

CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2016, **33**, 861

Structural diversity and chemical synthesis of peroxide and peroxide-derived polyketide metabolites from marine sponges

Matthew D. Norris and Michael V. Perkins*

Covering: up to early 2016

Marine sponges are widely known as a rich source of natural products, especially of polyketide origin, with a wealth of chemical diversity. Within this vast collection, peroxide and peroxide-derived secondary metabolites have attracted significant interest in the fields of natural product isolation and chemical synthesis for their structural distinction and promising *in vitro* antimicrobial and anticancer properties. In this review, peroxide and peroxide-derived polyketide metabolites isolated from marine sponges in the past 35 years are summarised. Efforts toward their synthesis are detailed with a focus on methods that utilise or attempt to elucidate the complex biosynthetic interrelationships of these compounds beyond enzymatic polyketide synthesis. Recent isolations, advances in synthetic methodology and theories of biogenesis are highlighted and critically evaluated.

Received 23rd November 2015


DOI: 10.1039/c5np00142k

www.rsc.org/npr

1. Introduction
2. Peroxide metabolites from marine sponges
- 2.1 Peroxide biosynthesis
- 2.2 Chemical synthesis of peroxide metabolites
3. Metabolites derived from peroxide rearrangement
- 3.1 Untenone A and plakortic acid
- 3.2 Manzamenones A–H, J–O and untenolide A
- 3.3 Plakoridines A–C
- 3.4 Gracilioethers B–D, plakilactones A–H and related compounds
- 3.5 Gracilioethers A, E–K and hippolachnin A
4. Metabolites derived from peroxide reduction
- 4.1 Plakortethers A–G and simplakidine A
- 4.2 Simplextones A and B
- 4.3 Plakortones A–F, L, N, P and simplexolides A–E
- 4.4 Plakorsins A, B and plakevulin A
5. Therapeutic potential and biological activity
- 5.1 Infectious diseases
- 5.2 Anticancer activity
- 5.3 Physiological disorders
6. Concluding remarks
7. Acknowledgements
8. Notes and references

1. Introduction

Organic extracts of marine sponges from all corners of the globe often exhibit promising *in vitro* antimicrobial and anticancer properties, prompting further exploration to identify and characterise the constituent metabolites. In 1978, Faulkner examined the crude ethanol extracts of *Plakortis halichondrioides* and attributed the sample's notable growth inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* to the major product isolated, plakortin.¹ Structural elucidation by spectroscopic methods and chemical degradation revealed 1,2-dioxane **1** as the identity of plakortin.² Near the same time, a novel peroxyketal metabolite, chondrillin (**2**), was isolated from a marine sponge of the genus *Chondrilla*.³ Compound **2** and a number of natural analogues were shown to be cytotoxic against mouse leukaemia cells and were activators of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} ATPase.^{4,5}



With unique peroxyheterocyclic core structures and compelling therapeutic potential, compounds **1** and **2** have inspired numerous research programs in the fields of natural product isolation, chemical synthesis and medicinal chemistry since the late 1970s. The class has now expanded to include

School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Flinders University, GPO Box 2100, Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia. E-mail: mike.perkins@flinders.edu.au



many new peroxide and peroxide-derived heterocycles and carbocycles with biological significance; and novel structural elements that have been targeted in the development of new synthetic methodology. A number of review articles on this topic have appeared in recent years. Most have focused on structural determination and biological analyses of marine endoperoxides;^{6–13} and one, from 2004 focused on methods developed for their chemical synthesis.¹⁴ However, none have detailed the complex biosynthetic interrelationships of these compounds beyond enzymatic polyketide synthesis. Now, we present a critical review discussing the structural elucidation, chemical synthesis and therapeutic potential of this important compound class, with special focus on their intriguing structural similarities and differences from a biogenetic perspective.

This review summarises the peroxide and peroxide-derived metabolites of polyketide origin reported since the discovery of **1** and **2**. Theories of biogenesis, both generally accepted and speculative; and examples of the use of chemical synthesis to demonstrate or utilise this understanding, are highlighted. Notably, it appears that the occurrence of many structurally novel polyketides in this family can be attributed to non-enzymatic modification or rearrangement of peroxide progenitors, which themselves are formed in enzymatic condensation and hydroperoxidation processes. This article is therefore presented in four parts: the first section summarises peroxide metabolites; the second section discusses related compounds most likely derived from base-mediated rearrangement; the third section details natural products that appear to arise from endoperoxide reduction; and the fourth section comments on the therapeutic potential of this compound class. Additionally, oxidative adducts are discussed where necessary.

2. Peroxide metabolites from marine sponges

Since the discovery of **1** and **2**, a suite of related metabolites have been isolated from marine sponges. These include plakorin (**3**),⁴ xestins A (**4**), B (**5**),⁵ manadoperoxides A–C, D (**6**), E–



Matthew Norris received his B.Sc. with first-class honours in Chemistry in 2011. He is currently completing his Ph.D. at the School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Flinders University under the supervision of Michael Perkins. His research has focused on the synthesis of peroxide-derived secondary metabolites from marine sponges with a strong emphasis on developing a better understanding of their biosynthetic origin. He received the Australian Fulbright (WG Walker) Scholarship to work with Erik Sorensen at the Department of Chemistry, Princeton University in 2014.

K,^{15–17} manadodioxans A–E,¹⁸ haterumadiroxins A (**7**), B (**8**),¹⁹ plakortenone (**9**),²⁰ plakortides E–O, P (**10**), Q–U, Z, AA,^{20–32} plakorstatin **1** and **2** (**11**),³³ monotriajaponides B, C, D (**12**),³⁴ plakinic acids A (**13**), B–P,^{32,35–43} plakortolides A–O, P (**14**), Q–W,^{40–48} plakortoperoxides A1 (**15**), A2 (**16**), B–D,⁴⁸ capucinoate A (**17**),⁴⁹ plakortisinic acid (**18**),⁵⁰ andavadoic acid (**19**),⁴⁶ and related compounds (Fig. 1).^{6,7,13,51–62} Following global interest in antimalarial peroxide natural products such as artemisinin,^{63,64} compounds in this class are routinely screened for *in vitro* activity against strains of *Plasmodia* (Section 5.1).^{11,12,65,66} Testing for cytotoxic action against cancer cell types is also commonplace (Section 5.2).^{8–10} Despite many encouraging results, the availability of marine endoperoxides is usually very poor and methods for their chemical synthesis are still in development.

2.1 Peroxide biosynthesis

Importantly, all the above-mentioned endoperoxides are isolated as optically active compounds and are thus believed to arise from the enzymatic inclusion of molecular oxygen to an unsaturated polyketide scaffold. Capon first proposed a model for enzymatic hydroperoxidation of 2,5-dienoic acids to yield straight-chain peroxides (**20**), which then undergo oxa-Michael cyclisation to generate enantiomerically enriched 1,2-dioxanes (Scheme 1).⁶⁷ The model was initially developed to illustrate biosynthesis of the norterpene peroxide sigmosceptrellin D,^{67,68} but has since been extended to rationalise the formation of other polyketide endoperoxides, including those related to plakortin.⁴⁷ Recently, metagenomic analyses of several marine sponges have provided insight to the complexity of the metabolic processes of these organisms and their symbiotic microbiome.^{69,70}

2.2 Chemical synthesis of peroxide metabolites

Natural products of this family, characterised by highly substituted peroxyheterocyclic core structures with specific absolute configuration, present unique challenges as targets in the field of chemical synthesis. A variety of approaches have



Michael Perkins gained a Ph.D. from the University of Queensland in 1990 under the guidance of W. Kitching. He carried out post doctoral work on natural product synthesis with Ian Paterson at the University of Cambridge and then with Lew Mander at the Australian National University. He joined the Faculty of Flinders University in 1995. His main research interest is in the area of synthesis of natural products of polyketide origin.







Fig. 1 Selected peroxide metabolites of polyketide origin isolated from marine sponges (absolute stereochemistry not implied).


been explored, most involving molecular oxygen or hydrogen peroxide as key reagents to construct the endoperoxide system.

Cobalt(II)-catalysed peroxylation developed by Mukaiyama and Isayama^{71,72} is used extensively to generate open hydroperoxide substrates, which are poised for cyclisation to 5- and 6-membered rings. Although the oxidation itself proceeds with little facial selectivity, stereocontrol in the subsequent cyclisation event has proven effective. Inspired by Capon's model (Section 2.1), Harwood accessed compound 21 by peroxylation of olefin 22 and effected oxa-Michael cyclisation to the pendant α,β -unsaturated ester yielding a number

of stereoisomers related to mycaperoxide B (Scheme 2).^{73,74} Similarly, Mukaiyama's method allowed the synthesis of lactone 23, which underwent conjugate-base elimination and oxa-Michael cyclisation to construct both plakortolide E (24) and *ent*-plakortolide I (25) in 2012 (Scheme 3).⁷⁵ The asymmetric total synthesis of 24 and 25 prompted clarification of the relative and absolute configuration of the natural products.^{47,75,76} Campiani and co-workers were able to obtain peroxy silane 26 by the same methodology, before initiating 6-*exo*-tet




Scheme 1 Biosynthesis of polyketide endoperoxides based on Capon's model.




Scheme 2 Synthesis of mycaperoxide B diastereomers by Mukaiyama-Isayama peroxidation and cyclisation. (a) O_2 , $Co(modp)_2$, Et_3SiH , DCE, r.t.; then DMP, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., 2 h; then $(CH_3)_2C(PPh_3)CO_2Me$, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., overnight, 21% over 3 steps; (b) PPTS, $EtOH$, r.t., 6 h; then Et_3N , $MeOH$, r.t., 2 d, 8% over 2 steps.






Scheme 3 Synthesis of plakortolide E (24) and *ent*-plakortolide I (25) by Mukaiyama–Isayama peroxidation and cyclisation. (a) O_2 , $Co(thd)_2$, Et_3SiH , DCE, r.t., 2 h, 94%; (b) DBU, THF, 0 $^{\circ}C$, 3 h; then (c) TFE, TBAF, 0 $^{\circ}C$, 3 h, 75% over 2 steps.




Scheme 4 Synthesis of 9,10-dihydroplakortin (28) by Mukaiyama–Isayama peroxidation and 6-*exo*-tet cyclisation. (a) O_2 , $Co(thd)_2$, Et_3SiH , DCE, r.t., 5 h; then (b) *Amberlyst*, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., 18 h; then K_2CO_3 , $MeOH$, 0 $^{\circ}C$, 3 h, 78% over 3 steps.

hydroperoxide cyclisation to dioxane 27 (Scheme 4).^{77–79} In a number of subsequent steps, the first total synthesis of 9,10-dihydroplakortin (28) was accomplished and its absolute configuration was confirmed.^{77–79} Notably, the relative and absolute configuration of 28 and its dehydro analogue 1 were established from the natural material through application of Kusumi's and Mosher's methods to selected products of chemical degradation (Scheme 5).² Lanthanide-induced shift experiments of alcohol 29 with $Eu(fod)_3$ were also used to assign the relative stereochemistry of 1.¹ Finally, Vatéle employed a similar hydroperoxide cyclisation approach toward the synthesis of andavadoic acid (19), effecting oxidation of olefin 30 to peroxy silyl ether 31, which was followed by 5-*exo*-tet cyclisation to dioxolane intermediate 32 (Scheme 6).^{80–82}

Wong and co-workers took a different approach to the synthesis of 1,2-dioxolanes *en route* to plakortide E (33), constructing a pivotal [3.2.1]-peroxybicycle intermediate (34) using Feldman's^{83–85} method for vinyl cyclopropane oxygenation (Scheme 7).⁸⁶ Thus, irradiation of lactone *rac*-35 with a 300 W sunlamp in the presence of catalytic Ph_2Se_2 and AIBN under an atmosphere of oxygen effected near quantitative oxidation to *rac*-34. Selective reduction of the lactone ester and lipase-mediated kinetic resolution gave TBS ether 36 in >99% ee,




Scheme 5 Application of Kusumi's and Mosher's methods to determine the absolute configuration of plakortin (1) and dihydroplakortin (28); and $Eu(fod)_3$ induced shift data to determine relative configuration of 1. Change in chemical shift due to Kusumi's and Mosher's method expressed as shift of (R)-PGME or (R)-MTPA compound subtracted from shift of (S)-PGME or (S)-MTPA compound (in Hz) and shown as red numbers. Change in shift due to incorporation of $Eu(fod)_3$ (in ppm) shown as blue numbers. (a) H_2 (1 atm), 10% Pd/C , $EtOH$, r.t., 5 h; (b) $KMnO_4$, $NaIO_4$, Na_2CO_3 , 4BuOH , H_2O , 37 $^{\circ}C$, 20 h; then (S)- or (R)-PGME hydrochloride, PyBoP, HOBT, *N*-methylmorpholine, DMF, r.t., 3 h, 76% over 2 steps (for both (S)- and (R)-PGME amide); (c) (S)- or (R)-MTPA chloride, pyridine, r.t., overnight, 88% (for both (S)- and (R)-MTPA ester). PGME = phenylglycine methyl ester; PyBoP = benzotriazolyl oxy tri(pyrrolidinyl) phosphonium hexa-fluorophosphate; HOBT = 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; MTPA = methoxy(trifluoromethyl)phenylactate.




Scheme 6 Synthesis of andavadoic acid (19) by Mukaiyama–Isayama peroxidation and 5-*exo*-tet cyclisation. (a) O_2 , $Co(thd)_2$, Et_3SiH , DCE, r.t., 3–4 h, 86%; (b) K_2CO_3 , $MeOH$, 0 $^{\circ}C$, 3 h, 64%.

before completing the synthesis over several steps. Using this approach, four stereoisomers of plakortide E were synthesised, establishing the relative and absolute configuration of the natural product as (4*S*,6*R*,10*R*)-33 (selected NOESY correlations of the natural material shown in Scheme 7).²² A similar method






Scheme 7 Synthesis of plakortide E (33) by Feldman vinyl cyclopropane oxygenation. Selected NOESY correlations of natural 33 shown as red arrows. (a) O_2 , Ph_2Se_2 , AIBN, MeCN, 300 W lamp, r.t., 99%; (b) LiBH₄, THF, 0 °C; then $KO_2CN = NCO_2K$, AcOH, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C (three cycles), 70% over 2 steps; (c) TBSCl, imidazole, DMAP, DMF, 0 °C to r.t.; then lipase, vinyl acetate, hexane, 29 h, 32% over 2 steps.




Scheme 8 Synthesis of 6-epi-plakortolide E (rac-25) by [4 + 2] cycloaddition of singlet oxygen. (a) O_2 , rose bengal, CH_2Cl_2 , MeOH, 500 W lamp, 0 °C, 6 h.

was later used to establish the first asymmetric total synthesis of epiplakinic acid F.⁸⁷


Endoperoxide substrates have also been successfully constructed from suitable straight-chain dienes by [4 + 2] cycloaddition with singlet oxygen.⁸⁸ Jung⁸⁹ and Taylor⁹⁰ have each prepared peroxylactone analogues from diene precursors and a racemic synthesis of 6-epi-plakortolide E (rac-25) was completed in 2002 (Scheme 8).⁸⁹ Steliou used a similar approach for the synthesis of two cytotoxic endoperoxides isolated from *Plakortis angulospiculatus* in 1990.^{91,92} Garson effected photo-oxygenation of natural plakortolide P (14), yielding synthetic



Scheme 9 Conversion of natural plakortolide P (14) to plakortoperoxides A1 (15) and A2 (16) by [4 + 2] cycloaddition of singlet oxygen. (a) O_2 , rose bengal bis(triethylammonium) salt, CH_2Cl_2 , 500 W lamp, 5 °C, 3 h, 62%.



Scheme 10 Snider's racemic synthesis of chondrillin (2) and plakorin (3) by [4 + 2] oxygenation. (a) O_2 , rose bengal lactone, 275 W lamp, CH_2Cl_2 , MeOH, r.t., 12 h, 73%; (b) $TsOH \cdot H_2O$, MeOH, r.t., 80 h, 98%.




Scheme 11 Synthesis of an isomer (39) of plakinic acid A (13). (a) Red-Al, THF, 0 °C, overnight, 97%; (b) $^3\text{BuOK}$, $TsCl$, THF, r.t., 3 h, then NaH , 0 °C, overnight, 72%; (c) H_2O_2 , TMSOTf, Et_2O , THF, -78 °C, 45 min.

plakortoperoxide A1 (15) and A2 (16) as a 1 : 1 mixture (Scheme 9).⁴⁸ Snider constructed the peroxyketal diastereomers 2 and 3 by photooxygenation of ketone 37, presumably *via* the enolic tautomer 38 (Scheme 10).⁹³⁻⁹⁵ The first asymmetric synthesis of 2 and 3 was later achieved by photooxygenation of enantio-enriched allyl alcohols followed by hydroperoxide rearrangement.⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸ Peroxyketal structures related to 1-3 were also synthesised by Fattorusso and co-workers using manganese(III) acetate catalysed addition of molecular oxygen to β -ketoesters and olefin substrates.^{99,100}

Other methods developed for the synthesis of peroxide scaffolds have involved the use of hydrogen peroxide rather than molecular oxygen.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ Dussault completed the synthesis of a series of plakinic acid A (13) stereoisomers (including 39) by advancing enantio-enriched α -hydroxyepoxides such as 40 (accessed by Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of the corresponding allyl alcohol) to oxetane 41, before stereoselective ring opening with hydrogen peroxide (Scheme 11).^{104,105} Ring closure and acetate homologation were then achieved over a number of steps. Earlier, Bloodworth developed an effective double peroxymercuration-demercuration strategy for the synthesis of 1,2-dioxolanes, including a natural saturated analogue (42) of the plakinic acids (Scheme 12).¹⁰⁶ Most recently, Deng and co-workers developed an enantioselective and organocatalytic






Scheme 12 Synthesis of a plakinic acid analogue (42) by peroxymercuration–demercuration. (a) H_2O_2 , H_2O , $\text{Hg}(\text{OAc})_2$, then (b) NaBH_4 , NaOH .

method for the peroxidation of unsaturated ketones and aldehydes using cinchona alkaloid derivatives.^{107–109}


3. Metabolites derived from peroxide rearrangement

The base-catalysed rearrangement of dialkyl peroxides was first reported in the early 1950s when Kornblum and DeLaMare discovered that 1-phenylethyl *tert*-butylperoxide (43) decomposes in the presence of catalytic KOH, KOEt or piperidine to yield acetophenone and *tert*-butanol.^{110,111} The reaction was rationalised by abstraction of hydrogen at the less substituted α -peroxy carbon, followed either by stepwise or concerted elimination across the C–O bond. The instability of peroxide scaffolds, including 1,2-dioxanes, to base-mediated rearrangement has lead to the occurrence and isolation of many rearranged endoperoxide metabolites related to 1–3.




3.1 Untenone A and plakortic acid


Untenone A (44)¹¹² and plakortic acid (45)¹¹³ were each isolated from *Plakortis* marine sponges in 1993 and 2001, respectively. Although 44 and 45 appear to be quite different, both are closely related to chondrillin (2) and plakorin (3), sharing the same elemental composition and oxidation pattern of the central carbon chain. After completing a racemic total synthesis of 2 and 3 in the early 1990s (Section 2.2), Snider studied the base-catalysed structural rearrangements of the two diastereomers and found that they decompose *via* distinct pathways.⁹⁵ The findings of Snider's study have, in retrospect, provided insight to the biosynthesis of 44 and 45.¹¹⁴




In a model system, Snider found that the rearrangement of peroxyketal heterocycles 46 and *epi*-46 (analogues of 2 and 3) is critically dependent on their relative stereochemistry. As shown in Scheme 13, the conformation in which the C6 alkyl substituent of 46 (a methyl analogue of 2) is pseudoequatorial places the C3 dioxane hydrogen antiperiplanar to the adjacent 1,2-dioxane bond. This facilitates Kornblum–DeLaMare rearrangement to yield methyl ester 47 (R = Me) before rapid Dieckmann condensation to give cyclopentenone *rac*-48, a methyl analogue of untenone A (44). In contrast, the conformation in which the C6 alkyl substituent of *epi*-46 (a methyl analogue of 3) is pseudoequatorial places the C3 acetate group antiperiplanar to the O–O bond (Scheme 14). This allows nucleophilic attack of the α -keto acetate carbon to the peroxide yielding oxirane 49, a structural analogue of plakortic acid (45).¹¹⁵ It is therefore



Scheme 13 Kornblum–DeLaMare rearrangement and Dieckmann condensation of chondrillin (2) analogue 46.



Scheme 14 Base-mediated decomposition of plakorin (3) analogue *epi*-46.



Scheme 15 Whitehead's biomimetic synthesis of untenone A (44). (a) Br_2 , Na_2CO_3 , MeOH , Et_2O , r.t., 1 h, 79%; (b) H_2SO_4 , dioxane, H_2O , r.t., 1 h, then Na_2CO_3 , H_2O , r.t., 30 min, 62%.




apparent that natural **44** and **45** are likely to be derived from the rearrangement of **2** and **3**, respectively. It is also important to note that **44** was isolated as a racemate^{112,116,117} and **45** in enantio-enriched form,¹¹³ which is consistent with the presented theory of biogenesis.

Inspired by Snider's work, Whitehead developed a synthesis of untenone A (**44**) by *in situ* generation of methyl ester (*Z*)-**50**, the postulated biosynthetic intermediate linking **2** and **44** (Scheme 15).^{118–120} Thus, oxidation of synthetic plakorsin A (**51**, Section 4.4)¹¹³ gave bismethyl acetal **52**, which on hydrolysis underwent Dieckmann condensation yielding *rac*-**44**, directly. After developing an efficient total synthesis, Whitehead's study into the reactivity of *rac*-**44** was pivotal in elucidating biosynthesis of the manzamenone family of oxylipin dimers (Section 3.2). Both racemic and asymmetric total syntheses of untenone A (**44**) were also accomplished by Takeda,¹²¹ Asami¹¹⁶ and Yamada;¹¹⁷ with each approach targeting modification of 5-membered carbocycles introduced in the early stages of synthesis.

3.2 Manzamenones A–H, J–O and untenolide A

Manzamenones A–F (**53–58**)¹²² and H (**59**)¹²³ were isolated by Kobayashi and co-workers from *Plakortis* sponges collected near Okinawa, Japan in 1992 and 1993 (Fig. 2). They share a common [4.3.0]-carbocyclic structure differing only by the carboxy substituent at C5. Manzamenone A (**53**) was found to be a potent inhibitor of DNA polymerase β ^{124,125} and manzamenones B (**54**) and E (**57**) are inhibitors of T-cell protein tyrosine phosphatase.¹²⁶

Initial speculation on the biosynthesis of **53–58** considered that the cyclohexene ring system may be constructed in a hetero-bimolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of untenone A (**44**)



Scheme 16 Whitehead's biomimetic synthesis and structural elucidation of manzamenone A (**62**). (a) Neat, 72 °C, 24 h, 48%.

and a suitable diene counterpart.^{122,123} However, Whitehead postulated that **53–58** are in fact homodimers of untenone A (**44**).^{118–120,127} Heating a neat sample of synthetic *rac*-**44** (Section 3.1) to its melting point (72 °C) caused dehydration to the anti-aromatic cyclopentadiene **60** followed by rapid [4 + 2] homo-dimerisation to give *endo*-adduct **rac**-**61** *in situ* (Scheme 16). Retro-Dieckmann fragmentation of the bridgehead carbonyl with uptake of water as an incident nucleophile yielded *rac*-**62**, whose relative stereochemistry was determined by X-ray crystallography and detailed NMR analysis.¹²⁰ The spectral data obtained for **62** matched that of natural manzamenone A, thus prompting stereochemical reassignment of the original structure (**53**) at C2 and C5. Whitehead also completed the synthesis of manzamenones C and F from *rac*-**62** resulting in a similar revision of the original structures **55** and **58**.¹²⁸ The apparent pre-disposition of **44** to undergo dehydrative dimerisation yielding **62** demonstrates beyond doubt that this process is responsible for the occurrence of **53–58** in the extracts of marine sponges.¹²⁹

Manzamenones J (**63**)¹³⁰ and L–N (**64–66**)¹³¹ also isolated from *Plakortis* sponges, were determined to have the same carbocyclic structure as **53–58** with a different oxidation pattern of the central core and thus, are likely to be directly related (Fig. 2). However, manzamenone G (**67**)¹²³ was reported as an unusual structural analogue with one carbon more than that expected for a dimer related to chondrillin (**2**). The structure of manzamenone G (**67**)¹²³ was only tentatively assigned and its biosynthetic origin remains unclear.

Manzamenone K (**68**),^{130,132} O (**69**)¹³³ and untenolide A (**70**)¹³⁴ also appear to be related to **2** and **3**, yet are structurally distinct from **53–58** and **63–67** (Scheme 17). The central structure common to each natural product (**68–70**) was verified by X-ray crystallography¹³⁴ and ROE spectroscopy (selected ROESY correlations of compound **69** as shown in Scheme 17);¹³³ and





Fig. 2 Reported structures of manzamenone A–F (**53–58**), G (**67**), H (**59**), J (**63**) and L–N (**64–66**).






Scheme 17 Structures and postulated conversion of manzamenone K (67) to manzamenone O (69) and untenolide A (70). Selected ROESY correlations of compound 69 shown as red arrows.

found to consist of two structural elements that appear to be derived from 2 or 3 linked by a single C–C bond (most clearly seen in 68). The compounds were isolated as racemic or presumably pseudo-racemic, suggesting that they may arise from non-enzymatic dimerisation of an achiral intermediate similar to manzamenones A–F (53–58) and H (59). However, if derived from 2 and 3 it appears that a single reduction event has occurred at some stage during construction of the central scaffold. Kobayashi reported that 70 is likely to be related to 68 by oxidation and cyclisation; and 69 is likely to be related to 68 *via* condensation with intermediate (Z)-50 (derived from 2).^{133–135} Despite the complexity of these unique oxylipin natural products, no further studies have commented on the biosynthesis or attempted chemical synthesis of 68–70.

3.3 Plakoridines A–C

Kobayashi and co-workers also isolated three fatty acid containing alkaloids from *Plakortis* marine sponges collected near Okinawa. Plakoridine A (71),¹³⁶ found to be an inhibitor of DNA polymerase,¹²⁴ and the less active compounds plakoridines B (72)¹³⁰ and C (73),¹³⁷ each contain a central carbon chain with a C16 aliphatic tail common to all peroxyketol-derived natural products related to chondrillin (2, Scheme 18).


Whitehead postulated that 71 and 72 are biosynthetically related to (E)-50,¹³⁸ an intermediate derived from plakorsin A (51).¹³⁹ In a single experiment, tyramine and aldehyde 74 condensed to generate imine 75 *in situ* before addition of (E)-50 (itself prepared by bromine-mediated oxidation of synthetic 51) to give *rac*-71 as a 3 : 1 mixture of C3 epimers (Scheme 18).^{127,138,140} Over 11 days at room temperature, 75 and (E)-50 were presumed to undergo an intermolecular Mannich reaction followed by Michael addition with (Z)-50 and aldol cyclisation to afford heterocycle 76. An internal redox process, resulting in reduction of the




Scheme 18 Whitehead's biomimetic synthesis of plakoridine A (71) and B (72) from plakorsin A (51). Key NOESY correlation of compound *rac*-71 shown as a red arrow. (a) Br₂, Me₂CO, H₂O, –20 °C to –10 °C, 6 h, 63%; (b) tyramine, CDCl₃, r.t., 3 h, then MgSO₄, r.t., 30 min, then (c) (E)-50, r.t., 11 d, 43% (beginning with 74) and 36% (beginning with 77). PHB = *p*-hydroxybenzyl.


cyclopentanone carbonyl and oxidation of the neighbouring α -amino methine, then followed to yield *rac*-71. Relative configuration of the pyrrolidine ring was established through the analysis of vicinal coupling constants and NOE spectroscopy (key NOESY correlation of compound *rac*-71 shown in Scheme 18). Hexadecyl aldehyde (77) was also advanced to *rac*-72 using this method, demonstrating that natural 71 and 72 are most likely related to 51. Furthermore, Ma's asymmetric synthesis of (2*S*,3*S*,4*R*)-71 in 2000 showed that natural plakoridine A (71) was isolated as a racemate,¹⁴¹ which is consistent with Whitehead's theory of biogenesis. Stafford also reported a racemic synthesis of a plakoridine A lactam in 1995.¹⁴²

Plakoridine C (73) is structurally distinct from 71 and 72; and appears to be derived from an intermediate related to 2 or 3 by addition of piperideine or δ -lactam.¹³⁷ To date, there is no published work on the synthesis of 73.





Scheme 19 An observed ring contraction of plakortin (1).




Scheme 20 Faulkner's hypothesis for ring contraction of peroxide 82 to furanylidene 81.

3.4 Gracilioethers B–D, plakilactones A–H and related compounds


The base-catalysed rearrangements of dialkyl peroxides related to and including plakortin (1), which are structurally distinct from 2 and 3, yield furan-containing polyketide metabolites by ring contraction of the 1,2-dioxane motif. Faulkner discovered that treating 1 with NaOMe in MeOH induced rearrangement to tetrahydrofuran 78, presumably by ring closure of epoxide intermediate 79 (Scheme 19).¹ This general reaction of unsaturated dialkyl peroxides has been used in the synthesis of polyether tetrahydrofuran compounds;¹⁴³ and 78 has itself been linked to the sponge metabolite 80, as a likely biogenetic precursor.² By extension, Faulkner rationalised biosynthesis of the [2(5*H*)-furanylidene]ethanoate (furanylidene) metabolite 81 from peroxide 82 through formation of epoxide 83 (Scheme 20).¹⁴⁴ However, Snider's work on the stereochemical dependence of base-catalysed rearrangements of 4,5-unsaturated-1,2-dioxane peroxyketals (Section 3.1) suggests that the conversion of 82 to 81 is likely to proceed by Kornblum–DeLaMare rearrangement *via* hydroxy- β -ketoester 84 (Scheme 21).¹¹⁴ We believe a similar mechanistic course is most likely in the biosynthesis of gracilioethers B–D,^{145,146} spongisoritin A,^{147,148} spiroplakortone,¹⁴⁹ glanvillic acids A, B⁴⁹ and related compounds.^{51,150–152}

Perkins has recently demonstrated the utility of targeting such hydroxy- β -ketoester intermediates in the synthesis of furanylidene



Scheme 21 Postulated Kornblum–DeLaMare rearrangement of peroxide 82 to furanylidene 81.


compounds and has reported the total synthesis of gracilioethers B (85) and C (86) using this approach (Scheme 22).¹⁵³ Methyl acetal 87 (a synthetic analogue of 84) was converted to furanylidene 88 by transacetalisation to intermediate 89 and dehydration to the conjugated system. 85 and 86 are known agonists of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ),¹⁴⁶ and the related metabolite *des*-hydroxygracilioether C (90) was found to be cytotoxic against HCT-116 cells (human colon carcinoma).³¹ Ohira and co-workers completed a racemic total synthesis of 90 in 2005 using reactions of alkylidene carbenes.¹⁵⁴

Scheme 22 Biomimetic total synthesis of gracilioethers B (85) and C (86); and a possible biogenetic link to the plakilactone metabolites *via* oxidative cleavage of the furanylidene heterocycle. (a) AcCl, MeOH, CH(OMe)3, 20 °C, 15 h, 74% over 2 steps (first step not shown).


Plakilactones A–H¹⁴⁶ and *des*-hydroxyplakilactone B (91) were isolated from *Plakinastrella mamillaris* in 2012 along with a number of furanylidene natural products, including gracilioethers B (85), C (86) and *des*-hydroxygracilioether C (90). The structural similarity of the plakilactone butenolides and gracilioether furanylidenes would appear to suggest that they are related by oxidative cleavage of the furanylidene enol ether, although this possible relationship is yet to be demonstrated (Scheme 22).

3.5 Gracilioethers A, E–K and hippolachnin A


Gracilioethers A¹⁴⁵ and E–K^{155,156} are complex polycyclic metabolites that also appear to be oxidative adducts of the furanylidene heterocycles, although the biosynthetic origins of these compounds remains unclear. The structure and absolute configuration of gracilioether A (92) was determined by chemical derivatisation and detailed NMR analysis of the resulting acetonide 93 (Scheme 23); and the structures of related natural products have been assigned accordingly. Wong recently demonstrated [4 + 2] addition of singlet oxygen to a reactive bicyclic diene (rac-94), forging the peroxy-tricyclic core of 92, before completing total synthesis of gracilioether F (rac-95, Scheme 24).¹⁵⁷ Brown also completed a total synthesis of 95 in 2014 using a ketene–alkene [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction and Baeyer–Villiger oxidation; and a carboxylic acid directed C–H oxidation to install each of the lactone rings.¹⁵⁸ The related




Scheme 25 Postulated base-catalysed rearrangement of (11S)-gracilioether A (97) to gracilioether K (96). Selected ROESY correlations of compound 96 shown as red arrows.



Scheme 23 Structural and stereochemical elucidation of gracilioether A (92) through chemical derivatisation and detailed NMR analysis. Selected NOESY correlations of compound 93 shown as red arrows. (a) Zn, Et₂O, AcOH, r.t., overnight; then (CH₃)₂C(OCH₃)₂, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., overnight.



Scheme 26 Carreira's racemic synthesis of hippolachnin A (rac-98), gracilioether E (rac-100) and F (rac-95) from cyclobutene intermediate 99. Selected NOESY correlations of natural 98 shown as red arrows. (a) (CH₂O)_n, Sc(OTf)₃, CHCl₃, -78 °C to r.t., 28 h, 61% brsm (for R¹ = H); (b) (E)-methyl-3-methoxyacrylate, PPTS, 80 °C, 4.5 days; then BF₃·2AcOH, CH₂Cl₂, THF, r.t., 20 h, 62% over 2 steps (for R¹ = CH₂CO₂Me).




Scheme 24 Wong's synthesis of racemic gracilioether F (rac-95) by [4 + 2] oxygenation of diene rac-94. (a) O₂ (bubbled), methylene blue, CH₂Cl₂, sunlamp (200–300 W), 0–5 °C, 2 h.

metabolite gracilioether K (96), whose structure was determined through detailed NMR analysis (selected ROESY correlations of compound 96 shown in Scheme 25) and by application of Mosher's method, is believed to arise from Kornblum–DeLaMare ring contraction and oxa-Michael cyclisation of (11S)-gracilioether A (97, Scheme 25),¹⁵⁶ which itself may be constructed from gracilioether C (86) by inclusion of molecular oxygen.

Hippolachnin A (98), isolated from *Hippoponaria lachne* in 2013, also bears a unique tricyclic structure with a highly substituted cyclobutane ring (Scheme 26).¹⁵⁹ It appears that 98 arises biosynthetically from an intramolecular [2 + 2] cycloaddition of 90, which itself was isolated from the same sponge






Scheme 27 Brown and Wood's synthesis of racemic hippolachnin A (**rac-98**) via quadricyclane cycloaddition and carboxylic acid directed C–H oxidation. (a) 140 °C (microwave irradiation), 4 h, then NaOH, r.t., 24 h, 50%; (b) ethylene (1 atm), Grubbs I, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 7 h; then PhSO(CH₂)₂SOPh·Pd(OAc)₂, Cr(salen)Cl, *p*-benzoquinone, dioxane, H₂O, 60 °C, 24 h, 64% over 2 steps.


extract.¹⁵⁹ In turn, **90** is expected to arise from the dehydrative ring contraction of haterumadioxin A (**7**) methyl ester.¹⁶⁰ Carreira completed the first total synthesis of **rac-98** in 2015 constructing a pivotal cyclobutene intermediate **99** (Scheme 26)¹⁶¹ *en route* to the natural product (selected NOESY correlations of natural **98** shown in Scheme 26);¹⁵⁹ and later extended the approach to achieve a racemic total synthesis of gracilioethers E (**rac-100**) and F (**rac-95**).¹⁶² Brown and Wood recently published a collaborative project, which detailed the total synthesis of **rac-98** in only six steps through an enabling quadricyclane cycloaddition and late-stage carboxylic acid directed C–H oxidation, similar to that developed in Brown's earlier synthesis of **rac-95** (Scheme 27).¹⁶³ Ghosh has also been successful in accessing the central tricyclic core, effecting a [2 + 2] enone–alkene cycloaddition of a synthetic butenolide related to **90**.¹⁶⁴

4. Metabolites derived from peroxide reduction

Iron(II)-mediated reduction has been identified as a likely biological mode of action of peroxide metabolites related to **1** and **2**. On treatment with FeCl₂, 9,10-dihydroplakortin (**28**) undergoes reductive cleavage of the 1,2-dioxane to give



Scheme 28 Reaction of 9,10-dihydroplakortin (**28**) with iron(II) chloride.




Scheme 29 Reaction of peroxyketal **103** with iron(II) sulfate and cyclisation.

oxyradical **101**, followed by H atom abstraction of the branched alkyl chain and “chloro-Fenton”^{37,165} reaction yielding the isolated chlorides **102** (Scheme 28).¹⁶⁶ Peroxyketals such as **103** also undergo reduction with iron(II) followed by radical fragmentation with C–C bond scission to generate γ -hydroxyesters (by quenching of intermediate **104**) or the corresponding lactones (Scheme 29),¹⁶⁶ which themselves are isolated from marine sponge extracts.^{5,113,167} In each case, it is the resultant carbon-centered radical that is believed to cause cell damage and the cytotoxic effect of these metabolites.^{99,103,168}


4.1 Plakortethers A–G and simplakidine A

Plakortin (**1**) is known to undergo iron(II)-mediated reduction like dihydro-analogue **28**, with concomitant cyclisation of oxyradical **105** to the pendant olefin (Scheme 30).¹⁶⁶ When treated with FeCl₂, **106** is then presumed to undergo “chloro-Fenton” reaction yielding the isolated tetrahydrofuran adducts **107** and **108**, the latter isolated in 2002 from extracts of *Plakortis simplex* and named plakortether C (**108**).¹⁶⁹ A series of co-isolates,



Scheme 30 Reaction of plakortin (**1**) with iron(II) chloride yielding plakortether C (**108**).






plakortethers A (109), B (110), D–G (111–114)¹⁶⁹ and simplakidine A (115)¹⁷⁰ are all reported to share a similar carbon framework, indicating that each analogue most likely arises from the reductive ring opening of 1, with the termination of radical 106 taking a different mechanistic course in each case (Fig. 3). Plakortethers A (109), B (110), D (111) and E (112) are cytotoxic against the RAW 264.7 (murine macrophage) cell line in the range 7–12 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$,¹⁶⁹ and an asymmetric total synthesis of 113 and 114 was completed by Novikov and co-workers in 2009, exploiting symmetrical aspects of the central carbon frame.¹⁷¹

4.2 Simplextones A and B


Simplextones A (116) and B (117),¹⁷² recently isolated from *Plakortis simplex*, have an unprecedented skeletal arrangement with a substituted cyclopentane carbocycle connected to a γ -lactone motif by a single C–C bond (Scheme 31). Their structures and absolute configuration were determined by X-ray crystallography, application of Mosher's method, detailed NMR analysis (selected NOESY correlations of compound 116 shown in Scheme 31) and quantum calculation of the CD spectra.¹⁷² The continuous carbon backbone of 116 and 117 is remarkably similar to that of 1 and hence, the plakortethers. We speculate that simplextones A (116) and B (117) may in fact result from reductive ring opening, radical cyclisation and lactonisation of the known endoperoxides haterumadioxin B (8)¹⁹ and 118,¹⁴³ respectively (Scheme 31).

4.3 Plakortones A–F, L, N, P and simplexolides A–E

Plakortones A–F, L, N and P^{22,27,47,173} were isolated from marine sponges of the genera *Plakortis* and *Plakinastrella*. Each natural product is characterised by a common 2,6-dioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octan-3-one (furanolactone) motif, yet two distinct pathways of biogenesis have been identified. Following their synthesis of plakortide E (33, Section 2.2), Wong and co-workers⁸⁶ demonstrated the facile conversion of 33 to plakortone B (119) by reduction to diol 120, itself related to a natural product isolated




Scheme 31 Postulated biosynthesis of simplextones A (116) and B (117) from haterumadioxin B (8) and endoperoxide 118, respectively. Selected NOESY correlations of compound 116 shown as red arrows. M = metal.




Scheme 32 Synthesis of plakortone B (119) from plakortide E (33). Selected NOESY correlations of natural 119 shown as red arrows. (a) Zn, AcOH, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to r.t., 2 h, 99%; (b) DBU, toluene, reflux, overnight, 90%.

in 1993,¹⁷⁴ oxa-Michael cyclisation and lactonisation (Scheme 32). Impressively, this conversion was achieved with full stereocontrol for the desired bicyclic framework (NOESY correlations of natural 119 shown in Scheme 32)²² providing strong evidence that natural 119 is derived from the reduction of 33. In contrast, through application of Mosher's method to determine the absolute configuration of plakortolide L (121), Garson found that *sec*-analogue 122 undergoes dehydration and oxa-Michael cyclisation to yield plakortone L (123), directly (Scheme 33).⁴⁷ The relative structures and absolute configurations of plakortolide L and plakortone L were thus determined as (3S,4S,6S)-121 and (3S,4S,6S)-123, respectively; and the biogenesis of 123 from 121 was implicated. Plakortones A–D are activators of cardiac





Scheme 33 Conversion of natural plakortolide L (121) to plakortone L (123). Change in chemical shift, expressed as shift of (*R*)-MPA compound subtracted from shift of (*S*)-MPA compound (in Hz) and shown as red numbers. (a) Zn, AcOH, Et_2O , r.t., 16 h, 83%; (b) (*S*)- or (*R*)-MPA, DCC, DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., overnight. MPA = methoxyphenylacetate.




Scheme 34 Assigning the remote stereocenter of plakinic acid I (125) by chemical derivatisation and liposomal circular dichroism. Also, biomimetic conversion of plakinic acid I (125) to plakortolide B (124) through a complex "chloro-Fenton" reaction. Key NOESY correlations of compound 125 shown as red arrows. (a) FeCl_2 , CH_3CN , H_2O , r.t., 45 min.


sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} ATPase at micromolar concentrations²² and plakortones B–F exhibit *in vitro* cytotoxicity against WEHI 164 murine fibrosarcoma cells.¹⁷³

It is also interesting to note that plakortolide B (124), a structural homologue of 121, has been accessed directly from plakinic acid I (125) on treatment with FeCl_2 , presumably through a complex "chloro-Fenton" reaction (Scheme 34).³⁷ The conditions also gave rise to the expected chloride 126, which was advanced to napthyl amide 127 and used to determine absolute configuration of the remaining stereocenter with a novel analytical technique, liposomal circular dichroism.³⁷

Semmelhack^{175,176} and Kitching^{177–180} have independently developed palladium-mediated carbonylation reactions of diols such as 128 (which can be considered a structural analogue of 120, the putative biosynthetic intermediate relating 33 and 119) in the synthesis of plakortone natural products (Scheme 35).¹⁷⁶ Wong also completed the total synthesis of 119 independent to that of 33, constructing the furanolactone system by oxa-



Scheme 35 Synthesis of plakortone B (119) by palladium(II)-mediated carbonylation. (a) PdCl_2 , CuCl_2 , NaOAc , AcOH , CO (1 atm), 23°C , 24 h, 75%.



Scheme 36 Synthesis of plakortone B (119) by oxa-Michael cyclisation and transesterification. (a) DBU, toluene, reflux, 72 h, 90%.

Michael cyclisation and transesterification of a suitable butenolide (once again, drawing upon the intermediacy of a diol similar to 120, Scheme 36).^{181,182} In other works, Mehta constructed the core bicyclic from simple Morita–Baylis–Hillman adducts;¹⁸³ Thornhill used an intramolecular Wittig reaction to build the furanolactone core;¹⁸⁴ Ohira successfully effected iodolactonisation of a dihydrofuran to construct plakortone E;¹⁸⁵ and most recently, Sugimura developed a [3 + 2] annulation strategy for the total synthesis of 123.¹⁸⁶

Simplexolides A–E (129–133, Fig. 4)¹⁵² represent interesting structural analogues of the plakortone natural products, which





Fig. 4 Simplexolides A–E (129–133) from *Plakortis simplex*.





Scheme 37 Postulated reduction and cyclisation reactions of chondrillin (2) to give plakorsin A (51) and plakevulin A (134).

appear to arise from the tertiary alcohol dehydration of reduced peroxy lactones analogous to compound 122 (Scheme 33).

4.4 Plakorsins A, B and plakevulin A

Plakorsins A (51), B¹¹³ and plakevulin A (134)^{187–190} were each isolated from *Plakortis* sponges and share a carbon framework that appears to be related to chondrillin (2, Scheme 37). While it is clear that plakorsin A (51) is likely to arise from the reduction of 2 itself, the biosynthetic origin of plakevulin A (134) is less certain. Due to their structural similarity, it has been suggested that 134 may arise by enzymatic reduction of untenone A (44).¹⁸⁷ However, we speculate that 134 may be generated from the reduction and Dieckmann condensation of 2 (Scheme 37), in a process analogous to the accepted biosynthesis of 44 (Section 3.1). Furthermore, the absolute configuration of plakevulin A (134) at C3, as determined by asymmetric synthesis,^{189,190} matches that of chondrillin (2).

5. Therapeutic potential and biological activity

Continued interest in the isolation, structural elucidation and chemical synthesis of peroxide and peroxide-derived sponge metabolites is fuelled by their potential for development as therapeutic agents in the treatment of infectious diseases, cancers and physiological disorders. Many of the compounds presented in this review have promising activity for one or more therapeutic targets, and some exhibit selectivity for these targets against other microorganisms and non-tumour cells. However, the cytotoxicity of polyketide endoperoxides is often a factor that must be considered in the hope of producing desirable lead compounds. While a number of research groups have recently begun to address this challenge, managing the cytotoxicity of peroxide and peroxide-derived sponge metabolites remains an ongoing difficulty.

Potency has widely been attributed to the presence of 1,2-dioxane heterocycles, normally appended with a terminal acetate group, through their action as an oxidant. The rearranged congeners, where the peroxide functional group is lost, are typically less active for the desired target and also tend to have lower levels of cytotoxicity.^{24,44,51,144} Endoperoxides appended with a free

acid are normally more potent than their corresponding esters.^{25,29,32,35,62} In some instances, the esterification of peroxyacids and their rearrangement to non-peroxide containing homologues has been attributed to prolonged storage in alcoholic solvents during specimen extraction.^{29,51}

5.1 Infectious diseases

Since the discovery of peroxide metabolites as effective anti-malarial agents, plakortin (1) and its related compounds have been routinely screened for antiplasmodial activity, especially against chloroquine-resistant strains. Although their mechanisms of action are still unclear, a number of studies have focused on understanding the structural requirements and limitations of plakortin-related 1,2-dioxanes as novel targets for treating malaria.

Plakortin (1) and its dihydro analogue 28 show good activity against the chloroquine sensitive D10 strain (IC_{50} 1.12–1.26 μ M) and chloroquine resistant W2 strain (IC_{50} 0.74–0.76 μ M) of *Plasmodium falciparum*.⁶⁵ Greater potency for the resistant strain follows the same trend as artemisinin and further demonstrates that polyketide endoperoxides do not share the same mechanism of resistance as chloroquine.⁶⁵ Recently, detailed studies on the structure–activity relationships (SARs) of plakortin (1) and plakortin-related scaffolds have shown that the formation of discrete carbon centered radicals plays a key role in their effect.^{166,191} Other SAR studies on the dioxane scaffold have generated novel synthetic compounds, which maintain anti-protozoal activity and significantly reduce cytotoxicity.^{88,99–101} Schwarzer recently discovered that plakortin (1) can induce lipid-peroxidation and a marked increase of the lipoperoxide breakdown product 4-hydroxyxenon, which conjugates to *P. falciparum* proteins critically involved in its cellular function.⁶⁶

Manadoperoxides A–K were evaluated extensively for anti-trypanosomal activity. Most showed excellent activity against *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense* including manadoperoxide I (IC_{50} 0.062 μ g mL^{−1}) and K (IC_{50} 0.087 μ g mL^{−1}) with low levels of cytotoxicity against HMEC-1 (IC_{50} > 10 μ g mL^{−1}).^{15–17} Interestingly, Tagliafella-Scafati found that manadoperoxide B (135) had greater potency against *T. b. rhodesiense* (IC_{50} 0.003 μ g mL^{−1}) compared to *P. falciparum* (IC_{50} 2.30 μ g mL^{−1}), whereas peroxyplakoric ester B₃ (136) had greater potency against *P. falciparum* (IC_{50} 0.040 μ g mL^{−1}) compared to *T. b. rhodesiense* (IC_{50} 3.61 μ g mL^{−1}); and that notably, they only differ by the placement of methyl groups on an otherwise identical core structure (Fig. 5).¹⁶ Furthermore, the isomeric compound 137 maintained activity against *T. b. rhodesiense* (IC_{50} 0.011 μ g mL^{−1}) but had increased cytotoxicity against L6 cells (IC_{50} 3.80 μ g mL^{−1}).¹⁷ Further SAR-based studies revealed the importance of the peroxyketal heterocycle and length of the lipophilic tether for antiprotozoal activity.^{15–17}

Plakortide endoperoxides have similarly attracted interest as potential therapeutic agents for tropical diseases. Plakortide F was active against *P. falciparum* (IC_{50} 0.39–0.48 μ g mL^{−1}) and cytotoxic against a number of cancer cell lines *in vitro*, but failed to prolong life expectancy when treating *Plasmodium* infected mice.²⁷ In the same study, plakortone G was found to be highly




Fig. 5 The minor structural differences of manadoperoxide B (135), peroxyplakoric ester B₃ (136) and 12-isomanadoperoxide B (137), which greatly effect their antiprotozoal activity and cytotoxicity.

cytotoxic with no apparent selectivity. Plakortide P (10) had antiparasitic activity against *Leishmania chagasi* (IC_{50} 0.5–1.9 μ M) with low toxicity against human macrophages (IC_{50} 16.6 μ M);²⁰ and plakortide I, possessing an unsaturated ketone, was effective when tested against *P. falciparum* (IC_{50} 0.57 μ M) but inactive against a panel of other pathogenic bacteria and fungi.²⁶ Plakortide E (24) was also found to be a non-competitive, slow-binding and reversible inhibitor of rhodesain from *T. B. rhodesiense* (IC_{50} 5 μ M) without cytotoxic effects against J774.1 macrophages at 100 μ M.³⁰


Recently, the novel endoperoxide metabolite gracilioether H was reported to be active against the chloroquine resistant FC29 strain (IC_{50} 3.3 μ M) of *P. falciparum* with low cytotoxicity for vero cells (DT_{50} 324 μ M).¹⁶⁰

Other metabolites in this class have also been tested for activity against pathogenic fungi and bacteria with promising results. Plakinic acid M was active toward a number of *Cryptococcus* fungi (MIC_{90} 2.4–13 μ M);³² manzamenone O (69) was effective against *Micrococcus luteus* (MIC 4 μ g mL^{-1}), *Apergillus niger* (IC_{50} 8 μ g mL^{-1}) and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (IC_{50} 8 μ g mL^{-1});¹³³ and hippolachnin A (98) was shown to have potent antifungal activity against *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Trichophyton rubrum* and *Microsporum gypseum* (MIC 0.4 μ M).¹⁵⁵

5.2 Anticancer activity

The cytotoxic effects of many peroxide and peroxide-derived sponge metabolites have prompted numerous studies into their suitability as anticancer leads. Costa-Lotufo found that plakortide P (14) and a number of structural analogues were cytotoxic against HCT-116 cells, causing arrest at the G₂/M stage.³¹ However, the co-isolated furanylidenes des-hydroxygracilioether C (90) and spongisoritin A induced arrest at the G₀/G₁ stage, indicating that the two structural subclasses have distinct modes of antimitotic action. Furthermore, while the peroxides showed low selectivity for tumour cells compared to non-tumour cells, compound 90 was more selective with IC_{50} 8.1 μ M for HCT-116 and $IC_{50} > 163$ μ M for MRC-5 cells.³¹ Plakinic acids A–D and a number of structural analogues were found to be

remarkably cytotoxic against L1210 murine leukemia (IC_{50} 0.003–0.052 μ g mL^{-1});³⁹ and endoperoxide 138 showed significant *in vitro* cytotoxicity against P388 cells (IC_{50} 0.055 μ g mL^{-1}), but failed to induce a response *in vivo*.^{49,91}



Other work has specifically targeted the inhibition of DNA polymerase (pol) enzymes. Manzamenone A (53) showed inhibition of pol α (IC_{50} 1.9 μ M), β (IC_{50} 3.2 μ M) and human terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase (IC_{50} 2.5 μ M).^{124,125} Untenone A (44) demonstrated greater selectivity for pol α (IC_{50} 4.3 μ M);¹²⁵ plakuvulin A (134) was a moderate inhibitor of poly (IC_{50} 7.5 μ g mL^{-1});¹⁸⁷ and a number of synthetic analogues of plakoridine A (71) and B (72) showed greater inhibition of pol α and β than the natural products.¹²⁷

5.3 Physiological disorders

Peroxide-derived sponge metabolites have also been reported as useful compounds for the investigation of a range of physiological disorders, including type II diabetes, heart failure and inflammation. Gracilioether B (85) and plakilactone C were recently shown to be selective covalent agonists of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ , EC₅₀ 2–5 μ M), a known pharmacological target for the treatment of type II diabetes, undergoing thio-Michael addition of the lower binding domain cysteine residue to the unsaturated ketone of the natural products.¹⁴⁶ Both compounds were found to regulate the expression of PPAR γ -dependent genes in the liver and inhibit the generation of inflammatory mediators.¹⁴⁶ In contrast, gracilioether C (86) was found to be a non-covalent agonist (EC₅₀ 10 μ M) and its des-hydroxy homologue (90) a non-covalent antagonist.¹⁴⁶ In a separate study, manzamenones B (54) and E (57) showed inhibition of T-cell protein tyrosine phosphatase (IC_{50} 2.5–3.2 μ M) and protein tyrosine phosphatase-1B (IC_{50} 10.8–13.5 μ M), also implicated in the treatment of type II diabetes.¹²⁶

Plakortone D activated sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ ATPase (EC₅₀ 2.8 μ M), a protein associated with cardiac muscle relaxation abnormalities, improving calcium uptake at a similar level to that reported for gingerol.²² 3-*epi*-Plakortin was also found to be an activator, while the longer chain co-isolates plakortides F–H were less active.²³ Interestingly, the ability of polyketide endoperoxides to stimulate calcium uptake is also suggested to play a role in their antimitotic and antifungal activity.^{31,192}

Finally, gracilioethers E (100), G and I–K were found to be agonists of pregnane-X-receptor, a novel pharmacological target for the treatment of various inflammatory and metabolic disorders, when administered in combination with rifaximin.¹⁶²

6 Concluding remarks

Since their discovery in the late 1970s, plakortin (1) and chondrillin (2) have been the centerpiece of a global research effort to

uncover, elucidate and synthesise peroxide and peroxide-derived metabolites from marine sponges. Literature in the chemical sciences is now laced with novel polyketide substances related to **1** and **2** and synthetic methods to effectively construct and arrange their unique and often complex structural components. These efforts, especially those targeting the chemical synthesis of peroxide-derived natural products, have increasingly become inspired by a desire to understand the fascinating decomposition pathways and inherent structural rearrangements of these peroxide substrates. Their potential as therapeutic agents for a wide range of infectious diseases, cancers and physiological disorders adds further motivation for the investigation of this class of compound. Incredibly, investigations in the field to date places the sponge metabolites chondrillin (**2**) and plakorin (**3**) as sole non-enzymatic progenitors to the natural products untenone A (**44**), plakortic acid (**45**), plakorsin A (**51**), manzamenone A-F (**53-58**) and H (**59**) with many others expected. Furthermore, plakortin (**1**) and 4,5-unsaturated-1,2-dioxane analogues such as haterumadioxin A (**7**) and B (**8**), are thought to be directly responsible for the occurrence of plakortethers A-G (**108-114**), simplakidine A (**115**), gracilioethers B (**85**), C (**86**), D, hippolachnin A (**98**) and spiroplakortone plus many more.

However, efforts to elucidate the biosynthesis of peroxide-related metabolites have only begun. Understanding the assembly of complex polycyclic structures such as gracilioethers A (**92**), E (**100**), F (**95**), G-J, K (**96**), manzamenones K (**68**), O (**69**), untenolide A (**70**), simplextones A (**116**) and B (**117**) remains a challenge of significant novelty and interest. Feasible preparation of such intricate substrates on the scale required in a pharmaceutical context will undoubtedly be aided by consideration of how they are assembled in nature.

7. Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Flinders University for funding, support, and facilities. M. D. Norris acknowledges the MF & MH Joyner PhD Scholarship in Science.

8. Notes and references

- 1 M. D. Higgs and D. J. Faulkner, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, **43**, 3454.
- 2 F. Cafieri, E. Fattorusso, O. Taglialatella-Scafati and A. Ianaro, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, **55**, 7045.
- 3 R. J. Wells, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, **30**, 2637.
- 4 T. Murayama, Y. Ohizumi, H. Nakamura, T. Sasaki and J. Kobayashi, *Experientia*, 1989, **45**, 898.
- 5 E. Quinoa, E. Kho, L. V. Manes, P. Crews and G. J. Bakus, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 4260.
- 6 D. A. Casteel, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 1992, **9**, 289.
- 7 D. A. Casteel, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 1999, **16**, 55.
- 8 M. Jung, H. Kim, K. Lee and M. Park, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **3**, 159.
- 9 V. M. Dembitsky, T. A. Gloriozova and V. V. Poroikov, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **7**, 571.
- 10 V. M. Dembitsky, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **43**, 223.
- 11 E. Fattorusso and O. Taglialatella-Scafati, *Mar. Drugs*, 2009, **7**, 130.
- 12 E. Fattorusso and O. Taglialatella-Scafati, *Phytochem. Rev.*, 2010, **9**, 515.
- 13 D.-Z. Liu and J.-K. Liu, *Nat. Prod. Bioprospect.*, 2013, **3**, 161.
- 14 F. Rahm, P. Y. Hayes and W. Kitching, *Heterocycles*, 2004, **64**, 523.
- 15 C. Fattorusso, M. Persico, B. Calcinai, C. Cerrano, S. Parapini, D. Taramelli, E. Novellino, A. Romano, F. Scala, E. Fattorusso and O. Taglialatella-Scafati, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2010, **73**, 1138.
- 16 G. Chianese, E. Fattorusso, F. Scala, R. Teta, B. Calcinai, G. Bavestrello, H. A. Dien, M. Kaiser, D. Tasdemir and O. Taglialatella-Scafati, *Org. Biol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 7197.
- 17 G. Chianese, F. Scala, B. Calcinai, C. Cerrano, H. A. Dien, M. Kaiser, D. Tasdemir and O. Taglialatella-Scafati, *Mar. Drugs*, 2013, **11**, 3297.
- 18 M. Gushiken, I. Kagiyama, H. Kato, T. Kuwana, F. Losung, R. E. P. Mangindaan, N. J. de Voogd and S. Tsukamoto, *J. Nat. Med.*, 2015, **69**, 595.
- 19 N. Takada, M. Watanabe, A. Yamada, K. Suenaga, K. Yamada, K. Ueda and D. Uemura, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2001, **64**, 356.
- 20 M. H. Kossuga, A. M. Nascimento, J. Q. Reimao, A. G. Tempone, N. N. Taniwaki, K. Veloso, A. G. Ferreira, B. C. Cavalcanti, C. Pessoa, M. O. Moraes, A. M. S. Mayer, E. Hajdu and R. G. S. Berlinck, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2008, **71**, 334.
- 21 C. Festa, S. De Marino, M. V. D'Auria, O. Taglialatella-Scafati, E. Deharo, S. Petek and A. Zampella, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 3706.
- 22 A. D. Patil, A. J. Freyer, M. F. Bean, B. K. Carte, J. W. Westley, R. K. Johnson and P. Lahouratate, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 377.
- 23 A. D. Patil, A. J. Freyer, B. Carte, R. K. Johnson and P. Lahouratate, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1996, **59**, 219.
- 24 B. Harrison and P. Crews, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1998, **61**, 1033.
- 25 E. Fattorusso, O. Taglialatella-Scafati, M. Di Rosa and A. Ianaro, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, **56**, 7959.
- 26 J.-F. Hu, H.-F. Gao, M. Kelly and M. T. Hamann, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 9379.
- 27 D. J. Gochfeld and M. T. Hamann, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2001, **64**, 1477.
- 28 M. del Sol Jimenez, S. P. Garzon and A. D. Rodriguez, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2003, **66**, 655.
- 29 F. Berhue, O. P. Thomas, C. F.-L. Bon, F. Reyes and P. Amade, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 11843.
- 30 S. Oli, U. R. Abdelmohsen, U. Hentschel and T. Schirmeister, *Mar. Drugs*, 2014, **12**, 2614.
- 31 E. A. Santos, A. L. Quintela, E. G. Ferreira, T. S. Sousa, F. d. C. L. Pinto, E. Hajdu, M. S. Carvalho, S. Salani, D. D. Rocha, D. V. Wilke, M. d. C. M. Torres, P. C. Jimenez, E. R. Silveira, J. J. La Clair, O. D. L. Pessoa and L. V. Costa-Lotufo, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2015, **78**, 996.
- 32 M. T. Jamison, D. S. Dalisay and T. F. Molinski, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2016, **79**, 555.
- 33 G. R. Pettit, T. Nogawa, J. C. Knight, D. L. Doubek and J. N. A. Hooper, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2004, **67**, 1611.



34 M. Yanai, S. Ohta, E. Ohta, T. Hirata and S. Ikegami, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **11**, 1715.

35 D. W. Phillipson and K. L. Rinehart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 7735.

36 J. S. Sandler, P. L. Colin, J. N. A. Hooper and D. J. Faulkner, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2002, **65**, 1258.

37 D. S. Dalisay, T. Quach, G. N. Nicholas and T. F. Molinski, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4367.

38 D. S. Dalisay, T. Quach and T. F. Molinski, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 1524.

39 B. S. Davidson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 6722.

40 B. S. Davidson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 7167.

41 P. A. Horton, R. E. Longley, M. Kelly-Borges, O. J. McConnell and L. M. Ballas, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1994, **57**, 1374.

42 Y. Chen, K. B. Killday, P. J. McCarthy, R. Schimoler, K. Chilson, C. Selitrennikoff, S. A. Pomponi and A. E. Wright, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2001, **64**, 262.

43 C. Jimenez-Romero, I. Ortiz, J. Vicente, B. Vera, A. D. Rodriguez, S. Nam and R. Jove, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2010, **73**, 1694.

44 M. Varoglu, B. M. Peters and P. Crews, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1995, **58**, 27.

45 T. L. Perry, A. Dickerson, A. A. Khan, R. K. Kondru, D. N. Beratan, P. Wipf, M. Kelly and M. T. Hamann, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 1483.

46 A. Rudi, R. Afanii, L. G. Gravalos, M. Aknin, E. Gaydou, J. Vacelet and Y. Kashman, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2003, **66**, 682.

47 K. W. L. Yong, J. J. De Voss, J. N. A. Hooper and M. J. Garson, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2011, **74**, 194.

48 K. W. L. Yong, L. K. Lambert, P. Y. Hayes, J. J. De Voss and M. J. Garson, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2012, **75**, 351.

49 D. E. Williams, T. M. Allen, R. van Soest, H. W. Behrisch and R. J. Andersen, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2001, **64**, 281.

50 R. Mohammed, J. Peng, M. Kelly, M. Yousaf, E. Winn, S. Odde, Z. Bie, A. Xie, R. J. Doerksen and M. T. Hamann, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2010, **63**, 877.

51 D. B. Stierle and D. J. Faulkner, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1979, **44**, 964.

52 M. Kobayashi, K. Kondo and I. Kitagawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1993, **41**, 1324.

53 A. Rudi, R. Talpir, Y. Kashman, Y. Benayahu and M. Schleyer, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1993, **56**, 2178.

54 S. I. Toth and F. J. Schmitz, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1994, **57**, 123.

55 J. C. Braekman, D. Daloze, S. De Groote, J. B. Fernandes and R. W. M. Van Soest, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1998, **61**, 1038.

56 A. Fontana, M. Ishibashi and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 2041.

57 A. Fontana, A. Ishibashi, H. Shigemori and J. Kobayashi, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1998, **61**, 1427.

58 E. Manzo, M. L. Ciavatta, D. Melck, P. Schupp, N. de Voogd and M. Gavagnin, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2009, **72**, 1547.

59 Y. Feng, R. A. Davis, M. Sykes, V. M. Avery, D. Camp and R. J. Quinn, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2010, **73**, 716.

60 J. S. Oh, B. S. Hwang, O.-H. Kang, D.-Y. Kwon and J.-R. Rho, *Mar. Drugs*, 2013, **11**, 4407.

61 B. S. Hwang and J.-R. Rho, *Journal of the Korean Magnetic Resonance Society*, 2013, **17**, 47.

62 T. R. Hoye, W. M. Alarif, S. S. Basaif, M. Abo-Elkarm, M. T. Hamann, A. E. Wahba and S.-E. N. Ayyad, *ARKIVOC*, 2015, 164.

63 J. H. McKerrow, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2015, **32**, 1610.

64 L. Y. Kong and R. X. Tan, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2015, **32**, 1617.

65 E. Fattorusso, S. Parapini, C. Campagnuolo, N. Basilico, O. Taglialatela-Scafati and D. Taramelli, *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 2002, **50**, 883.

66 O. A. Skorokhod, D. Davalos-Schafler, V. Gallo, E. Valente, D. Ulliers, A. Notarpietro, G. Mandili, F. Novelli, M. Persico, O. Taglialatela-Scafati, P. Arese and E. Schwarzer, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 2015, **89**, 624.

67 S. P. D. Ovenden and R. J. Capon, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1999, **62**, 214.

68 R. J. Capon, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 633.

69 G. Della Sala, T. Hochmuth, V. Costantino, R. Teta, W. Gerwick, L. Gerwick, J. Piel and A. Mangoni, *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.*, 2013, **5**, 809.

70 G. Della Sala, T. Hochmuth, R. Teta, V. Costantino and A. Mangoni, *Mar. Drugs*, 2014, **12**, 5425.

71 S. Isayama and T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1989, 573.

72 S. Isayama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1990, **63**, 1305.

73 E. M. P. Silva, R. J. Pye, C. Cardin and L. M. Harwood, *Synlett.*, 2010, 509.

74 E. M. P. Silva, R. J. Pye, G. D. Brown and L. M. Harwood, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 1209.

75 B. Barnych and J.-M. Vatéle, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 564.

76 K. W. L. Yong, B. Barnych, J. J. De Voss, J.-M. Vatéle and M. J. Garson, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2012, **75**, 1792.

77 S. Gemma, F. Marti, E. Gabellieri, G. Campiani, E. Novellino and S. Butini, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 5719.


78 S. Gemma, E. Gabellieri, S. S. Coccione, F. Marti, O. Taglialatela-Scafati, E. Novellino, G. Campiani and S. Butini, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 2333.

79 S. Gemma, S. Kunjir, S. S. Coccione, M. Brindisi, V. Moretti, S. Brogi, E. Novellino, N. Basilico, S. Parapini, D. Taramelli, G. Campiani and S. Butini, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 5949.

80 B. Barnych and J.-M. Vatéle, *Synlett.*, 2011, **13**, 1912.

81 B. Barnych, B. Fenet and J.-M. Vatéle, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 334.

82 As reproduced in Scheme 6, 5-exo-tet cyclisation of **31** was drawn in ref. 81 to give **32** with retention of configuration at C3. However, in a personal communication with the authors of this work (B. Barnych), it has been verified that this reaction should proceed with inversion at C3 and that compound **32** should be drawn with (3S) configuration, as suggested below. Consequently, the absolute configuration of natural andavadoic acid (**19**) is in fact (3S,5R).





83 K. S. Feldman and M. Pravez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 1328.

84 K. S. Feldman and R. E. Simpson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 4878.

85 K. S. Feldman and C. M. Kraebel, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 4574.

86 X.-Y. Sun, X.-Y. Tian, Z.-W. Li, X.-S. Peng and H. N. C. Wong, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 5874.

87 X.-Y. Tian, J.-W. Han, Q. Zhao and H. N. C. Wong, *Org. Biol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 3686.

88 C. Fattorusso, M. Persico, N. Basilico, D. Taramelli, E. Fattorusso, F. Scala and O. Taglialetela-Scafati, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **19**, 312.

89 M. Jung, J. Ham and J. Song, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 2763.

90 O. Zvarec, T. D. Avery, D. K. Taylor and E. R. T. Tiekkink, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 1007.

91 S. P. Gunasekera, M. Gunasekera, G. P. Gunawardana, P. McCarthy and N. Burres, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1990, **53**, 669.

92 G. Yao and K. Steliou, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 485.

93 B. B. Snider and Z. Shi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1990, **55**, 5669.

94 B. B. Snider and Z. Shi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 1790.

95 B. B. Snider, Z. Shi, S. V. O'Neil, K. D. Kreutter and T. L. Arakaki, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 1726.

96 P. Dussault, A. Sahli and T. Westermeyer, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 5469.

97 P. H. Dussault and K. R. Woller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 3824.

98 P. H. Dussault, C. T. Eary and K. R. Woller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 1789.

99 M. Persico, A. Quintavalla, F. Rondinelli, C. Trombini, M. Lombardo, C. Fattorusso, V. Azzarito, D. Taramelli, S. Parapini, Y. Corbett, G. Chianese, E. Fattorusso and O. Taglialetela-Scafati, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 8526.

100 M. Persico, S. Parapini, G. Chianese, C. Fattorusso, M. Lombardo, L. Petrizza, A. Quintavalla, F. Rondinelli, N. Basilico, D. Taramelli, C. Trombini, E. Fattorusso and O. Taglialetela-Scafati, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, **70**, 875.

101 N. Murakami, M. Kawanishi, S. Itagaki, T. Horii and M. Kobayashi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **12**, 69.

102 N. Murakami, M. Kawanishi, H. M. Mostaqul, J. Li, S. Itagaki, T. Horii and M. Kobayashi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **13**, 4081.

103 M. Kawanishi, N. Kotoku, S. Itagaki, T. Horii and M. Kobayashi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2004, **12**, 5297.

104 P. H. Dussault, T. K. Trullinger and F. Noor-e-Ain, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 4591.

105 P. Dai, T. K. Trullinger, X. Liu and P. H. Dussault, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 2283.

106 A. J. Bloodworth, B. D. Bothwell, A. N. Collins and N. L. Maidwell, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 1885.

107 X. Lu, Y. Liu, B. Sun, B. Cindric and L. Deng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8134.

108 L. Hu, X. Lu and L. Deng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 8400.

109 For a recent review on asymmetric peroxidation, see G. D. Sala and A. Lattanzi, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1234.

110 N. Kornblum and H. E. DeLaMare, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1951, **73**, 880.

111 N. Kornblum and H. E. DeLaMare, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1952, **74**, 3079.

112 M. Ishibashi, S. Takeuchi and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 3749.

113 Y.-C. Shen, C. V. S. Prakash and Y.-H. Kuo, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2001, **64**, 324.

114 M. D. Norris and M. V. Perkins, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 9813.

115 Epoxides **45** and **49** are reported to have a *syn*-oxirane, *E*-olefin and *anti*-oxirane, *Z*-olefin arrangement, respectively; although the data presented in literature is not directly comparable (see ref. 95 and 113). Since natural plakortic acid was originally co-isolated with **3** and base-mediated rearrangement of **3** appears to be likely, we speculate that the correct structure of natural plakortic acid may in fact be the acid of oxirane **49**, where $R = (\text{CH}_2)_{15}\text{CH}_3$ (Scheme 14 in text).

116 M. Asami, T. Ishizaki and S. Inoue, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 1893.

117 H. Miyaoka, T. Watanuki, Y. Saka and Y. Yamada, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 8749.

118 S. Al-Busafi, M. G. B. Drew, T. Sanders and R. C. Whitehead, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 1647.

119 S. Al-Busafi and R. C. Whitehead, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 3467.

120 S. Al-Busafi, J. R. Doncaster, M. G. B. Drew, A. C. Regan and R. C. Whitehead, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 2002, 476.

121 K. Takeda, I. Nakayama and E. Yoshii, *Synlett*, 1994, **3**, 178.

122 S. Tsukamoto, S. Takeuchi, M. Ishibashi and J. Kobayashi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 5255.

123 J. Kobayashi, S. Tsukamoto, S. Takeuchi and M. Ishibashi, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 5955.

124 M. Perpelescu, M. Tsuda, M. Suzuki, S. Yoshida and J. Kobayashi, *Nat. Med.*, 2004, **58**, 86.

125 F. Saito, R. Takeuchi, T. Kamino, K. Kuramochi, F. Sugawara, K. Sakaguchi and S. Kobayashi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2004, **14**, 1975.

126 Y. Wakuda, T. Kubota, H. Shima, T. Okada, S. Mitsuhashi, N. Aoki, K. Kikuchi and J. Kobayashi, *Mar. Drugs*, 2006, **4**, 9.

127 J. R. Doncaster, L. L. Etchells, N. M. Kershaw, R. Nakamura, H. Ryan, R. Takeuchi, K. Sakaguchi, A. Sardarian and R. C. Whitehead, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2006, **16**, 2877.

128 We expect that a similar structural reassignment is likely for compounds **56**, **57** and **59**.

129 Compounds **53–56**, **58** and **59** (excluding **57**, which has a valine residue attached) were reported with low magnitudes of optical rotation indicating that they may in fact have been isolated as pseudo-racemic. This is consistent with Whitehead's theory of biogenesis.

130 S. Takeuchi, T. Kikuchi, S. Tsukamoto, M. Ishibashi and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 5979.

131 T. Kubota, Y. Ishiguro, A. Takahashi-Nakaguchi, J. Fromont, T. Gono and J. Kobayashi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **23**, 244.

132 N. Tanaka, M. Asai, T. Kusama, J. Fromont and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, **56**, 1388.

133 N. Tanaka, M. Asai, A. Takahashi-Nakaguchi, T. Gono, J. Fromont and J. Kobayashi, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 2518.

134 Y. Ishiguro, T. Kubota, J. Fromont, M. Shiro and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 4023.

135 The acid of (*Z*)-**50** (or (*E*)-**50**, Section 3.3) may decarboxylate prior to condensation with **68**.

136 S. Takeuchi, M. Ishibashi and J. Kobayashi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 3712.

137 Y. Ishiguro, T. Kubota, K. Ishiuchi, J. Fromont and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 3202.

138 L. L. Etchells, A. Sardarian and R. C. Whitehead, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 2803.

139 In turn, plakorsin A (**51**) is expected to arise from the reduction of 2 or 3 (Section 4.4).

140 L. L. Etchells, M. Helliwell, N. M. Kershaw, A. Sardarian and R. C. Whitehead, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 10914.

141 D. Ma and H. Sun, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 1947.

142 J. A. Stafford, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 681.

143 P. A. Bartlett and C. Chapuis, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 2799.

144 R. S. Compagnone, I. C. Pina, H. R. Rangel, F. Dagger, A. I. Suarez, M. V. R. Reddy and D. J. Faulkner, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 3057.

145 R. Ueoka, Y. Nakao, S. Kawatsu, J. Yaegashi, Y. Matsumoto, S. Matsunaga, K. Furihata, R. W. M. van Soest and N. Fusetani, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 4203.

146 C. Festa, G. Lauro, S. De Marino, M. V. D'Auria, M. C. Monti, A. Casapullo, C. D'Amore, B. Renga, A. Mencarelli, S. Petek, G. Bifulco, S. Fiorucci and A. Zampella, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 8303.

147 R. J. Capon, S. Singh, S. Ali and S. Sotheeswaran, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2005, **58**, 18.

148 R. A. Epifanio, L. S. Pinheiro and N. C. Alves, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **16**, 1367.

149 G. Chianese, B.-B. Gu, F. Yang, W.-H. Jiao, Y.-W. Guo, H.-W. Lin and O. Taglialetella-Scafati, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 63372.

150 D. B. Stierle and D. J. Faulkner, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1980, **45**, 3396.

151 Faulkner also isolated hemiacetal adducts related to **80** (ref. 51), which indicates that Kornblum–DeLaMare rearrangement may also be a prevalent decomposition pathway for saturated 1,2-dioxanes.

152 X.-F. Liu, Y. Shen, F. Yang, M. T. Hamann, W.-H. Jiao, H.-J. Zhang, W.-S. Chen and H.-W. Lin, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 4635.

153 M. D. Norris, M. V. Perkins and E. J. Sorensen, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 668.

154 M. Akiyama, Y. Isoda, M. Nishimoto, A. Kobayashi, D. Togawa, N. Hirao, A. Kuboki and S. Ohira, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 7483.

155 C. Festa, S. De Marino, M. V. D'Auria, E. Deharo, G. Gonzalez, C. Deyssard, S. Petek, G. Bifulco and A. Zampella, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 10157.

156 C. Festa, C. D'Amore, B. Renga, G. Lauro, S. De Marino, M. V. D'Auria, G. Bifulco, A. Zampella and S. Fiorucci, *Mar. Drugs*, 2013, **11**, 2314.

157 X.-Y. Shen, X.-S. Peng and H. N. C. Wong, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 1032.

158 C. M. Rasik and M. K. Brown, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14522.

159 S.-J. Piao, Y.-L. Song, W.-H. Jiao, F. Yang, X.-F. Liu, W.-S. Chen, B.-N. Han and H.-W. Lin, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 3526.

160 Interestingly, monotriajaponide A, isolated in 2003 (ref. 34) appears to represent a *des*-hydroperoxy precursor related to haterumadioxin A (7).

161 S. A. Ruider, T. Sandmeier and E. M. Carreira, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2378.

162 S. A. Ruider and E. M. Carreira, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 220.

163 M. E. McCallum, C. M. Rasik, J. L. Wood and M. K. Brown, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 2437.

164 R. Datta, R. J. Dixon and S. Ghosh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, **57**, 29.

165 D. T. Sawyer, J. P. Hage and A. Sobkowiak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 106.

166 O. Taglialetela-Scafati, E. Fattorusso, A. Romano, F. Scala, V. Barone, P. Cimino, E. Stendardo, B. Catalanotti, M. Persico and C. Fattorusso, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 846.

167 F. S. De Guzman and F. J. Schmitz, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1990, **53**, 926.

168 C. Fattorusso, G. Campiani, B. Catalanotti, M. Persico, N. Basilico, S. Parapini, D. Taramelli, C. Campagnuolo, E. Fattorusso, A. Romano and O. Taglialetela-Scafati, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **49**, 7088.

169 C. Campagnuolo, E. Fattorusso, O. Taglialetela-Scafati, A. Ianaro and B. Pasino, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, 61.

170 C. Campagnuolo, C. Fattorusso, E. Fattorusso, A. Ianaro, B. Pisano and O. Taglialetela-Scafati, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 673.

171 J. P. John, J. Jost and A. V. Novikov, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 6083.

172 X.-F. Liu, Y.-L. Song, H.-J. Zhang, F. Yang, H.-B. Yu, W.-H. Jiao, S.-J. Piao, W.-S. Chen and H.-W. Lin, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 3154.

173 F. Cafieri, E. Fattorusso, O. Taglialetela-Scafati, M. Di Rosa and A. Ianaro, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, **55**, 13831.

174 A. Rudi and Y. Kashman, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1993, **56**, 1827.

175 M. F. Semmelhack and P. Shanmugam, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 3567.

176 M. F. Semmelhack, R. J. Hooley and C. M. Kraml, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 5203.

177 G. C. Paddon-Jones, N. L. Hungerford, P. Hayes and W. Kitching, *Org. Lett.*, 1999, **1**, 1905.

178 P. Y. Hayes and W. Kitching, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 9718.

179 P. Y. Hayes and W. Kitching, *Heterocycles*, 2004, **62**, 173.

180 P. Y. Hayes, S. Chow, F. Rahm, P. V. Bernhardt, J. J. De Voss and W. Kitching, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 6489.

181 H.-K. Lee and H. N. C. Wong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2114.

182 X.-G. Xie, X.-W. Wu, H.-K. Lee, X.-S. Peng and H. N. C. Wong, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 6933.

183 G. Mehta, B. A. Bhat and T. H. S. Kumara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 6597.

184 C. Bittner, A. Burgo, P. J. Murphy, C. H. Sung and A. J. Thornhill, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 3455.



185 M. Akiyama, Y. Isoda, M. Nishimoto, M. Narasaki, H. Oka, A. Kuboki and S. Ohira, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, **47**, 2287.

186 H. Sugimura, S. Sato, K. Tokudome and T. Yamada, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 3384.

187 M. Tsuda, T. Endo, M. Perpelescu, S. Yoshida, K. Watanabe, J. Fromont, Y. Mikami and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, **59**, 1137.

188 F. Saito, R. Takeuchi, T. Kamino, K. Kuramochi, F. Sugawara, K. Sakaguchi, S. Kobayashi, M. Tsudad and J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 8069.

189 K. Kuramochi, F. Saito, R. Takeuchi, T. Era, M. Takemura, J. Kobayashi, K. Sakaguchi, S. Kobayashi and F. Sugawara, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 8006.

190 H. Mizutani, M. Watanabe and T. Honda, *Synlett*, 2005, 793.

191 G. Chianese, M. Persico, F. Yang, H.-W. Lin, Y.-W. Guo, N. Basilico, S. Parapini, D. Taramelli, O. Taglialatela-Scafati and C. Fattorusso, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **22**, 4572.

192 T. Xu, Q. Feng, M. R. Jacob, B. Avula, M. M. Mask, S. R. Baerson, S. K. Tripathi, R. Mohammed, M. T. Hamann, I. A. Khan, L. A. Walker, A. M. Clark and A. K. Agarwal, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2011, **55**, 1611.

