Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

PAPER



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, **14**, 3238

C-Terminally modified peptides *via* cleavage of the HMBA linker by *O*-, *N*- or *S*-nucleophiles[†]

J. Hansen, F. Diness and M. Meldal*

Received 26th January 2016, Accepted 17th February 2016 DOI: 10.1039/c6ob00213g

www.rsc.org/obc

A large variety of C-terminally modified peptides was obtained by nucleophilic cleavage of the ester bond in solid phase linked peptide esters of 4-hydroxymethyl benzamide (HMBA). The developed methods provided peptides, C-terminally functionalized as esters, amides and thioesters, with high purity directly from the resin in a single reaction step. A comprehensive screening of the reaction conditions and scope

for nucleophilic cleavage of peptides from the HMBA linker was performed.

Introduction

The ever expanding applications of solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS)¹ for the preparation of bioactive peptides and small proteins have been a driving force in the development of numerous linkers for C-terminal attachment of peptides to polymer resins.^{2,3} However, most linkers are only effectively cleaved under one set of cleavage conditions and they produce only a single functionality in the cleavage step. Hence, when several C-terminal derivatives of a particular peptide are required, a different linker is needed for each derivative. This is in contrast to modification of the N-terminus of peptides, which may be freely functionalized while these are still attached to the resin. C-Terminally modified peptides are nevertheless interesting for a variety of reasons. The nature of the C-terminal is important in medicinal chemistry, as it impacts peptide binding, affects stability and provides selectivity in binding to enzymes.^{4,5} Of particular interest is access to C-terminal peptide carboxylic acids, esters, thioesters and amides, as these are found in natural peptides, in their analogues or may even be needed as precursors for protein synthesis.⁶⁻⁹ The majority of synthesized peptides are attached to the solid support, either through amide or ester linkers. Amide linkers are almost exclusively cleaved by an acid whereas ester linkers can be cleaved by either a base or an acid, depending on the nature of the linker. Because acid labile ester linkers are cleaved via a SN1 reaction to release the linker as a carbonium ion, the cleavage occurs between the acidic oxygen and linker carbon. Commonly, base labile ester linkers cleave via a nucleophilic substitution reaction by attack on the carbonyl



Cleavage point for base labile linkers



group of the ester, which leads to cleavage between the oxygen and the carbonyl group (Scheme 1). Hence, the applied nucleophile is attached to the peptide carbonyl group after the release and thereby provides the opportunity for modifying the C-terminal with various nucleophiles in the cleavage step.

A commonly used base labile linker is 4-hydroxymethyl benzamide (HMBA).¹⁰ One major benefit of the HMBA-linker is that peptides can be deprotected with TFA, while remaining attached to the resin. This then allows the deprotected peptides to be screened for activity on the resin and the hit compounds can later be cleaved for analysis, using a low concentration of an aqueous base. The purity of the cleaved peptides is usually very high and purification is frequently not required prior to characterization and use.¹¹ Other base labile linkers have been developed over the years for specialized purposes.¹²⁻¹⁵ Concerning the functionalization of peptides, only a few examples of cleaving the HMBA linker with other nucleophiles than hydroxide have been reported, including the use of ammonia/THF vapor^{16,17} and a study using a few nucleophiles to produce esters and amides.¹⁸ However, a thorough investigation on the scope of the HMBA linker, in cleavage reactions using a variety of different nucleophiles, has not been performed.

Center for Evolutionary Chemical Biology, Department of Chemistry University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.

E-mail: meldal@nano.ku.dk

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c6ob00213g

Results and discussion

In order to evaluate the reaction of HMBA-esters with nucleophiles, three resin-bound dipeptide substrates were prepared, in which the ester linked amino acid was varied: Ac-Trp-Gly-HMBA-PEGA₈₀₀ (1a), Ac-Trp-Ala-HMBA-PEGA₈₀₀ (2a) and Ac-Trp-Ile-HMBA-PEGA₈₀₀ (3a). These substrates allowed the steric influence at C^{α} on nucleophilic ester cleavage to be determined. The cleavage products were synthesized in sub-milligram amounts and identified by HPLC and MS. As HMBA linked esters are rapidly cleaved by hydroxide, it was hypothesized that alkoxides would only cleave by transesterification under strictly water free reaction conditions. Initial tests with 1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene (DBU) as the catalytic base in combination with methanol or ethanol led to partial release of the peptides as the corresponding esters. Further screening of non-nucleophilic bases proved that potassium tert-butoxide was superior, as it was sufficiently strong to facilitate the formation of the alkoxide and thereby, the reaction between all the substrates and the investigated alcohols. Using these conditions, four different alcohols were reacted with three different resin-bound peptides (Table 1). It was found that primary alkoxides readily effect transesterification reactions of the peptide-linker ester bond, as seen by short reaction times and complete conversion with methanol and ethanol respectively (Table 1, entries 1-6). However, under prolonged reaction times, the irreversible hydrolysis of the products was also frequently observed. As expected the reaction time needed for full conversion was longer for the more sterically hindered amino

Table 1 Release of peptides from HMBA with alcoholates as nucleophiles R²OH KO^tBL rt

Entry	R^1	R ² OH (product)	Time (min)	Conversion (%)	Purity (%)	
1	Н	MeOH (4)	15	100	>95	
2	Me	MeOH (5)	30	100	>95	
3	$(S)^{s}Bu$	MeOH (6)	60	100	>95	
4	H	EtOH (7)	15	100	>95	
5	Me	EtOH (8)	30	100	>95	
6	$(S)^{s}Bu$	EtOH (9)	60	100	>95	
7	H	ⁱ PrOH (10)	15	30	>90	
8	Me	ⁱ PrOH (11)	30	20	>90	
9	$(S)^{s}Bu$	ⁱ PrOH (12)	120	100	>90	
10	Ĥ	ⁱ BuOH (13)	15	40	>90	
11	Me	ⁱ BuOH (14)	30	20	>90	
12	(S)SB11		120	100	>00	

4-15

Resin (5 mg, 1 µmol) was treated with alcohol/alcoholate (200 µL, 89 µmol). Reactions were quenched (200 µL, 1% TFA/ACN). The solution and subsequent washings (H₂O/CH₃CN, 7:1) were analyzed by HPLC.

acid derivatives and the more substituted alcohol nucleophiles. When the combination of the nucleophile and substrate became sterically unfavorable for the reaction, conversion proceeded more slowly and prevention of the competing hydrolysis, presumably by trace amounts of water in the resin, proved difficult.

A balanced reaction time was determined where the product was obtained in high yield with negligible or little hydrolysis. For instance, reactions of 10 and 13 (Table 1, entries 7 and 10), with sterically hindered alcohols required 30 min for complete conversion. However, this period of time resulted in approximately 80% hydrolysis, while stopping the reactions at 15 min ensured purity of the product above 90% with about 30% conversion. A unique effect was observed for the isoleucine substrate, as it appeared to be more resistant to hydrolysis of the product ester.

Few other methods for obtaining peptides with C-terminal esters from resin bound amino acids have been reported. One method relies on a one pot two-step procedure from the Wang linker, forming esters under acidic condensation conditions.¹⁹ Another technique utilizes preformed C-terminal esters combined with acid labile linking to the resin through the sidechains, e.g. through the thiol of cysteine.²⁰ However, the method presented here allows variation of the alcohol/alkoxide in the ester formation combined with cleavage in a one-step fashion.

In order to quantify the reactivity of the HMBA linked esters compared to simple alkyl esters, the transesterification reactions were studied in the solution phase (Scheme 2). To determine the reaction rates, the dipeptide Ac-Trp-Ala was prepared as either 4-carbamoylbenzyl ester (16) or isobutyl ester (17).

The two esters were then used for transesterification with ethoxide, generated by addition of potassium tert-butoxide to ethanol. Both compounds 16 and 17 formed exclusively the ethyl ester product (8), but at significantly different rates. The halftime $t_{1/2}$ for the transesterification reaction was 12 seconds for 16 and 2.25 minutes for 17, respectively. The more than 10-fold increase in the rate of transesterification of the HMBA ester demonstrates that the application of the HMBA is



Scheme 2 Comparison of transesterification kinetics for an aliphatic ester and the HMBA linker.

superior to alkyl esters as a leaving group in nucleophilic substitution reactions.

Aminolysis of simple alkyl esters is typically a slow process that often requires heating. However, in light of the described reactivity studies, aminolysis of the HMBA linked esters seemed feasible. A series of amines were applied in reactions with the resin bound peptide esters to produce the corresponding amides. Initially sodium amide in dioxane was tested as a nucleophile, which however resulted in complex mixtures of products. Therefore, all reactions with amines were performed with the neat primary amine, without application of an additional base (Table 2). The differences in reaction times and optimal conversion conditions were more pronounced with the amines, compared to those of the alcohols. Heating to 40 °C was attempted to increase the rate of the reactions and to reach complete conversion. A higher degree of conversion was observed, but heating also resulted in undesirable formation of byproducts. Most amines studied, proved to be sufficiently nucleophilic for amides to be produced. Particularly when using the glycine ester substrate, amides were readily formed (Table 2, entries 1 and 7).

On the other hand, isoleucine proved to be too sterically hindered to facilitate complete conversion in less than 6 hours and only unbranched amines were sufficiently reactive towards the isoleucine substrate to yield peptide amides. It is noteworthy that ethylene diamine and ethanolamine react much faster with the isoleucine resin probably through anchimeric assistance by the distal amine or hydroxyl group, respectively (Table 2, entries 12 and 15). Furthermore, even though amines



Entry	\mathbb{R}^1	R^2NH_2	Time (h)	Conversion (%)	Purity (%)
1	н	PrNH ₂ (19)	2	100	>95
2	Ме	$PrNH_2(20)$	4	99	>95
3	$(S)^{s}Bu$	$PrNH_2(21)$	6	5	_
4	Ĥ	i PrNH ₂ (22)	2	40	>95
5	Me	$iPrNH_2(23)$	4	5	_
6	$(S)^{s}Bu$	$iPrNH_2(24)$	6	0	
7	Ĥ	$^{i}BuNH_{2}(25)$	2	100	>95
8	Me	$^{i}BuNH_{2}$ (26)	4	60	>95
9	$(S)^{s}Bu$	$^{i}BuNH_{2}(27)$	6	0	
10	Ĥ	$(CH_2NH_2)_2$ (28)	2	100	>90
11	Me	$(CH_2NH_2)_2$ (29)	4	100	>90
12	$(S)^{s}Bu$	$(CH_2NH_2)_2$ (30)	6	60	>90
13	Ĥ	$HO(CH_2)_2NH_2$ (31)	2	100	>90
14	Me	$HO(CH_2)_2NH_2(32)$	4	100	>90
15	$(S)^{s}Bu$	$HO(CH_2)_2NH_2(33)$	8	50	>90

Resin (5 mg, 1 μ mol) was treated with amine (200 μ L, neat). The solution was drained and the resin was washed with (H₂O/CH₃CN/TFA, 7:1:0.1) for HPLC analysis.

are basic, no issues with hydrolysis were observed indicating that no competing hydroxide was formed.

Reports on synthesis of *N*-alkyl amides of peptides are quite scarce. However, primary amides have previously been reported, synthesized from esters using ammonia vapor.¹⁶ A few alkyl amides have been synthesized in 13–16% yield using the HMBA linker.¹⁸ A more elaborated alternative, has been *N*-alkylation of the PAL linker with alkyl halides followed by acid cleavage.⁴ The synthesis requires three steps and several reagents, while in the present approach, the amine is the sole reagent in a one-step reaction.

Due to the general interest in peptide thioesters for chemical ligation, 1-propanethiol was investigated as a nucleophile in reactions with the three model substrates, **1a–3a**. In this study, an approach similar to that used for alkoxides, was employed. Under optimized conditions, the corresponding thioester products were obtained in high purity from peptides **1a** and **2a** (Table 3, entries 1 and 2). Only a limited amount of hydrolysis was observed, presumably because deprotonated thiols do not generate significant amounts of hydroxide in contact with traces of water.

It was observed that in the presence of traces of oxygen, the thiols formed disulfides under base catalysis by potassium *tert*-butoxide. The problem was however significantly reduced by passing nitrogen through the solution, during these reactions.

Originally thioesters were reported to be synthesized using Boc/Bzl chemistry.^{21,22} The progress in the synthesis of this class of molecules has recently been reviewed, including different methods compatible with Fmoc-SPPS.²³ A popular method is application of the sulfonamide "safety catch" linker, which after activation can be converted to thioesters by nucleophilic cleavage.²⁴ The BAL linker may also be used to produce thioesters but only from peptides starting with a C-terminal glycine.²⁵ The rearrangements $O \rightarrow S^{26}$ and $N \rightarrow S$ have also been explored in linker strategies,²⁷ where a thiol functionalized



Release of peptides from HMBA with thiolates as nucleophiles

Table 3

Entry	\mathbb{R}^1	R ² SH	Time (h)	Conversion (%)	Purity (%)
1	Н	PrSH (34)	6	70	60
2	Me	PrSH (35)	6	70	60
3	$(S)^{s}Bu$	PrSH (36)	6	0	—

Resin (5 mg, 1 μ mol) was treated at 20 °C with thiol/thiolate (200 μ L, 89 μ mol). Reactions were quenched (200 μ L, 1% TFA/ACN). The solution and subsequent washings (H₂O/CH₃CN, 7:1) were analyzed by HPLC.

Paper

linker and thiol exchange are used to facilitate the release of peptides as thioesters.

None of these methods combine the ability to deprotect the peptide on resin followed by it being released as a thioester into solution. The present nucleophilic cleavage of the HMBA linker with thiolates, allows for this convenient combination and simultaneously reduces the overall number of synthetic steps required for the synthesis of thioesters.

To demonstrate the preparative synthesis of esters, amides and thioesters by the present approach, 150 mg aliquots of Ac-Trp-Ala-HMBA (2a) resin were cleaved using ethanol, propylamine or 1-propanethiol, respectively. Excess nucleophile was evaporated and purification was performed by reverse phase flash column chromatography (for further details, see the ESI†). The products were analyzed by HPLC, NMR, and MS. The purified compounds were isolated in yields of 77%, 90% and 40%, respectively. Reaction with 1-propanethiol induced racemization and 24 hour treatment resulted in complete racemization and a 50:50 distribution of the two isomers. As a consequence, glycine was preferred as the C-terminal amino acid when thiols were used as nucleophiles.

Conclusions

In summary, we have provided a method for the synthesis of a variety of C-terminally modified peptides, prepared by nucleophilic cleavage of fully deprotected, resin bound peptides. In the absence of hydroxide ions, the HMBA-esters presented good reactivity in substitution reactions with a large variety of nucleophiles. Generally alcoholates react fast and efficiently, with high purity of the target peptide esters, and are less sensitive to steric bulk than the corresponding amines. Care needs to be taken to prevent hydrolysis, which in some cases is difficult. The amines are less reactive nucleophiles but at a high concentration they still react to form the corresponding amides when less hindered, sterically. The reactions of the amines do not require any additional base or strictly anhydrous conditions. Thioesters of peptides, valuable for native chemical ligation reactions were successfully formed by reaction of the HMBA linked peptides with thiolates. Because of racemization in these reactions the C-terminal amino acid used should preferably be glycine. Thus utilizing the different reactivities offered by the HMBA linker, the present methodology alleviates the urgent need for specialized linkers, dedicated to the introduction of a particular functionality.

Experimental section

General

All purchased chemicals were used without further purification. All solvents were HPLC-grade. Solid phase synthesis was performed on a VA800 resin, bead size 200–400 (loading 0.200 mmol g^{-1}) from Versa matrix. Flash chromatography (FC) was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 (0.040–0.063 mm)

and analytical TLC was performed using Merck silica gel 60 F254 aluminum plates. Vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 (0.015-0.040 mm) or on IST Isolute Sorbent C18 gel 60 (0.040-0.070 mm). All HPLC analyses were performed on an analytical Agilent 1100 HPLC-MS using a 100 mm XBridge C18 column. A linear gradient of acetonitrile in water with 0.1% TFA was used, running from 0% to 90% acetonitrile, 1 mL min⁻¹ over 10 min. The detection was performed by measurement of the absorbance of 254 nm UV-light. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ADVANCE III 500 MHz CRYO probe instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to residual solvent signals (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm; DMSO-d₆, 2.50 ppm) for ¹H NMR spectra and relative to the central solvent resonance (CDCl₃, 77.0 ppm; DMSO-d₆, 39.5 ppm) for ¹³C NMR spectra. The following abbreviations are used to indicate the multiplicity in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; dd, double doublet; ddd, double double doublet; dddd, double double doublet; dt, double triplet; m, multiplet. ¹³C spectra were acquired in broadband decoupled mode. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on a Bruker micro-TOF using positive electrospray ionization.

Synthesis of test substrates

Esterification of the resin with Fmoc amino acids in dichloromethane (DCM) was carried out using the conditions reported by Blankemeyer-Menge *et al.*²⁸ All peptide couplings followed the standard Fmoc based synthesis conditions with preactivation (3 min) of Fmoc-amino acid (3 equiv.), with 2-(1-*H*-benzotriazolyl-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU) (2.9 equiv.) and 4-ethylmorpholine (NEM) (4 equiv.) in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF). These conditions were also used for N-terminal acetylation using acetic acid. Fmoc-cleavage was achieved with 20% piperidine in DMF and between reactions the resin was washed with 10 resin volumes of DMF.

General procedure for cleavage using sodium hydroxide

Resin (5 mg, 1 µmol) was transferred to a filtration column (2 mL). Aqueous sodium hydroxide (200 µL, 0.1 M) was added to the resin and the mixture was allowed to react for 15 min. The solution was neutralized using aqueous hydrochloric acid (200 µL, 0.1 M) and the eluate was collected. Any remaining product was extracted from the resin by washing with 7:3 H_2O/CH_3CN containing TFA (1%, 2 × 200 µL). The combined solution was analysed by quantitative HPLC.

Method 1. General procedure for cleavage using alcohols or thiols

Vacuum dried resin (5 mg, 1 μ mol) was transferred to a 2 mL SPE filtration column. The cleavage solution (200 μ L, 0.089 mmol, 89 equiv.) of alcoholate or thiolate in the corresponding solvent prepared by addition of potassium *t*-butoxide (10 mg, 0.089 mmol per mL of the solvent) was added to the resin. The full conversion varied according to the structure of the peptide and nucleophile, from 15 min to hours. The reaction mixture was then acidified using TFA (1% in acetonitrile,

200 μ L) and the eluate was collected. The remaining product was extracted from the resin by washing with H₂O/CH₃CN (7:3) containing TFA (1%, 2 × 200 μ L). The combined eluate was analysed by quantitative HPLC.

Method 2. General procedure for cleavage using amines

Vacuum dried resin (5 mg, 1 µmol) was transferred to a 2 mL SPE filtration column. Amine (200 µL, 2.00–3.30 mmol, 2000–3300 equiv.) was added to the resin and the mixture was allowed to react for 2–6 hours depending on the substrate resin. The solution was quenched using TFA (1% in aceto-nitrile 200 µL) and the eluate was collected. Any remaining product was extracted from the resin by washing with H₂O/CH₃CN (7 : 3) containing TFA (1%, 2 × 200 µL). The combined solution was analysed by quantitative HPLC.

NMR Data of crude compounds

Ac-Trp-Gly-OH (1b). The compound was prepared by a general procedure for sodium hydroxide cleavage on resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.54 (s, 1H), 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.34 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (ddd, J = 9.6, 8.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.14 (ddd, J = 14.6, 4.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.96–2.85 (m, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 304.1292, found: 304.1299.

Ac-Trp-Ala-OH (2b). The compound was prepared by a general procedure for sodium hydroxide cleavage on resin 2a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.81 (s, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (ddd, J = 9.7, 8.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.30 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 318.1448, found: 318.1457.

Ac-Trp-Ile-OH (3b). The compound was prepared by a general procedure for sodium hydroxide cleavage on resin 3a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.63 (s, 1H), 10.83 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.1 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (ddt, J = 7.5, 6.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (ddd, J = 7.9, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (ddd, J = 9.5, 8.2, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (dd, J = 14.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.80 (ddt, J = 6.2, 4.7, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.44 (ddd, J = 13.6, 7.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (ddd, J = 13.7, 9.1, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 0.91–0.82 (m, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 360.1918, found: 360.1925.

Ac-Trp-Gly-O-Me (4). The compound was prepared by method 1, using MeOH and resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.81 (s, 1H), 8.45 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.03 (m, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (td, J = 8.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J = 5.9, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.14 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (s, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 318.1448, found: 318.1454.

Ac-Trp-Ala-O-Me (5). The compound was prepared by method 1, using MeOH and resin 2a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.81 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 7.8, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (td, J = 8.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.10 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 332.1605, found: 332.1610.

Ac-Trp-Ile-O-Me (6). The compound was prepared by method 1, using MeOH and resin 3a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.81 (s, 1H), 8.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (td, J = 7.4, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (td, J = 8.7, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dd, J = 8.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.07 (dd, J = 14.7, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.83–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.42 (dqd, J = 14.8, 7.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (ddd, J = 13.8, 9.0, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 0.86–0.82 (m, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 374.2074, found: 374.2078.

Ac-Trp-Gly-O-Et (7). The compound was prepared by method 1, using EtOH and resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.80 (s, 1H), 8.44 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (td, J = 9.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (dd, J = 6.0, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.14 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 332.1605, found: 332.1621.

Ac-Trp-Ile-O-Et (9). The compound was prepared by method 1, using EtOH and resin 3a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.80 (s, 1H), 8.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (td, J = 7.4, 6.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (td, J = 8.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J = 8.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (td, J = 4.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.43 (ddd, J = 13.6, 7.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (m, J = 7.2, 2.8 Hz, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 388.2231, found: 388.2249.

Ac-Trp-Ile-O-¹Pr (12). The compound was prepared by method 1, using isoPrOH and resin 3a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.81 (s, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.02 (m, 1H), 6.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (p, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.72–4.59 (m, 1H), 4.17 (dd, J = 7.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (s, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.48–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.22–1.16 (m, 6H), 0.90–0.83 (m, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 402.2387, found: 402.2400.

Ac-Trp-Ile-O-ⁱBu (15). The compound was prepared by method 1, using isoBuOH and resin 3a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.80 (s, 1H), 8.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 7.9, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (ddd, J = 9.6, 8.2, 4.6

Hz, 1H), 4.26 (dd, J = 8.0, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, J = 6.4, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 3.07 (dd, J = 14.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 14.7, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.83 (m, 1H), 176 (s, 3H), 1.47–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.24–1.17 (m, 1H), 0.93–0.82 (m, 13H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 416.2544, found: 416.2562.

Ac-Trp-Ala-HMBA-NH₂ (16). The compound was prepared by standard solid phase synthesis on Rink amide linker functionalized resin, and the compound was cleaved from the resin, using a TFA cleavage mixture (TFA/phenol/H2O/TIPS, 88:5:5:2) and reduced on a freeze dryer. The compound was purified by reverse phase flash column chromatography and isolated in 50% yield, compared to loading after first amino acid coupling and was used without further purification. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.78 (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, I =6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.90-7.84 (m, 2H), 7.59 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.32 (dt, J = 8.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.59 (ddd, J = 9.8, 8.3, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.12–3.03 (m, 1H), 2.85 (dd, J = 14.8, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.34 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR DMSO-d₆ δ: 172.3, 171.9, 169.0, 167.4, 139.2, 136.0, 133.8, 127.5, 127.2, 127.2, 123.5, 120.8, 118.4, 118.1, 111.2, 110.1, 65.3, 52.8, 47.7, 27.8, 22.5, 16.7. *m*/*z* [M + H⁺], calc.: 451.1976, found: 451.1980.

Ac-Trp-Ala-O-ⁱBu (14). The compound was prepared in solution by peptide coupling of N-Boc-L-tryptophan (341 mg, 1.12 mmol) and L-alanine-OⁱBu (326 mg, 2.24 mmol), using benzotriazol-1-yl-oxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyPOB) (1.0 equiv.) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (2 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ at rt, for 2 h.²⁹ The Boc-protecting group was removed with TFA (95% in H₂O) and then N-terminus was acetylated using acetic anhydride (229 mg, 2.24 mmol) and NEM (516 mg, 4.48 mmol) in DCM at rt, for 3 hours. The final compound was isolated by evaporation of the reaction solution, followed by silica gel column purification, yielding a white solid in 60% yield over 3 steps. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.79 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dt, J = 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.1 Hz,1H), 4.59 (ddd, J = 9.9, 8.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.36-4.27 (m, 1H), 3.92-3.80 (m, 2H), 3.14-3.07 (m, 1H), 2.93-2.84 (m, 1H), 1.91–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.43, 171.79, 168.94, 135.99, 127.27, 123.53, 120.76, 118.43, 118.08, 111.20, 110.16, 70.12, 52.85, 47.68, 27.87, 27.29, 22.50, 18.75, 16.90, 16.90. *m*/*z* [M + H⁺], calc.: 374.2074, found: 374.2097.

Relative reactivity between HMBA and ethylester

Dry compound **16** (1 mg, 2.2 µmol) or **17** (1 mg, 2.7 µmol) was dissolved in dry ethanol (100 µL). Dry ethanol (100 µL) containing potassium *tert*-butoxide (1 mg) was added. Samples (50 µL) were collected and quenched using 2% TFA in CH₃CN (200 µL,) after 15, 30 and 60 s for compound **16** and 2, 5 and 10 min for compound **17**. The reaction temperatures were at

all times fixed at 20 °C and conversion was assessed by quantitative HPLC. The 4-carbamoyl peptide **16** was reduced by 75% within 30 seconds and verified using LC-MS, whereas the ⁱBu-ester **17** was still present in 15% after 10 min. The halftimes $t_{1/2}$ of the reaction with ethanolate were 12 seconds for **16** and 2.25 min for **17** respectively.

Ac-Trp-Gly-NH-Pr (19). The compound was prepared by method 2, using PrNH₂ and resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.32 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49–4.39 (m, 1H), 3.12 (dd, J = 14.6, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (m, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.39 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 345.1921, found: 345.1921.

Ac-Trp-Gly-NH-ⁱPr (22). The compound was prepared by method 2, using isoPrNH₂ and resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.31 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 7.9, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (ddd, J = 9.1, 7.1, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.80 (m, 1H), 3.69 (ddd, J = 14.7, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dd, J = 14.8, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.05 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.6 Hz, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 345.1921, found: 345.1921.

Ac-Trp-Gly-NH-ⁱBu (25). The compound was prepared by method 2, using isoBuNH₂ and resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.33 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.2, 7.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (ddd, J = 9.0, 7.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.05 (m, 1H), 2.97–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.83 (m, 4H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.67 (hept, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 0.82 (dd, J = 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 359.2078, found: 359.2077.

Ac-Trp-Ala-NH-ⁱBu (26). The compound was prepared by method 2, using isoBuNH₂ and resin 2a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.61–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (ddd, J = 7.9, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (ddd, J = 8.9, 7.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (p, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.91–2.82 (m, 4H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.64 (hept, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (dd, J = 6.7, 1.6 Hz, 6H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 373.2234, found: 373.2234.

Ac-Trp-Gly-S-Pr (34). The compound was prepared by method 1, using PrSH and resin 1a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.80 (s, 1H), 8.72 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (ddd, J = 9.8, 8.2, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.12–3.88 (m, 2H), 3.20 (ddd, J = 14.7, 4.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, J = 14.6, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.52 (h, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 362.4675, found: 362.4663.

Ac-Trp-Ala-O-Et (8). The compound was prepared by transferring vacuum dried resin (150 mg, 30 µmol) to a 5 mL SPE filtration column. The cleavage solution (1000 µL, 0.44 mmol, 15 equiv.) of ethanolate in ethanol, prepared by addition of potassium t-butoxide (50 mg, 0.44 mmol per mL of ethanol), was added to the resin. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was acidified using TFA (1% in acetonitrile, 1000 µL) and the eluate was collected. The remaining product was extracted from the resin by washing with H_2O/CH_3CN (7:3) containing TFA (1%, $2 \times 1000 \mu$ L). The combined eluate was analyzed by quantitative HPLC, the volume was reduced and the compound purified by reverse phase, flash chromatography. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.80 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dt, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (ddd, J = 9.5, 8.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (qd, J = 7.1, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 3.11 (ddd, J = 14.7, 4.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.96–2.80 (m, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.30 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 172.4, 171.8, 169.0, 136.0, 127.3, 123.5, 120.8, 118.4, 118.1, 111.2, 110.1, 60.4, 52.9, 47.7, 27.8, 22.5, 16.8, 14.0. m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 346.1761, found: 346.1783.

Ac-Trp-Ala-NH-Pr (20). The compound was prepared by transferring vacuum dried resin (150 mg, 30 µmol) to a 5 mL SPE filtration column. Propylamine (1000 µL, 12.15 mmol, 450 equiv.) was added. After 120 min, the reaction was quenched by adding a small amount of TFA (1% in acetonitrile, 1000 μ L) and the eluate was collected. The remaining product was extracted from the resin by washing with H_2O/CH_3CN (7:3) containing TFA (1%, 2 \times 1000 µL). The combined eluate was analyzed by quantitative HPLC, the volume was reduced and the compound purified by reverse phase, flash chromatography. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.82 (s, 1H), 8.05 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dt, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (ddd, J = 8.8, 7.8, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (p, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.17–3.08 (m, 1H), 2.96 (qd, J = 7.0, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 2.93–2.88 (m, 1H), 1.79 (s, 3H), 1.36 (h, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.19 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.82 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 171.7, 171.3, 169.3, 158.4, 158.1, 136.0, 127.3, 123.5, 120.8, 118.4, 118.1, 111.2, 110.1, 53.4, 48.3, 27.6, 22.5, 22.2, 18.2, 11.2. m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 359.2078, found: 359.2078.

Ac-Trp-Ala-S-Pr (35). The compound was prepared by transferring vacuum dried resin (150 mg, 30 µmol) to a 5 mL SPE filtration column. The cleavage solution (1000 µL, 0.44 mmol, 15 equiv.) of propane-1-thiolate in 1-propanethiol, prepared by addition of potassium *t*-butoxide (50 mg, 0.44 mmol per mL 1-propanethiol), was added to the resin. The reaction time was 24 h, after which the reaction mixture was acidified using TFA (1% in acetonitrile, 1000 µL) and the eluate was collected. The remaining product was extracted from the resin by washing with H₂O/CH₃CN (7 : 3) containing TFA (1%, 2 × 1000 µL). The combined eluate was analyzed by quantitative HPLC, the volume was reduced and the compound purified by reverse phase, flash chromatography. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.72 (s, 1H), 8.71 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 0.5H), 8.52 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 0.5H), 8.00 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 0.5H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 0.5H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 14.5, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (m, J = 8.1, 6.6, 5.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95–6.86 (m, 1H), 4.62–4.51 (m, 1H), 4.34 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 0.5H), 4.23 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 0.5H), 3.16 (dd, J = 14.7, 4.1 Hz, 0.5H), 3.01 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.6 Hz, 0.5H), 2.83 (m, J = 14.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 7.8, 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.72 (s, 1.5H), 1.67 (s, 1.5H), 1.43 (m, J = 7.3, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 1.22 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1.5H), 1.08 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1.5H), 0.82 (td, J = 7.3, 3.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 202.0, 171.8, 168.8, 136.0, 127.3, 123.7, 120.8, 118.4, 111.2, 110.1, 99.5, 53.0, 29.6, 28.0, 27.4, 22.5, 22.4, 17.3, 13.1. m/z [M + H⁺], calc.: 376.1689, found: 376.1660 m/z [M + H⁺], calc.:

Acknowledgements

The University of Copenhagen supported this work with the Center for Evolutionary Chemical Biology. We thank Theis Borch-Nannestad for support with LC-MS equipment, and Dr Sanne Schoffelen and Ming Li for obtaining high resolution MS data.

References

- 1 G. B. Fields and R. L. Noble, *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.*, 1990, 35, 161.
- 2 F. Guillier, D. Orain and M. Bradley, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 2091.
- 3 *Peptide Synthesis and Applications*, ed. K. J. Jensen, P. T. Shelton and S. L. Pedersen, Humana Press Inc, 999 Riverview Dr, Ste 208, Totowa, Nj 07512-1165 USA, 2nd edn, 2013, vol. 1047.
- 4 W. J. Fang, T. Yakovleva and J. V. Aldrich, *Biopolymers*, 2011, 96, 715.
- 5 M. M. Meijler, R. Arad-Yellin, Z. I. Cabantchik and A. Shanzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12666.
- 6 L. Andersson, L. Blomberg, M. Flegel, L. Lepsa, B. Nilsson and M. Verlander, *Biopolymers*, 2000, 55, 227.
- 7 A. K. Ghose, V. N. Viswanadhan and J. J. Wendoloski, J. Comb. Chem., 1999, 1, 55.
- 8 A. M. Bray, A. G. Jhingran, R. M. Valerio and N. J. Maeji, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 2197.
- 9 C. P. R. Hackenberger and D. Schwarzer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 10030.
- 10 E. Atherton, C. J. Logan and R. C. Sheppard, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1, 1981, 538.
- 11 M. Meldal and I. Svendsen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 1591.
- 12 S. B. Katti, P. K. Misra, W. Haq and K. B. Mathur, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 843.
- 13 F. Rabanal, E. Giralt and F. Albericio, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 1449.

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

- 14 C. Garcia-Echeverria, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 8933.
- 15 J. J. N. Veerman, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, J. H. van Maarseveen and H. Hiemstra, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 6079.
- 16 A. M. Bray, R. M. Valerio and N. J. Maeji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 4411.
- 17 J. M. Brown, W. D. Hoffmann, C. M. Alvey, A. R. Wood,
 G. F. Verbeck and R. A. Petros, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2010, 398, 7.
- 18 W. R. Abd-Elgaliel, F. Gallazzi and S. Z. Lever, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2007, **13**, 487.
- 19 R. A. Turner, R. J. Weber and R. S. Lokey, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, 12, 1852.
- 20 V. Diaz-Rodriguez, D. G. Mullen, E. Ganusova, J. M. Becker and M. D. Distefano, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 5648.
- 21 H. Hojo and S. Aimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1991, 64, 111.

- 22 A. Toften, K. Sørensen, K. Conde-Frieboes, T. Hoeg-Jensen and K. Jensen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 7411.
- 23 F. Mende and O. Seitz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 1232.
- 24 R. Ingenito, E. Bianchi, D. Fattori and A. Pessi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 11369.
- 25 J. Brask, F. Albericio and K. Jensen, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 2951.
- 26 J. D. Warren, J. S. Miller, S. J. Keding and S. J. Danishefsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 6576.
- 27 T. Kawakami, M. Sumida, K. Nakamura, T. Vorherr and S. Aimoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 8805.
- 28 B. Blankemeyer-Menge, M. Nimtz and R. Frank, *Tetrahe-dron Lett.*, 1990, 31, 1701.
- 29 T. J. Trivedi, K. S. Rao, T. Singh, S. K. Mandal, N. Sutradhar, A. B. Panda and A. Kumar, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, 4, 604.

Paper