



## How to approach flow chemistry

Mara Guidi,<sup>ab</sup> Peter H. Seeberger<sup>ab</sup> and Kerry Gilmore<sup>ib</sup>\*<sup>a</sup>

Cite this: *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 8910

Flow chemistry is a widely explored technology whose intrinsic features both facilitate and provide reproducible access to a broad range of chemical processes that are otherwise inefficient or problematic. At its core, a flow chemistry module is a stable set of conditions – traditionally thought of as an externally applied means of activation/control (e.g. heat or light) – through which reagents are passed. In an attempt to simplify the teaching and dissemination of this field, we envisioned that the key advantages of the technique, such as reproducibility and the correlation between reaction time and position within the reactor, allow for the redefinition of a flow module to a more synthetically relevant one based on the overall induced effect. We suggest a rethinking of the approach to flow modules, distributing them in two subclasses: transformers and generators, which can be described respectively as a set of conditions for either performing a specific transformation or for generating a reactive intermediate. The chemistry achieved by transformers and generators is (ideally) independent of the substrate introduced, meaning that they must be robust to small adjustments necessary for the adaptation to different starting materials and reagents while ensuring the same chemical outcome. These redefined modules can be used for single-step reactions or in multistep processes, where modules can be connected to each other in reconfigurable combinations to create chemical assembly systems (CAS) targeting compounds and libraries sharing structural cores. With this tutorial review, we provide a guide to the overall approach to flow chemistry, discussing the key parameters for the design of transformers and generators as well as the development of chemical assembly systems.

Received 22nd July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/c9cs00832b

[rsc.li/chem-soc-rev](http://rsc.li/chem-soc-rev)

### Key learning points

- How the attributes of flow chemistry can be fully exploited to enable chemical synthesis.
- Flow chemistry provides reproducible access to experimental conditions and should be thought in terms of capabilities, not components.
- Flow modules are the conditions (including equipment) to chemoselectively either induce a functional group transformation/coupling or generate a reactive intermediate
- Flow modules can be used in isolation or interchangeable to create assembly lines for chemical synthesis.
- Processes can be developed to target core functionalities instead of specific molecules, significantly increasing the capabilities and output.

The use of flow chemistry has seen a meteoric rise in the chemical community. The broad versatility in applications is thanks to the modular nature of the approach, allowing for the facile integration of new conditions, equipment, analytics, automation, and types of reagents for both single and multistep processes. The foundational, distinguishing feature of the approach is a high degree of precision in the delivery of reagents/solutions and excellent control over the conditions to which the solutions

are exposed. Precise control results in excellent reproducibility and safety – making flow chemistry applicable not only to a range of disciplines, but also to researchers from university teaching labs to production-scale process chemists.

Organic synthesis has arguably benefited the most from the incorporation of flow chemical methodology, bringing reproducibility and expanded potential to a highly physical skill-based field of research. Flow chemistry is at least partially responsible for the recent rapid development and incorporation of photochemistry in synthesis, while access to reactive intermediates has allowed for transformations to be efficiently utilized that are essentially impossible to perform otherwise. Increasingly, both home-built and commercial flow chemical systems are being automated, opening the door to standardization of data generation,

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biomolecular Systems, Max-Planck-Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam, Germany.  
E-mail: [kerry.m.gilmore@uconn.edu](mailto:kerry.m.gilmore@uconn.edu)

<sup>b</sup> Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Arnimallee 22, 14195 Berlin, Germany



incorporation of optimization and machine learning algorithms, and most importantly the significant increase in access to the products and potential of organic synthesis to non-specialists.

In order to fully exploit the potential of flow chemistry, a chemist must primarily be able to discern when and how flow could be beneficial. This ability comes first and foremost with a firm grasp on the chemistry that is to be performed and the inherent issues – both process and mechanistic – thereof. The stumbling block often comes as chemists focus on the *what* of flow, which tools/equipment to use in order to perform a transformation or sequence continuously. Knowledge of the available flow chemistry equipment – at least the capabilities and strengths/weaknesses – is important. This information has been covered extensively in both broad and hyper-specific research and review articles over the last twenty years, creating an ever-expanding encyclopedia of flow chemistry hardware and examples of its capabilities.<sup>1</sup>

With the “facts” of flow chemistry – the *what* – readily available to researchers, it is prudent to discuss how flow chemistry should

be used, and more importantly how flow chemistry is discussed and approached. The key attribute of the technique is the high degree of control over the physical process/conditions that provides excellent reproducibility. This affords the opportunity to expand the concept of modularity in flow chemistry from one focused on *what* equipment can be used to *how* processes can be used to expand our synthetic capabilities and potential.

Organic synthesis is traditionally taught as a collection of concepts, such as types of reactions (*e.g.* oxidation, reduction, substitution) and reactive intermediates (*e.g.* carbocation, carbanion, radicals), that can be exploited – either individually or in conjunction – to successfully synthesize a given target. The exact conditions/process for a specific transformation are secondary – the primary focus remains how one can use the available tools to better perform chemical synthesis.

In this tutorial review, we present flow chemistry in the same way, to show current and future users how flow chemistry can be thought of, approached, and utilized to maximize efforts in synthesis, methodology, and process development. We will discuss how flow chemistry should be approached in terms of its capacity to reproducibly perform processes where and when it is desired, providing standardizable access to types of transformations and reactive intermediates. This standardizable access to both transformations/intermediates is defined as a flow chemistry module, and is further broken down as described below. These modules can be used individually for single step processes, or together as interchangeable pieces of a multistep – or telescoped – process.

## 1. Definitions

### 1.1 Modules

At its core, a flow chemistry module is a stable set of conditions inducing an overall effect on a flowing stream of reagents: with



**Mara Guidi**

*Mara Guidi is a PhD student in the Biomolecular Systems department at the Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces in Potsdam (Germany), under the supervision of Prof. Seeberger. Her research focuses in flow chemistry and development of automated platforms for organic synthesis.*



**Peter H. Seeberger**

*Prof. Peter H. Seeberger studied chemistry in Erlangen (Germany) and completed his PhD in biochemistry in Boulder (CO). After performing research at the Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center in New York, he built an independent research program at MIT where he was promoted to Firmenich Associate Professor with tenure. After six years as Professor at ETH Zurich he assumed positions as Director at the Max-Planck Institute in Potsdam and Professor at the Free*

*University Berlin. His research covers the glycosciences from chemistry to immunology as well as flow chemistry.*



**Kerry Gilmore**

*Dr Kerry Gilmore was born in Brewster, Massachusetts in 1984. He received his PhD in 2012 from Florida State University, during which time he was a Fulbright Scholar. He then moved to the Max-Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces for postdoctoral work, and in 2014 was promoted to group leader of the Continuous Chemical Systems team. His current research interests include the development of technology and approaches to advance*

*methodologies of small molecule synthesis, as well as to improve the fundamental understanding of reactivity necessary to efficiently and selectively perform transformations. In 2020, he moved to the University of Connecticut as an Assistant Professor in the Chemistry department.*



this effect being a specific transformation or the generation of a reactive intermediate. Herein, we propose to classify these types of modules as “transformers” and “generators”, respectively. A transformer is a flow module where a specific set of chemical conditions and equipment are used to chemoselectively and reproducibly introduce a coupling or functional group modification. A generator is a flow module whose sole purpose is to generate a reactive intermediate (cation, radical, anion, excited state) at a specific space/time in a process, which can be utilized for study, trapped *in situ*, or consumed in a subsequent module.

### 1.2 Telescoping

Transformers and generators are excellent means of reproducibly performing single step transformations. However, one of the strengths of flow chemistry is the relative ease in the ability to connect two or more modules to create a multi-step process; whether that is combining synthesis and work-up/purification, or stitching together multiple synthesis operations in the pursuit of a target molecule. In flow chemistry, when multiple units of operation are linked together in a continuous system it is called a telescoped process.

The advantages of such a process are significant, with reductions in purification steps, time of synthesis, waste, and manual operations. That said, there are several considerations and limitations that must be considered as well. The flow rate is always increasing (due to the addition of feed lines), meaning that if one of the latter transformations requires a long residence time, a larger reactor is required to accommodate. Byproducts and unused reagents from previous steps are carried through to the subsequent modules. These can sometimes be removed *via* inline workups (creating more complex processes), but if not they can have a significant impact on the yield, selectivity, or even the composition of the molecular structure produced.<sup>2</sup> The same goes for solvents. While prototypes and reagent-specific workarounds exist, the general rule of thumb is once something is added to a multistep flow process, it is there throughout – for better, or more commonly, for worse.

### 1.3 Chemical assembly systems

As implied above, the more units are linked together, the more complex the system becomes. This is true from a hardware perspective – having enough compatible equipment – an operations perspective – getting all equipment running correctly and simultaneously, reaching steady-state – and a chemical perspective – potential solvent/byproduct/solubility issues. Perhaps the most painful aspect, however, is that each telescoped process is typically designed to make one specific molecule, meaning that once complete, the entire process must be broken down and redesigned and rebuilt for the next target.

Desirable small molecules – whether pharmaceuticals or otherwise – often share similar structural cores, and chemists typically synthesize libraries of derivatives where side chains of these cores are varied. By developing telescoped processes which aim to synthesize structural cores as opposed to specific molecules, entire libraries can be rapidly created simply by changing the starting materials/reagents being added to the

process. Further, by seeing flow modules as transformers/generators as opposed to reagent-specific operations, it can be seen that these modules are interchangeable as determined by the desired order of operations. This approach of using transformers/generators interchangeably to target structural cores is a generalized method called chemical assembly systems<sup>3</sup> that allow for the rapid synthesis not only of variants of certain motifs, but also different classes of compounds simply by rearranging the modules.

## 2. Know your flow

Performing reactions in flow requires a bit more thought and planning than the equivalent batch process. This is due to a number of considerations. The first question is whether there are actually chemical or process reasons for running the reaction in flow<sup>1</sup> as opposed to more simply in a flask. Once justified, one must design both the experiment and the process (equipment, arrangement, and conditions). The simplest flow process is monophasic where no precipitation occurs – as this causes clogging of the tubing and thus failure of the process. Homogeneous conditions allow you to deliver premade solutions *via* syringe or HPLC pumps at the desired flow rates (determining stoichiometry) to a mixing unit. The mixed solution then flows into the reactor under pre-set conditions (heat, cold, light, electricity, *etc.*) and is exposed to those conditions for a length of time determined by the size of the reactor divided by the total flow rate of the solution. The entire system can be pressurized using a back pressure regulator, used to increase solubility of gases or perform reactions above the boiling points of reagents/solvents (Fig. 1). Exhaustive descriptions of every component of a wide range of potential flow processes can be found in recent publications and references therein.<sup>1,4</sup>

While monophasic processes are simplest, there are numerous and significant advantages to performing multiphasic reactions in flow. Gases or immiscible solvents can be added to a flow solution *via* a simple T- or Y-mixer to easily generate a series of alternating slugs, the relative sizes of which are determined by the respective flow rates. Biphasic reactions and/or work-ups are generally accelerated using this technique when compared to batch processes due to the significant increase in surface areas between the layers and the increased mixing within each liquid slug. Gas/liquid reactions do not suffer from headspace issues and the solubility of the gas in the solution can be increased by pressurization.<sup>5</sup>

While the undesired formation of solids can present challenges in a flow system, solid reagents and catalysts can be used in flow effectively, in particular when preloaded into a column or cartridge to create a packed bed reactor. Fully heterogeneous catalytic reactions perform well in packed bed reactors, as the effective molarity of the catalyst at the point of reaction is significantly higher than in a batch catalytic process. The turn-over number of the catalyst is determined by the concentration of the solution, the residence time, and how long the process runs for. The only potential issues with this approach unique to flow would be leaching of the catalyst into solution,






Fig. 1 Breakdown of the basic components of a continuous flow system.

resulting in at best uneven distribution of catalyst within the packed bed and at worst loss of catalyst from the column.<sup>6</sup> The leaching of catalyst and reagents from a packed bed can be used to deliver poorly soluble species, such as sodium fluoride (NaF) or sodium borohydride (NaBH<sub>4</sub>), however this is not a widely used technique.<sup>1</sup>

### 3. Approaching flow

Returning briefly to the thought process behind performing reactions in flow. There are a few types of chemistries that perform better under continuous conditions than in batch due to the inherent advantages of the flow reactor and process. Using well designed mixing units, two miscible solutions can be fully mixed down to the nanosecond timescale,<sup>7</sup> making fast reactions an excellent choice for flow chemistry. As reaction time is directly related to the position in a reactor, the flow regime allows for precise control of intermediate generation and utilization (*vide infra*). The increased surface area of flow reactors affords excellent temperature control and light penetration, providing a general increase in control and efficiency of related transformations.

One significant challenge to those new to the field – and often those experienced in it as well – is how to start a new investigation in flow. In batch this is more straight-forward, we would simply weigh the solid reagents, dissolve or suspend the reagent mixture into a solvent, add liquid reagents neat or as diluted solutions, and apply the required conditions (heat, cooling, light). Whether the reaction mixture is heterogeneous

at the beginning or end doesn't matter. One periodically takes samples, runs a TLC, and waits until the starting material is consumed. Unfortunately, you cannot do this in flow – which is why our group and others will, generally, quickly screen conditions in batch prior to developing a flow process to get a feel for the parameters and potential challenges.

In flow, we must decide on the process set-up supported by solubility tests, equipment geometry, *etc.* and then select an initial set of conditions, including: flow rates that determine stoichiometry and reaction time, concentrations, as well as reactor conditions and size. The solution exiting the reactor is collected, worked up if required, and analysed. Based on the results, a next set of conditions can then be tested. The procedures can be partially accelerated by using in-line analytics when the stream directly passes through an analytical device like an IR, NMR, or UV. However, in-line analytics are both expensive and can complicate reaction set-ups during the exploratory phase.

With an ever increasing number of literature examples to base initial tests on, initial screening and development is becoming easier. Therefore, we can begin to think more generally about how we approach a new flow process. Each transformation or synthesis in flow should not be developed in isolation or considered a “one-off”. While there are certainly specialized reactors/conditions, in general the set-ups and conditions that have been developed can be considered reproducible and (ideally) selective means of performing a chemical reaction. This includes functional group modifications, coupling reactions, or the generation, study, and utilization of reactive intermediates. Categorized as such, the flow chemistry literature can be reorganized from a series of specific examples



to a collection of modules, equipment, and parameters to affect a desired chemical process, that can be utilized either in isolation or in combination to achieve our chemical goal. This toolbox for mechanistic and synthetic chemists can be utilized to minimize the challenges and hurdles in adopting the technique and hopefully providing a means of improved reproducibility and efficiency of research. In the following sections, we break down the flow literature into two types of modules – transformers, where flow conditions effect a specific chemical modification, and generators, where flow conditions effect the production of a reactive intermediate to be utilized in a multistep process. Finally, we will show how these modules can be used in interchangeable combinations to create multistep syntheses targeting cores for the rapid synthesis of collections of molecules.

## 4. Transformers

Organic chemistry is traditionally broken down into sets and series of functional group transformations and flow modules can be categorized similarly. The conditions to reproducibly induce a given transformation are comprised of the reagents required, the environmental parameters, and the equipment setup. If the chemistry is designed well, these conditions can result in a transferrable module capable of reproducible, selective functional group modification(s) independent of the starting material utilized. Just as in batch processes, different methods exist to induce a given transformation, and the module utilized should be based on chemical compatibility, availability of equipment, and byproducts generated. In this section we will discuss flow transformers that have been developed for the adaptable and substrate independent induction of types of organic reactions. These transformers have been organized by the class of chemical transformation, and representative examples of each are discussed.

### 4.1 Oxidation modules

Numerous techniques and protocols have been developed to perform oxidation reactions in flow addressing practical and

chemical challenges connected to working with toxic, flammable, and/or explosive oxidative reagents. While examples exist using heterogeneous oxidants, the majority of oxidation modules can be broken down into two classes of oxidation modules; the use of oxygen in gas/liquid modules and soluble oxidants in liquid/liquid systems, the latter also covering monophasic oxidations.

**4.1.1 Gas–liquid oxidation with molecular oxygen (triplet state).** Molecular oxygen represents an excellent oxidizing agent as it is green, traceless, and widely abundant. However, it is potentially dangerous to use in batch systems due to the large volumes of flammable solvents and gas together. It is also advantageous to pressurize reactors when using oxygen gas to increase solubility of gas in the solvent, which is not trivial in a standard batch reactor. In flow these issues are easily addressed, creating systems with excellent mixing and mass transfer.<sup>8</sup> Showcased below are two set-ups for this class of transformers with complementary advantages, differentiated by the means of delivering oxygen gas.

**Transformer 1 (oxidation).** The simplest way to deliver oxygen gas to a reaction stream is through a standard two feed approach where the oxygen flow rate is regulated by a Mass Flow Controller (MFC) and the liquid is introduced by a pump (syringe, HPLC, or peristaltic pump). The two feeds are mixed together at a mixing unit (*e.g.* T/Y-mixer) to generate a segmented flow where liquid and gas droplets alternate – a flow pattern called Taylor or slug flow (Fig. 2). In each liquid slug, small vortices, known as toroidal currents, are generated inside each liquid segment resulting in excellent mixing and enhanced mass transfer between the two phases.

Aerobic oxidations in such setups often use soluble catalysts containing precious metals, palladium being the most studied. Reagents and catalysts are contained in a premade solution, which is mixed with oxygen gas or air and delivered to a reactor – generally at ambient or elevated temperature.<sup>8,9</sup> Cheaper catalysts have also been studied and a good example of such a two phase process is a gas–liquid continuous flow reactor used for the aerobic oxidation of 2-benzylpyridines that employs  $\text{FeCl}_3$ .<sup>10</sup>




Fig. 2 Transformer 1 – oxidation: using oxygen, creating a biphasic gas–liquid system using a T-mixer, exemplified by a benzylic oxidation procedure with iron(III) chloride.<sup>10</sup>



Similar transformers employing more expensive and elaborate homogeneous catalysts are used to oxidize alkenes, alcohols, aldehydes and ketones.<sup>8</sup>

This process uses synthetic air and an inexpensive iron(III) chloride homogeneous catalyst (5 mol%). The reaction can be run fairly concentrated (starting material [1.2 M]) and at high temperature (200 °C). The setup consists of a liquid and a gas feed mixed by a T-mixer containing a pressure reducer and a repurposed GC oven containing a stainless steel coil as a reactor. However, reactions using oxygen gas/air can also be performed using simple T-mixers and in fluorinated polymer tubing such as PTFE or FEP. The specific temperature required for the oxidation will be reaction specific.

**Transformer 2 (oxidation).** The second arrangement for this class of modules delivers oxygen (and other) gases to a flow system using a tube in tube reactor. This more complicated flow setup, pioneered by the Ley group, provides excellent gas mixing into a liquid phase, and has been used for a wide range of gases and chemistries.<sup>11</sup> The reactor consists of two concentric tubes. The inner tube is made of a semi-permeable polymer such as Teflon AF-2400. This material displays high permeability for many gases and is impermeable to non-fluorinated liquids. Liquid is passed through the inner tube, while the pressurized gas is passed through the outer tube, allowing for the gas to dissolve into the solution across the entire length of the tube-in-tube reactor.

A showcase of this oxidation module is the anti-Markovnikov Wacker oxidation of styrenes to arylacetaldehydes.<sup>12</sup> Oxygen was efficiently delivered to a solution containing styrene and a homogeneous palladium/copper catalytic system (each 5 mol%) under pressure (25 bar) maintained by a back pressure regulator. The oxygen-enriched monophasic solution exited the tube-in-tube reactor and passed into a reactor coil held at 60 °C. Using this setup, a number of styrenes were converted to the anti-Markovnikov aldehyde in good yields and exhibited good selectivity over the potential Markovnikov and the over-oxidation products (Fig. 3).

**4.1.2 Liquid phase oxidations.** The two flow setups described above, while straightforward to set-up and operate, present the challenge of handling gas. For those who would rather avoid using gases – for safety, complexity minimization, or for lack of equipment reasons – many monophasic oxidation reactions that still benefit from being carried out in flow have been described.

**Transformer 3 (oxidation).** The simplest system for liquid phase oxidation is composed of two feeds, one containing the starting material and one with the homogeneous oxidant, which meet at a T-mixer. Such systems are numerous and used for many different processes, including  $\text{KMnO}_4$ -mediated oxidations. With a single set-up, it is possible to oxidize alcohols and aldehydes to carboxylic acids as well as nitroalkane derivatives to the corresponding carbonyls and carboxylic acids (Nef oxidation).<sup>13</sup> The reagent and oxidant streams are delivered by HPLC pumps to a T-piece before entering a simple PFA (per-fluoro-alkoxy) tubing coil (here with a 14 ml internal volume).

However, even with simple and general applications such as this, issues can arise. While precipitation can occur anywhere in a flow system, the two main places are either inside a reactor due to product/byproduct crashing out, or at the mixer when two solutions first meet. In this work, there were issues with manganese dioxide falling out of solution at the exit of the T-mixer. The challenge was easily addressed by submerging the T-mixer in an ultrasonic bath that prevented any aggregation of manganese dioxide precipitate (Fig. 4).

## 4.2 Reductions

Reductions can be performed using gaseous hydrogen or soluble reductants, by using adaptations of the oxidation setups described above. Safety and control over reductions is much better in flow than in Batch syntheses. Hydrogenations generally require high pressures and combine pyrophoric catalysts with a highly flammable gas.<sup>14</sup> Liquid reductants, such as hydride sources, often face selectivity issues, as products may be susceptible to




Fig. 3 Transformer 2 – oxidation: using oxygen, delivered by a tube-in-tube reactor, showcased with the transformation of styrenes into aldehydes.<sup>12</sup>






Fig. 4 Transformer 3 – oxidation: using a liquid phase oxidant and an ultrasonic bath (green box) to avoid aggregation of precipitants resulting from oxidation byproducts.<sup>13</sup>

over-reduction. These issues can be reproducibly addressed using flow reduction transformers.

**Transformer 4 (reduction).** Hydrogenations are exceedingly well suited for flow due to the facility of pressurization and enhanced safety. One approach uses the same equipment as Transformer 2 (Fig. 3), with hydrogen introduced *via* a tube-in-tube reactor in combination with either a homogeneous or heterogeneous catalyst in a subsequent reactor. An example of the latter approach is the hydrogenation of cinnamates, where the starting material solution is enriched with hydrogen under pressure.<sup>15</sup> The gas-laden solution then feeds directly into a packed bed reactor, allowing for the heterogeneous catalyst, in this case 10% palladium on carbon, to be used in superstoichiometric amounts and separated from the solution post reaction. The entire system is pressurized by a back pressure regulator, as the conversion is roughly linearly dependent on the pressure (Fig. 5). When used as a stand-alone transformer, the solution can easily be cycled back through the system to increase conversion, as there are no byproducts and excess hydrogen gas is removed upon depressurization. Increased catalyst loading or lengthening of the packed bed reactor can improve conversion in this type of transformer.




Fig. 5 Transformer 4 – reduction: using hydrogen gas delivered by a tube in tube reactor and catalyst *via* a packed bed reactor (where the reduction takes place).<sup>15</sup>

**Transformer 5 (reduction).** An alternative approach to hydrogen introduction in a flow system is its *in situ* generation, which both minimizes equipment and maximizes safety. While protocols for DIY systems exist, the easiest method uses commercial equipment that produces hydrogen *via* the electrolysis of water. The H-cube<sup>®</sup> couples an electrolytic cell (producing hydrogen) to a gas-liquid mixer creating a supersaturated, pressurized solution that directly feeds into an exchangeable packed bed (~140 mg catalyst) with temperature control by a heater block (Fig. 6). A variety of available pre-packed catalyst columns open-up access to a wide range of hydrogen-mediated reductions using this single transformer, for example using RANEY<sup>®</sup> Ni or Pd/C cartridges for the reduction of nitro groups, nitriles, and oximes to amines as well as the hydrogenolyses and saturation of double and triple C-C bonds are possible.<sup>16</sup>

**Transformer 6 (reduction).** Flow transformer modules have also been developed for homogeneous reductions. An archetypical example of how better process control of flow modules can harness reactions difficult to be controlled in batch is the continuous flow reduction of esters to aldehydes using diisobutyl-aluminum hydride (DIBALH).<sup>17</sup> Ester reductions with DIBALH are notoriously difficult to reproduce due to significant over-reduction to primary alcohols. In order to stem overreduction, such processes often need cryogenic temperatures and slow addition of DIBALH. Both aspects can be easily addressed in flow.

Transformer 6 consists of two PFA reactors – for reduction and subsequent quenching – held at low temperature (Fig. 7). Solutions first pass through precooling loops to ensure controlled reactivity at sub-ambient temperatures and mixing is achieved using T-mixers. Mixing is critical for the efficiency of this reaction, and while this can be achieved using structured mixers, better mixing with T-mixers can be achieved using higher flow rates. The reduction of esters to aldehydes at cryogenic temperature ( $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) was fully selective and with an extremely short residence time ( $< 50\text{ ms}$ ), allowing for very high throughput for this transformer ( $> 1.8\text{ kg per day}$  for ethyl hydrocinnamate) with only a  $23\text{ }\mu\text{l}$  reactor (R1).<sup>17</sup>

### 4.3 Olefination reactions

Numerous flow protocols exist for highly adaptable homogeneous olefinations, including Wittig, Knoevenagel, and






Fig. 6 Transformer 5 – reduction: using *in situ* generated hydrogen by electrolysis of water, which under pressure creates a saturated solution that passes through a packed bed reactor containing the catalyst.<sup>16</sup>




Fig. 7 Transformer 6 – reduction: controlled homogeneous partial reduction of esters to aldehydes using hydride.<sup>17</sup> Blue box corresponds to the chilled section of the transformer.

Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons couplings. These reactions are generally carried out in ambient or elevated temperature tubular reactors with reagents delivered by at least two liquid feeds. In flow, reaction times can sometimes be significantly shortened for these reactions due to the accessible high temperatures and pressures.<sup>1,18</sup>

**Transformer 7 (olefination).** A dedicated module for Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefinations was developed employing what was termed a PASSFlow reactor.<sup>19</sup> The reactor is a column packed with polymeric beads (polyvinylchlorobenzene cross-linked with 2–20% of divinylbenzene) inside the microchannel pore system of highly porous glass rods resulting in a composite material capable of swelling and shrinking – minimizing issues resulting from channeling or high pressure drops observed in other polymer-based packed reactors (Fig. 8). The column acts as both base and method for purification. The beads can be loaded with hydroxide ions, which serve to deprotonate diethyl phosphonoacetonitrile to form the required phosphonate ion. This intermediate reacts with the aldehyde present in the same solution to yield the desired olefin. The diethyl phosphate

byproduct remains bound to the resin, while the formed alkene cleanly exits the reactor. The column can be regenerated and used more than ten times, and the Transformer can be used for a wide range of aldehydes.

#### 4.4 Huisgen cycloadditions

Cycloadditions are powerful class of transformations, often characterized by their selectivity and minimal byproducts. The Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an azide and alkyne is the classic example, and has become the epitome of “click” chemistry.<sup>20</sup> The Cu(I)-catalyzed version of the azide–alkyne cycloaddition reaction (CuAAC) leads to 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles and its extreme versatility and robustness has resulted in a wide range of applications. The reaction benefits from continuous conditions due to its safety in handling potentially explosive azides even under harsh conditions, better mixing to potentially lower catalyst loading, and inline separation/purification.

**Transformer 8 (CuAAC).** The first transformer for CuAAC chemistry combines enhanced safety and mixing with a set of conditions that does not require an externally added catalyst.




Fig. 8 Transformer 7 – olefination: a packed bed reactor capable of catalyzing and purifying Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefinations.<sup>19</sup>








Fig. 9 Transformer 8 – CuAAC: a copper coil serves as both reactor and catalyst source.<sup>21</sup>

The azide is formed *in situ* with the desired halide and sodium azide, selectively in the presence of a terminal alkyne – all three feeds are mixed *via* a 4-way cross-piece. Mixing in the system is enhanced by the addition of an immiscible carrier fluid (perfluoromethyldecalin) *via* a T-mixer, creating reaction slugs with volumes of approximately 400  $\mu\text{l}$ . This biphasic mixture then enters the specialized reactor, a copper coil (0.75 mm i.d.) placed between two copper plates (Fig. 9). At the elevated reaction temperatures (150  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), Cu(0) leaches from the tubing and is used to effectively catalyze the reaction.<sup>21</sup> A wide range of halides and alkynes can be rapidly transformed using this module. This copper coil reactor was used by the authors to catalyze hundreds of reactions and no loss of catalytic activity was reported.

**Transformer 9 (CuAAC).** A simpler approach to perform CuAAC chemistry is the normal two-feed setup using a homogeneous copper source (Fig. 10).<sup>22</sup> A premade mixture of an azide and alkyne is delivered to a T-mixer where it meets the stream of copper(I) iodide in NMP. The pressurized solution then enters a standard PFA reactor held at elevated temperature.

The specialization comes *via* the integrated inline workup/extraction, where an aqueous ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution quenches the reaction by extraction of the catalyst *via* chelation. The product is extracted with ethyl acetate, and the two phases can be efficiently separated, when the aqueous stream is in large (7.5 $\times$ ) excess, using a membrane-based liquid/liquid separator.<sup>23</sup>

**Transformer 10 (CuAAC).** Scavenging units can also be used to remove catalyst and excess reagents by packing resins into columns. For CuAAC-chemistry, copper is removed using Quadrapure™ TU (QP-TU) metal-scavenging resin and excess azide using a column packed with phosphine resin (PS-PPh<sub>2</sub>) (Fig. 11).<sup>24</sup>

## 5. Generators

The “generator” concept is commonly used to indicate a module for the continuous *in situ* production of hazardous materials.<sup>25</sup> Here, we expand this concept to include all reactive intermediates that can be generated *in situ* and on-demand.

One of the most important, but often underestimated features of flow chemistry is the direct correlation between reaction time




Fig. 10 Transformer 9 – CuAAC: homogeneous system with in-line copper scavenging/work-up and liquid/liquid separation.




Fig. 11 Transformer 10 – CuAAC: a packed-bed system for CuAAC with modular scavenging units for both metal and excess azide.<sup>24</sup>






Fig. 12 (top) A typical example of how chemists write out a reaction. (bottom) Aryllithium generator, where an organolithium is generated cleanly and delivered directly to the electrophile – creating a flow system identical to the “ideal” written process.

and physical position in flow reactors. In a given reactor, the reaction time is a function of flow rate and volume (a factor of both tube diameter and length). The implications are significant, particularly for fast reactions or those proceeding through reactive intermediates. When one writes out a reaction, reagents are drawn coming together, creating an intermediate such as an organolithium species that then reacts *e.g.* with an electrophile to give the desired product. Traditionally, all these species are present together presenting multiple potential reaction pathways, and cryogenic conditions are required to attempt to control reactivity. However, flow modules can be designed to create a system identical to how it is drawn (Fig. 12). Thereby, intermediates and processes are reproducibly accessible that cannot be effectively or safely carried out in batch.

This concept is best exemplified by the “flash chemistry” of Yoshida, whose work perfectly exploited the space-time correlation of flow chemistry. Flash chemistry relies on very fast reactions (a second or less) involving highly reactive and unstable species that cannot be harnessed by traditional methods.<sup>26</sup>

Generators are modules that utilize liquids or gases to create a reactive species and are modules incorporated into larger flow processes. As with transformers, we will organize our discussion of generators based on capability (*i.e.* type of intermediate formed) and will highlight for each the most relevant example(s) in the literature.

## 5.1 Carbocations

Carbocations are positively charged intermediates that play key roles in various organic reactions, but are, due to their unstable and transient nature, difficult to isolate and accumulate. Generating carbocations continuously *via* continuous flow techniques provides stable access to these valuable species, which can then be trapped by nucleophiles prior to undergoing side reactions.

**Generator 1 (iminium).** The seminal work in this area was the “cation flow method” by the Yoshida group, generating carbocations by electrochemical oxidation that are trapped in a subsequent reactor. The module consists of two feeds containing supporting electrolytes leading to the respective cathodic and anodic chambers – separated by a PTFE membrane – of the low-temperature electrolysis reactor. A sacrificial proton source drives the reaction forwards by forming hydrogen gas in the cathodic chamber, while the carbamate is oxidized to give the corresponding iminium ion (Fig. 13). The cation generation can be monitored inline using FTIR on the stream exiting the generator that is combined with an additional stream or vessel allows for efficient and selective nucleophilic trapping. The module is tolerant of a range of carbamate carbocationic precursors as well as nucleophilic trapping agents.

## 5.2 Benzyne

**Generator 2 (benzyne).** Benzyne bridges the gap between isolated cations and anions but are difficult to harness in synthesis. A straightforward three-component coupling can be achieved where benzyne is formed and sequentially reacted with a nucleophile followed by an electrophile. Expanding on the carbolithiation module (*vide infra*), *o*-disubstituted benzenes can be rapidly synthesized from 1,2-dihalobenzenes *via* the formation of benzyne following lithium-halogen exchange.<sup>28</sup> Precise control over the mixing and positioning (*via* flow rates) in the system allows for the efficient sequential trappings with an aryl lithium or a range of electrophiles. 1-Bromo-2-iodobenzene was found to be the best benzyne precursor and the module is operated in three distinct temperature zones (Fig. 14).

## 5.3 Carbanions

One of the most commonly employed carbanionic synthons are organometallic species such as organolithium and organomagnesium




Fig. 13 Generator 1 – cations: electrolytic generation of iminium ions, capable of inline monitoring, delivered to a stream containing the nucleophile.<sup>27</sup>






Fig. 14 Generator 2 – benzyne: fully adjustable three-component system for generating and utilizing benzyne with different nucleophiles and electrophiles.<sup>28</sup> The three temperature zones are shown with colored boxes.

compounds that contain a very polar carbon–metal bond with electron density heavily concentrated on the carbon atom. These highly reactive species are potentially hazardous due to the exothermicity of their reactions, thus rendering control over chemoselectivity and overreactions difficult. Organometallic species are popular among flow chemists as they can be safely generated and manipulated *in situ*.

**Generator 3 (aryllithium).** As discussed with Generator 2, precision in both time and temperature are key to controlling the reactivity of carbanions. With modules capable of very short residence times, even intramolecular reactions can be out-competed by the desired bimolecular trapping of carbanions. One example is the mono-functionalization of 1,2-dibromobenzene.<sup>29</sup> The flow setup for this generator is very simple, composed of two microtube reactors for the lithiation and subsequent trapping, two T-shaped micromixers submerged in a cooling bath ( $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), and syringe pumps to introduce all reagent solutions (Fig. 15). The best conditions for the generation of *o*-bromophenyllithium was  $-70\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a residence time of 0.8 seconds. A wide range of electrophiles, such as methyl triflate, chlorodimethylsilane, trimethylsilyl triflate, chlorotributyl-stannane, aldehydes, and

ketones efficiently gave the corresponding substituted bromobenzene derivatives.

#### 5.4 Radicals

Besides carbocations and carbanions, radicals are the third major class of electronically unsatisfied reactive species. Radicals are molecules with an unpaired valence electron and are very reactive and as such, transient. As widely reported, microfluidic devices can overcome mass and energy transport limitations even for fast and exothermic reactions, making the generation and utilization of radicals better controlled in microflow systems.

**Generator 4 (carbon-centered radicals).** A simple setup for the generation of carbon radicals from alkyl iodides uses Minisci-type conditions.<sup>30</sup> This highly exothermic and fast two-stage reaction employs hydrogen peroxide ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and an Fe(II) catalyst to generate a methyl radical. This intermediate abstracts an iodide atom from the substrate in a very fast equilibrium reaction that leads to the desired alkyl radical. In the model reaction (Fig. 16), the alkyl radical is trapped by a double bond forming a carbon–carbon bond. In batch, the process is difficult to control, requiring careful dosing and low temperatures.




Fig. 15 Generator 3 – aryllithium: precise design allows for trapping of *o*-haloaryl lithium species prior to side reactions.<sup>29</sup>




Fig. 16 Generator 4 – alkyl radicals: two-step process for formation of alkyl radicals, with selective trapping with an *in situ* alkene.<sup>30</sup>



Operationally, the generator is a straight-forward two-feed flow setup driven by syringe pumps. In the first feed there is a solution containing the aromatic substrate, the alkyl iodide,  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (as  $\text{Fe(II)}$  catalyst) and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (added to enhance the solubility of the  $\text{Fe(II)}$  salt and to prevent its oxidation) in DMSO while the second feed contains hydrogen peroxide. The residence time unit can be either a micromixer of various designs or a combination of Y-connections and PFA tubing.

### 5.5 Diazo compounds

Diazo compounds are highly reactive organic molecules well known for both their synthetic potential as well as for the safety hazards associated with them. These reasons – as well as the facility of pressurization to control the liberated gas – are excellent arguments for the development of a flow generator for these inherently unstable compounds. A thorough review article on the use of continuous flow for safe generation and use of diazo compounds details numerous good examples of flow generators for such species.<sup>31</sup> Here, we highlight four of them, which exploit different chemistries and flow setups, approaching the challenge in distinct but valuable ways. These can be further broken down into gaseous (diazomethane) and liquid diazo generators.

**Generator 5 (diazomethane).** Diazomethane generators rely on having two streams separated by a membrane. In one, diazomethane generation occurs. The gaseous reagent then diffuses through the membrane into the second stream, where the reagent solution is flowing. In one approach, a hydrophobic membrane is built into a dual-channel microreactor (Fig. 17).<sup>32</sup> Diazomethane is generated from the basic elimination of a nitroso compound called Diazald (*N*-methyl-*N*-nitroso-*p*-toluenesulfonamide) using potassium hydroxide. Upon generation, diazomethane then diffuses through the membrane to react with a range of different partners, yielding methyl esters or ethers, diazo ketones, and Arndt–Eistert homologation products.

**Generator 6 (diazomethane).** The second approach to generate and use diazomethane efficiently is using the tube-in-tube reactor described earlier. Diazomethane is a gas at room temperature, thus it is possible to envision a process in which generation, separation, and chemical transformations of diazomethane are a single integrated process.<sup>33</sup> A stream of Diazald and KOH is passed through the inner tube of the reactor. Upon generation, the

diazomethane passes through the membrane to react with the liquid reagents passing through the outer tube. The aqueous waste stream can be directly fed into concentrated acetic acid to decompose any unconsumed diazomethane, while the product stream is collected and similarly quenched with acetic acid. A wide range of products can be generated using this approach (Fig. 18).

**Generator 7 (alkyl diazo compounds).** Fluorinated diazo compounds can also be generated using a tube-in-tube system by simple modification of the aqueous stream to trifluoroethylamine hydrochloride and sodium nitrite under aqueous acidic conditions.<sup>34</sup> Trifluoromethyl diazomethane efficiently passes through the inner tubing to mix efficiently with the outer stream, here containing an aldehyde solution. In the model example, this solution was then passed through two packed bed reactors containing alumina and an immobilized base (DBU) to obtain a range of aldol products (Fig. 19).

**Generator 8 (benzyl diazo compounds).** Another well documented protocol to generate diazo compounds is the oxidation of hydrazones. Manganese dioxide ( $\text{MnO}_2$ ) has is an effective oxidizing agent for such oxidations in batch, although these protocols suffer from low efficiency and are limited to very specific substrates. Alternatively, a flow generator has been reported capable of delivering reactive, unstable diazo compounds on demand using a column packed with activated  $\text{MnO}_2$ .<sup>35</sup> The flow-generated transient species could be transferred into another chemical environment in order to react with a suitable partner. This sequence of generation/translocation/reaction of diazo compounds provides a new pool of reactions for these reactive intermediates, and was showcased with carbon–carbon  $\text{sp}^2$ – $\text{sp}^3$  coupling between tosylhydrazone and boronic acids (Fig. 20).

While the column is consumed/deactivated during the course of the reaction, a protocol for the regeneration and re-use of the  $\text{MnO}_2$  column was also established. The spent manganese oxide could be oxidized by passing a solution of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide through the column. Interestingly, the regenerated column produced diazo compound without the need for a pre-conditioning phase.  $\text{MnO}_2$  was recycled over three cycles with just a slight decrease in reactivity after each time.

### 5.6 Phosgene

Phosgene is a very useful gaseous reagent in organic synthesis. However, it is toxic and hazardous – making handling and




Fig. 17 Generator 5 – diazomethane: dual stream *in situ* generation and reaction of diazomethane *via* a membrane reactor.<sup>32</sup>






Fig. 18 Generator 6 – diazomethane: generation of diazomethane and reactions thereof in a tube-in-tube reactor.<sup>33</sup>




Fig. 19 Generator 7 – alkyl diazo compounds: generation of fluorinated diazo compounds and use *via* a tube-in-tube reactor. The generator is coupled with a packed-bed reactor to catalyze an aldol coupling of the formed diazo intermediate.<sup>34</sup>




Fig. 20 Generator 8 – benzyl diazo compounds:  $\text{MnO}_2$  packed bed module generates diazo compounds *via* oxidation of hydrazones.

accumulation of such species dangerous. As discussed for diazomethane, flow generators can enable continuous *in situ* generation and consumption of hazardous gases within a closed system, opening the doors to their application in many types of chemistries otherwise carefully avoided.

**Generator 9 (phosgene).** A simple two-stage set-up allows for the continuous *in situ* generation of phosgene and its use in acid chloride formation.<sup>36</sup> The phosgene precursor (triphosgene)

in the presence of Hünig's base (to avoid downstream precipitation issues) is delivered to a stream of carboxylic acid and base *via* a stainless steel T-mixer. The mixer and subsequent process is immersed in a water bath (20 °C) for thermodynamic control (Fig. 21). The system generates a near-equimolar amount of phosgene that is completely consumed in the subsequent reaction such that no phosgene is emitted from the reactor. The generator was used to synthesize a range of amides from






Fig. 21 Generator 9 – phosgene: safe *in situ* generation and use of phosgene, exemplified by its use in the synthesis of acid chlorides – immediately used in a telescoped synthesis of amides.<sup>36</sup>

carboxylic acids *via* the acid chlorides produced using the *in situ* generated phosgene.

### 5.7 Singlet oxygen

Singlet oxygen ( $^1\text{O}_2$ ) is a low cost and green reagent attractive for its versatility in a plethora of reactions, including heteroatom oxidations, ene-reactions, and cycloaddition reactions.  $^1\text{O}_2$  is usually generated through the excitation of triplet oxygen ( $^3\text{O}_2$ ) by using a photoinitiator and light. It is a highly reactive, unstable, and explosive species and these features, together with the already mentioned benefits of microreactors in gas-liquid and photochemical processes, make  $^1\text{O}_2$  an excellent candidate for flow.

**Generator 10 (singlet oxygen).** The first flow generator for the preparation of  $^1\text{O}_2$  from  $^3\text{O}_2$  showcased a variety of oxidations to synthesize allyl alcohols, endoperoxides, keto-acids, and sulfoxides/sulfones.<sup>37</sup> The generator is based on a photoreactor design<sup>38</sup> where a fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) tubing is wrapped around a Schenk photochemical reactor hosting a 450 W medium pressure mercury lamp maintained at 25 °C (Fig. 22). A biphasic stream of oxygen/solvent is created by a T-mixer outside of the reactor, with the solution phase containing the photosensitizer (here tetraphenylporphyrin) and the reagent(s).

This generator can also be driven by visible light LEDs, and a truly wide breadth of chemistry has been achieved using singlet oxygen in flow with these simple generators and a simple room temperature residence time unit.<sup>8</sup> Two examples showcase the flexibility of this generator. Dihydroartemisinic acid reacts with singlet oxygen, generated either using a pure dye<sup>39</sup> or crude chlorophyll from *Artemisia annua* extraction,<sup>40</sup> in an ene reaction

to give an intermediate peroxide. When the reaction is performed in the presence of triflic acid, this unstable intermediate undergoes a cascade of reactions resulting in the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) artemisinin. Without any changes to the generator and simply replacing the starting material solution, primary and secondary amines can be rapidly oxidized to the corresponding imine, which can be quantitatively trapped by an *in situ* cyanide source (unreactive in the generator) to give  $\alpha$ -aminonitriles.<sup>41</sup>

## 6. Chemical assembly systems

Thus far we have covered the best approaches to exploit flow reactors as reproducible sets of conditions with space and time directly linked by flow rate to induce a transformation. The synthetic potential further increases – as does the technical difficulty – when multiple transformers, generators, and combinations thereof are linked in series. These individual modules are designed to be chemoselective, and can be used interchangeably to create reconfigurable multistep processes. The processes, called chemical assembly systems (CAS)<sup>3</sup> due to their similarity to traditional assembly lines, combine modules focused solely on functional group transformations, can be used to target cores motives as opposed to specific target molecules. As such, compound collections can be generated by simply changing the starting materials entering the CAS sequence. A second layer of control over product outcome is derived from the reagents chosen, allowing for further functional groups or branching points to be included by *e.g.* transforming an aldehyde into an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester *via* either a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons or Knoevenagel olefination. Finally, the order and choice of modules provides access to very different classes of target core structures (Fig. 23).

Even when targeting different structural classes of compounds, reactions within their syntheses are often repeated, on different substrates or at a different point of the process. Therefore, the same modules can be exploited within the syntheses of different compounds simply by rearranging the combination of modules and passing different substrates through them. CAS are synthetic platforms presenting a pool of modules and reagents that can be arranged in different combinations in order to cover significant chemical space. In this section, we will highlight several multistep processes where several generators, transformers, or combinations





Fig. 22 Generator 10 – singlet oxygen: continuous generation and use of singlet oxygen driven by energy transfer from an excited dye, driven by either a UV or LED lamp.<sup>37–41</sup>




### Three Levels of Control

#### 1. Starting Material (Library Synthesis)



#### 2. Reagent Choice (Additional Functionalities/Branching Points)



#### 3. Order of Modules (Different Core Functionalities)




Fig. 23 The concept of a chemical assembly system, exhibiting three levels of control over product outcome.<sup>3</sup>

thereof are linked together to create multistep processes targeting different structural cores.

**CAS 1 (artemisinin derivatives).** An example of CAS that targets different products by controlling the choice of reagents is a four-step system for the synthesis of artemisinin-derived molecules.<sup>42</sup> This CAS combines the generator for singlet oxygen and a reduction module that leaches sodium borohydride,<sup>43</sup> directly reducing the lactone of artemisinin to the hemiacetal. This hemiacetal is then trapped either in an etherification or esterification to give the corresponding API. All currently utilized artemisinin-derivative APIs can be generated from the same CAS simply by changing the reagent in the last step (Fig. 24). Combination with a three-step purification module will generate >99% pure API (not shown).

**CAS 2 (divergence from imines).** Singlet oxygen generators have been used in a variety of CAS, owing to the selectivity and robustness of the generator as well as the minimal byproducts produced. Such features make the singlet oxygen generator perfect for even more complex chemical assembly systems.

The  $\alpha$ -aminonitriles produced upon amine oxidation/trapping in Fig. 22 are valuable intermediates towards a variety of core functionalities. This example illustrates how different target

structures can be achieved from the same starting material by using a different series of modules. By coupling the  $\alpha$ -aminonitrile generator to a high temperature/pressure reactor introducing an HCl solution, racemic  $\alpha$ -amino acids can be produced, with side chains determined by the starting amine.<sup>44</sup> Exchange of HCl for CO<sub>2</sub> gas provides access to a class of heterocycles called hydantoin – though removal of the oxygen gas from the singlet oxygen generator is first required for this two-step CAS.<sup>45</sup> Finally, replacement of the cyanide with a different carbon nucleophile, malononitrile, provides access to  $\alpha$ -cyanoepoxides through a two-stage oxidation, where singlet oxygen generates both the imine and an equivalent of hydrogen peroxide, that in the second module oxidizes the intermediate electron-poor  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester into the corresponding epoxide (Fig. 25).<sup>2</sup>

**CAS 3 (asymmetric ketones).** Library diversification through both starting material and reagent selection is exemplified by the synthesis of asymmetrical ketones from carbon dioxide using organolithium and Grignard reagents in a three-step CAS.<sup>46</sup> The first generator transforms aryl halides into the corresponding organometallic species using either *n*-BuLi or *i*-PrMgCl-LiCl. This species is trapped with gaseous carbon dioxide. The resulting carboxylate is further reacted with different organolithium species to create a range of asymmetric ketones (Fig. 26).






Fig. 24 CAS 1 – artemisinin derivatives: a modular system for the synthesis of antimalarial APIs. Level of control: starting material choice.




Fig. 25 CAS 2 – divergence from imines: generator 10 (singlet oxygen) is coupled with one of three different modules to give three classes of compounds. Levels of control: starting material choice and order of modules.

**CAS 4 (convergent:  $\beta$ -amino alcohols).** Branched CAS are also possible, providing access to either a broader scope of a target functionality, or to different classes of targets simply by changing the type or order of transformers in the CAS. A convergent CAS was developed to access two types of  $\beta$ -amino alcohol starting from either primary alcohols or phenols.<sup>47</sup> The two routes, either a two-stage biphasic oxidation/Corey–Chaykovsky epoxidation or an alkylation with epichlorohydrin, converge onto a straightforward

transformer for the regioselective nucleophilic opening of an epoxide with a primary amine. By judicious path and starting material selection, five different vicinyl amino alcohol APIs can be generated with the same convergent CAS (Fig. 27).

**CAS 5 (divergent:  $\beta$ -amino acids,  $\gamma$ -lactams,  $\gamma$ -amino acids).** Divergent chemical assembly systems arguably offer more synthetic potential, where multiple targets and derivatives thereof can be produced simply by changing the order of modules and








Fig. 26 CAS 3 – asymmetric ketones: carbon dioxide is transformed into asymmetric ketones *via* reaction with two different organometallic species, allowing for a range of products to be obtained simply by changing either the starting alkyl halide or organolithium species. Levels of control: starting material and reagent choice.




Fig. 27 CAS 4 –  $\beta$ -amino alcohols: a convergent CAS accesses variants of the same structural core using two pathways approaching the same final Transformer. Levels of control: starting material, reagent choice, and modules used.

the introduced starting materials. One example is a five module CAS transforming alcohols or carbonyls into three classes of core functionalities:  $\beta$ -amino acids,  $\gamma$ -lactams, or  $\gamma$ -amino acids (Fig. 28).<sup>3</sup> Five transformers were developed for selectivity, solvent tolerance, and minimal byproducts: alcohol oxidation using bleach and TEMPO (module 1), carbonyl olefination *via* either Knoevenagel or Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons (module 2), Michael addition of nitromethane using tetrabutylammonium fluoride (module 3), hydrogenation *via* an H-Cube (module 4), and biphasic hydrolysis using LiOH (module 5). Liquid/liquid workup modules were included either for quenching/work-up or for purification *via* basification/acidification/back-extraction of

carboxylic acids. Derivatives of the target cores – *via* one of three module arrangements – were synthesized by simply changing the starting material entering the CAS, and five APIs could efficiently be generated using the 1-2-3-4 or 1-2-3-5-4 CAS sequence.

**CAS 6 – pyrazoles.** A six module divergent CAS was developed to access highly substitute pyrazole cores, where the degree and location of functionalization was easily controlled by the order of modules (Fig. 29).<sup>48</sup> Module 1 is a generator for difluoro- or trifluoromethyl diazo methane, through diazotation of an amine using *tert*-butyl nitrite and acetic acid as catalyst. Module 2 is a transformer for the [3+2] cycloaddition of the diazo compound with alkenes or alkynes. Module 3 is a transformer that mediates






Fig. 28 CAS 5 –  $\beta$ -amino acids,  $\gamma$ -lactams,  $\gamma$ -amino acids: a divergent CAS synthesizes libraries of three different functional cores. Levels of control: starting material choice, reagent choice, and order of modules.

a Chan–Lam–Evans C–N arylation. Module 4 performs a *N*-methylation with iodomethane and DBU. Module 5 performs direct amidation of pyrazole esters using LiHMDS. Finally, module 6 performs TMS removal using TBAF buffered with AcOH. Modules can be combined in a telescoped manner or used in a stepwise approach, applying each individually and submitting the product to the next after module isolation and purification. One example is the synthesis of measles therapeutic AS-136A, where the combination of modules 1-2-4-5 resulted in a 34% yield when modules were telescoped and 75% yield when they were used individually.

## 7. Automated CAS

The chemical assembly systems presented above need manual intervention for modifications, whether the recombination of their modules between synthetic pathways or exchanging starting materials/reagents. Recent efforts have seen the evolution of flow chemistry towards automation, to accelerate and facilitate synthesis. Automation will also significantly help with the

standardization of chemical processes – where being in flow is already an advantage.

We will highlight two systems that use automation to control available reaction modules for single steps, linking them together in different combinations to achieve different multistep processes. The automated platforms are distinct based on their approach to how the respective modules are arranged, defined, and utilized in the system.

**Auto-CAS 1 (linear syntheses).** One approach to the providing access to different modules in different combinations is a modular continuous-flow platform that is automatically reconfigured by a robotic arm.<sup>49</sup> This system has a set of reactors held in a storage location that can be selected and inserted into the CAS using a six-axis robotic manipulator, which is also capable of connecting the requisite tubing from system to reactor.

The reagents are connected to the process stack through a robotically manipulated switchboard, that connects the fluid pumps, outlets, and waste streams to each process module as required by the chosen chemical process. Two pumps are






Fig. 29 CAS 6 – pyrazole library: a six module divergent CAS exhibits controlled functionalization of multiple positions of the formed pyrazole moiety.<sup>48</sup>




Fig. 30 Auto-CAS 1 – linear syntheses: an automated, robotic platform for the flow synthesis of organic compounds informed by AI planning.<sup>49</sup> From *Science*, 2019, **365**, eaax1566. Reprinted with permission from AAAS.



equipped with selector valves, which enable selection from up to 24 feedstocks each, thus allowing a wide variety of syntheses to be performed. The reaction modules include different laminar flow reactors of different volumes (100 ml to 3 ml), packed bed reactors (1 to 2 ml) capable of operating at temperatures from ambient to 200 °C and pressures up to 250 psig, and a membrane

separator unit for liquid–liquid extraction. Fluid connections between adjacent units are achieved by vertically stacking them in the required order and a continuous stream of reagents is then passed through the sequence. The concept was demonstrated synthesizing six different active pharmaceutical ingredients (aspirin, secnidazole, lidocaine, diazepam, (*S*)-warfarin, and




Fig. 31 Auto-CAS 2 – radial syntheses: automated synthesis using a radial arrangement of equipment for the synthesis of organic molecules.<sup>50</sup> From *Nature*, 2020, **579**, 379–384.



safinamide) and two libraries of quinapril and celecoxib derivatives (Fig. 30).

Such robotic systems have also been combined with software for artificial intelligence-driven synthesis planning. This allows for the automated proposal of synthetic routes through generalization of millions of published chemical reactions, validation *in silico*, then performing the synthesis on the automated platform.

**Auto-CAS 2 (radial syntheses).** The second approach uses a non-linear arrangement of reactors in a concept called radial synthesis, in which single transformations or multistep sequences are performed as a sequential, not simultaneous series of operations. This radial paradigm decouples the subsequent steps, allowing for reactor reuse under different conditions and residence times, thus making each synthetic step functionally independent of any previous one.<sup>50</sup>

The system is composed of ten valves (three injection valves and seven multi-position valves) that create different flow pathways through the instrument and the equally accessible reaction modules arranged radially in the central switching station (CSS). A reagent pool stores up to 14 solvents, liquid reagents, homogeneous solutions, or synthesized intermediates in pressurized vessels. Reagents and solvents are delivered by two syringe pumps and allow for inline dilution. After each step, the reaction solution can be collected, cycled back through the system for the next step, or delivered to reagent storage. This final path allows for both convergent syntheses and multistep optimizations without manual intervention (Fig. 31).

The system was showcased synthesizing the API rufinamide *via* two different synthetic routes and a library of 13 derivatives, using one generator (formation of differently substituted benzyl azides) and two transformers (one for amidation of methyl esters and one for click cycloaddition), all of which performed in the same physical reactor. Furthermore, the capabilities of the system were expanded with the integration of a photoreactor, providing access to transformations such as metallaphotoredox aryl aminations.

## 8. Conclusion

Flow chemistry has a number of inherent advantages compared to batch processes that increase reproducibility and safety, increase access to intermediates and conditions that are traditionally difficult to use, and allow for multistep processes to be performed more efficiently by linking multiple units. The flow chemistry field has significantly advanced in the last twenty years and many of the initial technical challenges and details of how these systems can and should be operated are now well established. With this strong foundation, less-technically inclined chemists can benefit from the technique and focus more on application and capability expansion. We created this tutorial on how flow chemistry can be thought of and used by broadening the focus from equipment to capabilities. From this point of view, the exact molecule and physical arrangement for that target is less important. What is more synthetically beneficial is the compartmentalization of flow chemistry capabilities – using control

and reproducibility to generate reactive intermediates or perform selective transformations where and when they are needed in a synthetic process. While this still allows for target oriented synthesis, it also broadens our definition of target from a single molecule to a core functionality. In doing so, our processes become more modular and their components more transferable, ideally allowing for new processes to be developed more readily than we could previously. With the automation of these systems, we approach the point of true standardization within the field, where desired transformations and syntheses can be reproducibly transferred between labs and literature while human error and physical skill can be increasingly removed from the chemical equation.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Max Planck Society and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FOR 2177) for their financial support. Open Access funding provided by the Max Planck Society.

## References

- 1 M. B. Plutschack, B. Pieber, K. Gilmore and P. H. Seeberger, The Hitchhiker's Guide to Flow Chemistry, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 11796–11893.
- 2 D. B. Ushakov, K. Gilmore and P. H. Seeberger, Consecutive oxygen-based oxidations convert amines to  $\alpha$ -cyanoepoxides, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12649–12651.
- 3 D. Ghislieri, K. Gilmore and P. H. Seeberger, Chemical Assembly Systems: Layered Control for Divergent, Continuous, Multistep Syntheses of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 678–682.
- 4 J. Britton and T. F. Jamison, The assembly and use of continuous flow systems for chemical synthesis, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2017, **12**, 2423–2446.
- 5 Y. Liu, G. Chen and J. Yue, Manipulation of gas-liquid-liquid systems in continuous flow microreactors for efficient reaction processes, *J. Flow Chem.*, 2020, **10**, 103–121.
- 6 R. Greco, W. Goessler, D. Cantillo and C. O. Kappe, Benchmarking immobilized di- and triarylphosphine palladium catalysts for continuous-flow cross-coupling reactions: efficiency, durability, and metal leaching studies, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1303–1312.
- 7 H. Kim, K. Min, K. Inoue, D. Jin Im, D. Kim and J. Yoshida, Submillisecond organic synthesis: Outpacing Fries rearrangement through microfluidic rapid mixing, *Science*, 2016, **352**(6286), 691–694.
- 8 C. A. Hone and C. O. Kappe, The Use of Molecular Oxygen for Liquid Phase Aerobic Oxidations in Continuous Flow, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2019, **377**, 2.



- 9 J. F. Greene, J. M. Hoover, D. S. Mannel, T. W. Root and S. S. Stahl, Continuous-Flow Aerobic Oxidation of Primary Alcohols with a Copper(I)/TEMPO Catalyst, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2013, **17**, 1247–1251.
- 10 B. Pieber and C. O. Kappe, Direct aerobic oxidation of 2-benzylpyridines in a gas–liquid continuous-flow regime using propylene carbonate as a solvent, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 320–324.
- 11 M. Brzozowski, M. O'Brien, S. V. Ley and A. Polyzos, Flow chemistry: intelligent processing of gas–liquid transformations using a tube-in-tube reactor, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**(2), 349–362.
- 12 S. L. Bourne and S. V. Ley, A Continuous Flow Solution to Achieving Efficient Aerobic Anti-Markovnikov Wacker Oxidation, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 1905–1910.
- 13 J. Sedelmeier, S. V. Ley, I. R. Baxendale and M. Baumann, KMnO<sub>4</sub>-Mediated Oxidation as a Continuous Flow Process, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3618–3621.
- 14 P. J. Cossar, L. Hizartidis, M. I. Simone, A. McCluskey and C. P. Gordon, The expanding utility of continuous flow hydrogenation, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 7119–7130.
- 15 M. O'Brien, N. Taylor, A. Polyzos, I. R. Baxendale and S. V. Ley, Hydrogenation in flow: homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis using Teflon AF-2400 to effect gas–liquid contact at elevated pressure, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 1250–1257.
- 16 R. V. Jones, L. Godorhazy, N. Varga, D. Szalay, L. Urge and F. Darvas, Continuous-Flow High Pressure Hydrogenation Reactor for Optimization and High-Throughput Synthesis, *J. Comb. Chem.*, 2006, **8**, 110–116.
- 17 D. Webb and T. F. Jamison, Diisobutylaluminum Hydride Reductions Revitalized: A Fast, Robust, and Selective Continuous Flow System for Aldehyde Synthesis, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**(2), 568–571.
- 18 M. Viviano, T. N. Glasnov, B. Reichart, G. Tekautz and C. Oliver Kappe, A Scalable Two-Step Continuous Flow Synthesis of Nabumetone and Related 4-Aryl-2-butanones, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2011, **15**, 858–870.
- 19 W. Solodenko, U. Kunz, G. Jas and A. Kirschning, Polymer-Assisted Horner–Emmons Olefination Using PASSflow Reactors: Pure Products without Purification, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **12**, 1833–1835.
- 20 H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, Click Chemistry: Diverse Chemical Function from a Few Good Reactions, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2004–2021.
- 21 A. R. Bogdan and N. W. Sach, The Use of Copper Flow Reactor Technology for the Continuous Synthesis of 1,4-Disubstituted 1,2,3-Triazoles, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 849–854.
- 22 A. C. Varas, T. Noel, Q. Wang and V. Hessel, Copper(I)-Catalyzed Azide–Alkyne Cycloadditions in Microflow: Catalyst Activity, High-T Operation, and an Integrated Continuous Copper Scavenging Unit, *ChemSusChem*, 2012, **5**, 1703–1707.
- 23 A. Adamo, P. L. Heider, N. Weeranoppanant and K. F. Jensen, Membrane-Based, Liquid–Liquid Separator with Integrated Pressure Control, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**(31), 10802–10808.
- 24 C. D. Smith, I. R. Baxendale, S. Lanners, J. J. Hayward, S. C. Smith and S. V. Ley, [3 + 2] Cycloaddition of acetylenes with azides to give 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles in a modular flow reactor, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2007, **5**, 1559–1561.
- 25 D. Dallinger, B. Gutmann and C. O. Kappe, The Concept of Chemical Generators: On-Site On-Demand Production of Hazardous Reagents in Continuous Flow, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**(7), 1330–1341.
- 26 J. Yoshida, Y. Takahashia and A. Nagakia, Flash chemistry: flow chemistry that cannot be done in batch, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9896–9904.
- 27 S. Suga, M. Okajima, K. Fujiwara and J. Yoshida, “Cation Flow” Method: A New Approach to Conventional and Combinatorial Organic Syntheses Using Electrochemical Microflow Systems, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 7941–7942.
- 28 A. Nagaki, D. Ichinari and J. Yoshida, Three-Component Coupling Based on Flash Chemistry. Carbolithiation of Benzyne with Functionalized Aryllithiums Followed by Reactions with Electrophiles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 12245–12248.
- 29 H. Usutani, Y. Tomida, A. Nagaki, H. Okamoto, T. Nokami and J. Yoshida, Generation and Reactions of o-Bromophenyllithium without Benzyne Formation Using a Microreactor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 3046–3047.
- 30 J. L. Monteiro, P. F. Carneiro, P. Elsner, D. M. Roberge, P. G. M. Wuts, K. C. Kurjan, B. Gutmann and C. O. Kappe, Continuous Flow Homolytic Aromatic Substitution with Electrophilic Radicals: A Fast and Scalable Protocol for Trifluoromethylation, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 176–186.
- 31 K. J. Hock and R. M. Koenigs, The Generation of Diazo Compounds in Continuous-Flow, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 10571–10583.
- 32 R. A. Maurya, C. P. Park, J. H. Lee and D. Kim, Continuous In Situ Generation, Separation, and Reaction of Diazomethane in a Dual-Channel Microreactor, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5952–5955.
- 33 F. Mastronardi, B. Gutmann and C. O. Kappe, Continuous Flow Generation and Reactions of Anhydrous Diazomethane Using a Teflon AF-2400 Tube-in-Tube Reactor, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**(21), 5591–5593.
- 34 B. Pieber and C. O. Kappe, Generation and Synthetic Application of Trifluoromethyl Diazomethane Utilizing Continuous Flow Technologies, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 1076–1079.
- 35 D. N. Tran, C. Battilocchio, S. Lou, J. M. Hawkins and S. V. Ley, Flow chemistry as a discovery tool to access sp<sup>2</sup> – sp<sup>3</sup> cross-coupling reactions *via* diazo compounds, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 1120–1125.
- 36 S. Fuse, N. Tanabe and T. Takahashi, Continuous in situ generation and reaction of phosgene in a microflow system, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12661–12663.
- 37 F. Lévesque and P. H. Seeberger, Highly Efficient Continuous Flow Reactions Using Singlet Oxygen as a “Green” Reagent, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**(19), 5008–5011.
- 38 B. D. A. Hook, W. Dohle, P. R. Hirst, M. Pickworth, M. B. Berry and K. I. Booker-Milburn, A Practical Flow Reactor for Continuous Organic Photochemistry, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 7558–7564.



- 39 F. Lévesque and P. H. Seeberger, Continuous-Flow Synthesis of the Anti-Malaria Drug Artemisinin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1706–1709.
- 40 S. Triemer, K. Gilmore, G. T. Vu, P. H. Seeberger and A. Seidel-Morgenstern, Literally Green Chemical Synthesis of Artemisinin from Plant Extracts, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5525–5528.
- 41 D. B. Ushakov, K. Gilmore, D. Kopetzki, D. T. McQuade and P. H. Seeberger, Continuous-Flow Oxidative Cyanation of Primary and Secondary Amines Using Singlet Oxygen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 557–561.
- 42 K. Gilmore, D. Kopetzki, J. W. Lee, Z. Horvath, D. T. McQuade, A. Seidel-Morgenstern and P. H. Seeberger, Continuous synthesis of artemisinin-derived medicines, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12652–12655.
- 43 K. Gilmore, S. Vukelić, D. T. McQuade, B. Koksich and P. H. Seeberger, Continuous Reductions and Reductive Aminations Using Solid NaBH<sub>4</sub>, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2014, **18**(12), 1771–1776.
- 44 S. Vukelić, D. B. Ushakov, K. Gilmore, B. Koksich and P. H. Seeberger, Flow Synthesis of Fluorinated  $\alpha$ -Amino Acids, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 3036–3039.
- 45 S. Vukelić, B. Koksich, P. H. Seeberger and K. Gilmore, A Sustainable, Semi-Continuous Flow Synthesis of Hydantoins, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 13451–13454.
- 46 J. Wu, X. Yang, Z. He, X. Mao, T. A. Hatton and T. F. Jamison, Continuous Flow Synthesis of Ketones from Carbon Dioxide and Organolithium or Grignard Reagents, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 8416–8420.
- 47 T. Nobuta, G. Xiao, D. Ghislieri, K. Gilmore and P. H. Seeberger, Continuous and convergent access to vicinyl amino alcohols, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15133–15136.
- 48 J. Britton and T. F. Jamison, A Unified Continuous Flow Assembly-Line Synthesis of Highly Substituted Pyrazoles and Pyrazolines, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8823–8827.
- 49 C. W. Coley, D. A. Thomas III, J. A. M. Lummiss, J. N. Jaworski, C. P. Breen, V. Schultz, T. Hart, J. S. Fishman, L. Rogers, H. Gao, R. W. Hicklin, P. P. Plehiers, J. Byington, J. S. Piotti, W. H. Green, A. J. Hart, T. F. Jamison and K. F. Jensen, A robotic platform for flow synthesis of organic compounds informed by AI planning, *Science*, 2019, **365**, eaax1566.
- 50 S. Chatterjee, M. Guidi, P. H. Seeberger and K. Gilmore, Automated radial synthesis of organic molecules, *Nature*, 2020, **579**, 379–384.

