CF} = 3.8$ Hz, Ar^{F_6} 4-C), +123.7 (q, ${}^{1}J_{CF} = 273$ Hz, Ar^{F_6} 3,5-CF₃), +111.7 (br.m, Ar^{F₅} 1-C); ¹⁹F NMR (470.7 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): -63.3 (s, 6F, Ar^{F_6} 3,5-CF₃), -125.8 (br.m, 2F, Ar^{F_5} 2,6-F), -144.7 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 19.9 Hz, 1F, Ar^{F₅} 4-F), -160.6 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-F). HRMS-APCI (m/z): $[M]^+$ calc. for $C_{20}H_3BCl_5F_{11}$, 639.8565; found, 639.8565. Elemental analysis (calc. for C₂₀H₃BCl₅F₁₁): C 37.66 (37.52), H 0.44 (0.47).

$[^{n}Bu_{4}N][HB(C_{6}F_{5}){3,5-(CF_{3})_{2}C_{6}H_{3}}(C_{6}Cl_{5})][^{n}Bu_{4}N][H-3]$

To a solution of 3 (250 mg, 0.39 mmol) and $[^{n}Bu_{4}N]Cl$ (109 mg, 0.39 mmol) in toluene (10 cm³) is added Na[HBEt₃] (0.4 cm³, 0.4 mmol, 1.0 mmol cm⁻³ in toluene), the solution stirred for

two hours, then all volatiles removed in vacuo. The product is extracted into Et₂O, filtered, and isolated by addition *n*-hexane, concentration *in vacuo*, and cooling at -25 °C. To give a pale yellow oil. Yield 273 mg (0.31 mmol, 79%). ¹H NMR (500.2 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, δ): +7.69 (s, 2H, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-H), +7.50 (s, 1H, Ar^{F₆} 4-H), +4.12 (br.q, ${}^{1}J_{HB} = 86$ Hz, 1H), +3.00 (m, 8H, ${}^{n}Bu$), +1.55 (m, 8H, ^{*n*}Bu), +1.33 (m, 8H, ^{*n*}Bu), +0.95 (t, ³ $J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 12H, ^{*n*}Bu); ¹¹B NMR (160.5 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): -14.2 (d, ¹ J_{BH} = 86 Hz); ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, δ): +160.5 (br.m, Ar² 1-C), +156.2 (br.m, Ar² 1-C), +148.8 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx 235$ Hz, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-C), +139.0 (s, Ar^{Cl_5}), +138.3 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx 244$ Hz, Ar^{F_5} 4-C), +137.3 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{CF} \approx 247$ Hz, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-C), +134.3 (br.q, ${}^{3}J_{CF} = 3.3$ Hz, Ar^{F₆} 2,6-C), +130.9 (s, Ar^{Cl₅}), +129.3 (s, Ar^{Cl₅}), +128.8 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF} = 30.7$ Hz, Ar^{F_6} 3,5-C), +127.2 (br.m, Ar^2 1-C), +124.4 (q, ${}^{1}J_{CF}$ = 272 Hz, Ar^{F_6} 3,5-CF₃), +117.2 (sept., ${}^{3}J_{CF}$ = 4.1 Hz, Ar^{F_6} 4-C), +59.5 (t, ${}^{1}J_{CN}$ = 2.7 Hz, ^{*n*}Bu CH₂), +24.3 (s, ^{*n*}Bu CH₂), +20.1 (s, ^{*n*}Bu CH₂), +13.7 (s, ^{*n*}Bu CH₃); ¹⁹F NMR (470.7 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, δ): -62.3 (s, 6F, Ar^{F₆} 3,5-CF₃), -130.9 (br.m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 2,6-F), -164.4 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} =$ 20.3 Hz, 1F, Ar^{F₅} 4-F), -167.0 (m, 2F, Ar^{F₅} 3,5-F). Elemental analysis (calc. for C₃₆H₄₀BCl₅F₁₁N): C 48.63 (48.92), H 4.45 (4.56), N 1.47 (1.58).

Acknowledgements

G. G. W. thanks the Royal Society for financial support by a University Research Fellowship. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the ERC Grant Agreement no. 307061 (PiHOMER). We acknowledge the use of the EPSRC funded National Chemical Database Service hosted by the Royal Society of Chemistry, and the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility (NMSF) at the University of Swansea.

References

- 1 G. C. Welch, R. R. S. Juan, J. D. Masuda and D. W. Stephan, *Science*, 2006, **314**, 1124–1126.
- 2 G. C. Welch and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1880–1881.
- 3 D. W. Stephan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 1535-1539.
- 4 D. W. Stephan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 3129–3136.
- 5 D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 46–76.
- 6 D. W. Stephan, Compr. Inorg. Chem. II, 2013, 1, 1069-1103.
- 7 D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 6400–6441.
- 8 D. W. Stephan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 306-316.
- 9 D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 10018–10032.
- 10 L. J. Hounjet, C. Bannwarth, C. N. Garon, C. B. Caputo, S. Grimme and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7492–7495.
- 11 M. A. Dureen and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 8396–8397.
- 12 T. Mahdi and D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 15809–15812.
- 13 D. J. Scott, M. J. Fuchter and A. E. Ashley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 15813–15816.

- 14 Á. Gyömöre, M. Bakos, T. Földes, I. Pápai, A. Domján and T. Soós, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, 5, 5366–5372.
- 15 P. A. Chase, G. C. Welch, T. Jurca and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8050–8053.
- 16 J. M. Farrell, J. A. Hatnean and D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15728–15731.
- 17 E. J. Lawrence, T. J. Herrington, A. E. Ashley and G. G. Wildgoose, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 9922–9925.
- 18 G. Ménard and D. W. Stephan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 53, 8272–8275.
- 19 E. R. Clark and M. J. Ingleson, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 11306–11309.
- 20 E. J. Lawrence, E. R. Clark, L. D. Curless, J. M. Courtney, R. J. Blagg, M. J. Ingleson and G. G. Wildgoose, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 2537–2543.
- 21 J. M. Bayne and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, 45, 765–774.
- 22 S. A. Cummings, M. Iimura, C. J. Harlan, R. J. Kwaan, I. V. Trieu, J. R. Norton, B. M. Bridgewater, F. Jäkle, A. Sundararaman and M. Tilset, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 1565–1568.
- A. E. Ashley, T. J. Herrington, G. G. Wildgoose, H. Zaher,
 A. L. Thompson, N. H. Rees, T. Krämer and D. O'Hare, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 14727–14740.
- 24 E. J. Lawrence, V. S. Oganesyan, G. G. Wildgoose and A. E. Ashley, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 782–789.
- 25 R. J. Blagg, E. J. Lawrence, K. Resner, V. S. Oganesyan, T. J. Herrington, A. E. Ashley and G. G. Wildgoose, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 6023–6031.
- 26 M. J. Kelly, R. Tirfoin, J. Gilbert and S. Aldridge, J. Organomet. Chem., 2014, 769, 11–16.
- 27 E. J. Lawrence, V. S. Oganesyan, D. L. Hughes, A. E. Ashley and G. G. Wildgoose, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6031– 6036.
- 28 E. J. Lawrence, R. J. Blagg, D. L. Hughes, A. E. Ashley and G. G. Wildgoose, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2015, 21, 900–906.
- 29 R. J. Blagg, T. R. Simmons, G. R. Hatton, J. M. Courtney, E. L. Bennett, E. J. Lawrence and G. G. Wildgoose, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 6032–6043.
- 30 The Cambridge structural database (CSD version 5.37) was searched for all structures containing the fragment $B(C_6)_3$, while not including the fragments: $B(C_6H_5)_2$, $B(C_6F_5)_2$, B {3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₂, B{2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂}₂, or $B(C_6)_3$ (any atom); the 152 structures such identified were then inspected manually, confirming no 1:1:1 hetero-tri(aryl)boranes present.
- 31 K. Samigullin, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 3564–3569.
- 32 U. Mayer, V. Gutmann and W. Gerger, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1975, 106, 1235–1257.
- 33 M. A. Beckett, G. C. Strickland, J. R. Holland and K. S. Varma, *Polymer*, 1996, 37, 4629–4631.
- 34 I. B. Sivaev and V. I. Bregadze, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 270– 271, 75–88.
- 35 T. J. Herrington, A. J. W. Thom, A. J. P. White and A. E. Ashley, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 9019–9022.

- 36 V. Sumerin, F. Schulz, M. Nieger, M. Leskelä, T. Repo and B. Rieger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 6001–6003.
- 37 S. J. Geier and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 3476-4347.
- 38 The irreversibility of H_2 cleavage under these conditions was confirmed by using a H_2/D_2 mixture and observing that under these conditions no HD was generated.
- 39 NMR spectral data for $[tmpH][HB(C_6F_5)_2(C_6Cl_5)]$ were reported in ref. 40 in CD₃CN, we have re-measured this in CD₂Cl₂ to ensure the validity of comparisons with our data.
- 40 H. Zaher, A. E. Ashley, M. Irwin, A. L. Thompson, M. J. Gutmann, T. Krämera and D. O'Hare, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 9755–9757.
- 41 G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw and K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29, 2176–2179.
- 42 CrysAlisPro, Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, U.K.

- 43 L. J. Bourhis, O. V. Dolomanov, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2015, 71, 59–75; O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, 42, 339–341.
- 44 L. Palatinus and G. Chapuis, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2007, 40, 786–790; L. Palatinus and A. van der Lee, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2008, 41, 975–984; L. Palatinus, S. J. Prathapab and S. van Smaalen, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2012, 45, 575–580.
- 45 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2015, 71, 3–8; G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.
- 46 S. Lancaster, *ChemSpider Synthetic Pages*, 2003, http:// cssp.chemspider.com/215; T. E. Krafft, Boulder Scientific Company, *US Pat.* 5,679,289, 1997; R. J. LeSuer, C. Buttolph and W. E. Geiger, *Anal. Chem.*, 2004, 76, 6395– 6401.
- 47 DigiElch-Professional, ElchSoft, Kleinromstedt, Germany.